

No. 8 OF 1968

Supreme Court of Ceylon, No. 283 (Final) of 1903.

District Court of Point Pedro, Case No. 6471.

# IN HER MAJESTY'S PRIVY COUNCIL ON AN APPEAL FROM <br> THE SUPREME COURT OF CEYLON 

Between
(Dead) VISWANATHAR KANAGARATNAM of Puloly West.
(Original Defendant)
VISWANATHAR KANAGARATNAM SUBRAMANIAM of Puloly West as Executor of the Last Will and Testament of the late VISWANATHAR KANAGARATNAM of Puloly West.
$\frac{(\text { SUBSTITUTED DEFENDANT APPELLANT) }}{\text { APPELLANT }}$

And
KANAGARATNAM KADIRGAMAN of Puloly West, Administrator of the Estate of RASAMMAH in Testamentary Case No. 400 in the District Court of Point Pedro.


INDEX - PART I
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| P24 | Lispendens in District Court, Anuradhapura Case <br> No. 2263 | 24-4-40 | 163 |
| P25 | Plaint in District Court, Anuradhapura Case No. 2263 | 15-3-40 | 159 |
| P26 | Extracts from the Registration Entries in the Land Register at Anuradhapura Land Registry | - | 321 |
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| D2 | Deed of Transfer No. 20711 attested by S. Subramaniam, Notary Public | 21-3-192\% | 147 |
| D3 | Deed of Donation No. 10834 attested by P. Sithamparapillai, Notary Public | 25-12-1909 | 137 |
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| $1) 10$ | Deed of Donation No. 2799 attested by P. Kanapathipillai, Notary Public | 2-11-52 | 299 |
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Suprome Court of Ceylon
No. 282 (Final) of 1963.

# IN HER MAJESTY'S PRIVY COUNCIL ON AN APPEAL FROM the supreme court of ceylon 

Between
(Dead) VISWANATHAR KANAGARATNAM of Puloly West.
(Original Defendant)

VISWANATHAR KANAGARATNAM SUBRAMANIAM of Puloly West as Executor of the Last Will and Testament of the late VISWANATHAR KANAGARATNAM of Puloly West.
$\frac{(\text { SUBSTITUTED DEFENDANT APPELLANT }}{}$ )

And
KANAGARATNAM KADIRGAMAN of Puloly West, Administrator of the Estate of RASAMMAH in Testamentary Case No. 400 in the District Court of Point.Pedro.
$\frac{(\text { PLAINTIFF RESPONDENT) }}{\text { RESPONDENT }}$

$$
\begin{array}{cc} 
& \text { RECORD } \\
\text { OF } & \text { PROCEEDINGS }
\end{array}
$$

## No. 1 <br> JOURNAL ENTRIES <br> IN THE DISTRIC T (OURT OF POINT PEDRO

No. 1
Journal

No. 6471
Class :
Amount: Rs. 60,000/-
Nature : Land
Procedure :

> Kinagiaritnam Kathiragiman of Point Pedro Plaintiff.
> T's.
> $V$ Kinagaratiam of Point Pedro
> Defendant.

The 14th day of September, 1959.
Mr. S. Nagalingamudali, Proctor, files appointment and Plaint and Pedigree and abstract of title and moves that the plaint be accepted and summons be ordered to issue on the defendant.

Plaint accepted and Summons ordered for t.11.59.
(Sgd.) Illegibly
Additional District Judge.
Summons issued on defendant with Precept returnable the 3rd day of November, 1959. through Fiscal Marshal. Point Pedro.
(Intld.)
(2) 23-10-59 Mr. S. Nagalingamudaly for Plaintiff.

Mr. N. A. Rajaratnam for Defendant.
Return to Summons from Fiscal Narshal, Point Pedro, filed.

Summons served on defendant.
(Intld.)
(3) 4-11-59 Summons served on defendant.

He is absent.
Proxy filed.
Answer 25-11-59.
(Intld.)

| No. 1 Entries-14-9-59 |  | Eo-die | Deficiency of Stamps Rs. 7/- due on defendant's proxy. <br> (Intld.) $\qquad$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 17-10-66 } \\ & \text { Continued. } \end{aligned}$ | (4) | 25-11-59 (1) | Answer due - Same 16/12/57. |
|  |  | (2) | Deficiency of Stamps Rs. 7/- due supplied. |

(5) 16-12-59 Answer due - Same 27/1/60.
(Intld.)
(6) 27-1-60 Answer due - 10-2-60.
(Intld.)
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { (7) 10-2-60 } & \text { Answer due - filed. } & 10 \\ & \text { Trial on 24-3-60. } & \end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { (7) 10-2-60 } & \text { Answer due - filed. } & 10 \\ & \text { Trial on 24-3-60. } & \end{array}$
(Intld.) $\qquad$
$\qquad$
(Intld.)
Additional District Judge.
(8) 17-3-60 Mr. N. A. Rajaratnam, Proctor for Defendant, files defendant's list of witnesses and moves with notice to proctor for plaintiff to cite the witncsses.

1. File.
2. Cite.
(Intld.)
District Judge. 20
(9) Eo-die Mr.S. Nagalingamudaly, proctor for plaintiff, files plaintiff's list of witnesses and moves with notice to proctor for defendant to cite the witnesses. He also tenders summons to 4 witnesses duly stamped.
3. File
4. Cite.
(Intld.) ....................................
District Judge.
Eo-die Summons issued on 4 witnesses of plaintiff through Fiscal Marshal, Point Pedro.

Mr. S. Nagalingamudaly for Plaintiff.

## Mr. N. A. Rajaratnam for Defendant.

Mr. Advocate A. V Kulasingham for defendant moves for a date as he is not ready.

Mr. Advocate Soorasangaram for plaintiff consents.
Trial 27-5-60.
(Intld.)
(11) $\frac{22-3-60}{31}$
(12) 21-5-60 Summons issued on 1 witness of Plaintiff through Fiscal Marshal, Point Pedro.
(Intld.)
........................
(13) 27-5-60

20
(14) $\frac{23-5-60}{\overline{31}}$

Proctor for Plaintiff files Plaintiff's additional list of witnesses.
(Intld.)
Proctor for Plaintiff files Plaintiff's additional list of witnesses with notice to proctor for defendant and also tenders summonses to 3 witnesses duly stamped.

1. File.
2. Cite,
(Intld.) .........................
District Judge.
(16) 7-7-60 Summons issued on 3 witnesses of plaintiff through Fiscal Marshal, Point Pedro.

## Trial (3)

Mr. S. Nagalingamudaly for Plaintiff.
Mr. N. A. Rajaratnam for Defendant.
Vide proceedings.
Further Trial - 22-9-60.
(Intld.)
Trial (4)
Mr. S. Nagalingamudaly for Plaintiff.
Mr. N. A. Rajaratnam for Defendant.
Defendant reported dead.
Steps on 28-10-60.
Take case off trial roll.
(Intld.)
.........................
(19) 28-10-60 Steps re defendant due - 9-12-60.
(Intld.) $\qquad$
(20) 9-12-60 Steps re defendant due - filed.

Substitution allowed.
Issue Summons for 3-2-61.
(Intld.)
(21) 25-1-61 Summons issued on respondent through Fiscal Marshal, Point Pedro.
(Intld.)
(22) 3-2-61 Summons served on Substituted Defendant

Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam Subramaniam.

Proxy filed.
No. 1 Journal Entries-
Objections on 10-3-61.

|  | No. 1 |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Journal |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Entries- } \\ & \text { 14-9-59 } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |
| (Intld.) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 17-10-66 } \\ & \text { Continued. } \end{aligned}$ |

(23) 10-3-61 Mr. S. Nagalingamudaly, Proctor for Plaintiff.

Mr. M. Esurapadham, Procter for Defendant.
Objections due - 29-3-61.
(Intld.)
(24) 15-3-61 Mr. Nagalingamudaly moves that as the substituted defendant is really Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam Subramaniam the Executor of the Last Will of the late Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam as pleaded in the petition and affidavit filed on $9-1 \cong-60$ and as by an oversight the said Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam Subramaniam was personally substituted as defendant ; the said substituted defendant Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam Subramaniam be substituted as defendant in his capacity as such Executor. Proctor for Defendant has given his Consent.

Mention on 29-3-61.
(Intld.)
2 (25) 29-3-61 (a) Objections due:
(b) Journal Entry (24) to be mentioned.

Application allowed.
Answer on 19-5-61.

> (Intld.)
$\qquad$
(26) 19-5-61 $\quad$ Answer due - 14-6-61.
(Intld.) $\qquad$
(27) 14-6-61 Answer due - on 21-6-61.
(Intld.) $\qquad$
(28) 21-6-61 Mr. S. Nagalingamudaly, for Plaintiff.

Mr. M. Esurapadham, for Defendent.
Answer due - filed.
Trial 12-7-61.-Specially fixed.
(Intld.)

## No. 1

Journal
Entries-
14-9-59
to
17-10-66
-Contintued.

Deficiency of Stamps to the Value of Rs. 29/50 due on Answer. Supplied.
(Intld.)
(29) 4-7-61 Proctor for Plaintiff files Plaintiff's additional list of documents. Copy served on Proctor for Defendant.

File.
(Intld.)
(30) 6-7-61 Mr. M. Esurapadham, Proctor, files Proxy of the Substituted defendant, the executor of the Last Will and testa- 10 ment of the deceased defendant and moves that the same be accepted.

Notice served on Proctor for Plaintiff.
Accepted.
(Intld.)

## District Judge.

(31) 6-7-61 Proctor for Defendant files defendant's additional list of witnesses and documents and moves to cite the witnesses referred to therein.

Notice served on Proctor for Plaintiff.

1. File.
2. Cite.
(Intld.) $\qquad$
District Judge.
(32) 7-7-61 Proctor for Substituted Defendant files defendant's additional list of documents. Copy sent by Registered Post to Proctor for Plaintiff.

File.
(Intld.)
(33) 12-7-61

Trial (1)
Mr. S. Nagalingamudaly for Plaintiff.
Mr. M. Esurapadham, Proctor for Defendant.

No. I Journal Entries-14-9-59 to 17-10-66 -Continued.

Vide Proceedings.
Further trial - 13-7-61.
(Intld.)
(34) 13-7-61
(35) 14-9-61 Replication due - on 12-10-61.
(Intld.)
(36) 12-10-61 Replication due - filed.

Trial - 15-12-61.
(Intld.)
(37) 6-12-61 Proctor for defendant files defendant's additional list of

Mr. S. Nagalingamudaly for Plaintiff.
Mr. M. Esurapadham, Proctor for Defendant.
Vide Proceedings.
Take case off Trial - roll.
Replication on 14-9-61.
(Intld.) $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$ documents.

| Trial (1) | No. I <br> Journal <br> Entries- |
| :--- | :--- |
| Mr. S. Nagalingamudaly for Plaintiff. | to <br>  <br> to-59 <br> Mr. M. Esurapadham, Proctor for Defendant. |
| 17.10-66 |  |
| -Continued. |  |

$\qquad$

File.
(Intld.)
District Judge.
(38) 7-12-61 Proctor for Plaintiff files plaintiff's additional list of witnesses and documents.

File.
(39) 15-12-61

Mr. S. Nagalingamudaly for Plaintiff.
Mr. M. Esurapadham for Defendant.
Vide proceedings.
Trial for 9-3-62.
(Sgd.) Illegibly. Additional District Judge.
(40) 2-3-62 Proctor for plaintiff files plaintiff's additional list of documents.

File.
(Intld.) ........................ ${ }^{\text {District Judge. }}{ }^{10}$
Trial (4)
Mr. S. Nagalingamudaly for Plaintiff.
Mr. M. Esurapadham for Defendant.
I am on transfer to D.C. Jaffna.
Trial on 25-5-62.
(Intld.)
(42) 16-5-62 Proctor for Plaintiff files Plaintiff's additional list of Documents.

File.
20
(Sgd.) Illegibly.
District Judge.
(43) 25-5 62

Trial (5)
Mr. S. Nagalingamudaly for Plaintiff.
Mr. M. Esurapadham for Defendant.
Vide Proceedings.
Of Consent Trial 2-8-62.
Costs fixed at Rs. 73/50 payable by defendant.
(Sgd.) Illegibly. Additional District Judge. зо 25-5-62.
(+4) 6-6-62
(45) 20-7-62

Mr. S. Nagalingamudaly for Plaintiff.
Mr. M. Esurapadham for Defendant.
Vide Proceedings.
Further Trial for 11-10-62.
(49) 8-10-62 Summons to 1 witness of defendant issued through Fiscal Marshal. Point Pedro - returnable 10-10-62.
(Intld.)
........................
(50) 11-10-62

## Trial (8)

Mr. S. Nagalingamudaly for Plaintiff.
Mr. M. Esurapadham for Defendant.
Vide proceedings.
Addresses 8-11-62.
(Sgd.) Illegibly.
District Judge. 10 11-10-62.
(51) 8-11-62 Addresses for 23-11-62.
(Sgd.) Illegibly. Additional District Judge.
(52) 23-11-62 Addresses -

Vide proceedings.
Further addresses 29-11-62.
(Sgd.) Illegibly.
District Judge. 23-11-62. 20
(53) 29-11-62 Further Addresses --

Vide Proceedings.
53 N.L.R. 63
60 N.L.R. 439
53 N.L.R. 385
46 C.L.W 104
55 N.L.R. 260
56 N.L.R. 44
Further addresses for 30-11-62.
(Sgd.) Illegibly. ${ }^{30}$
District Judge. 29-11-62.(54) 30-11-62 Further Addresses -Vide Proceedings.

No. 1 Journal Entries-14-8-59 to 17-10-66 -Continued.
3 C.A.C. 30
54 N.L.R. 484
22 N.L.R. 107
137
63 N.L.R. 49
62 N.L.R. $22{ }^{2}$
53 N.L.R. 334 - Trust
42 N.L.R. 299 -- Registration
Further addresses for 6-12-62.
(Sgd.) Illegibly.
District Judge. 23-11-62.
(55) 6-12-62 Further addresses -
Mr. Advocate Sivapathasundaram moves for a date.
Further addresses on 13-12-62.
(Sgd.) Illegibly.
District Judge.
6-12-62.
(56) 13-12-62 Further addresses -

Mr. S. Nagalingamudaly for Plaintiff.
Mr. M. Esurapadham for defendant.
Mr. Advoate Sivapathasundaram for defendant is ill.
Further addresses for 20-12-63.
(Sgd.) Illegibly.
District Judge. 13-12-62.
(57) 20-12-62 Further addresses -

62 N.L.R. 511
63 N.L.R. 25
20 C.L.W 28
53 N.L.R. 63
23 N.L.R. 390
62 N.L.R. $224-9$
Plaintiff's documents P1 - P44 filed.
Defendant's documents for 11-1-63.
Judgment C.A.I
(Sgd.) Illegibly. ${ }^{\text {In }}$
District Judge. 20-12-62.
(58) 20-12-62 Stamps to the value of Rs. 70/20 is due from Proctor for plaintiff on registration of the documents marked P1, P5, P6, P7, P8, P12, P16 and P42.
(Intld.)
(59) 15-5-63 V M. Oumaraswamy Esqr.. Acting District Judge, Batticaloa returns record with the Judgment and requests that he be gazetted to deliver judgment.

1. Judgment will be delivered on 3-6-63.
2. Inform Proctors.
3. Write to Secretary, Judicial Service Commission to have Mr. Cumaraswamy gazetted for that date.
(Sgd.) Illegibly.
District Judge.
22-563.
(60) 28-5-63 (1) Proctors informed.
(2) Secretary, Judicial Service Commission informed.
(Intld.)

Delivered in the presence of Proctors for plaintiff and defendant.

Vide typed proceedings.
(Sgd.) Illegibl!
District Judge.
3-6-63.
(62) 8-6-63 Decree entered.
(64) 10-6-63 Proctor for defendant - appellant files petition of appeal of the defendant, application for type-written copy of the record with Treasury Receipt for Rs. 25/- and supply stamps to the value of Rs. $2 \pi /$ - for Secretary's certificate in appeal and Rs. $54 /$ - for Supreme Court Decree and tender notices of tendering security and moves that:
(a) the same be accepted.
(b) notices of tendering security be ordered to issue on the plaintiff and on his Proctor Mr. S. Nagalingamudaly of Point Pedro -- returnable the 17-6-63.

1. File.
2. Petition of appeal is accepted.
3. Issue notice of tendering security for 17-6-63.
(Sgd.) Illegibly.
District Judge.
11-6-63.
(65) 11-6-63 1. Notice of tendering security on Proctor Mr. S. Nigalingamudaly issued through Fiscal Marshal, Point Pedro.

## No. 1

Journal
Entries
14-9-59
to
17-10-66
-Continued.
2. Notice of tendering security on plaintiff issued through Deputy Fiscal, Trincomalee.
(Intld.) ........................
(66) 12-6-63

Writ of execution issued to Fiscal, Jaffna --- Returnable on 8-6-64.
(Intld.)
.........................
Secretary.
(67) 13-6-63 Writ of possession tendered for issue but not in order.

Issue on proper writ of possession being tendered.
(Sgd.) Illegibly. 10 District Judge.
(68) 12-6-63 Proctor for defendant petitioner files petition and affidavit of the defendant petitioner and for reasons stated therein moves for a notice on the plaintiff to show cause if any, why the execution of decree should not be stayed and writ of execution and writ of delivery of possession should not be recalled pending the decision of the case by the Supreme Court in appeal.

Mention on 17-6-63.
(Sgd.) Illegibly. 20
District Judge.
(69) 17-6-63 Mr. S. Nagalingamudaly for Plaintiff.

Mr. M. Esurapadham for Defendant.
(1) Notice of tendering security served on plaintiff and his proctor.

Plaintiff - K. Kathiragaman -- absent.
Proctor - Mr. S. Nagalingamudaly - present.
(2) Case mentioned - Vide Journal Entry 68.

Security filed in cash Rs. 200/-
Journal Entry 68. Inquiry on 18-6-63
(70) 18-6-63
(71) 17-6-63 Proctor for defendant files bond to prosecute appeal with Treasury Receipt and tender notices of appeal and moves that the same be accepted and notices of appeal be ordered to issue on the plaintiff and on his Proctor Mr. S. Nagalingamudaly of Point Pedro.

1. File.
2. Issue Notice of Appeal for 10-7-63.
(Sgd.) Illegibly. District Judge.

20 (72) 19-6-63 Notice of Appeal on plaintiff and his Proctor issued through Deputy Fiscal, Trincomalee and Fiscal Marshal, Point Pedro.
(Intld.)
(73) 25-6-63 Proctor for plaintiff files application for typewritten copies of the record together, with receipt No. 151/C/16-417547 for Rs. 24/-.

File.
(Sgd.) Illegibly. District Judge. 26-6-63.
(74) 8-6-63 Fiscal, Jaffna forwards, Notice under section 232 of Civil Procedure Code and requests to hold the money lying in deposit to the credit of the defendant in Case No. 733/T. of this Court.

Note and File,
(Sgd.) Illegibly.
District Judge.

NO. 1
Journal
to
17-10-66
-Continued.
(75) 2-7-63 Security (Rs. 10,000/- in cash or Rs. 15,000/- in land) due (Journal Entry 70-18-6-63).

No order.
(Sgd.) Illegibly.
District Judge. 2-7-63.
(76) 4-7-63 Return to notice of appeal on plaintiff filed - served. (Intld.)
(77) 5-7-63 Return to notice on Proctor Nagalingamudaly filed served.
(Intld.)
(78) 10-7-63 Notice of appeal served on plaintiff and his Proctor. Plaintiff - K. Kathiragaman - absent.

Proctor - S. Nagalingamudaly - present.
Forward Record.
(Sgd.) Illegibly.
District Judge.
10-7-63.
(79) 18-7-63 Proctor for plaintiff moves that as the substituted defendant has failed to give security within the date 20 fixed by Court, Writs for delivery of possession be ordered to issue.

Vide Journal Entry of 8-6-63. Prepare sub-file.
Issue Writ of possession.
(Sgd.) Illegibly.
District Judge. 20-7-63.
(80) 23-2-66 Record received from Supreme Court.
(1) Appeal dismissed with costs, subject to variation.

Proctors to note.
30
(2) Deficiency of stamps Rs. 24/- due from Proctors for Appellant, call for same on 8-3-66.
(Sgd.)
(81) 8-3-66
(82) 22-3-66 Amended decree due for 30-3-63.
(83) 30-3-66 Amended decree due.

Filed.
(Sgd.)
District Judge. 22-3-66.
(Sgd.)
Deficiency of Rs. 24/- stamps due from defendant- No. 1 appellant in Supreme Court decree.

Supplied.
Amended Decree for 22-3-66.
$\qquad$
District Judge. 8-3-66.
.
(Sgd.)
District Judge. 30-3-66.
(84) 1-4-66 Decree not in order as schedule not given in the decree.

Call on 9-4-66.
(85) 9-4-66 Case called. Vide Journal Entry (84) of 1-4-66.

Decree for 25-4-66.
(Sgd.)
District Judge. 5-4-66.
(Sgd.)
District Judge.
(86) 17-10-66 Case not called on 25-4-66.

Amended decree tendered today.
Amended decree entered.
Forward Record to Supreme Court.
(Sgd.)
District Judge. 17-10-66,

No. 2

## PLAINT OF THE PLAINTIFF

## (With Pedigree and Abstract of Title)

## IN THE DISTRICT COUR'T OF POINT PEDRO

Nature of Action : Lands.
Value: Rs. 60,000/-.

> Kanagaratnam Kathiragaman of Puloly West, Administrator of the Estate of Rasammah in Case No. 400/T. D.C. Point Pedro.

Plaintiff. 10
No. 6471

## Vs.

(Dead) Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam of Puloly West.

Defendant.
Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam Subramaniam of Puloly West as executor of the Last Will of the late Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam. (Vide Journal Entry of 29-3-1961).

Defendant.
This 14th day of September, 1959.
The plaint of the abovenamed plaintiff appearing by Mr. S. Nagalingamudaly, his proctor states as follows :-

1. The parties reside and the subject matter of this action are situated within the jurisdiction of this Court.
2. The plaintiff is the administrator of the estate of the late Rasammah wife of Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam in Case No. 400/T. of the District Court of Point Pedro.
3. The defendant abovenamed married the said Rasammah in or about 1916 and is governed by the law of Thesavalamai as amended by Chapter 48 of the Legislative Enactments of Ceylon.
4. During the subsistance of the said marriage the Defendant purchased for valuable consideration the lands described in the schedule hereto and the said lands constitute the Thediatheddam property of the defendant and the said Rasammah.
5. The said Rasammah was by operation of law vested with a title to a half share of the said lands and died in or about 1948 entitled to the said half share.
6. A half share of the said lands is vested in the plaintiff as administrator of the estate of the said Rasammah.
7. The plaintiff as such administrator has by his own undisturbed No. 2 and uninterrupted possession and by the like possession of his predecessors Plaint of the in title for more than ten years next immediately preceding the date of $14-9-59$ this action by a title adverse to and independant of the defendant and all Continued. others whomsoever acquired a prescriptive right and title thereto in terms of Section 3 of Chapter 55 of the Legislative Enactments of Ceylon.
8. The defendant is since the death of the said Rasammah in possession of the said lands and is denying the right of the plaintiff as administrator to possess a half share on behalf of the said estate causing thereby damages 10 of Rs. $3,000 /$ - per annum from date hereof till the plaintiff as such administrator is placed in possession of the said half share.
9. A cause of action has therefore accrued to the plaintiff to sue the defendant to obtain a declaration that he as such administrator is entitled to a half share of the said lands and to recover possession thereof and damages as aforesaid.
10. The plaintiff further pleads that the proceedings, Judgment, decree and order in Case No. 4329 of the District Court of Point Pedro operates as res-judicata.
11. The subject matter of this action is reasonably worth Rs. 60,000/-.

20 WHEREFORE the Plaintiff prays :-
(i) That he as such administrator be declared entitled to a half share of the said lands.
(ii) That the plaintiff as such administrator be placed in peacefu ${ }^{1}$ possession thereof.
(iii) That the defendant be ordered to pay the plaintiff as such administrator Rs. 3,000/- per annum as damages from date hereof till the plaintiff is placed in peaceful possession of the said lands.
(iv) For costs, for such other and further relief as to this Court shall seem meet.

## Schedule

1. Land situated at Puloly West Malavarayakurichy called "VEERAPANDIANSEEMA" in extent $61 / 8$ Lachchams V.C. and bounded on the East by Lane, North by the 2nd land, West by the property of Kathirathamby Subramaniam and others and South by the property of Ponnachy, widow of Kandiah and others. Of this an undivided 16/27 share.
2. Land situated at-do-called "ETHIROLLAI" in extent $23 / 4$ Lachchams V.C. and bounded on the East and North by lane, West by the property of Ponnu, widow of Solian and others and on the South by the lst land. Of this an undivided $61 / 144$ share.

No. 2
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3. Land situated at-do-called "VILVALAI" in extent 8 Lachchams V.c. and 3, 29/32 kls. and bounded on the East by the Property of Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam and others, North by lane, West by the property of Kathiragamar Kanapathippillai and others and on the South by the property of Velapper Subramaniam and others. Of this an undivided $1 / 8$ share.
4. Land situated at-do-called "VEERAPANDIANSEEMA" in extent $21 / 2$ Lachchams V.C. and bounded on the East by lane, North by the property of Ponnu, wife of Alvan Velan, and West and South by the property of the heirs of Subramaniam Jekasothy and others. The whole of this.
5. Land situated at Puloly West, Singhapahuthevankurichy called "VILVALAI" in extent 9 1/2 Lachchams V.C. and bounded on the East by lane, North by the property of Rasammah, wife of Kanagaratnam and others, West by the property of Paramu Subramaniam and others and South by the property of the heirs of Seethavan. Of this an undivided 1/6 share.
6. Land situated at-do-called "SEEMA" in extent $71 / 8$ Lachchams V.C.-do-VEEDU. 1. Of these parcels on the South an extent of 8 Lachchams V.C. and $5 / 8 \mathrm{kls}$. and bounded on the East by the property of Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam, North by the property of Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam 20 and on the West and South by lane. Of this an undivided $1 / 2$ share.
7. Land situated at Puloly West Malavarayakurichy called "SEEMA" in extent 4 Lachchams V.C. and bounded on the East by the property of Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam, North by the property of Alvappillai Velautham, West by Street and on the South by the property of $V$ Kanagaratnam and others. The whole of this.
8. Land situated at-do-called "SEEMA" in extent 5 Lachchams V.C. and $1 / 8 \mathrm{kly}$. and bounded on the East and South by the property of $V$. Kanagaratnam, North by the property of Kandar Sinnathamby and West by lane. The whole of this.
9. Land situated at-do-called "SEEMA " in extent 4 Lachchams V.C. and 13 kls . and bounded on the East by the property of V. Kanagaratnam and others West by the following 10th land, and South by the property of V Kanagaratnam and others and by lane. The whole of this.
10. Land situated at-do-called "SEEMA" in extent 9 kls. bounded on the East by the aforesaid 9th land, North by the property of Theivani, widow of Murugappar. West by the property of Alvappillai Velautham and on the South by the property of V. Kanagaratnam. The whole of this.
11. Land situated at-do-called "SEEMA" in extent 1 Lachcham V.c'. and bounded on the East by the property of $V$ Kanagaratnam and others, 40 North by the property of Theivanaipillai, widow of Murugappar, West by aforesaid 10th land and on the South by the aforesaid 9th land. Of this an undivided $1 / \mathbf{2}$ share.
12. Land situated at do. called "NITCHINGANOLLAI" in extent No. 2 2 Lachecs VC and $17,5 / 8$ kls, and bounded on the East and South Plaint of the by lane, North by the property of V. Kanagaratnam and others, West by $14-9.59$ the property of $\mathbf{V}$. Kanagaratnam. The whole of this.
13. Land called " SEEMA" situated at Puloly West Singapahuthevankurichy in extent 15 Lachchams V.C. and 1 kly. with stone built house and bounded on the East and South by lane, North by the property of Kandar Sinnathamby and others, West by the property of the heirs of Velauthar Arunasalam and others. The whole of this.
14. Land situated at Puloly West Malavarayakurichy called " NEDUNKULAVELITHENI-THENKILAKILMETKU"" in extent 13 Lachchams V.C. is bounded on the East by Street, North by the property of Sivakamippillai, widow of Murugappar and others, West by the property of Sivakamippillai, wife of Sivapragasam and others and South by the property of Kanagaratnam and others. Of this an undivided $1 / 3$ share.
15. Land situated at-do-called "ARASADI" in extent 6 Lachchams V.C. and bounded on the East by the property of Kathirithamby Subramaniam, North by the property of Sothilingam, wife of Cumarasamy and others, and South by the property of Kathirithamby Subramaniam 20 and others. Of this an undivided $33 / 36$ share of $1 / 3$ share and share of well.
16. Land situated at Alvai Mapanakurichy called "PERIATHENY" in extent 1 Lachcham V.C. and bounded on the East by the following 18th land, North by the property belonging to Imperchiddy Pillaiyar Temple, West by the property of Kanapathippillai Paramaguru and brothers and South by the property of Sinnathangam, wife of Somasundaram. The whole of this and share of well in the North.
17. Land situated at do-called " PERIATHENY " in extent $3,3 / 5 \mathrm{kls}$. and bounded on the East by the property of $V$ Kanagaratnam and others, North by the property belonging to Impersitty Pillaiyar temple, West by ${ }_{0}$ the aforesaid 16 th land and on the South by the property of Sinnathangam, wife of Somasundaram. Of this an undivided $1 / 4$ th share and share of well, right of way and water course.
18. Land situated at-do called "PERIATHENY" in extent $14,2 / 4 \mathrm{kls}$. with well and bounded on the East by the property of Wallippillai, wife of Veluppillai, North by the property belonging to Imperchiddy Pillaiyar Temple, West by lane and South by the property of Sinnathangam. wife of Somasunderam. Of these contained within these boundaries in the well and the share appertaining to this right of way and water course and an undivided $1 / 4$ share of the ground coconut trees and palmyrah trees.

40
19. Land situated at Alvai Malavarayakurichy called "VATHAYAVILANAI" in extent 92 Lachchams V.C. and $51 / 4 \mathrm{kls}$. and bounded on the East by lane and graveyard, North by the property of Valliappar Vethavanam and others. The whole of this.
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20. Land situated at Alvai Manivecrapakuthevankurichy called "AYA'TKLLAPAI VAYAL" in extent $\because$ Lachchams V.C.-do-Thoddam 6-do-12 Lachchams V.(. and bounded on the East by the property of Kathirithamby Subramaniam and others. North by the property of Klandiyait Pillaiyar Koil. West by the property of the heirs of Kumarasamy Velayuthar and South by the property of the heirs of Kathiresar Subramaniam and others. Of this an undivided $23 / 96$ share.
21. Land situated at Puloly West Singapahuthevankurichy called "MANTHIODAI" in extent 4 Lachchams $\mathbb{V} . \mathrm{C}$. and $2,20 / 32$ kls. and bounded on the Kast by the property of Theiranaippillai, wife of Muttu- 10 cumarasamy and others. West by the property of Kanapathippillai Kumarappar and others and South by Road. The whole of this.
22. Land situated at Puloly West Singapahutherankurichy called "MANTHIODAI" in extent + Lachchams V.C. and $10 / 32 \mathrm{kls}$. and bounded on the East by the property of Sittampalam Sinnan and others, North by channel, West by the property of Ponnammah, wife of Rasiah, South by the following 24th land. The whole of this and share of well and right of way and water course.
23. Land situated at-do-called " MANTHIODAI " in extent 16, 20/32 kls. and bounded on the East by the property of Sithamparan Sinnan 20 and others, North by the aforesaid e2nd land. West by the property of Theivanai. widow of Manickam. The whole of this and share of well and right of way and water course.
24. Land situated at Alvai Vecrakodiakurichy called "PADAVADAPULATHUVAYAL" in extent $17,3 / 8$ Lachchams V.C. and bounded on the East and South by the property of Kathirithamby Subramaniam and others. North by the property of Vellappar Subramaniam and others, West by the property of Kandappar Kanagasabai and others. Of this an undivided $1 / 4$ share.
25. Land situated at Puloly West Singapahuthevankurichy called 30 " NEDUNKULAVELITHEN $Y^{Y}$ " in extent 4 Lachchams V.C. and 8, 8/16 kls. with stone-built house and bounded on the East by water channel, North by road, West by the property of Kathirithambi Sabapathy and others, South by the property of Kithirithamby Sabapathy and others and by the property of Kamachchy. wife of Kathirkamu and others. The whole of this.
26. Land situated at do-called "NEI)UNKULAVELITHENI" in extent $15,9 / 16 \mathrm{kls}$. is bounded on the East by the property of Britto Muthunayagam, North by the property of Krishmapillai Mailvaganam, West by water channel and South by the property belonging to Alady 40 Pillaiyar Temple. The whole of this.
27. Land situated at Puloly West Singapahuthevankurichy called "THEVE KALADI)Y" in extent 7 Lachchams V.(. and bounded on the East by the following land. North by the properti of Kathirithamby Subramaniam and othcrs. West be the property of Scthupillai, wife of Sinnathambiar and others and south by the property of Supper Murugesu and others. Of this an undivided $1 / 2$ share.
28. Land situated at - do called "THE\A K.LLADDY" in extent No. 2 $151 / 4$ Lachchams V.C and bounded on the East by the property of Thamu Plaint of the Alvar and others, North by the property of Thangammah, wife of Subra- 14-9-59 maniam and others, West by the aforesaid land and South by the property -Continued. of Vallathai, wife of Kathirippillai and others. Of this an undivided 1/3 share.
29. Land situated at Puloly West Malavarayakurichy called " NEDUNKULAVELITHENY THENKILAKILMETKİ" in extent 7 Lachchams V.C. and $61 / 2 \mathrm{kls}$. with well is bounded on the East by lot No. 2 10 in plan No. 1450 dated 6th June, 1937 and prepared by Mr. G. C. Ganapathipillai, Survecor. belonging to Parupathippillai, widow of Kathirithamby, North by the property belonging to Alady Pillaiyar Temple, West by lot No. 15 in the said plan and on the South by lane. The whole of this and share in well in lots Nos. 16, 17, 18 and 20 and share in lots Nos. 19 and 21.
30. Land situated at Alvai Veerakodikurichy and Maniveeravaguthevankurichy called " SEEMANSEEMA" and "SATHANAVATTE" in extent 6 kls . and bounded on the East by the property of Kandiah Rasaratnam, North by lane, West by the property of Arumugam Veluppillai, South by the land called Seenanseema. The whole of this.
31. Land situated at Alvai Veerakodikurichy and Maniveeravaguthevankurichy called "SEEMANSEEMA" in extent 5, 3/8 Lachchams V.C. and bounded on the East by the property of Pillaiyar Koil situated at Vyrappukaladdy North by the property of Ponnampalam Muruguppillai and others and South by the property of Scthupathiammah, wife of Rasaratnam and others. Of this an undivided $1 / 4$ share of the ground and $1 / 4$ share of plantation of the palmyrahs and $1 / 4$ share of the remaining palmyrahs.
32. Land situated at Alvai Veerakodikurichy and Maniveeravaguthevankurichy called " SEEMANSEEMA" and " SATHANAIVATTAI" 3 in extent 5 Lachchams V.C. and $141 / 2 \mathrm{kls}$. and bounded on the East by the property of Kandiah Rasaratnam and others, on the North by the property of Ponnampalam Muruguppillai and others, on the West by the property of Ramu Kathirippillai and others and on the South by the property of Kandiah Rasaratnam and another. Of this $1 / 4$ plantation share.
33. Land situated in the District of Anuradhapura in the village of Puliyankulam called "SIVANBALAWELA" in extent 39 Acres, 3 roods and 20.9 perches. Of this extent for lot No. 8 is 3 Acres 1 rood and .87 perches and bounded on the East by Railway reservation, North by lot No. 2 in the said plan, West and South by lot 1 D. The whole of this.
34. Land situated at Thunnalai Veerasunderamudalykurichy called " NUNKAIYAPULAM" in extent 38 Lachchams V.C. and 6, 2デ/3: kls. Of this the extent for lot No. 2 in plan 663 is 5 Lachchams V.C. and $10,17 / 32$ kls. and bounded on the East by lot No. 9, North by lot No. 1 and West by the property of the late Sinnathamby Subramaniam and on the South by lot No. 3. The whole of this.
35. Land situated at Puloly West Malavarayakurichy called "THINAIKALADDY" in extent + Lachehams V.C. and $1,5 / 32 \mathrm{kls}$. Of this an extent for lot No. 1 in plan No. 6505 is 3 Lachchams V.C. and 28/32 kls. and bounded on the East by the property of Kandar Kovinthy, North by street, West by lot No. 2 in the said plan and on the South by the property of Kaliappar Kandiah. The whole of this.
36. Land situated at-do called "VARANTHANAI" in extent $23 / 4$ Lachchams V.C. and bounded on the East by the property of Ponnammah, widow of Kandiah and others, North by water channel, West by the village limit of Alvai and South by the property of Ponnachy, widow of 10 Kandiah and others. Of this an undivided $1 / 18$ share.
37. The land situated at Puloly West Singapahuthevankurichy called "VAIRANKALADDY" in extent $121 / 8$ Lachchams V.C. do Veedu $1 / 4$ forming a total extent of 12 Lachchams V.C. and $81 / 4 \mathrm{kls}$. and bounded on the East, West and South by lane, North by the property of Annapooranam, wife of Arumugam and others. Of this an undivided $7 / 432$ share and $1 / 18$ share out of the palmyrahs and tamarind trees standing on the West of the land.
38. Land situated at Alvai Vecrakodiakurichy called " AMBALANTHENY" in extent 26 Lachchams V.C. and depicted in plan No. 172020 dated 9th October, 1940 and prepared by K. Velmuruku, Licensed Surveyor. Of this an extent for lot No. 2 in the said plan is 3 Lachchams V.C. and $+1 / 2$ kls. is bounded on the East by lane, North by lot No. 1. West by the property of Kanapathippillai Kumarasamy and wife Muthuppillai and others and on the South by lot No. 3. The whole of this.
39. Land situated at Alvai Mapanakurichy called "PERIYATHENY" in extent 7 Lachchams V.C. and $123 / 4 \mathrm{kls}$. as depicted in plan No. 2495 dated 19th June, $19+7$ and prepared by K. Velmuruku, Licensed Surveyor. Of this extent for lot No. 1 is 3 Lachchams V.C. and $121 / 2 \mathrm{kls}$. and bounded on the East by lot No. 2, North by the property of Theivanai, wife of ${ }_{30}$ Namasivayam and others, West by the property of Rasammah, wife of Kandiah and others and on the South by lot No. 4. The whole of this and right of way and water course A, B, C and well lying in the Eastern land.
40. Land situated at Basuwakulam in the District of Anuradhapura called "BASUVAKULAMKELE" in extent 5 Acres 0 rood and 33 perches. The extent for lot No. 1 is 2 Acres 2 roods and 7 perches and bounded on the East by reservation along the road, North by the property belonging to pansala, West by Ela and South by lot No. 2. The whole of this.
41. Land situated at Puloly Malavarayakurichy called "NEDUNKULAVELITHENY THENKILAKILMETKU." Of this 1 Lachcham 40 V.C. and $111 / 2 \mathrm{kls}$. being lot No. 3 marked in plan filed of record in partition Case No. 17655 of the District Court of Jaffna and bounded on the East by the property of Sothilingam, wife of Kumarasamy, North by the property belonging to Aladi Pillaiyar Temple, West by the property of Ponnammah, widow of Singaravelu South by lane. Of this an undivided $1 / 6$ share.
42. Land situated at Puloly West Singapahuthevankurichy called No. 2 "SATHOLLAI", in SATHOLLAI" in extent $101 / 8$ Lachchams. V.C. Of this 5 1/8 Lach- plaintiffchams V.C. being eastern half share and bounded on the East by the ${ }_{-}^{14-9-59} 9$ property of Ledchumy, widow of Ramu and others, North by the property of Kandiah Vairamuttu and others, West by the property of Amuthammah, wife of Subramaniam and South by water Channel. Of this an undivided 1/18 share.
43. Land situated at Puloly West Malavarayakurichy called "VILVALAI" in extent $141 / 2$ Lachchams V.C. and bounded on the East and ${ }_{10}$ North by the property of Kathirithamby Subramaniam and others. West and South by the property of Sinnathamby Somasunderam and others. Of this an undivided $1 / 72$ share.
44. Land situated at do called "NEDUNKULAVELITHENYKILAKILMETKU" in extent 72 Lachchams. V.C. and bounded on the East by the property of Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam and others, North by the property of Sivakamippillai, widow of Murugappar and others, West by the property of Veeragathiar Konamalai and others, South by the property of Rasammah, widow of Sethuramalingam Kurukkal and others. Of the ground and well contained within these boundaries an undivided $1 / 3$ share.
45. Land situated at Alvai Neelakuddiarkurichy called " URULAI" in extent 31, 5/8 Lachchams V.C. do - Thoddam 1. Of these parcels an extent of $101 / 2$ Lachchams V.C. in the middle. Of this on the North an extent of 5 Lachchams V.C. and $23 / 4$ Kls. and bounded on the East and West by the property of Theivanai, daughter of Ambalam and others, North by the property of Kadiragamar Ramalingam and South by the property of Parupathippillai, wife of Alvappillai. The whole of this.
46. Land situated in the District of Anuradhapura in the village of Bandar Bulankulama called " IBALWELA," "PAHALAWELA," "KOK${ }_{30}$ HAMATTAWELA" in extent 33 Acres 4 roods and 24 perches. Of this extent for lot No. 4 is 2 roods 16 perches, lot No. 5 is 3 Acres 8 perches, lot No. 9 is 1 Acre 1 rood and 16 perches. Total 5 Acres.
47. Land situated at the District of Anuradhapura lot No. 6 marked in plan No. 670 dated 5-10-42 made by C. J. Sabapathy, Licensed Surveyor, situated at Bandara Puliyankulama in Ebalawewatulana of Kendekorale of Nuwaragampalate in the District of Anuradhapura bounded on the North by lot No. 12 in the said plan No. 670, East by Road reservation, South by lot 5 property belonging to $\mathbf{P}$ B. Bulankulame and Railway reservation and West by Railway reservation containing in extent 4 Acres ${ }_{40} 2$ roods $27 / 500$ perches. The whole of this.
48. Land situated at Puloly West Singabahuthevankurichy called "KOMMIKALADDY" in extent 32 Lachchams V.C. and 3 kls. and bounded on the East by the property of Sithamparanathar Kanapathippillai and others, North by the property of Kanapathiar Vairavippillai and others and by the property of Vallithai, wife of Vairamuthu and others, West by Lane and South by the property of Sithamparanathar Kanapathippillai. Of this an undivided $54 / 384$ share.
(Sgd.) S. Nagalingamudaly, Proctor for Plaintiff.
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## PLAINTIFF'S PEDIGREE

Rasammah

Administrator of the estate in $400 / \mathbf{T}$, D. C. Point Pedro.

## Kathiragaman

This 14th day of September, 1959.
(Sgd.) S. Nagalingamudaly, Proctor for Plaintiff.

## ABSTRACT OF TITLE

| Number. Nature \& date of Document | $\begin{gathered} \text { From } \\ \text { whom to } \\ \text { whom } \end{gathered}$ | Area or fraction of land dealt with | Boundaries | When registered | Consideration | Original or copy | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Deed No. 197 of 12;4;1941 Transfer | V. Cand appa to V. Kanagaratnam | $1 / 6$ th of 23 share of $61 / 8$ Lachchams V.C. | E--Lanc <br> $N$ - The second land. <br> W-Property of K. Sibramaniam and others. <br> S-Property of Ponachchy w/o Kandiah and others |  | Rs. 70 for this and another | Copy |  |
| Deed No. <br> 1864 of <br> 19/6/1941 <br> Transer | Fiscal, N.P. to V . Kanagaratnam | $1 / 6 t^{2}$ of 61 sth Lachchams V.C. | - Do- |  | Rs. 200 for this and another | Copy |  |

No. 2
Plaint of the
Plaintiff-
14-9-59
Abstract of Title

No. 2
Plaint of the
Plaintiff-
14-9-59
Abstract of Title -Continued.

| Number, Nature \& date of Document | From whom to whom | Area or fraction of land dealt with | Boundaries | $\begin{aligned} & \text { When } \\ & \text { registered } \end{aligned}$ | ('onsideration | Original or copy | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Deed No. <br> 4160 of <br> 12/5/1941 <br> Transfer | Kathir- <br> gamar <br> Velupillai <br> to V. <br> Kanaga- <br> ratnam | 1 6th of 618 Lachehams V.C. | -Do- |  | Rs. 50 | Copy |  |
| Deed No. 3845 of <br> 1/1/1941 <br> Transfer | Paramu Chuppiramaniam to V. Kanagaratnam | $4 \div 7$ th share of $61 / 8$ Lacheham V..' | -Do- | 14\%1941 | Rs. 50 for this and another | Copy |  |
| Deed No 197 of $124 / 194$ 'Transfer | V. (andappa to V Kanagaratnam | 1 6th of 18 th share of 23,4 Lachehams V.C. | F:- -Lane <br> N-Lane <br> W--Property of Pomny wo Solian and others. <br> S--First Land |  | Rs. 70 for this and another | Copy |  |

ABSTRACT OF TITLE

| Number. Nature \& date of Document | Erom whom to whom | Area or fraction of land dealt with | Boundaries | When registered | Consideration | Original or copy | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Deed No. <br> 1864 of <br> 19/6/1941 <br> Transfer | F'iscal N.P. to V . Kanagaratnam | 3 3th share of $\mathbf{2} \mathbf{3 / 4}$ Lachchams V. $($ | --.-Do- |  | Rs. 200 for this and another | Copy |  |
| Deed No. <br> 3845 of <br> 1/1/1941 <br> Transfer | Paramu <br> Chuppiramaniam to V. Kanagaratnam | $\because 9$ th of undivided 18 th whare of $23 / 4$ Lachechams V.C. | -- \% | 14/2/1941 | Rs. 50 for this and another | Cops |  |
| Deed No. <br> 741 of <br> 3/5/19.42 <br> Transfer | Punniyar Virakathiyar and wife Mayilattai and Virakattiyar Periyathampi to V. Kanagaratnam | 1 8th share of 8 Lach chams 3 29/32 kuliesV.C. | E-Property of V. <br> Kanagaratnam and others <br> N-Lane <br> W--Property of K. Kanapathipillai and others <br> S-Property of Velappar Subramaniam and others | 15/6/1942 | Rs. 100 | topy |  |

No. 2
Plaint of the
Plaint of the
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14-9-59
14-9-59
Abstract of Title
Continued.

No. 2
Plaint of the
Plaintiff-
14-9-59
14-9-59
Abstract of Title
-Continued.

ABSTRAGT OF TITLE


No. 2
Plaint of the
Plaintiff
Abstract of Title Continued.

abstract of title

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { Niture \& } \\ & \text { date of } \\ & \text { locrument } \end{aligned}$ | From whom to whom | Area or fraction of land dealt with | Boundaries | When registered | Consideration | Original or copy | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Decd No. } \\ & 1777 \text { of } \\ & 9 / 2,1938 \\ & \text { Transfer } \end{aligned}$ | V. Kinthar Sinnathampiar and Tammar Ponnaiya to V . Kanagaratnam | 9 Kulies V.C | $\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{By}$ the 9 th land <br> N Property of Theivanai wo Murugappar <br> IV--Property of 1. <br> Velautham <br> S- Property of $V$. <br> Kanagaratnam | 15.31938 | Rs. 6:30 for this ande others | Cops |  |
|  | V Kanthar Sinnatampiar and Tammar Ponnaiya to V . <br> Kanagaratnam | 12 share of 1 Lachecham V. | $\mathrm{F}:-$ Property of V . <br> Kanagaratnam and others <br> N Property of Theivanaipillai wo Murugappar <br> IV- Above mentioned land <br> S - -Ninth land. | 1.73, 1933 | Rッ. 630 for this and 2 others | (ipr |  |

No. 2
Plaint of the
Plaintiff-
14-9-59
Abstract of Title -Continued.

| ABSTRACT OF TITLE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number, <br> Nature \& date of <br> Document | From whom to whom | Area or fraction of land dealt with | Boundaries | When registered | Consideration | Original or copy | Remarks |
| Deed No. 4113 of 7/2/1934 Transfer | Ponachchipillai w/o Kandiah to V. Kanagiaratnam | $177 / 8$ kulies | E \& S Lane <br> N-Property of V. Kanagaratnam and others <br> W-Property of V. Kanagaratnam | 15/3/1934 | Rs. 230 | Copy |  |
| Deed No. <br> 1503 of <br> 22/2/1943 <br> Transfer | Velappar Kanthaiya to V . <br> Kanagaratnam | \%/12th share of 2 Lachchams V.C. | - Do- | 15/3/1943 | Rs. 200 | Copry |  |
| Deed No. 6313/ of 12/7/1943 Transfer | K. Chup-pira- <br> maniam <br> Kattikesu. <br> Chuppira- <br> maniam <br> Ponmu- <br> thurai and <br> Parupathi- <br> pillai w/o <br> Arumukan <br> to V . <br> Kanaga- <br> ratnam | 1/2 share of 2 Lachehams V.C. | --.Do- | 16/8/1943 | Rs. 200 | Copy |  |

abstragt of title

| Number. Nature \& date of Document | From whom to whom | Area or fraction of land dealt with | Buondaries | $\begin{aligned} & \text { When } \\ & \text { registered } \end{aligned}$ | Consideration | Original or copy | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Deed No. 16363 of 5/6/1921 Transfer | Katir- <br> kamar <br> Kanaka- <br> sabai alias <br> Chimnaiya <br> to V . <br> Kanaga- <br> ratnam | 15 Lachehams 1 Kuly V.C. | Ed S -Lane <br> N-Property of K . <br> Simathambe and others <br> W -Property of heirs of V. Arunasalam and others. | 6/1/1939 | Rs. 2,670 for this and another | Copy |  |
| Deed No. 16363 of 5/6/1921 Transfer | Katir- <br> kamar <br> Kanaka <br> sabai alias <br> Chimnaiya <br> to V . <br> Kanaga- <br> ratnam | 1/3 share of 13 Lachehams V.C | $\therefore$ - Proprty of Kamaga- <br> ralnam and others <br> N-Property of Sivakamipillai w'o Murugappar and others <br> F. -Strect <br> W--Property of Sivakamipillai, wife of Sivapirakasam and others | 6/1/1939 | Rs. 2,670 for this and another | Copy |  |

No. 2
Plaint of the
Plaintiff
14-9-59
Abstract of Title

- Continued.
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| Number, Nature \& date of Document | From whom to whom | Area or fraction of land dealt with | Boundaries | $\begin{aligned} & \text { When } \\ & \text { registered } \end{aligned}$ | Consideration | Original or copy | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Deed No. 4887 of <br> 16/11/1938 <br> Transfer | A. Nakan Pounan to V. Kinaga ratnam | 1/4th of $33 / 5$ kulies and share of well | E--Property of V. Kanagaratnam and others | 22/11/1938 | Rs. 250 for this and two others | Cops |  |
|  |  |  | N-Properts belonging to Imparsitty Pillaiyar temple <br> W --by above land <br> $S$ - Property of Sinnathangam. wife of Somasundaram |  |  |  |  |
| Deed No. 4887 of 16/11/1938 Transfer | A. Nakan Ponnan to V. Kanagaratnam | $1+1$ of $14 \pm 1$ kulies | E-Property of Wallipillai, wife of Velupillai <br> N-Property belonging to Imparsitty Pillaiyar temple <br> W-Lane <br> S-Property of Sinnathangam, wife of Somasundaram | 22/11/1938 | Rs. 2.50 for this and two others | Copy |  |

No. 2
Plaint of the
Plaintiff
Plaintiff
14-9-59
Abstract of Title Continued.

No. 2
Plaint of the Plaintiff
14-9-59
Abstract of Title Continued.

| ABSTRACT OF TITLE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number, <br> Nature \& date of Document | From whom to whom | Area or fraction of land dealt with | Boundaries | When registered | Consideration | Original or copy | Remarks |
| Deed No. 2792 of 18/2/1935 Transfer | K. S. K. Chimnathamby Visvalingam to V. Kanagaratnamı | 92 Lachehams $51 / 4$ kulies V.C. | E--Lane and graveyard <br> W.N.S.-- Property of Valiappar Vethavanam and others | 5/3/1935 | Rs. 25,000 | Copy |  |
| Deed No. 232 of <br> 28/5/1941 <br> Transfer | Subramaniam Thirunavukarasu to V. Kanagaratnam | 23/96th share of 12 Lachchams | E-Property of K. Subramaniam and others <br> N- Property of Klandiyait Pillaiyar Tcmple <br> W-Property of heirs of Kumaraswamy Vclauthar <br> S-Property of heirs of Kathiresar subramaniam and others |  | Rs. 50 | Copy |  |
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| Number. <br> Nature \& date of Document | From whom to whom | Area or fraction of land dealt with | Boundaries | $\begin{aligned} & \text { When } \\ & \text { regristered } \end{aligned}$ | Consuderation | Original or copy | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Deed No. 3518 of 28/6/1936 Transfer | Velar Kandar Simathamby to V. Kanagraratnam | 1/3 of $151 / 4$ Lachehams | E - Property of T. Alvar and others |  | Rs. 350 for this and another | Copy |  |
|  |  |  | N Property of Thangammah, wife of Subramanian and others <br> IV B above land <br> s. Property of Vallathai, wile of Kathiripillai and others |  |  |  |  |
| Deed No. 13378 of 15/1/1938 Transfer | Kathirgamar Arulampalam to V . Kanagaratnam | 7 Lachehams $61 / 2$ kulies | E-- Lot 2 in Plan No. 1450 <br> N-Propert; helonging to Alady Pillayar temple | 112/1938 | Rs. $2,2.50$ | Copy |  |
| $\sim$ |  |  | $\text { W-Lot } 15 \text { in Plan No. } 1450$ S-Lane |  |  |  |  |

No. 2
Plaint of the
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14-9-59
Abstract of Title
C'omtimucd.



ABSTRACT OF TITLE -

No. 2
Plaint of the
Plaintiff
$14-9-59$
Abstract of Title r'ontimuerl.

No. 2
Plaint of the Plaintiff-
$1+9-59$
Abstract of Title C'ontinural.

abstract of title

| Number, <br> Nature \& date of Document | Firom whom to whom | Area or fraction of land dealt with | Boundames | When recristered | Consideration | ()ricimal or Cons | Remme: \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Deed No. 898 of 30/6/1937 | Fiscal. N. ${ }^{1}$. to V. Kantgaratnam | $18 /$ th share of well lying in the above land together with right of way and water course. |  | 2/8/193\% | Rs. 30 | L'opr' |  |
|  | Lot allotted to V. Kamagaratnam in 1). ( ${ }^{\circ}$. (Anuradha(pura) 25.5 Partition. | 2 deres 2 roods 7 perehes | F. Resorvation <br> N Property helonging to Pansala <br> W. Ela <br> $S$-Lot No. $\because$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | E - Property of Sothilingan wife of Kumaraswams |  | Rs. 300 for this and others | Copy |  |
| Deed No. 1573 of 1/4/1943 Transfer | V. Arimal gam andV. Naralingam to V. Kamagaratnam | $1 / 6$ th share of 1 Lm. 11, 1/2 Kulies | N--Properly belonging to Alady Pillayar temple <br> W -. Property of Pomamah w/o Singaravelu <br> i - Lame |  |  |  |  |

No. 2
Plaint of the
Plaintiff
14-9-59
Abstract of Title Continued.

|  |  | $\cdots{ }^{*}$ | ABSTRACT OF TITLE |  |  | $\sim$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number, <br> Nature \& date of Document | From whom to whom | Area or fraction of land dealt with | Boundarics | When registered | Consideration | Original or Copy | Remarks |
| Deed No. 1573 of $1 / 4 / 1943$ | V. Arumugam and V Nagalingam to V. Kanagaratnam | $1 / 1$ Sth share of $5.1 / 8 \mathrm{I} \mathrm{ms}$. v.c. | E: Property of Ledehumy w/o Ramu and others. <br> N-Property of K. Vairamuthu and others <br> I, -Property of Amirthammah wife of 'T. Subramaniam <br> S -- Wister Channel |  | Rs. 300 for this and others | Copy |  |
| Deed No. <br> 1573 of <br> 1/4/1943 <br> Transfer | V. Arumugam and V. Nagalingam to V . Kanagaratnam | 1/72 share of $14.1 / 2 \mathrm{Lms}$. | E \& N.—Property of K. Subramanian and others <br> W \& S Property of S. Somasimdaram and others. |  | Rs. 300 for this and others. | Copy |  |

abstract of title .


No. 2
Plaint of the
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14-9-59 Abstract of Title Continued.

No. 2
Plaint of the
Plaintiff
14-9-59
Abstract of Title -Continued.

| ABSTRACT OF TITLE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number, Nature \& date of Document | From whom to whom | Area or fraction of land dealt with | Boundaries | When registered | Consideration | Original <br> or Copy | Remarks |
|  | Being lots allotted to V. Kanagaratnam in D.C. 2263 Partition (Anuradhapura) <br> Being lot allotted to V. Kanagaratnam in D.C. 2309P (Anuradhapura) | Lot No. 4 <br> -2 Roods - 16 Perches Lot No. 5 - 3 Acres 0 R- 8 Perches Lot 9 -1 Acre-1 rood-16 perches 27/500 perches. |  |  |  |  |  |

ABSTRACT OF TITLE

|  |  | No. 2 <br> Plaint of the <br> Plaintiff- <br> 14-9-58 <br> Abstract of Title <br> -Continued. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 家 |  |
|  |  |  |
| 感 |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  | $\underset{E}{E}$ |

No. 3

## Answer of the Defendant

## IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF POIN'T PEDRO

Kanagaratnin: Kadirgama of Puloly West, Administrator of the estate of Rasammah in Case No. 400 Testy D. $($ C. Point Pedro.

Plaintiff

No. $6471 \begin{aligned} & \text { V's. } \\ & \text { Visvanitiar Kinagariticim of Puloly West. }\end{aligned}$
Defendant. 10

The 10th day of February, 1960.

The answer of the abovenamed Defendant appearing by Mr. Nagappar Alvarpillai Rajaratnam states as follows:-

1. Answering to paragraphs 1 and 2 of the plaint this defendant while denying that lands Nos. 33, 40,46 and 47 described in the schedule to the plaint are situated within the Jurisdiction of this Court admits the rest of the averments.
2. Answering to paragraph 3 of the plaint this defendant while admitting that he married Rasammah in or about 1916 states that the estate of Rasammah is governed by Chapter 48 as amended by Ordinance 58 of 1947. 20
3. Answering to paragraph 4 of the plaint this defendant denies the correctness of the averments contained therein and states that Lands Nos. 6, 13, 14, 16, 17, 18, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, $29-34,38,39,40,44-\cdots$ 48 described in the Schedule to the plaint were purchased out of his mudusom and separate money of his first bred children and belong exclusively to this defendant.
4. Answering to paragraph 5, 6, and 7 of the plaint this defendant while admitting that Rasammah died in or about 1948 denies the correctness of the rest of the arements contained therein and states that theddam share lands Nos. $1-5,7-12,15,19,20,27.28,35-37,41,42$ and 4330 vested in the plaintiff.
5. Answering to paragraph 8 of the plaint this defendant denies all No. $\mathbf{s}$ and singular the correctness of the averments contained therein and states Anserer of the that the plaintiff and his brothers are in possession of the lands Nos. 1,2,4 to ${ }^{10-2-60}$ $13,15,20,24,36,37,41$ and 42 described in the Schedule to the plaint ${ }^{- \text {Continued. }}$ inclusive of this defendant's separate property and thediathettam share.
6. Answering to paragraph 9 of the plaint this defendant denies all and singular the correctness of the averments contained therein and states that the plaintiff and his brothers are liable to pay this defendant the income of the lands described under items 6 and 13 and thediathettam share of 10 items $1,2,4,5 \cdots 7$ to $12,15,20,36,37,41$ and 42 described in the Schedule to the plaint.
7. Answering to paragraph 10 of the plaint this defendant denies the correctness of the averments contained therein and states that the said case only applies to land called Nitsinganollai partitioned in the said case.
8. Further answering this defendant states that he is the absolute owner of items $6,13,14,16-18,21-26,29-34,38,39,40.44-48$ and to half share of items $1-5,7-12,15,19,20,27,28,35-37,41$, 42 , and 43 of the schedule to the plaint.
9. The defendant has by his own undisturbed and uninterrupted possession and by the like possession of his predecessors in title for more than ten years next immediately preceding the date of this action by a title adverse to and independent of all others whomsoever acquired a prescriptive right and title to the lands referred to in paragraph 8 above in terms of Section 3 of Chapter 55 of the Legislative Enactments of Ceylon.
10. For matters of Law this defendant states that the plaintiff cannot have and maintain this action in as much as :- Items 33, 40, 46 and 47 of the Schedule to the plaint is situated at Anuradhapura which is outside the Jurisdiction of this Court.

WHEREFORE this defendant prays :-
(i) That the plaintiff's action be dismissed.
(ii) For costs, and for such other and further relief as to this Court shall seem meet.
(Sgd.) N. A. Rajaratnam, Proctor for Defendant.

No. 4
Issues Framed

15th July, 1960.


#### Abstract

Mr. Advocate Soorasangaram instructed for plaintiff. Mr. Advocate Kulasingam with Mr. Advocate Shivapathasundaram instructed for defendant.


It is agreed at this stage that :-
(1) The income from the one half share of the lands described in the schedule to the plaint is Rs. $2,500 /$ - per annum.
(2) The defendant married the deceased Rasammah in 1916.
(3) The defendant and Rasammah were governed by the Law of Thesawalamai.
(4) Rasammah died on 20th August, 1948.
(5) All the lands in the Schedule to the plaint were purchased during the subsistence of the said marriage between the ycars 1916 and 1946.
(6) The plaintiff in this case is the administrator of the estate of the said Rasammah.

Mr. Advocate Soorasangaram suggests the following issues :-
(1) Did the deceased Rasammah become vested with the title to a half 20 share of the lands described in the schedule to the plaint by operation of law?
(2) Is the defendant in wrongful possession of the said half share of the lands?
(3) What damages is the plaintiff as administrator entitled to recover from the defendant?

Mr. Advocate Kulasingam raises the following further issues :--
(4) Were the rights of Rasammah referred to in the plaint governed by Chapter 48 as amended by Ordinance 58 of 1947?
(5) Were the lands referred to in the plaint purchased by the defendant ${ }_{30}$ during the subsistence of his marriage with Rasammah?
(6) If the above two issues are answered in the affirmative is the plain- No. 4 tiff entitled to any share of the lands referred to in the plaint? $\underset{15 \cdot 7-60}{1}$

- Continued.
(7) Were lands referred to in paragraph 3 of the answer purchased by the defendant out of his mudusom and separate money?
(8) If the above issue is answered in the affirmative in any event is the plaintiff entitled to any half share of the said lands?
(9) Are the plaintiffs and his brothers in possession of the lands referred to in paragraph 5 of the answer?
(10) If so, what is the income receised and appropriated by them in respect of the lands?
(11) Is the defendant entitled to credit any amount found to be received $b y$ the plaintiff and his brothers in respect of the said lands in the event of the Court holding that the plaintiff is entitled to a half share as administrator?

I accept all the issues.
Further trial on 22-9-60.
(Sgd.)
District Judge,
$15-7-60$.

No. 5
No. 5
Answer of the
Answer of the Substituted Defendant

## IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF POINT PEDRO

Kanakaritnam Kathirgaman of Puloly West Administrator of the estate of the late Rasamma in Case No. 400 Testy D. C. Point Pedro.

Plaintiff.
No. 6471. $V s$.
(Dead) Viswanathar Kanakaratnam of Puloly West.

## Defendant.

Viswanathar Kanakaratnam Subramaniam of Puloly West, Executor of the Last Will and Testament of the late Viswanathar Kanakaratnam of Puloly West.

Substituted Defendant.

No. 5
Answer of the Substituted Defendant -21-6-61 -Continued.

The 21st day of June, 1961.
The answer of the Substituted Defendant abovenamed appearing by Mr. M. Esurapatham his Proctor states as follows :-

1. Answering to paragraph 1 and 2 of the plaint, this Substituted Defendant while denying that lands Nos. 33, 40, 46 and 47 described in the schedule to the plaint are situated within the jurisdiction of this Court admits the rest of the averments.
2. Answering to paragraph 3 of he plaint, this Substituted Defendant while admitting that the deceased defendant married Rasammah in or about 1916 states that the estate of Rasamma is governed by Chapter 10 48 as amended by Ordinance No. 58 of 1947.
3. Answering to paragraph 4 of the plaint this Substituted Defendant denies the correctness of the averments contained therein and states that lands Nos. 6, 13, 14, 16, 17, 18, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, $29-34,38,39$, 40, 44-48 described in the Schedule to the plaint, were purchased by the deceased defendant out of his mudusom and separate money of his first bed children and belonged exclusively to him.
4. Answering to paragraph 5 and 6 of the plaint this Substituted Defendant while admitting that Rasamma died in or about 1948 deny that lands Nos. 6, 13, 14, 16, 17, 18, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, $29-34,38,39,20$ $40,41,44-48$ were thediathettam properties or that half share of the said lands vested in the Plaintiff.
5. Answering to paragraph 7 of the plaint this Substituted Defendant denies the correctness of the averments contained therein.
6. Answering to paragraph 8 of the plaint, this Substituted Defendant denies all and singular the averments contained therein and states that the plaintiff and his brothers are in possession of lands Nos. 1, 2, 4-13, $15,20,24,36,37,41$ and 42 described in the Schedule to the plaint inclusive of the deceased defendant's separate property and thediathettam share.
7. Answering to paragraph 9 of the plaint this Substituted Defendant ${ }_{30}$ denies all and singular the correctness of the averments contained therein and states that the plaintiff and his brothers are liable to pay the income of the lands described under items 6 and 13 and thediathettam share of items $1,2,4,5,7-12,15,20,36,37,41$ and 42 described in the schedule to the plaint.
8. Answering to paragraph 10 of the plaint, the Substituted Defendant denies the correctness of the averments contained therein and states that Case No. 4329 referred to therein only applies to the land called Nitchinganollai partitioned in the said case.
9. Further answering this Substituted Defendant states that lands No. 5 Nos. 25, 26, 33, 34, 38, 39, 40, 41, 46, 47 and 48 were the scparate pro- Answer of the perties of the deceased Defendant also by virtue of Final Partition Decree Defendant per in C. Point Pedr 21-6-61 entered in Cases No. 2080 D.C. Point Pedro, 2309 D.C. Anuradhapura, $1415 /$ P. ${ }^{21-6661}$ Continued. D.C. Point Pedro, 124/P.D.C. Point Pedro, 2515 D. C. Anuradhapura, 17655 D.C. Jaffna, 2263 D.C. Anuradhapura and 2988 D.C. Point Pedro.
10. Further answering this Substituted Defendant states that lands described under items 38 and 47 had been sold by the deceased Defendant during his lifetime and that the deceased Defendant had donated lands 10 described under items, $14,19,21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28,33,34,40$ and 46 to his first bed children namely Subramaniam, this Substituted Defendant, Meenamma, Viswanathan and Sankarappillai by Deeds Nos. 2797, 2798, 2799, 2800 and 2801 dated 2nd November, 1952 and attested by P. Kanapathipillai, Notary Public.
11. This Substituted Defendant as such Executor by his own undisturbed and uninterrupted possession and by the like possession of his predecessors in title for more than ten years preceding the date of this action by a title adverse to and independent of the Plaintiff and all others whomsoever acquired a prescriptive right and title thereto in terms of Section 3 20 of Chapter 55 of the Legislative Enactments of Ceylon.
12. Further answering this Substituted Defendant states that the deceased Defendant had built shop houses and sunk well in the land described under Item 21 of the Schedule to the plaint after the death of Rasamma and had made improvements to the value of Rs. 15,000/-.
13. This Substituted Defendant states that the Plaintiff is liable to account for the income of the lands Nos. 1, 2. $4-13,15,20,24.36$, 37, 41 and 42 which are in his possession.
14. For matters of Law this Substituted Defendant pleads that the Plaintiff cannot have and maintain this action in respect of lands Nos. 25, 26, 33, 34. 38, 39, 40, 41, 46, 47 and 48 described in the Schedule to the plaint as they were the separate properties of the deceased Defendant by virtue of Final Partition Decree entered in favour of the deceasea Defendant as pleaded in paragraph 8 above and further states that the Plaintiff cannot have and maintain this action in-as-much as Items 33, 40, 46 and 47 of the Schedule to the plaint are situated in the District of Anuradhapura outside the jurisdiction of this Court.

WHEREFORE this Substituted Defendant prays:-

1. That the Plaintiff's action be dismissed.
2. For costs, and for such other and further relief as to this court shall seem meet.
> (Sgd.) M. Esurapatham, Proctor for Substituted Defendant.

No. 6 Proceedings before the District Court -12-7-61

No. 6
Proceedings before the District Court
12th July, 1961.

Mr. Advocate Soorasangaram with Mr. Advocate ChellapPAH instructed for plaintiff.

Mr. Advocate Kulasingam with Mr. Advocate Shivapathasundaram instructed for defendant.

Mr. Advocate Chellappah raises the following preliminary issue :-

1. Should the amended answer filed by the substituted defendant be rejected in as much as-
(a) the amendment is not in compliance with Sections 404 and 77 of the Civil Procedure Code?
(b) there is no compliance with Section 93 of the Civil Procedure Code.

Mr. Advocate Chellappah states that the answer filed by the substituted defendant in this case is really an amended answer, that the amended answer has not been filed in conformity with Sections 91 and 93 of the Code. He cites the Case reported in 61 N.L.R. 217 at page 218. There should have been a motion to file an amended answer in this case so that Court would bring its mind to bear on the alterations from the original answer filed. Such a motion is necessary under Section 91 of the Code. Therefore the amended 20 answer filed in this Case should be rejected. The Journal entry No. 28 reading " Answer filed. Trial on 12-7-61" would amount to an order made per incuriam inasmuch as there is no consideration of Section 91 and 93 as the Court has not brought its mind to bear on the amendment. He cites the Case reported in 39 Ceylon. Law Weekly, 107, what is an order made per incuriam. It is when an order is made by Court without its attention having been drawn to procedural matters.

The substituted defendant stands in the place of the deceased defendant in terms of Section 404 and the interest that he is called upon to defend would be the identical interest which the deceased defendant had put forward before $\mathbf{3 0}_{0}$ court in his answer. The amended answer of 21-6-61 contains in paragraph 9 and 10 positions which are inconsistent with the position taken up in the orginal answer filed by the deceased defendant. Therefore the legal positions set out in paragraphs 9 and 10 of the new answer are irrelevant under Section 77 of the Code.

Counsel for defendant states this preliminary issue of law has taken him by surprise and that he wants time to consider it. I allow his application, and fix further hearing for 13-7-61.
(Intld.)......................... District Judge. 40
 Appearances as before

Mr. Advocate Kulasingam addresses Court. Section 404 provides for Cases other than those provided by Section 398 of the Code. Section 404 does not apply. He cites Vol. II of Sakar's Indian C.P.C. page 1861 Order 22 Rule 4, second paragraph, the words in the proviso "May make any defence appropriate to this character."

He cites 46 N.L.R. page 318 at 320.
Sakar page 1877 Order 22 Rule 10.
The application made by the defendant for substitution under date $\mathbf{9 - 1 2 - 6 0}$ specifically states that it is an application under Section 398 for substitution.

Mr. Advocate Soorasangaram in reply. The substituted defendant should have made an application after he was substituted to amend the answer already filed by the original defendant and not to file another answer. When the order was made to file answer on 29-3-61 in the presence of proctor for plaintiff the latter did not object. It may well be that the plaintiff's proctor may have not realised that was not the step. Section 93.

2 N.L.R. page 40 Fernando Vs. Soysa at page 43.
60 N.L.R. page 346 and page 349 para, 5.
61 N.L.R. page 217 at page 218.
59 C.L.W. at page 13 Ekanayake Vs. Ekanayake.
At this stage Counsel for plaintiff moves to withdraw the preliminary issue of law raised on 12-7-61 and on which the argument was addressed by both sides.

The position is accepted by the parties that there is an answer filed by the substituted defendant in the case but the plaintiff seeks to have an opportunity of filing a replication to meet the legal defences set up in that answer. In addition to the filing of a replication as the plaintiff seeks to do
${ }_{30}$ it will also be open to him to raise any issues of law on the question of the tenability or validity of the defences set up in the answer of the substituted defendant.

Take case off Trial Roll.
Replication on 14-9-61.
The question of today's costs will be considered by me when I conclude the trial of this case.

No. 7
Replication of the Plaintiff

## in the district court of point pedro

Kanagaratnam Kathirgaman of Puloly West, Administrator of the Estate of Rasammah in Case No. 400T. D.C. Point Pedro.

## Plaintiff.

$V s$.
(Dead) Visvanathar Kanagaratnam of Puloly West.
Defendant. 10
Visvanathar Kanagaratnam Subramaniam of Puloly West, Executor of the Last Will and Testament of the late Visvanathar Kanagaratnam of Puloly West.

Substituted - Defendant.
This 12th day of October, 1961.
The Replication of the Plaintiff abovenamed appearing by Mr. S. Nagalingamudaly, his Proctor states as follows :-

1. The plaintiff joins issue with the substituted defendant on the denials contained in the amended answer and reiterates the several averments contained in the plaint.
2. Replying to paragraphs 2, 3, 4, 5 and 7 of the amended answer the plaintiff while stating that the original defendant married Rasammah in or about the year 1916 and was governed by Chapter 48 of the Legislative Enactments and that on the acquisition of the lands referred to in the Schedule to the plaint by the original defendant during the subsistence of his marriage with Rasammah, the said Rasammah became vested immediately on acquisition with a half share of the said lands, denies all and singular the other averments contained therein.
3. Replying to paragraph 6 of the amended answer the plaintiff while stating that the plaintiff and his brothers are in possession of lands Nos. 30 $1,2,4,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13$ and 41 in the Schedule to the plaint, that the income from these lands is not sufficient even to have the fences maintained, denies the other averments contained therein.
4. Replying to paragraph 9 of the amended answer the plaintiff states that he was not aware of the decrees referred to therein, that the original defendant himself at no time disclosed any of the said decrees, that the original defendant held Rasammah's half share in trust for her and was allot-
ted the entire thediathettam shares as the deeds were in his favour and that ${ }_{\text {No. }}^{\text {Nopli }}$ in any event the said decrees are not binding on the plaintiff and or cannot deprive the plaintiff and his brothers of their beneficial interest in the thedia-

Replication of the Plaintiff -
12-10-61
-Continued. thettam half share of the lends referred to therein and that the Lispendens in the said cases have not been duly registered, denies the other averments contained therein.
5. Replying to paragraph 10 of the amended answer the plaintiff while stating that the original defendant had no right whatsoever to dispose of the half share which belonged to the deceased Rasammah, that the alienaıo tions referred to therein have been effected by the original defendant without any right whatsoever and with a view to defraud the estate of the said Rasammah denies the other averments contained therein.
6. Replying to paragraphs $11,12,13$, and 14 of the amended answer the plaintiff denies all and singular the averments contained therein and states that the defendant is estopped from denying that the estate of Rasammah is entitled to the lands described in the Schedule to the plaint by reason of his conduct in Case No. 400 T of this Court and or by reason of his representation in petition dated 31st January 1949 and affidavit dated 30th January, 1949 filed by him in the said Case No. 400 T.

WHEREFORE the plaintiff prays :-
(i) That the judgment be entered for the plaintiff as prayed for in the plaint.
(ii) For costs, and for such other and further relief as to this Court shall seem meet.
(Sgd.) S. Nagalingamudaly, Proctor for Plaintiff.


No. 8
Issues Framed 13-9-62

25th May, 1962.
Mr. Advocate Soorasangaram instructed for plaintiff.
Mr. Advocate Shivapathasundaram instructed for defendant.
Mr. Advocate Shivapathasundaram states that the plaintiff's Proctor has given a list of documents on 16-5-62 relating to 16 lands. Some of the lands are in Anuradhapura and some at Jaffna. He has no opportunity and sufficient time to go to the Land Registries at Anuradhapura and Jaffna and check them. He mentions that 18th and 19th May, 1962 are Public Holidays and 20th May, 1962 is a Sunday. As such he moves for a date.

Mr. Advocate Soorasangaram states that if that is the position he will 10 go on with the case without those documents. Mr. Advocate Shivapathasundaram states that because the list of documents was handed to them they thought the plaintiff was relying on those documents and he has no opportunity of going to the Land Registries and examine them. As such he did not get ready for trial.

Of consent, trial on 2-8-62. Costs fixed at Rs. 73/50 payable by the defendant.
(Sgd. Illegibly, Acting District Judge.

No. 9
20

## Issues Framed

Mr. Advocate Soorasangaram instructed for plaintiff.
Mr. Advocate Kulasingam with Mr. Advocate Shivapathasundaram instructed for substituted-defendant.

At this stage it is agreed that :-

1. The income from the one half share of the lands described in the Schedule to the plaint is Rs. 2,500/- per annum.
2. The defendant married the deceased Rasammah in 1916.
3. The defendant and Rasammah were governed by the Law of ${ }^{\mathbf{3 0}}$ Thesawalamai.
4. Rasammah died on 20-8-1948.

No. 9
1ssues Framed -13-9-62
5. All the lands described in the Schedule to the plaint were purchased ${ }^{\text {Continued. }}$ during the subsistence of the said marriage. between the years 1916 and 1946.
6. The plaintiff in this case is the administrator of the estate of the said Rasammah.

Mr. Advocate Soorasangaram raises the following issues :-

1. Did the deceased Rasammah become vested with the title to a half share of the lands described in the Schedule to the plaint by operation, of law?
2. Is the defendant in wrongful possession of the half share of the said lands?
3. What damages is the plaintiff as the administrator of the estate of Rasammah entitled to recover from the defendant?
4. Do the proceedings, decree and order in Case No. 4329 of this court operates as resjudicata?

Mr. Advocate Kulasingam raises the following further issues :-
5. Were the rights of Rasammah referred to in the plaint governed by Chapter 48 as amended by Ordinance 58 of 1947?
206. Were the lands referred to in the plaint purchased by the defendant during the subsistence of his marriage with the said Rasammah?
$7 \quad$ It issuc No. 5 is answered in the affimative or issue No. 6 in the negative, is the plaintiff entitled to any share of the lands referred to in the plaint?
8. Were the lands referred to in paragraph 3 of the answer of the substituted defendant purchased by the deceased defendant out of his mudusom and separate money?
9. If the above issue is answered in the affirmative, is the plaintiff 30 entitled to a half share out of the said lands?
10. Are the plaintiff and his brothers in possession of the lands referred to in para 5 of original answer and paragraph 6 of the amended answer of the substituted defendant?
11. If the above issue is answered in the affirmative;
(a) What is the income received and appropriated by the plaintiff and his brothers in respecet of the said lands?
(b) Is the defendant entitled to credit in respect of any amount found to have been received by the plaintiff and his brothers in respect of the said lands, in the event of the court holding that the plaintiff is entitled to a half share as administrator?
12. (1) Does the decree in Case No. 4329 apply to the land called "Nitchinganollai" partitioned in the said casc?
(b) If so, does the decree operates as resjudicata in this case?
13. Were the lands Nos. 25, 26, 33, 34, 38, 39, 40, 41, 46. 47, and 48 in the Schedule annexed to the plaint, separate properties of the deceased defendant by virtue of final partition decree 10 entered in Cases Nos. 2080 D.C. Point Pedro, 2309 D.C. Anuradhapura, 1415P. D. ${ }^{( }$Point Pedro, 124P D.C'. Point Pedro, 2.515 D.C'. Anuradhapura, 17655 D.C. Jafina, 2263 D.(' Anuradhapura and 2988 D.C. Point Pedro?
14. (a) Were the lands under items Nos. 38 and if sold by the deceased defendant during his life time?
(b) If so, has the plaintiff a cause of action against the substituted defendant in respect of the said lands?
15. Has the deceased defendant donated the lands described under items $14,19,21,22,23,24.25,26,27,28.33,34.40$ and 46 to the substituted defendant, Mecnammah, Visvanathar and Sankarappillai by deeds Nos. 2797, 2798, 2799, 2800 and 2801 dated 2-11-1952 and attested by P Kanapathipillai, Notary Public?
16. If the above issue is answered in the affirmative, has the plaintiff a cause of action against the substituted defendant in respect of all the lands sold and donated except items Nos. 25, 26, 34, 46 and $4 \%$ ?
17. Did the deceased defendent build shop houses and sink a well in the land described under item 21 of the Schedule to the plaint, after the death of the said Rasammah?
18. If the above issue is answered in the affirmative, is the substituted defendant as executor of the Last Will of the deceased defendant, entitled to compensation for the same?
19. What amount, if any, is the substituted defendant entitled to as such compensation?
20. Is the plaintiff liable to account for the income of the lands Nos. $1,2,4-13,15,20,24,36,37,41$ and 42 described in the Schedule annexed to the plaint?
21. Are the lands under the items 33, 40, 46, and 47 described in the Schedule annexed to the plaint, situated in the District of Anuradhapura?

No. 9
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-Continued.
22. If the above issue is answered in the affirmative, can the plaintiff maintain this action?

Mr. Advocate Soorasangaram objects to issues Nos. 6, 16, 18, 19 and 20. Mr. Advocate Soorasangaram states that he objects to issue No. 6 because of the fact, that the lands were purchased during the subsistence of the marriage is admitted.

Mr. Advocate Kulasingam states that he does not dispute it, but states that the deeds are not before him and he will stand by the admission. In view of this statement by Mr. Advocate Kulasingam, Mr. Advocate Soorasangaram withdraws his objection to issue No. 6.

Mr. Advocate Soorasangaram also withdraws his objection to issue No. 16.

Mr. Advocate Soorasangaram objects to issuc No. 18 as there is no claim for compensation in. the answer. Mr. Advocate Kulasingam refers to paragraph 12 of the answer of the substituted defendant, where he only states that the amount is Rs. 15,000/- and leaves it at it. Mr. Advocate Soora20 sangaram states that he has not claimed any compensation. He states that issue No. 19 flows from issue No. 18. Mr. Advocate Soorasangaram objects to issue No. 20 as in paragraph 6 of the substituted defendant's answer he states that plaintiff and his brothers are in possession. Therefore he cannot claim compensation only from the plaintiff.

Regarding the objection to issue No. 18 Mr . Adrocate Kulasingam refers court to paragraph 12 of the answer of the substituted defendant and admits that no claim is made in the prayer. Regarding issue No. 20 Mr . Advocate Kulasingam states that the plaintiff had filed this action in his capacity as administrator. Therefore he is liable to account even though the ${ }_{30}$ possession is not only by the plaintiff, but also by his brothers.

Mr. Advocate Soorasangaram withdraws his objections to issues Nos. 18. 19 , and 20.

Mr. Advocate Soorasangaram raises the following further issues :-
23. Are the claims of the substituted defendant based on his averments contained in paragraphs 6 and 14 of his answer, maintainable in these proceedings?
24. Was the deceased defendant allotted the entirety of the Thediatheddam share in partition cases referred to in paragraph 9 of the answer in trust for Rasammah and or as the title deeds were in favour of the deceased defendant?

No. 9 Issues Framed 18-9-62 Continued.
25. Was Rasammah and or her estate divested of the beneficial interest in respect of a half share of the thediatheddam of the lands referred to in paragraph 9 of the answer by reason of the decrees referred to in the said paragraph?
26. Was lispendens in cases referred to in paragraph 9 of the answer duly registered?
27. If issue No. 24 is answered in the affirmative and or if either of the issues 25 or 26 is answered in the negative, is the plaintiff still entitled to claim a half share of the thediatheddam share of the lands referred to in paragraph 9 of the answer?
28. Has the deceased defendant any right to dispose of a half share of the lands referred to in paragraph 10 of the answer, in the manner allcged in the answer?
29. If not, is the plaintiff still entitled to claim the said half share from the substituted defendant?
30. Were the improvements alleged in paragraph 12 of the answer effected by the deceased defendant during the lifetime of Rasammah and or with his thediatheddam monies?
31. Is the substituted defendant estopped from denying that the estate of Rasammah is entitled to $1 / 2$ share of the lands described ${ }^{20}$ in the Schedule to the plaint by reason of his conduct in Case No. 400 Testamentary of this court and or by reason of his representation in petition dated 31st January, 1949 and affidavit dated 31st January, 1949 filed by him in the said Case No. 400T.

Mr. Advocate Kulasingam objects to issue No. 23 as it is not specifically pleaded. Mr. Advocate Soorasangaram states that it arises from paragraph 6 of the answer. Mr. Advocate Kulasingam objects to issue No. 30 as it is not pleaded. He also objects to issue No. 31 as it is not specifically pleaded as to what conduct estopped the defendant from denying. It is for the plaintiff to state what are the specific facts to meet him. Mr. Advocate ${ }^{30}$ Soorasangaram refers Court to paragraph 6 of the replication. To clarify issue No. 31 he suggests the following issues :-

31 (a) Did the substituted defendant by his petition dated 31-1-1949 and affidavit annexed thereto, claim from it in respect of an alleged Last Will devising $1 / 2$ share of the lands described in the Schedule to the plaint in this case to his father Kanagaratnam the original defendant in this case ?
(b) Did the substituted defendant represent in the said petition and affidavit that Rasammah left behind on her death, the half share of the lands claimed by the plaintiff in this case?
(c) If either of the issues $31 a$ or $31 b$ is answered in the affirmative, ${ }_{\text {Iso. }} 9 \mathbf{~} 9$ Framed is the substituted defendant estopped from denving that the ${ }_{18-962}^{\text {ssueg }}$ estate of Rasammah is entitled to half share of the lands described --Continued. in the Schedule to the plaint ?

Mr. Advocate Kulasingam now withdraws his objections to issues Nos. 23, 30 and 31.

He raises the following further issues -
32. In the event of the Court holding that the substituted defendant conducted himself or made representation as stated in the issues $31,31 a$ and $31 b$, did the plaintiff and the other heirs of the said Rasammah act on the said representation or conduct?
33. If issue No. 32 is answered in the negative, is the plaintiff entitled to plead estoppal?

Mr. Advocate Soorasangaram has no objection to the last two issues.
I accept issues $1-33$.
N. 10

Plaintiff's Evidence

## Plaintiff's Case.

## Mr. Advocate Soorasangaram calls the Plaintiff :

20 Kanagaratnam Kathirgaman, Affirmed, 39 years, Irrigation Engineer, Kanthalai.

I am the plaintiff in this case. I am the administrator of the estate of my mother Rasammah in Case No. 400 Testamentary of this Court. My mother died on 20-8-1948. She died leaving behind four children and I am one of them. My father Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam the original defendant in this case married earlier and had four children. After the death of his first wife he married my mother.

I produce a certified copy of the mortgage bond No. 9973 of 20-1-1910 marked (P1) by which my father and his first wife Meenadchipillai mortgaged a land of theirs and raised a sum of Rs. 750/-. The original defendant married my mother in 1916. After 1916 my father was prosperous. My father had purchased lands. My father had also invested monies on mortgage bonds. My father was a P W.D. Engineer in India. My father resided with my mother at his residence in Puloly West till her death. That
N. 10 Paintiff's Evidence

Evidence of K. Kathirgaman Examination.

No. 10 Plaintiff's
Evidence

## Evidence of

 K. Kathirgaman Examination - Continued.residential land is constituted of items 1,2 and $4-13$ described in the Schedule to the plaint. After my mother died we went to live with the substituted defendant Subramaniam. My father got the substituted defendant to make an application for probate in Case No. too Testamentary of this Court. I produce a certified copy of the petition dated 31-1-19f! filed by the substituted defendant marked (P2). I also produce a certified copy of the affidavit annexed thereto marked (P3). In the petition and affidavit the substituted defendant alleged that my mother Rasammah and her husband executed a joint Last Will by which Rasammah bequeathed and devised all her propertics to her husband and in paragraph 4 of $\mathrm{P} \bullet 2$ h. further stated 10 that full particulars of the lands left behind by Rasammah are giren in the Schedule to the petition. I and my biothers filed objections to the Last Will and the Court finally held, after conterst that the Last Will was not admitted to probate. Thereafter I filed petition for Letters of Administration. I filed a petition for Letters of Administration, to my mother's estate. At that time as all the deeds were with my father I was only guided by the Schedule filed in $\mathbf{P} 2$ by the substituted defendant. I only copied the sichedule to P2. I produce a cortifiod copy of the petition and affidavit filed by me for Letters of Administration marked ( $P 4$ ) and ( $P 4 A$ ). We are living in the house owned by our parents. This constitute lands 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, !, 10, 11, 12 and 13. 20 These are all fragments of lands acquired by my father during the subsistence of his marriage. There are only few vudalies and palmyrahs. The income is very negligible. It costs more to fence and maintain the compound. The other land which $I$ and my brothers are in possession is $1 / 6$ share of item 41 described in the Schedule to the plaint, in extent 1 Lacheham V.C. and $11,1 / 2 \mathrm{kls}$. My mother would be entitled to only half of this $1 / 6$ share. The income would be Rs. 15/- for the entire land. My share would be $1 / 12$ which is Rs. 1.25. Neither 1 nor any of my full brothers are in possession of any other lands. During the life time of my mother my father was looking after these lands. 30 The lands consisted of paddy fields, garden lands and houses. Some of these garden lands are at Manthikai. The Manthikai land is about 6 Acres in extent. It is the land under item No. 19 described in the $S$ chedule to the plaint. It is a garden land leased out to various cultivators for tobaceo cultivation. It is a very fertile land which fetches about Rs. 6/- a patty. One patty is $1 / 10$ of a Lachcham. The income from this land alone would be Rs. $4,500 /$ - per year. There are four lots of paddy fields in . Inuradhapura, aggregating to about 15 Acres. Excepting the compound where we live and item 41 all the other lands referred to in the Schedule to the plaint should easily bring a minimum income of Rs. 8,000/- per ycar. There is a house on 40 the Point Pedre-Kankesanthurai road, next to Methodist Girls' College. It is item 25. This house fetches Rs. $50 /$ - per month as rent, but for the purpose of this case I had agreed to restrict the damages to Rs. 2.jo0/- per annum for the one half share of the lands which I claim. Since the time of my mother's death my father was in possession of the entirety of the lands, except our residential house and item 41. He appropriated the income. My father died in 1960. An application for probate of his Last Will has been made by the substituted defendant. I now know that the original defendant my father had conveyed lands to the substituted defendant and his sisters. I produce a certified copy of deed No. 15\%3 of 1-4-1943 marked so ( $\mathbf{P} 5$ ) by which item 41 was purchased by my father. It is item 4 in deed P5. The title pleaded for that land is decreed in partition Case No. 18665 D.C.

Jaffna. Ps shows that my father purchased item 41 after the partition $\begin{gathered}\text { No. } 10 \\ \text { Plaintiffs }\end{gathered}$ decree. In item No. 21 described in the Schedule to the plaint there are Evidence some shop buildings and a well. My father made these improvements. It was done in 1946, before the death of my mother. There is a shop near ExaminationanManthikai Hospital and it brings a large income. My tather collected all-Continued. these incomes, kept them with him and invested on mortgage bonds. The substituted defendant, in $\mathrm{P} \because$ disclosed 54 mortgage bonds which were not discharged till the time of my mother's death. I do not know what had happened to the monies due on them. In paragraph 9 of the answer, certain 10 partition decrees have been pleaded by the substituted defendant. I was not aware of these partition decrees till the answer was filed. These lands were acquired during the subsistence of the marriage, in the name of my father.
(Mr. Advocate Kulasingam objects to any oral evidence being led on this matter, cxcept by production of the deeds, under Section 91 of the Evidence Ordinance. Mr. Advocate Soorasangaram states that he is producing the deeds.)

I produce deed $\mathrm{N}_{6}$. 6.579 of 4-10-43 marked (P6) by which my father purchased a share of land which got sub-divided into items 25 and 26. I ${ }_{20}$ also produce deed No. 6593 of 7 -10-1943 marked $P_{i}$ by which my father had another share of the same land. I also produce deed No. 2842 of 4-10-1939 marked (P8) by which my father prurchased another share of the same land. After the partition decree cntered in C'ase No. 2080P of this court, item No. 25 was sold under a decree of sale in that case and my father purchased items 25 and 26. I also produce a certificate of sale dated 15-2-1945 marked ( $\mathbf{P} 9$ ). I produce deed No. 2190 of 16-10-1933 marked (P10) by which my father purchased item No. 33 described in the Schedule to the plaint. I produce deed No. 94 of 1-12-1940 marked (P11) by which my father purchased item 34. I produce deed No. 3325 of 4-2-1936 marked (P12) by which my father ${ }_{30}$ purchased item No. 38. One of the lands which was purchased by my father is Nitchinganollai vadakku. My father denied my title to this land. That land was sought to be partitioned in Case No. 4329 of this Court. My father was the 1st defendant in that case. I and my three brothers intervened in that case and we claimed that, by reason that my father purchased that land during the subsistence of his marriage with my mother. My mother was entitled to $1 / 2$ share purchased by my father. I produce a certified copy of the plaint, in Case No. 4329 marked (P13). The statement of claim filed by my father marked ( $\mathrm{P} 13 a$ ), the statement of claim filed by me and by my brothers marked (P13b) and the final partition decree marked (P13c). I also produce a certified copy of the issues, order of the issues and the judgment of the Supreme Court marked (P14a), (P14b) and (P14c) respectively.
(Sgd.) Illegibly Acting District Judge.

No. 10
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(Court resumes after lunch.)
Plaintiff's case.-Contd.

Kanagaratnam Kathirgaman, recalled and affirmed :

I produce deed No. 2415 of 10-1-1935 marked (P15) by which my father purchased item No. 46. I also produce deed No. 1236 of 28-10-42 marked (P16) by which my father purchased item No. 48. I also produce the application for registration of lispendens in Case No. 2515 Anuradhapura marked (P17). In that case the larger land of which item 40 was a portion, was partitioned. I also produce a certified copy of the plaint in that case marked (P18). My father was the 5th defendant in that case. I produce 10 encumbrance sheet in relation to entry in folio A $3 / 287,8 / 3,8 / 49,16 / 331$ and 22/312 marked (P19).
(Mr. Advocate Kulasingam objects to P19 as it is irrelevant and in any event the Registrar of Lands should be called. Mr. Advocate Soorasangaram states that it is a public document. He also states that these documents are kept as required by the Registration of Documents Ordinance, Chapter 117 and he refers Court to Sections 12, 13, 27 and 28. He also refers to Section 74 (a) Sub-section 3 of the Evidence Ordinance and also Section 77. He also refers to Chapter 15, Section 2. Mr. Advocate Soorasangaram also refers court to Section 43 (1) Chapter 117 . In view of this Mr. Advocate Kulasingam, withdraws his objection.)

In P19 deed relating to a larger land called "Basuwakkulamkele" in extent 6 Acres, 3 roods and 32 perches has been registered. I also produce the encumbrance sheet in respect of folio A, 35/47 and A, 134/207 in extent 1 Acre, 3 roods and 20 perches marked (P20). I also produce a certified copy of folio A, $4 / 226$ for the land called "Bulankulame" in extent 24 Acres and 11 perches marked (P21). I also produce a certified copy of folio A, 3/86, A, 7/69, A, 91/68 and A, 104/10 marked (P22) in extent 15 Acres, 25 perches for the land called "Bulankulame". I also produce a certified copy of folio A, 51/12 marked (P23) for the portion of the land called "Bulankulame." I also produce a certified copy of lispendens in Case No. 2263 D.C. Anuradha- so pura marked (P24). I also produce a certified copy of the plaint in that case marked ( $\mathbf{P} 25$ ). The defendant in this Case was the 1st defendant in that Case. I also produce a certified copy of registration entries in folio A, 56/205, 91/67 and 122/134 marked (P26). In 122/134 the lispendens in Case No. 2309 D.C. Anuradhapura has been registered. I also produce a certified copy of registration entries in A 93/202, 96/156 and 119/18 marked (P27). In P27 the lispendens in respect of Case No. 2263 has been registered. I also produce registration entry in $A, 76 / 100$ in respect of the same land marked (P28). P28 also contains A, 90/107. I also produce the registration entry in A, 7/69 for the land called "Periyatheny" in extent 85, 3/8 Lach- 40 chams V.C. and Thoddan 1 marked (P29). I Ilso produce a certified copy of the registration entry in folio A, 39/234 for the same land marked (P30).

I also produce registration entry in A, 154/28.5 for an extent of 8 Lachchams $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. } 10 \\ & \text { Plaintif }\end{aligned}$ out of the land, maked (P31). I also produce a certified copy of registration Evidence entry in A, 185/11 for the said extent of 8 Lachchams marked (P32). The lispendens in Case No 2333-P of this Court was registered in P32 I also Evidence of produce a certified copy of the registration entry in A, 3/367 marked (P33) Examination. for the land called "Nedunkulavelitheny Kaadu Kadalpaya" in extent 27 -Continued. Lachchams V.C. and other parcels. I also produce the registration entry in A, 331/118 for a portion of that land marked (P3+). In P34 the lispendens in Case N o. 2080-P of this Court was registered. I also produce a certified 10 copy of the registration entry in folio, A, 331/117 in which another portion of the same land was registered marked (P35). In P35 the lispendens in Case No. 2080 was registered. I also produce a certified copy of the registration entry in A, 208/89 and A, 11/81 marked (P36) in which the land called "Nunkaipulam " in extent 49, 1/4 Lachchams V.C. dealt with in P11 was registered. I also produce a certified copy of the registration entry in A, 307/225 marked (P37) in which the lispendens in Case No. 1415-P of this Court was registered. This folio bears no connection whatsoever to folio P36. I also produce registration entry in $\mathbf{A}, 6 / 155$ marked ( $\mathbf{P} 38$ ) for the land called "Ambalantheny" in extent 31 Lachchams V.C'. I also produce registration cntry in A, 41/28 for the same land marked (P39). I also produce the registration entry in A. 88/250 for a portion of the said land marked ( $\mathbf{P} 40$ ). I also produce the registration entries in A, 123/225; 193/41 and 273/251 marked ( $\mathbf{P} 41$ ) for the same divided portion.
(Mr. Adrocate Kulasingam objects to $\mathbf{P}_{41}$ as it is not listed. As it is listed Mr. Advocate Kulasingam withdraws his objection.)

In P41 the lispendens in Case No. 124 P. D.C. Jaffna was registered and the share the 1st defendant was allotted in that partition case is described as item No. 38 in the Schedule to the plaint. I produce a certified copy of the dowry deed in favour of the original defendant's first wife Meenachchiso pillai, No. 6838 of 10-1-1906 marked ( P 42 ). I also produce lease bond No. 2511 of 24-7-1959 marked (P43) by which the original defendant leased lands 33 and 47 for the yala season in 1959 . The lessee was to pay a sum of Rs. $576 /-$ as rent. After my father's death the substituted defendant is possessing all the lands excepting our residential land and item 41.
> (Sgd.) Illegibly Acting District Judge 13-9-62.

At this stage Mr. Advocate Kulasingam states that he would like to have a copy of the proceedings before he cross-examines the witness. lurther 40 trial for 11-10-62.

(Sgd). Illegibly<br>Acting District Judge<br>13-9-62.

Evidence of

Appearances as before.
Kanagaratnam Kathirgaman, - recalled and affirmed.

## Further Examined.

During the lifetime of my mother my father looked after my mother's lands and the Thediyatheddam property. My father kept an account of the income and expenditure. After the death of my mother my father lived with his cldest son the substituted defendant. I presume that the account book must be with the substituted defendant.

Wricince of
K. K :hirgaman_ Cross-examined.
K. F ! hirgaman-
6ru;-

Though in the answer of the substituted defendant in paragraph 3 he stated that the lands mentioned there were bought out of the separate money of my father I deny that.

Shown deed No. 16363 dated 5-6-1921 marked (D1) I admit that the two lands referred to in D1 riz., items 13 and 14 in the Schedule to the plaint were purchased by my father from Kadirgamar Sinnath imby. This transaction took place before I was born. I cannot vouch for what Velauthar Kumarasamy has stated in D1. I do not know whether the vendor is K. Kanagasabai, the late Crown Proctor.

Shown deed No. 20711 dated 21-3-1927 marked (D 2 ), this refers to 20 item 6 in the Schedule to the plaint. At the time D2 was exccuted my father was in India.

According to me my father had no separate mudusom money at that time. All monies were acquired by my father during the subsistence of his marriage with my mother.

Shown deed No. 10834 dated 25-12-1909 marked (D3) my grandmother Walliammai donated certain lands to my father by D3. In all 10 lands were donated. These lands are the separate property of my father.

Shown deed No. 7684 dated 30-6-1906 marked (D4), this is a testamentary conveyance executed by V Sangarapillai in favour of my father. About so 9 lands were conscyed to my father. They would be his separate property. My father retired in 1935 and lived in Point Pedro.

I produced P1 to indicate the type of transactions my father had. By deed No. 9975 of 21-1-1910 marked (D5) my father and his first wife: Meenadchippillai purchased a piece of land called Mavil Vayal for Rs. 500/-. P1 may have been effected to find money for the purchase of land on D5. Personally I am not prepared to accept it as so. It does not necessarily follow.

Shown deed No. 10293 and endorsement dated 9-7-1910 marked (D6), mortsige P1 was redeemed by D6 on 9-7-1910.

The income from the lands dowried and the lands donated to my mother Po. 10 and father were taken and invested by my father.

Evidence.
My father's eldest daughter was married earlier and she was given a Evidence of number of lands as dowry. So my father would not have derived much $\begin{gathered}\text { K. Kathiryman- } \\ \text { Crosseexamination. }\end{gathered}$ income from these lands. The income from these lands was very little. Crossexamin:

I have instituted Case No. 7225 D.C. Point Pedro in respect of the land called Ambalantheny, item 38 in the Schedule to the plaint against the purchaser. The defendant in that case wrongly claimed the land on an alleged transfer from my father. I disputed that transfer.
Q. If the defendant in that case is in possession of item 38 why did you include it in the inventory?
A. I filed the inventory on the list filed by my father.

I have filed Case No. 7185 in respect of item 45 which land is called Urulai against Velupillai Murugupillai on a deed of transfer executed by my father. I included item 45 also in the inventory because it was included in the original list.

Shown deed No. 5619 dated 29-7-43 marked (D7) which refers to item 47 in th: Schedule to the plaint. It is a paddy field at Anuradhapura. I do not know whether it is in possession of the substituted defendant.

20 My mother died in 1948. My father may have by D7 sold this land to the substituted defendant in 1943.

When I filed this C'ase none of the deeds were with me. They were in possession of the substituted defendant. I was only guided by the list of lands left behind by my father.

P2 is the petition filed by the substituted defendant in the Testamentary case. My Proctor Mr. Nagalingamudaly may have filed a list of documents on 4-7-61 in which D7 may have been included. I was aware of D7 only recently. On 4-7-61 I knew the existence of D 7 . I believe that D 7 is not a fair transaction. I cannot understand why one son should be given a piece 30 of land when the others are excluded. I find that my father had leased the same land to another person in 1952.

I have read paragraph 10 of the answer of the substituted defendant. I propose to institute action in due course regarding the donation mentioned in paragraph 10 of the answer.

Shown deed No. 2797 of 2-11-52 marked (D8), this is a deed of donation in favour of the substituted defendant in respect of 13 lands included in the schedule to the plaint. The present case is instituted against the substituted defendant as executor.

Shown deed No. 2798 marked (D9), this is also in favour of the substi-
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Continued.

Shown deed No. 2799 of 2-11-1952 marked (D10), in favour of Meenammah wife of Mr. N. A. Rajaratnam, D10 also conveys the lands some of which are included in the Schedule to the plaint.

Shown deed No. 2801 dated 2-11-52 marked (D11) this is a deed of donation in favour of my brother Sangarapillai which also deals with some lands which are included in the Schedule to the plaint.

I have not personally seen these deeds. If it is stated so I agree. I came to know the existence of the deeds only when the answer was filed.

I know the lands in respect of which extracts from the register of encumbrances were produced. P 20 is in respect of the land in extent $1 \mathrm{~A}, 3 \mathrm{R}, 10$ 20P. This is part of a larger land registered in P19. The larger land is 6A. 3R. 32P. I say there is nothing to connect P19 to P20. The interest I claim is in respect of the lot allotted to my father in the partition Case No. 2515 D.C. Anuradhapura. Shown a certified copy of final decree in partition Case No. 2515 D.C. Anuradhapura marked (D12) the defendant my father was allotted lot 1.

What is registered in P20 is in respect of the land in extent 1A. 3R. 20P. I have been to this land personally. I am unable to say whether the land described in P20 is the same as in D12. I believe that the land described in P20 is part of the larger land described in P19.
(Boundaries of the land in P19 read out.)
I generally know the lands but I do not know the boundaries. These lands have no fences. They are an open tract.
Q. Do you know that the land described in P20 is part of the land described in P19?
A. P6 and P7 speak of this.
(P6 and P7 are handed to the witness.)
Shown also P21, P21 gives the registration for an extent of 24A. 0R. 11P called Bulankulame. P22 contains the registration in respect of the land called Bulankulame in extent 15A. 0R. 25P.
Q. What is the connection between P21 and P22 ?
A. (No answer)

P23 also contains the registration in respect of another land called Bulankulame.

Shown also P24, it is a certified copy of lispendens in Case No. 2263 D.C. Anuradhapura. My father was the first defendant in that case. Item 46 in the Schedule to the plaint refers to this.

Shown P24, P24 refers to the lispendens in Case No. 2263. P26 refers No. 10 to the land called Siyambalakalwela in extent 41 Acres.

Shown decree for sale in partition Case No. 2080 D.C. Point Pedro marked (D13) in respect of the land called Nedunkulavelitheni item 25 in Cnairgamanthe Schedule to the plaint, under the decree for sale my father became the purchaser.

The certificate of Sale is marked D14/P9.
I admit that I am in possession of the land referred to in paragraph 3 of the replication. I stated that the income from those lands are not suffi10 cient even to have the fences maintained. There is nothing except some palmyrah trees and a few vadalies. They vary from $\frac{1}{2}$ lms. to $\operatorname{lms} ., 2 \mathrm{lms}$. 3 lms . and so on.

A certified copy of the encumbrance sheet in respect of the land called Ambalantheny in extent 24 Lachchams V.C. which is item 38 in the Schedule to the plaint marked (D15).

## Re-examined

Shown D4, this is a conveyance by Administrator Sangarapillai to $\underset{\mathrm{Re} \text {-examination. }}{\substack{\text { K. Kathirgaman }}}$ himself and to my father.

I produce a certified copy of the inventory filed by Sangarapillai in ${ }_{20}$ Case No. 1420 Testamentary, D.C. Jaffna marked (P44). Sangarapillai, the administrator has valued all the lands at Rs. 1,250/-. All the lands received by my father by way of donation or mudusom have been given to his children by the first bed.

Shown P42, my father has leased item 47 in the Schedule to the plaint to certain Seeniar Murugesu of Kopay North in July, 1959. I know that my father was in possession of the land leased by P42. By P43 my father also leased item 33 in the Schedule to the plaint.

I am administering my mother's estate in Case No. 400 Testamentary. I produce the inventory which I filed in that Case, marked (P4b). I followed so the list filed by the substituted defendant himself when he filed application for probate in Case No. 400 Testamentary marked P2.

Later on I filed an account. As there was dispute regarding title I issued a citation on my father to disclose the income from the lands. I was asked to file a separate action. That is why $\mathbf{I}$ filed this action to obtain a declaration that I as administrator of my mother's estate was entitled to a half share and for the recovery of damages sustained by me from the time my mother died. I am entitled to damages from the substituted defendant in his capacity as executor of the deceased defendant.

I draw the attention of Court to paragraph 8 of the plaint, wherein I 40 stated that since the death of my mother Rasammah the deceased defendant was in possession of the entirety of the lands and was denying my rights as administrator.
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A certified copy of journal entry dated $2 \cdot 1-7-19$ in Testamentary case P2 is produced marked (D16).
(Sgd). Illegibly
Acting District Judge, 11-10-62.

Plaintiff's case closed reading in evidence $\mathbf{P 1}-\mathbf{P}_{4} 4$.
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No. 11

## Defendant's Evidence

Sinnathamby Kandavanam, - affirmed, 56 years, cultivator, Puloly South.

I am occupying the shop building in the land called Manthyrodai facing Manthikai Hospital. That land belonged to Visvanathar Kanagaratnam. I am occupying the land from 1940. When I went into occupation of the land it was a palmyrah land. There was no building at that time. I put up a temporary shed with his permission. When I went into occupation of the land the land was under otty mortgage to one S. Sivakolunthu. Kanagaratnam bought the land and put up the buildings in 1951. He put up 3 shops. I occupy one of the shops. The well was also sunk by ${ }^{20}$ Kanagaratnam in 1951. In all it would have cost him Rs. 15,000/-including the cost of sinking the well. It took one year to construct them. I pay Rs. $53 /$ per month for the 3 shops.

Evidence of
S. Kandavanam -
cross-cxamination

Cross-examined.
2 shops form one building and the other one is a separate building. I cannot say whether Kanagaratnam put up the buildings 3 years after he bought the land. My estimate is that it would have cost Rs. 15,000/-.

I am not aware that the substituted defendant has claimed Rs. 15,000/for improvement in his answer.

Kanagaratnam had some lands in that area. The garden lands are ${ }^{30}$ towards the cemetery. They are good lands but I do not know what rents they fetch. During his lifetime Kanagaratnam used to collect the rents. After the death of Kanagaratnam I am paying rents to Sangarapillai. Once or twice I paid to the substituted defendant. I do not know whether

Sangarapillai is in the Angoda Hospital. Earlier he used to write from Valai- No. 11 chchenai and I sent the money. Now I am paying rents to Mr. N. A. Evidence Rajaratnam on behalf of Sangarapillai. I did not pay direct into the hands of Sangarapillai. The substituted defendant did not ask me to say that $\mathbf{I}$ Evidence of paid rents to Sangarapillai. I did not pay Sangarapillai personally at any Cross-examination time. Whenever I pay I receive receipts. Even Kanagaratnam used to ${ }^{\text {-Continued. }}$ issue receipts. I do not know whether he kept account books.

Re-examined --... Nil.
(Sgd). Illegibly, Acting District Judge. 11-10-62.
Substituted defendantis case closed reading in evidence D1 - D16.
Addresses 8-11-62.


Mr. Advocate Kulasingam states that by an oversight he has failed to produce the certified copies of partition decrees in certain cases which he now moves to mark. No. 2309 D.c. Anuradhapura (D17). No. 1415 Point Pcdro (D18), No. 124 Point Pedro (D19), No. 2663 D.C. Anuradhapura (D20) and No. 2988 D.( Point Pedro (D21).

Mr. Advocate Soorasangaram has no objection.
I accept the above documents.
(Sgl). Illegibly,
Actıng District Judge,
23-11-62.
Defendant's case closed reading in cridence - D1 - D21.

## No. 12

No. 12
Addresses to Court.

## Addresses to Court

Mr. Advocate Kulasingam addresses Court.
He movers for a further date as he is not feeling quite fit to proceed with it. Of consent. further addresses for 29-11-62.
(Sgd). Illegibly, Acting District Judge,

23-11-62.

29th November, 1962.
Mr. Advocate Soorasangaram for Plaintiff.
Mr. Advocate Kulasingam with Mr. Advocate Shivapathasundaram for Defendant.

Mr. Advocate Kulasingam addresses Court:-
He cites 53 N.L.R. 63 and 60 N.L.R. 439.
Mr. Advocate Soorasangaram addresses Court and cites :-
53 N.L.R. 385, 46 C.L.W 104, 55 N.L.R. 260, 56 N.L.R. 44.
Further addresses on 30-11-62.
(Sgd). Illegibly, ${ }^{10}$
Acting District Judge, 29-11-62.

30th November, 1962.

Mr. Advocate Soorasangaram instructed for Plaintiff.
Mr. Advocate Shivapathasunderam instructed for Defendant.

## Mr. Advocate Soorasangaram Addresses Court.

He cites 3 C.A.C. 30, 54 N.L.R. 484, 22 N.L.R. 107, 137, 63 N.L.R. 49, 62 N.L.R. 224, 53 N.L.R. 334, and 42 N.L.R. 299.

Further addresses for 6-12-62.
(Sgd). Illegibly, 20 Acting District Judge, 30-11-62.

No. 13
Judgment of the District Court
13th May, 1963.

## JUDGMENT

This is an action for a declaration that plaintiff as administrator of the estate of his deceased mother Rasammah is entitled to a half share of the ${ }^{30}$ lands described in the Schedule to the plaint and for damages.

The original defendant Visuwanathar Kanagaratnam first married Meenachrhipillai and he had four children. After the death of Meenachchi pillai he married his second wife Rasammah in 1916. He had also four 18 Crist 3.6 .63 children by his second marriage. They are plaintiff and three others. Rasammah died on $20-8-48$. During their married life Kanagaratnam and Rasammah lived in Puloly West in the lands referred to in items 1, 2 and 4-13 described in the Schedule to the plaint.

After the death of Rasammah, Kanagaratnam and his second bed children lived, with the substituted defendant Subramaniam, who is a son by his 10 first bed.

Subramaniam made an application for Probate in 400 Testamentary of this court. In the petition P2 and affidavit P3 filed by Subramaniam in that case, Subramaniam alleged that his step-mother Rasammah and his father Kanagaratnam executed a joint Last Will, by which Rasammah bequeather and devised all her properties to her husband Kanagaratnam. The full particulars of all these lands wert given in the L'chedule of Petition P2.

Plaintiff and his brothers the children of Rasammah, filed objections to the Last Will and finally it was held by Court that the Last Will was not admitted to probate. Thereafter plantiff filed papers for letters of admi${ }^{20}$ nistration to his mother Rasammah's estate - Vide P4 and P4A.

Plaintiff stated that in P4, he was only guided by the Schedule in P2. It was his father who knew all the properties. His father looked after the properties and collected all rents. His father maintained an account book. Plaintiff made his father Kanagaratnam the defendant in this case. On 22-9-60 it was brought to the notice of C'ourt, that defendant Kanagratnam was dead. After that $V$ K. Subramaniam a son. of the defendant by the first bed was made substituted defendant. Plaintiff stated that as his father Kanagaratnam died in the house of substituted defendant, Subramaniam, his eldest son, the account books ${ }^{30}$ of his father would be in that house and were available to Subramaniam. After their father's death Subramaniam is collecting the rents, lease money and other income from the lands, except a few lands possessed by plaintiff and his brothers. Subramaniam is the executor of Kanagaratnam's Last Will.

It is common ground :-
(a) that Rasammah married Kanagaratnam the original defendant in 1916 and that she died on 20-8-48.
(b) all the lands described in the Schedule to the plaint were purchased during the subsistence of the said marriage between the years 1916 and 1946.
(c) the plaintiff in this case is the administrator of the estate of the said Rasammah.
(d) the defendant and Rasammah were governed by the law of Thesawalamai.
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- Contimued.
(e) the income from the one half share of the lands described in the Schedule to the plaint is Rupees 2,500 per annum.

The main issue in this case is whether deceased Rasammah became vested with the title to a half share of the lands described in the Schedule to the plaint, by operation of law. It is admitted that they were governed by the Laws of Thesawalamai.

Learned Counsel for defendant submitted that Section 39 of Ordinance 1 of 1911 defines who is an " unmarried" person-- namely as a person not having a husband or wife living. When Kanagaratnam died he had no wife living though he was married twice - namely to Meenachchipillai ${ }^{10}$ and Rasammah. But yet he urged strongly that according to the definition of " unmarried", Kanagaratnam died unmarried in 1961. Hence the amendment would not apply to him.

Before Ordinance 1 of 1911 came into operation the property took the character of the money utilised. After Ordinance 1 of 1911 came into operation, property acquired for valuable consideration must be regarded as Thediatheddam. One half will remain the property of the survivor. In 35 N.L.R. 313 Justice Garvin held, that the only consideration is the property must be acquired. It does not matter what nature the money is. This altered the earlier view held before 1911. To remedy this state of affairs ${ }^{20}$ and to follow the spirit of what was intended as Thediatheddam, the Law of Thesawalamai was amended by Ordinance No. 58 of 1947 which came into operation on 4-7-1947.

The old Sections 19 and 20 were repealed and amendment made by substituting the new Section 19 and 20.

Learned Counsel for defendant strongly urged that the only laws applicable in this case are the new Sections 19 and 20 and the old Sections 19 and 20. The only exception being 35 N.L.R. 313 and any other decisions of the Supreme Court acting on this case. If construed according to Section 7 of the Amending Ordinance plaintiff's mother Rasammah would not be rested with ${ }^{30}$ any rights.

But one has to take into consideration the fact that Ordinance 1 of 1911 was in operation till July, 1947. Rasammah was already vested with a half share of her husband Kanagaratnam's acquired property.

The Supreme Court has definitely held that Ordinance No. 58 of 1947 has no retrospective effect. In 55 N.L.R. 260 it was held that half the Thediatheddam property acquired by a husband vested in the wife immediately under Section 20 of 1 of 1911. It was also held that the amending ordinance No. 58 of 1947 does not operate as to affect title to property which had already vested in a spouse prior to the date of amendment.

Case No. 4329 P of this court went up in appeal and is reported in 56 N.L.R. 44. The plaintiff and the substituted defendant in this case were also the plaintiff and defendant in case No. 4329. It was in respect of a land bought by Kanagaratnam during the subsistence of marriage with

Rasammah. In this case His Lordship Justice Fernando observed-..."in my No. 13 opinion the problem under consideration admits of no doubt. Rasammah's Judisnernt of the rights in respect of Thediatheddam property acquired by her husband 3.6.63 before 4-7-1947 were governed by Section 20 of the principal Ordinance and the provisions of Sections 5 and 6 of the amending Ordinance did not operate to divest Rasammah of rights already vested in her under the earlier law.

It thus becomes clear that new Sections 19 and 20 have no bearing on the present problem. A half share of the Thediatheddam property acquired by Kanagaratnam in 1933 and 1943 had automatically vested in Rasammah ${ }^{10}$ (as the non-acquiring spouse). Under the old Section 20 and the subsequent repeal of the old Section 20 did not operate to divest her of that share. The devolution of Rasammah's share upon her death in 1948 was regulated solely by Section 21 of the principal Ordinance because the new Section 20 has no application in this case. Accordingly the entirety of Rasammah's vested intcrests passed to her heirs.

Though the Supreme Court had already made a definite finding on this point, and what is more between the same parties in this case, yet learned counsel for defendant perhaps not satisfied with the decision reagitated the same issue over again and at length. Perhaps he strongly feels that there
${ }^{21}$ ) is another view to take in this question and another way of looking at the problem as enunciated by him, but this Court is bound by the decisions of the Supreme Court. Thus I hold that Rasammah became vested with title to a half-share of the lands deseribed in the Schedule to the plaint by operation of law. Hence the defendant has been in wrongful possession of the said half-share, except the few lands possessed by plaintiff.

It is not disputed and perhaps common ground that the defendant was in possession of the lands and collecting all incomes. Plaintiff and his brothers were only in possession of their dwelling land referred to as items, $1,2,4,6,8,9,10,11,12$ and 13 and $1 / 6$ th share of item 41. Plaintiff ${ }^{30}$ stated that he did not possess any of the other lands referred to in the Schedule besides these. In the dwelling land there were only vadalies and palmyrahs. The income from them was only a fraction of what it costed him to fence that compound. The total income from item 41 was Rupees 15.00 and $1 / 6$ th share would be Rupees 2.50 . Of this his mother's share will be Rupees 1.25. The substituted defendant led no evidence to disprove this. I accept the evidence of the plaintiff on this point.

The chief income-giving lands are the Manthantnai tobacco gardens, the boutiques there, and the houses next to Mcthodist College and the fields at Anuradhapura. Plaintiff estimated the income from all these sources at
${ }^{40}$ Rupees $8,000.00$. But he restricted his claim to his mother's half share at Rupees $2,500.00$ per annum, which was also agreed upon by the substituted defendant. I, therefore, fix the income for Rasammah's half share at Rs. 2,500.00 per annum.

Case No. 4329 D.C./P, was between the same partics. It present the substituted defendant stands in the shoes of the defendant only in his capacity as executor of the Will. Otherwise the parties are the same, the inheritance is the same and the points of dispute are the same. Parties appealed
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and the Supreme Court gave its decision which has not been appealed against. Though in the strict legal sense it may not operate as Res Judicata but the law decided is the same and applicable to the parties though the lands involved have different names.

The substituted defendant in paragraph 10 of his answer disclosed that the original defendant had sold some of the land viz., items 38 and 47 and had donated items 14, 19, 21-28, 32, 34, 40 and 46 to his first bed children.

In paragraph 9 of his answer he refers to the partition decrees regarding items, $25,26,33,34,38,39,40,41,46,47,48$ and states they became the separate properties of the original defendant. But the original defendant 10 did not disclose these facts in his answer. It only lends colour to the suggestion that after Rasammah's death, the defendant was more affectionate to his first bed children. When the Last Will alleged to have been made by Rasammah and defendant was challenged by the plaintiff and his brothers, feelings had perhaps become bitter between defendant and his first bed children on one side and the plaintiff and his brothers on the other side.

The defendant was employed as a P.W.D. Engineer in South India. Most of his purchases of land were between the period 1916 -- 1948 as was admitted. When he first married Meenachchipillai he must have been in the lower rungs of the ladder, and was just starting life. P1 lends support ${ }^{20}$ to this. By P1 defendant and his wife Meenachchipillai had raised a mortage for Rupees 750.00. But by D5 defendant and Meenachchipillai purchased Mani Yayal in 1910 for Rupees 500.00 . It may be they raised money on mortgage to purchase this land. Later by D6 they redeemed the mortge ge the same year.

By D3 and D4 defendant came by some lands donated by his grandmother and by a testamentary convevance.

But his real prosperity appears to have started only after he married Rasammah. By deed D1 in 1921 and D2 in 1927 he had purchased lands items, 13, 14 and 6 stating that they were his mudusom money. But plain- ${ }^{30}$ tiff disputed this stating that there was no proof that his father had any separate mudusom money. All monies were acquired by his employment in India. In 1921 and 1927 his mother was living. Further defendant had dowried his daughters by the first marriage and there would not have been much left in his hands.

Cases have now been filed in respect of the lands donated by defendant to his first bed children after plaintiff became aware from the answer of the substituted defendant. The defendant had no right to sell or donate his wife's half shares.

The substituted defendant in paragraph 12 of his answer made claim ${ }^{40}$ for Rupees $\mathbf{1 5 , 0 0 0 . 0 0}$ for building shop, houses at Manthihai after the death of Rasammah. The original defendant made no such claim in his answer. Plaintiff stated that they were built in 1946 when his mother was alive. The only witness called for the defendant was Kadiraman who is a tenant in one of the shops at Manthihai. He stated he was there from 1940 having put up a temporary shed. According to him Kanagaratnam bought
the land in 1951 and put up 3 shops and sunk a well costing in all Rupees; 15,000.00. He could not however state the exact year when Kanagaratnam bought the land. After Kanagaratnam's death he paid the rents to one Simgarapillai and once or twice he paid to substituted defendant. When questioned that Sangarapillai was an inmate at Angoda Hospital, he went back and said that he did not pay direct to Sangarapillai but to Mr. N. A. Rajaratnam on behalf of Sangarapillai. This witness did not impress me as a truthful person. I attach no weight to his evidence. Even the defendant did not claim in his answer that he spent Rupees $\mathbf{1 5 , 0 0 0}$ in putting up these 10 shops after Rasammah's death. It was only the substituted defendant who in his answer claimed the amount. The best evidence on this would have been the account books of defendant Kanagaratnam. It was not disputed that Kanagaratnam lived with his eldest son the substituted defendant during his last years and died there. If he did leave any account book it would have been available to substituted defendant. This should have helped him to prove his claim. Neither were any such accounts produced, nor did substituted defendant give evidence in support of his claim. I accept plaintiff's evidence on this point and hold that those buildings were built and the well sunk when Rasammah was alive.

20 Substituted defendant in his answer clamed that the partition decrees in favour of defendant gave him a new title. But the fact remains that the title to a half-share had already vested in Rasammah though the purchases were in the name of defendant. The husband had only acted as the agent of the wife. They were co-owners. The pecuniary advantage obtained should be for the benefit of both persons. Where the husband was allotted a share it ensures to the benefit of the wife. He holds it in trust for the wife. A Partition decree does not wipe away the character of the property between husband and wife. Section 92 of the Trust Ordinance would come into operation in a situation like this-vide 54 N. L. R. 484.
${ }^{30}$ It was ${ }_{1}$ in 29 N. L. R. 137 that Trust express or constructive is not extinguished by a decree for partition but attaches to the divided portion.

In 63 N.L.R. 49, it was held that a partition decree does not wipe out trust or fideicommissum. I hold that the defendant held his wife Rasammah's share in trust. It is surprising why defendant in his answer did not disclose these facts. He certainly should have done so, if he took up the view that substituted defendant takes.

Though substituted defendant disclosed all these facts in his answer, he did not give evidence in support. The plaintiff alleged that in P2 substitu40 ted defendant disclosed 54 mortgage bonds which were not discharged till the time of his mother Rasammah's death. He was not aware what has happened to all that money.

It was submitted that as items $33,40,46$ and 47 are lands situated in Anuradhapura hence the plaintiff could not maintain this action in this Court as the lands were outside its jurisdiction. But Section 9 of the Civil Procedure Code gives jurisdiction if the lands are within the jurisdiction of the Court or the defendant resides within the jurisdiction of the Court. In this case the original defendant resided within the jurisdiction of this Court and now the substituted defendant too resides within the jurisdiction ${ }^{50}$ of this Court and the court has therefore jurisdiction to hear this case.

On the question of Estoppel as stated by me earlier as the lands bear different names and the capacity of the substituted defendant is that of executor of the Last Will of the deceased defendant, the decree in Case No. 4329 for land called Nitchinganollai does not operate as Res Judicata in this case. But nevertheless the principle decode applies in toto.

The evidence also revals that some of the lispendens in the partition cases referred to have not been correctly registered. It is not necessary to go into these in detail, as I have already held that half shares of thesc lands which had restcl on Rasammah by operation of law and that in the Partition cases where shares were allotted to Kanagaratnam the defendant, he held ${ }^{10}$ Rasammah's shares in them in trust for her.

I answer the issues as follows :-

1. Did the deceased Rasammah become vested with the title to a half share of the lands described in the Schedule to the plaint by operation of law?
A. Yes.
2. Is the defendant in wrongful possession of the half share of the said lands?
A. Yes.
3. What damages is the plaintiff as the administrator of the estate ${ }^{20}$ of Rasammah entitled to recover from the defendant?
A. Rupees $2,500.00$ per annum from date of plaint as agreed.
4. Do the proceedings, decree and order in Case No. 4329 of this court operate as res judicata?
A. Legally No. But the principles decided apply.
5. Were the rights of Rasammah referred to in the plaint governed by Chapter 48 as amended by Ordinance 58 of 1947?
A. No.
6. Were the lands referred to in the plaint purchased by the defendant during the subsistence of his marriage with the said Rasam- ${ }^{30}$ mah?
A. Yes as admitted.
7. If issuc No. 5 is answered in the affirmative, or issue No. 6 in the negative, is the plaintiff entitled to any share of the lands referred to in the plaint?
$A$. Does not arise.
8. Were the lands referred to in paragraph 3 of the answer of the No. 13 substituted defendant purchased by the deceased defendant out Jistriet court of his mudusom and separate money?
$\underset{- \text { Continued }}{19.63}$
A. No.
9. If the above issue is answered in the aflimative, is the plaintifl entitled to a half share out of the said lands?
A. Does not arise.
10. Are the plaintiff and his brothers in possession of the lands referred to in paragraph is of the original answer and paragraph 6 of the amended answer of the substituted defendant?
A. Yes only of items $1,2.4,6,8,9,10,11,12,13$ and $1 / 6$ of 41 in plaintiff's S'rhedule.
11. If the above issue is answered in the affirmative :-
(a) What is the income reccived and appropriated by the plaintiff and his brothers in respect of the said lands?
(b) Is the defendant entitled to credit in respect of any amount found to have been received by the plaintiff and his brothers in respect of the said lands, in the event of the court holding that the plaintiff is entitled to a half share as administrator?
A. (a) Nil.
(b) N o.
12. (a) Does the decree in Case No. 4329 apply to the land called Nitchinganollai partitioned in the said case ?
(b) If' so, does the dereree operate as res judicata in this case:
A. (a) Yes.
(b) No.
13. Were the lands Nos. 25. 26, 33, 34, 38, 39, 40, 41, 46. 47 and 48 in the Schedule annexed to the plaint, separate properties of the deceased defendant by virtue of final partition decree entered in Cases Nos. 2080 I).( ${ }^{\text {Point Pedro, } 2309 \text { D.C. Anuradhapura, 1415P }}$ D.C. Point Pedro, 124P D.C. Point Pedro, 2515 D.C. Anuradhapura, 17655 D.c'. Jatfina, 2263 D.C'. Anuradhapura, and e98s D.C. Point Pedro?
d. No.
14. (a) Were the lands under items Nos. 38 and $4 \%$ sold by the deceased defendant during his lifetime?
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(b) If so. has the plaintiff a cause of action ag ainst the substituted defendant in respect of the said lands ?
A. (a) Yes.
(b) No.
15. Has the deceased defendant donated the lands described under items $14,19,21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28,33,34,40$ and 46 to the substituted defendant Meenammah, Visuwanathan and Sangarappillai, by deeds Nos. 2797, 2798, 2799, 2800 and 2801 dated 2-11-1952 and attested by $P$ Kanapathypillai, Notary Public?
A. Yes.
16. If the above issue is answered in the affirmative, has the plaintiff a cause of action against the substituted defendant in respect of all the lands sold and donated except items Nos. 25, 26, 34, 46 and 47?
A. No.
17. Did the deceased defendant build shop houses, and sink a well in the land described under item 21 of the Schedule to the plaint, after the death of the said Rasammah?
A. No.
18. If the above issue is answered in the affirmative, is the substituted ${ }^{20}$ defendant as executor of the Last Will of the deceased defendant, entitled to compensation for the same?
A. Does not arise.
19. What amount, if any is the substituted defendant entitled to as such compensation?
A. Nil.
20. Is the plaintiff liable to account for the income of the lands Nos. 1, $2,4-13,15,20,24,36,37,41$ and 42 described in the Schedule annexed to the plaint?
A. No.
21. Are the lands under the items $33,40,46$ and 47 described in the schedule annexed to the plaint, situated in the district of Anuradhapura?
A. Yes.
22. If the above issuc is answered in the aflimative, can the plaintiff maintain this action?
A. Yes.
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23. Are the claims of the substituted defendant based on his averments ${ }_{\text {- Continued. }}^{24.63 .63}$ contained in paragraphs 6 and 14 of his answer, maintainable in these proceedings?
A. No.
24. Was the deceased defendant allotted the entirety of the thediatheddam share in partition cases referred to in paragraph 9 of the answer in trust for Rasammah and or as the title deeds were in favour of the deceased defendant?
A. Yes.
25. Was Rasammah and or her estate divested of the beneficial interest in respect of a half share of the thediatheddam of the lands referred to in paragraph 9 of the answer by reason of the decrees referred to in the said paragraph?
A. No.
26. Was lispendens in cases referred to in paragraph 9 of the answer duly registered?
d. No.
27. If issue No. 24 is answered in the affirmative and or if either of the issues 25 or 26 is answered in the negative, is the plaintiff still entitled to claim a half share of the thediatheddam share of the lands referred to in paragraph 9 of the answer?
A. Yes.
28. Has the deceased defendant any right to dispose of a half share of the lands referred to in paragraph 10 of the answer, in the manner alleged in the answer?
A. No.
29. If not, is the plaintiff still entitled to claim the said half share from the substituted defendant?
A. No.
30. Were the improvements alleged in paragraph 12 of the answer effected by the deceased defendant during the lifetime of Rasammah and or with his thediatheddam monies?
A. Yes
31. (a) Did the substituted defendant he his petition dated 31-1-1949 and affidavit annexed thereto, claim from it in respect of an alleged Last Will devising half share of the lands described in the Schedule to the plaint in this case to his father Kanagaratnam the original defendant in this case ?
(b) Did the substituted defendant represent in the said petition and affidavit that Rasammah left behind on her death, the half share of the lands claimed by the plaintiff in this case ?
(c) If either of the issues $31 a$ or $31 b$ is answered in the affirmative, is the substituted defendant estopped from denying that the ${ }^{10}$ estate of Rasammah is entitled to half share of the lands described in the Schedule to the plaint?
A. (id) Yes.
(b) Yes.
(c) Yes.
32. In the event of the Court holding that the substituted defendant conducted himself or made representation as stated in the issucs. $81 a$ and $31 b$, did the plaintiff and the other heirs of the said Rasammah act on the said representation or conduct?
A. Yes.
33. If, issue No. 32 is answered in the negative, is the plaintiff entitled to plead estoppal?
A. Does not arise.

In the result I enter judgment for plaintiff as prayed for, damages fixed at Rs. 2,500.00 per annum and costs.
(Sgd). V. M. Cimaraswamy, Additional District Judge, Point Pedro.

Delivered in the presence of Proctors for plaintiff and defendant.
(Sgd). Illegibly, ${ }^{30}$
District Juidge.
3-6-69.
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DEGREE
IN IHE DISTRIC COCRT OF POINT PEDRO
Kanigaratnam Kathirgiman of Puloly West.
Administrator of the Estate of Rasamma in case No. 400/T. D.(: Point Pedro.
Plaintiff.

No. 6471.
I's.
(Dead) Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam of Puloly West.

## Defendant.

> Yiscranathar Kavagatimeay Sebramaniam of Puloly West, as Executor of the Last Will of the late Defendant Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam.

## Substituted Defendant.

This action coming on for final disposal before V. M. Cumaraswamy Esquire, Acting District Judge of Point Pedro, on the 3rd day of June, 1963 in the presence of Mr. Advocate S. Soorasangaram instructed by Mr. S. Nagalingamudaly, Proctor on the part of the plaintiff and of Mr. Advocate
20 R. Sivapathasunderam instructed by Mr. M. Esurapadham, Proctor on the part of the substituted Defendant and the matter having been heard:

It is ordered and decreed that the plaintiff be and he as Administrator of the Estate of the late Rasamma is hereby declared entitled to a half share of the lands more fully described in the Schedule hereto.

It is further ordered and decreed that the Plaintiff as such Administrator be placed in peaceful possession thereof.

It is further ordered and decreed that the substituted defendant do pay to the plaintiff as such Administrator Rs. 2,500/- per annum as damages from the 14th day of September, 1959 till the plaintiff is placed in peaceful possession of the said lands.

And it is further ordered and decreed that the substituted defendant do pay to the Plaintiff as such Administrator his costs of this action.
(Sgd). Illegibly, District Judge.
The 3rd day of June, 1963. 8-6-63.

## SCHEDULE

1. Land situated at Puloly West, Malavarayakurichchy called "VEERAPANDIANSEEMA" in extent 6, 1/8 Lachchams V.C. and bounded in the East by lane, North by the 2nd land, West by the property of Kathirithamby Subramaniam and others and South by the property of Ponnachchy widow of Kandiah and others. Of this an undivided 16/27 share.
2. Land situated at - Do - called "ETHIROLLAI" in extent 2, $3 / 4$ Lachchams V.C. and bounded on the East and North by lane, West by the property of Ponnu widow of Solian and others and on the South by the ${ }^{10}$ 1st land of this an undivided $61 / 1+4$ share.
3. Land situated at- Do-called "VILVALAI" in extent 8 Lachchams Y.C. and 3,29/32 kulies and bounded on the East by the property of Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam and others, North by lane, West by the property of Kathirgamar Kanapathipillai and others and on the south by the property of Velapper Subramaniam and others. Of this an undivided $1 / 8$ share.
4. Land situated - Do --called "VEERAPANDIANSEEMA" in extent 2, 1/2 Lachchams V.C. and bounded on the East by lane, North by the property of Ponnu wife of Alvan Yelan and West and South by the ${ }^{20}$ property of the heirs of Subramaniam Jekasothy and others. The whole of this.
5. Land situated at Puloly West Singhapahuthevankurichchy called "VILVALAI" in extent 9, 1/2 Lachchams V.C'., and bounded on the East by lane, North by the property of Rasamma wife of Kanagaratnam and others, West by the property of Paramu Subramaniam and others and South by the property of the heirs of Seethevan. Of this an undivided 1/6 share.
6. Land situated at - Do Called "SEEMA" in extent 7, 1/8 Lachchams V.C. - Do - Veedu 1. Of these parcels on the South an extent of 8 Lachchams V.C. and $5 / 8$ kulies and bounded on the East by the property ${ }^{30}$ of Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam, North by the property of Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam and on the West and South by lane. Of this an undivided 1/2 share.
7. Land situated at Puloly West, Malavarayakurichchy called "SEEMA" in extent 4 Lachchams V.C. and bounded on the East by the property of Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam, North by the property of Alvappillai Velautham, West by Street and on the South by the property of V. Kanagaratnam and others. The whole of this.
8. Land situated at-- Do - called "SEEMA " in extent 5 Lachchams V.C. and $1 / 8$ Kuly and bounded on the East and South by the property of 40 V. Kanagaratnam, North by the property of Kandar Sinnathamby and West by lane. The whole of this.
9. Land situated at - Do-called "SEEMA " in extent 4 Lachchams N : 14 V.C. and 13 Kulies and bounded on the East by the property of V. Kanaga- Decree of the ratnam and others, West by the following 10th land and South by the pro- ${ }^{-6-6-63}$ perty of V. Kanagaratnam and others and by lane. The whole of this.
10. Land situated at - Do - called "SEEMA" in extent 9 kulies bounded on the East by the aforesaid 9th land, North by the property of Theivanai widow of Murugappar, West ber the property of Alvappillai Velauthan and on the South by the property of $V$ Kanagaratnam. The whole of this.

10 11. Land situated at Do- called "SEEMA" in extent 1 Lachcham V.C. and bounded on the East by the property of V Kanagaratnam and others, North by the property of Theivanaippillai widow of Murugappar, West by the aforesaid 10th land and on the South by the aforesaid 9 th land. Of this an undivided $1 / 2$ share.
12. Land situated at -- Do - called "NITCHINGANOLLAI" in extent 2 Lachchams V.C. and 17, 5/8 Kulies and bounded on the East and South by lane, North by the property of V. Kanagaratnam and others, West by the property of V. Kanagaratnam. The whole of this.
13. Land called " SEEMA" situated at Puloly West, Singapahuthevankurichchy in extent 15 Lachchams V.C. and 1 Kuly with stone-built house and bounded on the East and South by lane, North by the property of Kandar Sinnathamby and others, West by the property of the heirs of Velauthar Arunasalam and others. The whole of this.
14. Land situated at Puloly West, Malavarayakurichchy called " NEDUNKULAVELITHENI TḦENKILAKILMETKU" in extent 13 Lachchams V.C. is bounded on the East by street, North by the property of Sivakamipillai widow of Murugappar and others, West by the property of Sivagamipillai wife of Sivapragasam and others and South by the property of Kanagaratnam and others. Of this an undivided $1 / 3$ share.
15. Land situated at - Do - called "ARASADI" in extent 6 Lachchams V.C. and bounded on the East by the property of Kathirithamby Subramaniam, North by the property of Sothilingam wife of Cumaraswamy and others and South by the property of Kathirithamby Subramaniam and others. Of this an undivided $33 / 36$ share of $1 / 3$ share and share of well.
16. Land situated at Alvai, Mapankurichy called "PERIATHENY" in extent 1 Lachcham V.C. and bounded on the East by the following 18th. Land, North by the property belonging to Imparsitty Pillaiyar Temple, West ly the property of Kanapathippillai Paramaguru and brothers and South by the property of Sinnathangam wife of Somasundaram. The whole 40 of this and share of well in the North.
17. Lind situated at - Do - called "PERIATHENY" in extent 3, 3/5 Kulies and bounded on the East by the property of V. Kanagaratnam and others, North by the property belonging to Imparciddy Pillaiyar Temple,
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West by the aforesaid 16th land and on the South by the properyy of sinnathangam wife of Somasundaram. Of this an undivided $1 /+$ share and share of well, right of way and water course.
18. Land situated at - Do - called "PERIATHENY" in extent $14,2 / 4$ Kulies with well and bounded on the East by the property of Wallipillai wife of Veluppillai, North by the property belonging to Imperchiddy Pillaiyar Temple. West by lane and South by the property of Sinnathangam wife of Somasundiaram. Of these contained within these boundaries in the well and the share appertaining to this the right of way and water course and undivided $1 / 4$ share of the ground coconut trees and palmyrah ${ }^{10}$ trees.
19. Land situated at Alvai, Malvarayakurichchy called "VATHAYAVILANAI" in extent 92 Lachchams $V . C$ and $5,1 / 4$ Kulies and bounded on the East by lane and graveyard, North and South by the property of Valliappar Vethavanam and others. The whlle of this.
20. Land situated at Alvai, Manivecravakuthevankurichchy called "AYATKULAPAI VAYAL" in extent 22 Lachchams, V.C.Do. Thoddam. 6. - Do - 12 Lachchams V.' '. and bounded on the East by the property of Kathirithamber Subramaniam and others, North by the property of Klandiyait Pillaiyar Koil, West by the property of the heirs of Kumaraswamy ${ }^{20}$ Velayuthar and South by the property of the heirs of Kathiresar Subramaniam and others. Of this an undivided $23 / 96$ share.
21. Land situated at Puloly West, Singapahuthevankurichchy called "MANTHIODAI" in extent 4 Lachchams V.C.-and $\because 20 / 32$ Kulies and bounded on the East by the property of Theivanaippillai wife of Muttucumaraswamy and others, West hy the property of Kanapathipillai Kumarappar and others and South by Road. The whole of this.
2. Land situated at Puloly West, Singhapahutherankurichchy called " MANTHIODAI " in extent 4 Lachchams V.C. and 10/32 Kulies and bounded on the East by the property of Sittampalam Sinnan and others, North ${ }^{30}$ by channel, West by the property of Ponnamma wife of Rasiah, South by the following $2+$ th land. The whole of this and share of well and right of way and water course.
23. Land situated at ... Do ... called " MANTHIODAI" in extent 16, 20/32 Kulies and bounded on the East by the property of Sithamparam Sinnan and others, North by the aforesaid 22nd land. West by the property of Theivanai widow of Maniccam. The whole of this and share of well and right of way and water course.
24. Land situated at Alvai Veerakodiakurichchy called "PAIDAVADAPULATHUVAYAL $"$ in extent $17,3 / 8$ Lachchams V.C. and bounded ${ }^{40}$ on the East and South by the property of Kathirithamby Subramaniam and others, North by the property of Velappar Subramaniam and others. West by the property of Kandappar Kanagasabai and others. Of this an undivided $1 / 4$ share.
25. Land situated at Puloly West, Singapahuthevankurichehy called No. 14 "NEDUNKLL.WVELITHEXI": in extent 4 Lachchans V.C. and 8, 8/16 Diserce of the Kulies with stone built house and bounded on the East by water channel, $\mathbf{B}^{-6-6} \mathbf{- 6 3}$ North by Road West be the property of Kathirithambe Sabapathy and -'ontinucd. others, South by the property of Kathirithamby Sabapathy and others and by the property of Kamachehy wife of Kathirkamu and others. The whole of this.
26. Land situated at Do -... called "NEDUNKILAVELITHENY" in extent 15, $9 / 16$ Kulies is bounded on the East by the property of Britto Muttunayagam. North ly the property of Krishnapillai Mailva${ }^{10}$ ganam. West by water channel and South he the property belonging to Aladipillaiyar $\mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{C}}$ 'mple. The whole of this.
27. Land situated at Puloly Wist. Singapahuthevankurichchy called "THEVIKALADI)Y" in extent 7 Lachchams V.C. and bounded on the East by the following land. North by the property of Kathirithamby Subramaniam and others, West by the property of Sethupillai wife of Sinnathambiar and others and south be the property of supper Murugesu and others. Of this an undivided $1 / 2$ share.
28. Land situated at - Do c... (alled "TIIEVAKALADI)Y" in extent $15.1 / 4$ Lachchams V.C. and bounded on the East by the property of
${ }^{20}$ Thamu Alvar and others. North by the propertro of Thangammah wife of Subramaniam and others, West by the aforesaid land and South by the property of Vallathai wife of Kathirippillai and others. Of this an undivided $1 / 3$ share.
29. Land situated at Puloly West. Matavarayakurichy called "NEDUNKUL.VVEIITIHENI THENKILMKILMETKI' ${ }^{\circ}$ in extent 7 Lachchams V.C'. and $6.1 / 2$ Kulics with well is bounded on the East by lot No. 2 in plan No. 14.50 dated 6 th Junc 1937 and prepared by Mr. G. C. Ganapathipillai, Surveyor belonging to Parupathippillai widow of Kathirithamby, North by the property belonging to Alady Pillayar Temple. West by lot
${ }^{30}$ No. 1 : in the sad Plan and on the South by lane. The whole of this and share in well in lots Nos. 16, 1~, 18 and 20 and share in lots Nos. 19 and 21.
30. Land situated at Maai. Veerakodiakurichy and Maniveeravaguthevankurichy called "SEEMANSEEMA" and "SITIIANAVATTAI" in extent 6 Kulies and bounded on the East by the property of Kandiah Rasaratnam, North by lane. West by the property of Arumugam Velupillai, South by the land called secmanseema. The whole of this.
31. Land situated at Alsai Vecrakodiakurichehy and Manivecraregutherankurichy called "SEEMANSEENL" " in extent 5, 3/8 Lachchams V.C. and bounded on the Last 1) the property of Pillaiyar Koil situated at

40 Vyrappukaladdy, North by the propert: of Ponnampalam Muruguppillai and others and South by thic property of Sethupathiammah wife of Rasaratnam and others. Of this an undivided $1 / 4$ share of the ground and $1 / 4$ share of plantation of the palnyrals and $1 / 4$ share of the remaining palmyrahs.
32. Land situated at Mrai Veerakodiakurichchy and Maniveeravaguthevankurichchy called "SEEMANSEEMA" and "SATHANAVATTAI" in extent 5 Lachchams V.C. and 14. 1/4 Kulies and bounded on the
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East by the property of Kandiah Rasaratnam and others, on the North by the property of Ponnampalam Muruguppillai and others, on the West by the property of Ramu Kathirippillai and others and on the South by the property of Kandiah Rasaratnam and another. Of this $1 / 4$ plantation share.
33. Land situated in the District of Anuradhapura in the village of Puliyankulam called "SIVANBALAWELA" in extent 39 Acres 3 roods and 20.9 perches. Of this extent for lot No. 8 is 3 Acres 1 Rood and 87 perches and bounded on the East by Railway reservation, North by lot No. 2 in the said plan, West and South by lot No. 1D. The whole of this.
34. Land situated at Thunnalai Veerasundaramudalikurichchy called 10 "NUNKAIYAPLIAM " in extent 38 Lachchams V.C. and 6, $27 / 32$ Kulies. Of this the extent for lot No. 2 in plan 663 is 5 Lachchams V.C. and 10, 17/32 Kulies and bounded on the East by lot No. 9, North by lot No. 1 and West by the property of the late Sinnathamby Subramaniam and on the South by lot No. 3. The whole of this.
35. Land situated at Puloly West Malavarayakurichchy called "THINAIKAI.ADDY" in extent 4 Lachchams V.C. and 1, $5 / 32$ Kulies. Of this an extent for lot No. 1 in plan No. 6505 is 3 Lachchams V.C. and 28/32 Kulies and bounded on the East by the property of Kandar Kovinthy, North by Street, West by lot No. 2 in the said plan and on the South by the property ${ }^{20}$ of Kaliappar Kandiah. The whole of this.
36. Land situated at - Do - called "VARANTHANAI" in extent 2,3/4 Lachchams V.C. and bounded on the East by the property of Ponnammah widow of Kandiah and others, North by the water channel, West by the village limit of Alvai and South by the property of Ponnachchy widow of Kandiah and others. Of this an undivided $1 / 18$ share.
37. The land situated at Puloly West, Singapahuthevankurichchy called " VAIRANKALADDY" in extent 12, 1/8 Lachchams V.C. - Do -. Veedu $1 / 4$ forming a total extent of 12 Lachchams V.C. and 8, $1 / 4$ Kulies and bounded on the East, West and South by lane, North by the property of ${ }^{30}$ Annapooranam wife of Arumugam and others. Of this an undivided 7/432 share and $1 / 18$ share out of the palmyrahs and tamarind trees standing on the West of the land.
38. Land situated at Alvai Vecrakodiakurichy called " AMBMLANTHENY" in extent 26 Lachchams V.C. and depicted in plan No. 1720 dated 9th October, 1940 and prepared by K. Valemuruku, Licensed Surveyor. Of this an extent for lot No. 2 in the said plan is 3 Lachchams V.C. and 4, $1 / 2$ Kulies is bounded on the East by the lane, North by lot No. 1, West by the property of Kanapathippillai Kumarasamy and wife Muthuppillai and others and on the South by lot No. 3. The whole of this.
39. Land situated at Alvai, Mapanakurichy called "PERIYATHENY" in extent 7 Lachchams V.C. and 12, $3 / 4$ Kulies as depicted in plan No. 2495 dated 19th June 1947 and prepared by K. Valemuruku, Licensed Surveyor. Of this extent for lot No. 1 is 3 Lachchams V.C. and 12, 1/2 Kulies and
bounded on the East by lot No. 2, North by the property of Theivanai wife No. 1.t of Namasivayam and others, West by the property of Rasammah wife'of Decree of the Kandiah and others and on the South hy lot No. 4. The whole of this \$6.63 and right of way and water course A.B.C. and well lying in the Eastern land.
40. Land situated at Basuwakulam in the District of Anuradhapura called " BASUVIKULAMKELE" " in extent 5 Acres 0 roods and 33 perches. The extent for lot No. 1 is 2 Acres 2 roods and 7 perches and bounded on the East by reservation along the road, North by the property belonging to Pansala, West by Ela and South by lot No. 2. The whole of 10 this.
41. Land situated at Puloly, Malavarayakurichchy called "NEDCNKILAVELITHENY THENKILAKILMETKU". Of this 1 Lachcham V.C. and 11, 1/2 Kulies being lot No. 3 marked in plan filed of record in Partition Case No. 17655 of the District Court of Jaffna and bounded on the East by the property of Sothilingam wife of Kumarasamy, North by the property belonging to Nladi Pillayar Tenple, West by the property of Ponnamma widow of Singaravela. South by lane. Of this an undivided 1/6 share.
42. Land situated at Puloly West, Singapahuthevankurichchy called 20 "silTHOLLAI" in extent 10, 1/8 Lachchaias V.C. Of this 5, 1/8 Lachchams V.C. being Eastern half share and bounded on the East by the property of Lechchumy widow of Ramu and others, North by the property of Kandiah Vairamuttu and others, West by the property of Amuthammah wife of Subramaniam and South by water chanel. Of this an undivided 1/18 share.
43. Land situated at Puloly West, Malavarayakurichchy ralled "VILVALAI " in extent 14, 1/2 Lachchams Y.C. and bounded on the East and North by the property of Kathirithamby Subramaniam and others. West and South by the property of Sinnathamby Somasundaram and others. 30 Of this an undivided $1 / 72$ share.
44. Land situated at - Do -- called " NEDUNKULAVELITHENYKILAKILMETKU " in extent 72 Lachchams V.C. and bounded on the East by the property of Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam and others, North by the property of Sivakamippillai widow of Murugapper and others. West by the property of Veeragathiar Konamalai and others, South by the property of Rasammah widow of Sethuramalingam Kurukkal and others. Of the ground and well contained within these boundaries an undivided $1 / 3$ share.
45. Land situated at Alvai Neelakuddiakurichchy called "URULAI" in extent 31, 5/8 Lachchmams. V.('.-Do-Thoddam 1. Of these parcels an 40 extent of $10,1 / 2$ Lachchams V.C. in the middle. Of this on the North an extent of 5 Lachcham V.C. and 2, 3/4 Kulies and bounded on the East and West by the property of Theivanai daughter of Ambalam and others, North by the property of Kadirgamar Ramalingam and South by the property of Parupathippillai wife of Alvappillai. The whole of this.

No. 14 Jecree of the District Court S-6-4;3
('onlinutrol.
46. Land situated in the District of Anuradhapura in the village of Bandara Bulankulame called "IBLWEL. " "PAHLAL.AWELA" KOKHMMATTAWELA " in extent 33 Acres 4 roods and 24 perches. Of this extent for lot No. 4 is 2 roods 16 perches, lot No. 5 is 3 Acres 8 perches, lot No. 9 is 1 Acre 1 rood and 16 perches. Total 5 Acres.
47. Land situated at the District of Inuradhapura lot No. 6 marked in plan No. 670 dated :-10-42 made by (..J. Sabapathy, Licensed Surveyor, situated at Bandara Puliyankulama in Ebalawewatulana of Kendekorale of Nuwaragampalate in the District of Anuradhapura bounded on the North by lot No. 12 in the said plan No. 670, East by road reservation, South by ${ }^{10}$ lot 5 property belonging to P. B. Bulankulame and Railway reservation and West by Railway reservation containing in extent 4 Acres 2 roods 27/500 perches. The whole of this.
48. Land situated at Puloly $W^{\prime}$ est, Singabahuthe vankurichchy called "KOMMIKALADDY" in extent $3: 2$ Lachchams V.C. and 3 Kulics and bounded on the East by the property of Sithamparanathar Kanapathippillai and others. North by the property of Kanapathiar Vairavippillai and others and by the property of Vallathai wife of Vairamuttu and others, W'st by lane and South by the property of Sithambaranathar Kanapathipillai. Of this an undivided $54 / 384$ share.
(Sgd). Illegibly, District Judge.

Drawn by
(Sgd.)
Proctor for Plaintiff.
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## IN THE DISTRIC'T COURT OF POINT PEDRO

Kanigearatnam Kathirgaman of Puloly West, Administrator of the estate of Rasamma in Case No. 400 T D.C. Point Pedro.

Plaintiff
No. 6471

$$
\mathrm{I} s
$$

(Dead) Vistyanathar kanigimatnam of Puloly West
Visfeinathar Kinagaratnam Sebramaniam of Puloly West as Executor of the Last Will and Testament of the late Visivinithai Kinagaratcian

## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF CEYLON

Viscwanathar Kanagaratnam Subramaniam of Puloly West as Executor of the Last Will and Testament of the late Visivanathar Kavagaratiam of Puloly West

Substituted Defendant- 1ppellant.

Kanagaratnam Kadirgaman of Puloly West, Administrator of the estate of Rasamma in Case No. 400 Testamentary D.C. Point Pedro.

To.

## The Honourable The Chief Justice and the other Judges of the Supreme Court

The 10th day of June, 1963.
The petition of appeal of the abovenamed Substituted DefendantAppellant appearing by M. Esurapadham his Proctor states as follows :-

1. The Plaintiff-Respondent brought this action for a declaration of title to a half share of the lands described in the Schedule to the plaint, to recover damages and possession and costs and also pleaded that the judgPedro operated as res judicata.
2. The Defendant and subsecquently the substituted defendant filed answer denying the Plaintiff's claim and stated that the estate of Rasamma was governed by Ordinance No. 58 of 1947 , that all the acquisitions were in the name of the deceased Defendant, that no share of the lands devolved on his late wife's heirs, that items $6,13,14,16$ to 18,21 to 26,29 to 34.38 , $39,40,44$ to 48 in the Schedule to the plaint were the separate property of the deceased Defendant as the said lands were purchased out of his mudusom money and the separate money of his first bed children, that
${ }^{30}$ items, $25,26,33,34,38,39,40,41,46$ and 47 were the separate property of the deceased Defendant by virtue of Final Partition decrees in Cases Nos. 2080 D.C. Point Pedro, 2309 D.C. Anuradhapura, 1415/P D.C. Point Pedro, 124/P D.C. Point Pedro, 2515 D.C. Anuradhapura, 17655 D.(. Jaffna, 2263 D.C. Anuradhapura and 2988 D.C. Point Pedro, that the plaintiff and his brothers were in possession of items, $1,2.4$ to $13,15,20,24,36,37,41$ and 42 of lands described in the Schedule and should account for the income, that the deceased Defendant had during his lifetime sold items 38 and 47 and had conveyed items, 14, 19, 21 to $28,33,34.40$ and 46 to his first bed children and also claimed Rs. $15,000 /-$ as compensation for improvements made in
${ }^{40}$ respect of item 21 of the Schedule to the plaint and also moved for a dismissal of the action.

No. 15
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3. The case came up for trial on the following issues and the learned Additional District Judge by his judgment dated 3-6-1963 entered decree in favour of the Plaintiff Respondent as prayed for but damages fixed as agreed at Rs. 2,500/- per annum.

1. Did the deceased Rasamma become vested with the title to a half share of the lands described in the Sichedule to the plaint by operation of law?
2. Is the Defendant in wrongful possession of the half share of the said lands?
3. What damages is the plaintiff as administrator of the estate of 10 Rasamma entitled to recover from the Defendant?
4. Do the proceedings decree and order in Case No. 4329 of this Court operate as res judicata?
5. Were the rights of Rasamma referred to in the plaint govern by Chapter 48 as amended by Ordinance No. 58 of 1947 ?
6. Were the lands referred to in the plaint purchased by the Defendant during the subsistence of his marriage with the said Rasammah?
7. If issue No. 5 is answered in the affirmative or issue No. 6 in the negative is the Plaintiff entitled to any share of the land referred ${ }^{20}$ to in the plaint?
8. Were the lands referred to in paragraph 3 of the answer of the substituted Defendant purchased by the deceased Defendant out of his mudusom and separate money?
9. If the above issue is answered in the affirmative is the Plaintill entitled to a half share out of the said lands?
10. Are the Plaintiff and his brothers in possession of the lands referred to in paragraph 5 of the original answer and paragraph 6 of the amended answer of the substituted Defendant?
11. If the above issue is answered in the affirmative :-
(a) What is the income received and appropriated by the Plaintiff and his brothers in respect of the said lands?
(b) Is the Defendant entitled to credit in respect of any amounts found to have been received by the Plaintiff and his brothers in respect of the said lands in the event of the Court holding that the Plaintiff is entitled to a half share as administrator?
12. (a) Does the decree in Case No. 4329 apply to the land called Nitchinganollai partitioned in the said case?
(b) If so, does the decree operate as res judicata in this case?
13. Were the lands Nos. 25, 26, 33, 34, 38, 39, 40, 41, 46, 47, and 48 in No. 15 the Schedule annexed to the plaint separate properties of the Petition of Appeal deceased Defendant by virtue of Final Partition Decree entered in Court.Cases Nos. 2080 D.C. Point Pedro, 2309 D.C. Anuradhapura, -Continued. 1415/P D.C. Point Pedro, 124/P D.C. Point Pedro, 2515 D.C. Anuradhapura, 17655 D.C. Jaffna, 2263 D.C. Anuradhapura and 2988 D.C. Point Pedro?
14. (a) Were the lands under items 38 and 47 sold by the deceased Defendant during his lifetime?
(b) If so, has the Plaintiff a cause of action against the substituted Defendant in respect of the said lands?
15. Has the deceased Defendant donated the lands described under items $14,19,21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28,33,34,40$, and 46 to the substituted Defendant, Meenammah, Viswanathan and Sangarapillai by deeds Nos. 2797, 2798, 2799, 2800 and 2801 dated 2-11-1952 and attested by P. Kanapathipillai Notary Public?
16. If the above issue is answered in the affirmative has the Plaintiff a causc of action against the substituted Defendant in respect of all the lands sold and donated except items Nos. 25, 26, 34, 36 and 17 ?
17. Did the deceased Defendant build shop houses and sink a well in the land described under item 21 of the Schedule to the plaint after the death of the said Rasamma?
18. If the ahove issue is answered in the affirmative is the substituted Defendant as executor of the Last Will of the deceased Defendant entitled to compensation for the same?
19. What amount if ans is the substituted Defendant entitled to as such compensation?
20. Is the Plaintiff liable to account for the income of the land Nos. 1, $2,4-13,15,20,24,36,37,41$ and 42 described in the Schedule annexed to the plaint?
21. Are the lands under items $33,40,46$ and 47 described in the Schedule annexed to the plaint situated in the District of Anuradhapura?
22. If the above issue is answered in the affirmative can the Plaintiff maintain this action?
23. Are the claims of the substituted Defendant based on his averments contained in paragraph 6 and 14 of his answer maintainable in these proceedings ?
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24. Was the deceased Defendant allotted the entirety of Thediatheddam share in partition cases referred to in paragraph 9 of the answer in trust for Rasamma and/or as the title deeds were in favour of the deceased defendant?
25. Was Rasamma and/or her estate divested of the beneficial interest of a half share of the thediatheddam of the lands referred to in paragraph 9 of the answer by reason of the decrees referred to in the said paragraphs?
26. Was lispendens in cases referred to in paragraph 9 of the answer duly registered?
27. If issue No. 24 is answered in the affirmative and/or if either of the issues 25 and 26 is answered in the negative is the Plaintiff still entitled to claim a half share of the thediatheddam share of the lands referred to in paragraph 9 of the answer?
28. Has the deceased Defendant any right to dispose a half share of the land referred to in paragraph 10 of the answer in the manner alleged in the answer?
29. If not, is the Plaintiff still entitled to claim the said half share from the substituted Defendant?
30. Were the improvements alleged in paragraph 12 of the answer ${ }^{20}$ effected by the deceased Defendant during the lifetime of Rasamma and/or with his Thediatheddam money?
31. (a) Did the substituted Defendant by his petition 31-1-1949 an affidavit annexed thereto claim from it in respect of an alleged Last Will devising a half share of the lands described in the schedule to the plaint in this case by his father Kanagaratnam the original Defendant in this case?
(b) Did the substituted Defendant represent in the said petition and affidavit that Rasamma left behind on her death a half share of the lands claimed by the Plaintiff in this case ?
(c) If eithcr of the issues $31(a)$ or $31(b)$ is answered in the affirmative, is the substituted Defendant estopped from denying that the estate of Rasammah is entitled to a half share of the lands described in the Schedule to the plaint?
32. In the event of the Court holding that the substituted Defendant conducted himself or made representations as stated in the issue 31 (a) and 31 (b) did the Plaintiff and the other heirs of the said Rasamma act on the said representations or conduct?
33. If issue No. $\mathbf{3 2}$ is answered in the negative is the Plaintiff entitled to plead estoppel?
4. Feeling dissatisfied with the said Judgment and decree the Appel- No. 15 lant begs to appeal to Your Lordships Court on the following among other to the Supreme grounds that may be urged by counsel at the hearing of this appeal. Court --
(a) The said Judgment is contrary to law and the weight of evidence adduced in this case.
(b) The Appellant respectfully submits that on the evidence and documents produced in this case the learned Additional District Judge should have dismissed Plaintiff's action with costs.
(c) The Appellant humbly submits that the learned Additional District Judge could not have held that a half share of the said lands vested in Rasammah in as much as the estate of Rasammah was governed by Ordinance No. 58 of 1947, as Rasammah died on 20th August, 1948.
(d) The Appellant humbly submits that items 25, 26, 33, 34, 38, $39,40,41,46,47$ an 48 in the Schedule to the plaint cannot in any event be thediatheddam property in as much as partition decrees created a new title in favour of the deceased Defendant.
(e) In view of the fact that the learned Additional District Judge had held that the Plaintiff and his brothers were in possession of some lands he should have set off the income as against the damages and not awarded any damages.
(f) The Appellant humbly submits that the learned Additional District Judge could not have held that items 6, 13, and 14 of the schedule to the plaint were thediatheddam property in as much as the consideration was mudusom money as stated in D1 and I)
(g) The Appellant submits that the learned Additional District Judge could not have held that the Defendant's daughter was dowried in view of the fact that no document was produced to support it.
(h) The Appellant submits that in any event the learned Additional District Judge could not have held that there was any trust in favour of the late Rasammah in respect of items 25. $26,33,34,38,39,40,41,46,47$ and 48 on the Schedule to the plaint in as much as the Final Partition. Decrees wiped away the rights of all persons whomsoever and that in law no valid trust either constructive or otherwise existed.
WHEREFORE the Defendant-Appellant prays:-
(1) That the said Judgment and decree dated 3-6-63 be set aside.
(ii) For costs of this appeal and of the Court below and for such other and further relief as to Your Lordships ' Court shall seem meet.
(Sgd.) M. Esurapadham, Proctor for Defendant Appellant.
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## MEMORANDUM OF DOCUMENTS ANNEXED

(i) List of documents filed by Plaintiff Respondent.
(ii) List of documents filed by Defendant Appellant.
(Sgd.) M. Esurapadham, Proctor for Defendant Appellant.

## 1. List of documents filed by Plaintiff-Respondent

P1. Mortgage bond No. 9973 of 20-1-1910.
P2. Certified copy of petition dated 30-1-1949 filed by the substituted Defendant in Case No. 400/T. D.C. Point Pedro.

P3. Certified copy of affidavit filed by substituted Defendant in ${ }^{\mathbf{1 0}}$ Case No. 400/T. D. C. Point Pedro.

P4. Certified copy of the petition filed by the Plaintiff in Case No. 400/T. D.C. Point Pedro.

P4a. Certified copy of affidavit filed by Plaintiff in Case No. 400/T. D. C. Point Pedro.

P5. Certified copy of deed No. 1573 of 1-4-1943.
P6. Certified copy of deed No. 6579 dated 4-10-1943.
P7. Certified copy of deed No. 6593 of 7-10-1943.
P8. Certified copy of deed No. 2842 of 4-10-1939.
P9. Certified copy of certificate of sale in Case No. 2080 D.C. Point ${ }^{20}$ Pedro.
P10. Certified copy of deed No. 2190 of 16-10-1933.
P11. Certified copy of deed No. 94 of 1-12-1940.
P12. Deed No. 3325 of 4-2-1936.
P13. Certified copy of plaint in Case No. 4329 D. C. Point Pedro.
P13 $a$. Certified copy of the statement of claim filed by the Defendant. in Case No. 4329.

P13b. Certified copy of the statement of claim filed by the Plaintiff and his brothers in Case No. 4329.

P13c. Certified copy of Final Partition Decree in Case No. 4329 D.C. Point Pedro.

P14a \& $b$. Certified copy of the issues and the order on the issues in Case ${ }_{\text {Petition }}^{\text {No. } 15}$ No. 4329 D.C. Point Pedro.

P14c. Judgment of the Supreme Court in Case No. 4329 D.C. Point ${ }_{-C o n t i n u e d . ~}^{\text {10-6-6 }}$ Pedro.

P15. Certified copy of deed No. 2415 of 10-1-1935.
P16. Certified copy of deed No. 1232 of 28-10-1932.
P17 Certified copy of application for registration of lispendens in Case No. 2515 D.C. Anuradhapura.

P18. Certified copy of the plaint in Case No. 2515 D.C. Anuradhapura.

P19. Certified copy of registration entries in folios A 3/287, A 8/3, A 8/49, A 16/331, A 22/312.

P20. Certified copy of registration entries in folios A 35/47, A 134/207.

P21. Certified copy of registration entries in folio A4/226.
P22. Certified copy of registration entries in folios A3/86, A 74/61, A 91/68, A 104/10.

P23. Certified copy of registration entry in folio A 61/23.
P24. Certified copy of application for registration of lispendens in Case No. 2263 D.C. Anuradhapura.

P 25. Certified copy of the plaint in Case No. 2263 D.C. Anuradhapura.

P26. Certified copy of registration entries in folios A 56/205, A 91/67, A $122 / 134$.

P27. Certified copy of registration entries in folios A 93/202, A 96/156, A 119/18.

P28. Certified copy of registration entry in folio A 77/100.
P29. Certified copy of registration entry in folio A 7/69.
P30. Certified copy of registration entry in folio A 39/234.
P31. Certified copy of registration entries in folio A 154/285.
P32. Certified copy of registration entry in folio A 185/11.
P33. Certified copy of registration entry in folio A 3/367.

P34. Certified copy of registration entry in folio A 331/118.
P35. Certified copy of registration entry in folio A 331/117.
P36. Certified copy of registration entry in folios A 208/89 and A11/81.

P37. Certified copy of registration entry in folio A 307/275.
P38. Certified copy of registration entry in folio A 6/155.
P39. Certified copy of registration entry in folio A41/28.
P40. Certified copy of registration entry in folio A 88/250.
P41. Certified copy of registration entry in folios A 123/225, A 193/41, A 27/25.

P42. Certified copy of deed No. 6838 of 10-1-1906.
P43. Certified copy of deed No. 2511 of 24-7-1959.
P44. Certified copy of Inventory in case No. 1420/T. D. C. Jaffna.
2. List of documents filed by Defendant Appellant

D1. Deed No. 16363 dated 5th June, 1921.
D2. Deed No. 20711 dated 21-2-1927.
D3. Deed No. 10834 dated 25-12-1909.
D4. Deed No. 7684 dated 30th June, 1906.
D5. Deed No. 9935 dated 21-1-1910.
D6. Deed No. 10293 dated 9-7-1910.
D7. Deed No. 5619 dated 29-7-1943.
D8. Deed No. 2797 dated 2-11-1952.
D9. Deed No. 2798 dated 2-11-1952.
D10. Deed No. 2799 dated 2-11-1952.
D11. Deed No. 2801 dated 2-11-1952.
D12. Final Partition Decree in Case No. 2515 D.C. Anuradhapura.
D13. Decree for sale in Case No. 2080P. D.C. Point Pedro.

D14. Certificate of sale and Final Partition Decree in D.C. Jaffna No. 15 Case No. 8841 filed in Case No. 2080 P. D.C. Point Pedro. $\begin{gathered}\text { Petition of Appea } \\ \text { to the Supreme } \\ \text { Court }\end{gathered}$
D15. Certified copy of registration entry in folio A 88/254. ${ }^{10-6-63}$
D16. Certified copy of Journal Entry of 24-9-1949 in Case No. 400/T D.C. Point Pedro.

D17. Final Partition Decree in Case No. 2309 D.C. Anuradhapura.
D18. Final Partition Decree in Case No. 1415/P. D.C. Point Pedro.
D19. Final Partition Decree in Case No. 124/P. D.C. Point Pedro.
D20. Final Partition decree in Case No. 2263 D. C. Anuradhapura.
D21. Final Partition Decree in Case No. 2988 D. C. Point Pedro.
(Sgd.) M. Esurapadham, Proctor for Defendant Appellant

## No. 16

No. 16
Judgment of the Supreme Court -5-2-66

## Judgment of the Supreme Court

S.C. $280(\mathrm{~F}) / 1963 \quad$ D.C. Point Pedro - 6471

Present: Tambiah. J. \& Sri Skanda Rajah, J.
Counsel: H. W. Jayawardene, Q.C., with V Arulambalam and B. EliyaThmiby for the Substituted-Defendant-Appellant.

20 C. Ranganathan, Q.C., with S. Sharvananda for the PlaintiffRespondent.

Argued and Decided on : Felruary 5th, 1966.
Tambiah, J.
Plaintiff brought this action for declaration of title to a half share of the lands described in the Schedule to the plaint, to recover damages and possession, on the footing that these properties were acquired by his father Viswanathar Kanagaratnam, the defendant, during the subsistence of the marriage between his father and his mother Rasammah. Rasammah, married in 1916 and died on the 20th of August, 1948.

No. 16
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It is the case of the Plaintiff, who is the administrator of the estate of Rasammah, that a half share of these properties has been vested in the heirs of Rasammah. The defendant in this case Viswanathar Kanagaratnam is the father of the plaintiff. Viswanathar Kanagaratnam died during the course of these proceedings in the lower court. The Substituted-Defendant who is the administrator of this estate was substituted.

The defendant took up the position that although the parties were governed by the law of Thesawalamai as amended by Ordinance No. 58 of 1947 , it had a retrospective effect.

The learned District Judge has held against the defendant on this point ${ }^{10}$ since this matter is covered by the decision of a Court of Five judges. As the same point was decided between the same parties in an earlier case, it was not possible for Mr. Jayawardene to press this point in appeal. Mr. Jayawardene however contended that out of these 48 lands plaintiff was in possession of some of the lands and therefore he could not claim mesne profits or damages. The evidence led in the case showed that the mesne profits from the lands possessed by the plaintiff are negligible.

He also contended that some of the lands have been sold and gifted by the defendant during his lifetime, and therefore an action for declaration and title or damages cannot be brought against him. The uncontradicted ${ }^{20}$ evidence in the case is that the defendant is in possession of all these lands and denied the title of the plaintiff. Therefore the plaintiff is entitled to bring this action for declaration of title and damages. Mr. Jayawardene also contended that as the defendant and the children are the heirs of Rasammah they are co-owners and therefore an order of ejectment cannot be granted in this case. The proper action should be a partition action. This contention is sound. We delete that part of the decree in paragraph 3, which is as follows :-
"It is further ordered and decreed that the plaintiff" as such administrator be placed in peaceful possession thereof."

Subject to this alteration, the decree will stand. The appeal is dismissed with costs.
(Sgd.) H. W. Tamblaf,
Puisne Justice.

Sri Skanda Rajah, J.
I agree.
(Sgd.) Sri Skanda Rajah,
Puisne Justice.

No. 17

No. 17
Decree of the Supreme Court -5-2-66
S.C. 280/63 (F)

Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Ceylon and of Her other Realms and Territories Head of the Commonwealth

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE ISLAND OF CEYLON
Kanagaratnam Kathirgaman of Puloly West, Administrator of the Estate of Rasammah in Case No. 400 Testamentary D.C. Point Pedro.
(Dead) Viswanathar Kanagaratnam of Puloly West.
Defendant.
Viswanathar Kanagaratnam Subramaniam of Puloly West as Executor of the Last Will and Testament of the late Viswanathar Kanagaratnam of Puloly West.

Substituted-Defendant.
Viswanathar Kanagaratnam Subramaniam of Puloly West as Executor of the Last Will and Testament of the late Viswanathar Kanagaratnam of Puloly West.

Substituted Defendant-Appellant.
Against
Kanagaratnam Kithirgaman of Puloly West.
Plaintiff Respondent.
Action No. 6471.
District Court of Point Pedro.

This cause coming on for hearing and determination on the 5 th day of February, 1966 and on this day, upon an appeal preferred by the Substituted-Defendant-Appellant before the Hon. Henry Wijayakone Tambiah, Q.C.,
${ }^{30}$ Puisne Justice and the Hon. Ponnuduraisamy Sri Skanda Rajah, Puisne Justice of this Court, in the presence of Counsel for the Substituted-Defen-dant-Appellant and the Plaintiff-Respondent.

No. 17 Decree of the Supreme Court-5-2-66
Contimurd.

No. 18
Application for Conditional Leave to Appeal to the Privy Council-3-8-66

It is considered and adjudged that the part of the decree in paragraph 3 entered in the lower Court which reads, " It is further ordered and decreed that the plaintiff as such administrator be placed in peaceful possession thereof, " be and the same is hereby deleted. Subject to this alteration, the decree will stand.

It is ordered and decreed that this appeal is dismissed.
It is further ordered and decreed that the Substituted-DefendantAppellant do pay to the Plaintiff-Respondent the taxed costs of this appeal.
(Vide Copy of Judgment attached.)
Witness the Honourable Miliani Claude Sansoni, Chief Justice at ${ }^{10}$ Colombo, the 17th day of February in the year One Thousand Nine Hundred and Sixty-Six and of Our Reign the Fifteenth.

> (Sgd.) B. F Perera.
> Deputy Registrar, Supreme Court.

No. 18

## Application for Conditional Leave for Appeal to the Privy Council IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE ISLAND OF CEYLON

In the Matter of an Application under Rule 2 of the Schedule to the Appeals (Privy Council) ordinance Chapter 100 for Conditional Leave to Appeal to Her Majesty in Council

Viswanathar Kanagahatiam Subramaniam of Puloly West as Executor of the Last Will and Testament of the late Viswanathar Kanagaratnam of Puloly West

> Substituted - Defendant - Appellant.

No. 6471 D.C. Point Pedro
I's.
S.C. 280/1963(F)

77/66
Kanagaratnam Kadirgaman of Puloly West Administrator of the estate of Rasammah in Case No. 400 Testamentary D.( Point Pedro.

Plaimiff-Respondent.
To :
The Honourable the Chief Justice and the other Judges of the Honourable the Supreme Court of the Island of Ceylon

On this 3rd day of March, 1966.
The petition of the Substituted-Defendant-Appellant appearing by S. Velauthapillai his Proctor states as follows :-

1. The Appellant is the Substituted-Defendant-Appellant and the No. 18 Respondent is the Plaintiff-Respondent in SC. Appeal No 280/1963(F) Application for in the Dist Cour Poir Pedro Case No. 64\%1. in the District Court of Point Pedro Case No. 6471.
2. Being aggrieved by the Judgment of this Court pronounced on the -comimued. 5th February, 1966 the Petitioner is desirous of appealing therefrom to Her Majesty the Queen in Council.
3. The said Judgment of the Supreme Court is a final Judgment and the matter in dispute on the Appeal amounts to over Rs. $5,000 /-$ and/or the appeal involved directly or indirectly a sum claimed or questioned to or
4. The Petitioner has within 14 days from the date of the said Judgment given the Plaintiff-Respondent abovenamed the following Notice of his intended application for Leave to Appeal to Her Majesty the Queen in Council (Case No. S.C. 280/(F)/63--6471 D.C. Point Pedro.

Take Notice that I intend applying to the Hon'ble The Supreme Court Under Rule 2 of the Schedule to the Privy Council Appeals Ordinance Chapter 100 of the Legislative Enactments for Leave to Appeal to Her Majesty the Queen in Council against the Judgment of the Supreme Court delivered on 5th February, 1966 in the above case.

This 13th day of February, 1966.

> (Sgd.) V. K. Subramaniam, Substituted - Defendant.
5. The aforesaid Notice of the Petitioner's intended application for Leave to Appeal to Her Majesty the Queen in Council was sent by the Petitioner to the Plaintiff-Respondent under Registered cover addressed to 67, Davidson Road, Bambalapitiya on 13th day of February, 1966 and also under Certificate of Posting on 13th day of February, 1966 and also a Telegram dated 13th day of February, 1966. The said Registered letter, the letter sent under certificate of posting and the Telegram have not been returned

WHEREFORE the Petitioner prays that Your Lordships' Court be pleased :---
(a) to make Order granting the Petitioner conditional leave to Appeal to Her Majesty the Queen in Council from the said Judgment of the Supreme Court dated the 5th day of February, 1966.
(b) For costs, and,
(c) For such other and further relief in the premises as to Your Lordships Court shall seem meet.
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# Minute of Order granting Conditional Leave to Appeal to the Privy Council 

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE ISLAND OF CEYLON

In the matter of an application for Conditional Leave to Appeal to the Privy Council under the Rules set out in the Schedule to the Appeals (Privy Council) Ordinance.

Viswanathar Kanagaratnam Subramaniam of Puloly West as Executor of the Last Will and Testament of the late Viswanathar Kanagaratnam of Puloly West.
S.C. 280 (F) /1963

Substituted-Defendant-Appellant.
D.(. Point Pedro

Case No. 6471.
I's.
S. C. Application

No. 77 of 1966.

Kanagaratnam Kadirgaman of Puloly West Administrator of the estate of Rasammah in Case No. 400 Testamentary D.C. Point Pedro.

Plaintiff Respondent.

The application of Tiswanathar Kanagaratnam Subramaniam of Puloly ${ }^{20}$ West as Executor of the Last will and Testament of the late Viswanathar Kanagaratnam of Puloly West, for Conditional Leave to Appeal to Her Majesty the Queen in Council from the judgment and decree of the Supreme Court of the Island of Ceylon pronounced on the 5th day of February, 1966, in S.C. 280 (Final) of 1963 D.C. Point Pedro Case No. 6471, having been listed for hearing and determination before the Honourable Hugh Norman Gregory Fernando, Puisne Justice and the Honourable Asoka Windra Hemantha Abeyesundere, Q. C., Puisne Justice, in the presence of V. Arulambalam Esquire, Advocate for the Substituted-Defendant Appellant and S. Sharvananda Esquire, with K. Sivananthan Esquire, Advocates for ${ }^{30}$ the Plaintiff-Respondent, order has been made by Their Lordships on the Eighth day of May, 1966 allowing the aforementioned application for Conditional Leave to Appeal to Her Majesty the Queen in Council.
(Sgd.) N. Navaratnam, Registrar of the Supreme Court.

No. 20

No. 20 Application for Final Leave to Appeal to the Privy Council $4-6 \cdot 66$ IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE ISLAND OF CEYLON

In the Matter of an A ppeal to Her Majesty the Queen in Council.

Viswanathar Kanagarativam Subramaniam of Puloly West as Executor of the Last Will and Testament of the late Viswanathar Kanagaratnam of Puloly West

Substituted Defendant Appellant.
${ }^{10}$ S.C. No. 280/1963 (Final)
D.C. No. 6471 Point Pedro Application for Conditional leave to
Appeal No. 77/66
229/66
Kanagaratnam Kadirgamar of Puloly West Administrator of the Estate of Rasammah in Case No. 400 Testamentary D.C. Point Pedro.

Plaintiff Respondent.
On the 4th day of June, 1966.
To His Lordship the Honourable the Chief Justice and other Judges of the Supreme Court of the Island of Ceylon.
The Petition of the substituted Defendant-Appellant Petitioner abovenamed appearing by Mr. S. Velauthapillai, his Proctor, states as follows:-

1. The Substituted-Defendant-Appellant Petitioner on the 8 th day of May, 1966 obtained Conditional Leave from this Honourable Court to appeal to Her Majesty the Queen in Council against the judgment of this Court pronounced on the 5th day of February, 1966.
2. That the Substituted-Defendant-Appellant has in compliance with the conditions on which such leave is granted given security by deposit of
${ }^{30}$ a sum of Rupees Three Thousand (Rs. 3,000/-) with the Registrar of the Supreme Court and by hypothecation thereof by Bond and also deposited with the Registrar a sum of Rupees three hundred (Rs. 300/-) in respect of the amount and fees mentioned in Section 4(2) (b) (c) of the Appeals (Privy Council) Ordinance.

WHEREFORE the Substituted-Defendant-Appellant Petitioner prays :
(a) that he be granted Final Leave to Appeal against the said Judgment of this Court dated 5th day of February, 1966 to Her Majesty the Queen in Council
(b) for costs and,

40
(c) for such other and further relief as to Your Lordships' Court shall seem meet.
(Sgd.) S. Velauthapillai, Proctor for Substituted-Defendant-Appellart.
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## Minute of Order granting Final Leave to Appeal to the Privy Council

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE ISLAND OF CEYLON

In the Matter of an application for Final Leave to Appeal to the Privy Council under the Rules set out in the S'hedule to the Appeals (Privy Council) Ordinance.

Viswan.ttilar Kinagaratnam Subramaniam of Puloly West as Executor of the Last Will and Testament of the Late Viswanathar Kanagaratnam of Puloly West.
S.C. Application

No. 77/66
Substituted-Defendant - Appellant. (Conditional Leave)
S.C. Application

Vs.
No. 229/66
(Final Leave)
S.C. 280/F)/1963
D.C. Point Pedro

Case No. 6471
Kanagaratnam Kadirgaman of Puloly West - Adminis-
trator of the Estate of Rasammah in Case No. 400 Testamentary D.c. Point Pedro.

Plaintiff-Respondent.

The application of Viswanathar Kanagaratnam Subramaniam of Puloly West as Executor of the Last Will and Testament of the late Viswanathar Kanagaratnam of Puloly West, for Final Leave to Appeal to Her Majesty the Queen in Council from the Judgment and decrce of the Supreme Court of the Island of Ceylon pronounced on the 5th day of February, 1966 in S.C. 280 (Final) of 1963 D.C. Point Pedro Case No. 6471, having been listed for hearing and determination before the Honourable Ponnudurai- ${ }^{30}$ samy Sri Skanda Rajah, Puisne Justice, and the Honourable Anthony Christopher Augustus Alles, Puisne Justice, in the presence of V. Arulambalam Esquire, Advocate for the substituted Defendant-Appellant; and S. Sharvananda Esquire, Advocate, for the Plaintiff-Respondent order has been made by Their Lordships on the 20th day of July, 1966 allowing the aforementioned application for Final Leave to Appeal to Her Majesty the Queen in Council.
(Sgd.) N. Navaratiam, Registrar of the Supreme Court.

No. 22
Amended Decree of the District Court
AMENDED DECREE
IN THE DISTRIC'T COURT OF POINT PEDRO
Kanagaratnam Kathirgaman of Puloly West Administrator of the estate of Rasammah in Case No. 400T. D.C. Point Pedro.

No. 6471
(Dead) Viswanathir Kanagaratsam of Puloly West

## Defendant.

Viswanathar Kanagaratnam Subiamaniam of
Puloly West as Executor of the Last Will of the late
defendant Viswanathar Kagagaratnam.

## Substituted Defendant.

This action coming on for final disposal before 1. M. Cumaraswamy Esquire, Acting District Judge of Point Pedro on the 3rd day of June, 1965 in the presence of Mr. Advocate Soorasangaram instructed by Mr. S. Nagalingamudaly Proctor on the part of the plaintiff and of Mr. Advocate R. ${ }^{20}$ Sivapathasundaram instructed by Mr. M. Esurapadham Proctor on the part of the substituted-Defendant and the matter having been heard.

It is ordered and decreed that the plaintiff be and he as administrator of the estate of the late Rasammah is hereby declared entitled to a half share of the lands morefully described in the Schedule hereto.

It is further ordered and decreed that the substituted defendant do pay to the plaintiff as such administrator Rs. 2,500/- per annum as damages from the 14th day of September, 1959 till the plaintiff is placed in peaceful possession of the said lands.

And it is further ordered and decreed that the substituted defendant ${ }^{30}$ do pay to the plaintiff as such administrator his costs of this action.

This 8th day of March, 1966.

Drawn by
(Sgd.) V Siva Supramaniam, District Judge.
(Sgd.) S. Nagalingamudaly
Proctor for Plaintiff.
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## SCHEDULE

1. Land situated at Puloly West Malavarayakurichchy called "Veerapandianseema" in extent $61 / 8$ Lachchams V.C. and bounded on the East by lane, North by the 2 nd land, West by the property of Kathirithamby Subramaniam and others and South by the property of Ponnachchy widow of Kandiah and others. Of this an undivided 16/27.
2. Land situated at-do-called Ethirollai" in extent 2 3/4 Lachchams V.C. bounded on the East and North by lane, West by the property of Ponnu widow of Solian and others and on the South by the lst Land. Of this an undivided 61/14t.
3. Land situated at-do-called "Vilvalai" in extent 8 Lachchams V.C. and $329 / 32$ Kulies and bounded on the East by the property of Viswanathar Kanagaratnam and others, North by lane, West by the property of Kathirkamar Kanapathipillai and others, and on the South by the property of Velappar Subramaniam and others. Of this an undivided $1 / 8$ share.
4. Land situated at-do-called "Veerapandianseema" in extent 2 1/2 Lachchams V.C. bounded on the East by lane, North by the property of Ponnu wife of Alvan Velan, and West and South by the property of the heirs of Subramaniam Jekasothy and others. The whole of this.
5. Land situated at Puloly West Sinhapahuthevankurichchy called ${ }^{20}$ "Vilvalai" in extent $91 / 2$ Lachchams V.C. and bounded on the East by lane, North by the property of Rasammah wife of Kanagaratnam and others, West by the property of Paramu Subramaniam and others and South by the property of the heirs of Seethevan. Of this an undivided $1 / 6$.
6. Land situated at-do-called "Seema" in extent $71 / 8$ Lachchams V.C. -do-Vecdu 1. Of these parcels on the South an extent of 8 Lachchams V.C. and $5 / 6$ Kulies and bounded on the East by the property of Viswanathar Kanagaratnam, North by the property of Viswanathar Kanagaratnam and on the West and South by lane. Of this an undivided 1/2 share.
7. Land situated at Puloly West Malavarayakurichchy called "Seema" $\mathbf{n 0}$ in extent 4 Lachchams V.C. and bounded on the East by the property of Viswanathar Kanagaratnam, North by the property of Alvappillai Velautham, West by street and on the South by the property of V. Kanagaratnam and others. The whole of this.
8. Land situated at-do-called "Seema" in extent 5 Lachcham V.C. and $1 / 8$ Kulies and bounded on the East and South by the property of V. Kanagaratnam, North by the property of Kandar Sinnathamby and West by lane. The whole of this.
9. Land situated at-do-called "Seema" in extent 4 Lachchams V.C. and 13 Kulies and bounded on the East by the property of V. Kanagaratnam ${ }^{40}$ and others. West by the following 10th land and South by the property of V. Kanagaratnam and others and by lane. The whole of this.
10. Land situated at-do-called "Seema" in extent 9 Kulies bounded on tne East by the aforesaid 9th Jand, North by the property of Theivanai widow of Murugappar, West by the property of Alvappillai Velautham and on the South by the property of V. Kanagaratnam. The whole of this.

Amended Decree
of the District
Court District Court --Continued.
11. Land situated at-do-called " Seema " in extent 1 Lachcham V.C. and bounded on the East by the property of V. Kanagaratnam and others, North by the property of Theivanaippillai widow of Murugappar, West by the aforesaid 10th land and on the South by the aforesaid 9th land. Of this an undivided $1 / 2$ share.
12. Land situated at-do-called "Nitchinganollai" in extent 2 Lachchams V.C. and $175 / 8$ Kulies and bounded on the East and South by lane, North by the property of V. Kanagaratnam and others, West by the property of V. Kanagaratnam. The whole of this.
13. Land called "Seema" situated at Puloly West, Singapahuthevankurichchy in extent 15 Lachchams V.C. and 1 Kuly with stone built house and bounded on the East and South by lane, North by the property of Kandar Sinnathamby and others, West by the property of the heirs of Velauthar Arunasalam and others. The whole of this.
14. Land situated at Puloly West Malavarayakurichchy called 20 "Nedunkulavelitheny-Thenkilakilmetku" in extent 13 Lachchams V.C. is bounded on the East hy street, North by the property of Sivakamipillai widow of Murugappar and others, West by the property of Sivakamipillai wife of Sivapragasam and others and South by the property of Kanagaratnam and others. Of this an undirided $1 / 3 \mathrm{rd}$ share.
15. Land situated at-do-called " Arasadi " in extent 6 Lachchams V.C. and bounded on the East by the property of Kathirithamby Subramaniam, North by the property of Sothilingam wife of Cumarasamy and others, West by lane and Soutn by the property of Kathirithamby Subramaniam and others. Of this an undivided $33 / 36$ share of $1 / 3 \mathrm{rd}$ share and share ${ }^{80}$ of well.
16. Land situated at Alvai Mappanakurichy called "Periatheny" in extent 1 Lachcham V.C. and bounded on the East by the following 18th land, North by the property belonging to Imperchiddy Pillaiyar Temple, West by the property of Kanapathippillai Paramaguru and brothers and South by the property of Sinnathangam wife of Somasundaram. The whole of this and share of well in the North.
17. Land situated at-do-called " Periatheny" in extent 3 3/5 Kulies and bounded on the East by the property of V. Kanagaratnam and others, North by the property belonging to Imperchiddy Pillaiyar temple, West by 40 the aforesaid 16th land and on the South by the property of Sinnathangam wife of Somasundaram. Of this an undivided $1 / 4$ th share and share of well right of way and water course.
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18. Land situated at-do-called " Periyatheny" in extent $142 / 4$ Kulies with well and bounded on the East by the property of Wallipiltai wife of Velupillai, North by the property belonging to Imperchiddy Pillaiyar temple, West by lane and South by the property of Sinnathangam wife of Somasundaram. Of those contained within these boundaries is the well and the share apertaining to this the right of way and water course and an undivided 1/4th share of the ground, coconut trees and palmyrah trees.
19. Land situated at Alvai Malavarayakurichchy called "Vathayavilanai" in extent 92 Lachchams V.C. and $51 / 4$ Kulies and bounded on the East by lane and graveyard, North and South by the property of 10 Valliappar Vethavanam and others. The whole of this.
20. Land situated at Alvai Maniveerapakuthevankurichchy called " Ayatkulapai Vayal" in extent 22 Lachchams V.C.-do-Thoddam 6-Do12 Lachchams V.C. and bounded on the East by the property of Kathirithamby Subramaniam and others, North by the property of Kalandiyait Pillaiyar Koil, West by the property of the heirs of Kumarasamy Velauthar and South by the property of the heirs of Kathiresar Subramaniam and others. Of this an undivided $23 / 96$ share.
21. Land situated at Puloly West Singhapahuthevankurichchy called "Manthiodai" in extent 4 Lachchams V.C. and 2, $20 / 32$ Kulies and bounded ${ }^{20}$ on the East by the property of Theivanaipillai wife of Muthucumarasamy and others, West by the property of Kanapathipillai Kumarappar and others and South by road. The whole of this.
22. Land situated at Puloly West Singhapahuthevankurichchy called " Manthiodai" in extent 4 Lachchams V.C. and 10/32 Kulies and bounded on the East by the property of Sithamparam Sinnan and others, North by channel, West by the property of Ponnammah wife of Rasiah, South by the following 24th land. The whole of this and share of well and right of way and water course.
23. Land situated at-do-called "Manthiodai" in extent 16, 20/32 kulies ${ }^{30}$ and bounded on the East by the property of Sithamparam Sinnan and others, North by the aforesaid $23 r d$ land, West by the property of Theivanai widow of Maniccam. The whole of this and share of well and right of way and water course.
24. Land situated at Alvai Veerakodiakurichy called "Padavadapulathuvayal" in extent 17, 3/8 Lachchams V.C. and bounded on the East and South by the property of Kathirithamby Subramaniam and others, North by the property of Velappar Subramaniam and others, West by the property of Kandappar Kanagasabai and others. Of this an undivided 1/4 share.
25. Land situated at Puloly West Singhapahuthevankurichchy called " Nedunkulavelitheny " in extent 4 Lachchams V.C. and 8, 8/16 Kulies, with stone built house and bounded on the East by water channel, North by road, West by the property of Kathirithamby Sabapathy and others, South by the property of Kathirithamby Sabapathy and others and by the property of Kamadchy wife of Kathirkamoe and others. The whole of this.
26. Land situated at do called "Nedunkulavclitheny" in extent No. 22 15, 9/16 Kulies is bounded on the East by the property of Britto Muthu- Amended Decrec nayagam, North by the property of Krishnapillai Mailvaganam, West by Courtthe water channel and South by the property belonging to Aladipillaiyar --Continued. Temple. The whole of this.
27. Land situated at Puloly West Singhapahuthevankurichy called "Thevakaladdy " in extent 7 Lachchanis V.C. and bounded on the East by the following land, North by the property of Kathirithamby Subramaniam and others, West by the property of Sethupillai wife of Sinnathambiar
10 and others and South by the property of Supper Murugesu and others. Of this an undivided $1 / 2$ share.
28. Land situated at-do-called "Thevakaladdy" in evtent 15, 1/4 Lachchams V.C. and bounded on the East by the property of Thamoo Alvar and others, North by the property of Thangammah wife of Subramaniam and others. West by the aforesaid land and South by the property of Vallathai wife of Kathiripillai and others. Of this an undivided $1 / 3$ share.
29. Land situated at Puloly West Malavarayakurichy called " Nedunkulavelitheni Thenkilakilmetku" in extent 7 Lachchams V.C. and 6 1/2 Kulies with well is bounded on the East by lot 2 in plan No. 1450 dated ${ }^{20}$ 6th June, 1937 and prepared by Mr. G. C. Ganapathipillai Surveyor, belonging to Parupathipillai widow of Kathirithamby, North by the property belonging to Alady Pillaiyar Temple, West by lot No. 15 in the said plan and the South by lane. The whole of this and shares in well in lots Nos. 16, 17, 18 and 20 and share in lots Nos. 19 and 21.
30. Land situated at Alvai Veerakodiakurichy and Maniveeravakuthevankurichy called "Seemanseema" and " Sathanavattai " in extent 6 Kulies and bounded on the East by the property of Kandiah Rasaratnam, North by lane, West by the property of Arumugam Velupillai, South by the land called Seemanseema. The whole of this.
31. Land situated at Alvai Veerakodiakurichy and Maniveeravakuthevankurichy called "Seemanseema" in extent 5 3/8 Lachchams V.C. and bounded on the East by the property of Pillaiyar Koil situated at Vyrappukaladdy, North by the property of Ponnambalam Murugupillai and others and South by the property of Sethupathi Ammah wife of Rasaratnam and others. Of this an undivided $1 / 4$ share of the ground and $1 / 4$ share of plantation of the palmyrahs and $1 / 4$ share of the remaining palmyrahs.
32. Land situated at Alvai Verakodiakurichy and Maniveeravakuthevankurichchy called "Seemanseema" and "Sathanavattai" in extent 405 Lachchams V.C. and $141 / 4$ Kulies and bounded on the East by the property of Kandiah Rasaratnam and others. on the North by the property of Ponnambalam Murugupillai and others. on the West by the property of Ramiu Kathiripillai and others, and on the South by the property of Kandiah Rasaratnam and another. Of this $1 / 4$ plantation share.
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333. Land situated in the District of Anuradhapura in the village of Puliyankulam called "Sivanbalawela" in extent 39 Acres 3 roods and 20.9 perches. Of this extent for lot No. 8 is 3 Acres, 1 rood and .87 perches and bounded on the East by Railway reservation, North by Lot No. 2 in the said plan, West and South by Lot No. 1 D. The whole of this.
334. Land situated at Thunnalai Veerasundaramudalikurichchy called "NUNKAIYAPULAM" in extent 38 Lachchams v.c. and $627 / 32$ Kulies. Of this the extent for lot No. 2 in plan No. 663 is 5 Lachchams V.C. and 10 17/32 Kulies and bounded on the East by lot No. 9, North by Lot No. 1 and West by the property of the late Simathamby Subramaniam and on the South 10 by lot No. 3. The whole of this.
335. Land situated at Puloly West Malavarayakurichchy called "Thinaikaladdy" in extent 4 Lachchams V.C. and $15 / 32$ Kulies. Of this an extent for lot No. 1 in plan No. 6505 is 3 Lachchams V.C. and 28/32 Kulies and bounded on the East by the property of Kandar Kovinthy. North by street, West by lot No. 2 in the said plan and on the South by the property of Kaliappar Kandiah. The whole of this.
336. Land situated at-do-called "Varanthanai" in extent 2 3/4 Lachchams V.C'. and bounded on the East by the property of Ponnammah widow of Kandiah and others, North by water channel, West by the village limit of ${ }^{20}$ Alvai and South by the property of Ponnachchy widow of Kandiah and others. Of this an undivided $1 / 18$ share.
337. Land situated at Puloly West Singapahuthevankurichchy called "Vairankaladdy" in extent $121 / 8$ Lachchams V.C.-do-Veedu $1 / 4$ forming a total extent of 12 Lachchams V.C. and 8, $1 / 4$ Kulies and bounded on the East, West and South by lane, North by the property of Annapooranam wife of Arumugam and others. Of this an undivided $\boldsymbol{7} / 432$ share and $1 / 18$ share out of the palmyrahs and tamarind trees standing on the West of the land.
338. Land situated at Alvai Veerakodiakurichchy called "Ambalan- 30 theny" in extent 26 Lachchams V.C. and depicted in Plan No. 1720 dated 9th October 1940 and prepared by K. Velmuruku, Licensed Surveyor. Of this an extent for lot No. 2 in the said plan is 3 Lachchams V.C. and $4 \mathbf{1 / 2}$ Kulies is bounded on the East by lane, North by lot No. 1, West by the property of Kanapathipillai Kumarasamy and wife Muthupillai and others and on the South by lot No. 3. The whole of this.
339. Land situated at Alvai Mapanakurichy called "Periyatheny" in extent 7 Lachchams V.C. and $123 / 4$ Kulies as depicted in plan No. 2495 dated 19 th June, 1947 and prepared by K. Velmuruku, Licensed survevor. Of this an extent for lot No. 1 is 3 Lachchams V.C. and 12, $1 / 2$ Kulies and ${ }^{40}$ bounded on the East by Lot No. 2, North by the property of Theivanai wife of Namasivayam and others, West by the property of Rasammah wife of Kandiah and others and on the South by lot No. 4. The whole of this and right of way and water course A.B.C. and well lying on the Eastern land,
340. Land situatid at Basawakkulama in the District of Anuradhapura No. 22 called "Basuwakkulamkele" in extent 5 Acres 0 rood and 33 perches. The of the Decree extent for lot No. 1 is 2 Acres 2 roods and 7 perches, and bounded on the ${ }_{17-10-68}^{\text {Cour- }}$ East by reservation along the road, North by the property belonging to ${ }_{-C o n t i n u e d}^{17-10-68}$ Pansala, West by Ela and South by Lot No. ㄹ. The whole of this.
341. Land situated at Puloly Malavarayakurichchy called "Nedunkulavelitheni Thenkilakilmetku". Of this 1 Lacheham V.C. and 11 1/2 Kulies being lot No. 3 marked in plan filed of record in partition case No. 17655 of the District Court of Jaffna and bounded on the West by the property of ${ }^{10}$ Sothilingam wife of Kumaraswamy, North by the property belonging to Aladipillaiyar Temple, West by the property of Ponnammah widow of Singaravelu, South by lane. Of this an undivided $1 / 6$ share.
342. Land situated at Puloly West Singhapahuthevankurichchy called "Sathollai" in extent 10 1/8 Lachchams V.C. Of this 5 1/8 Lachchams V.C. being Eastern half share and bounded on the East by the property of Lechchumy widow of Ramu and others, North by the property of Kandiah Vairamuttu and others, West by the property of Amuthammah wife of Subramaniam and South by water channel. Of this an undivided 1/18 share.
343. Land situated at Puloly West Malavarayakurichchy called "Vilvalai" in extent $141 / 2$ Lachchams V.C and bounded on the East and North by the property of Kathirithamby Subramaniam and others. West and South by the properties of Sinnathamby Somasundaram and others. Of this an undivided $1 / 72$ share.
344. Land situated at do called "Nechunkulavelitheny Thenkilakilmetku" in extent 72 Lachchams V.(. and bounded on the East by the property of Viswanathar Kanagaratnam and others, North by the property of Sivakamipillai widow of Murugappar and others, West by the property of Veeragathiar Konamalai and others, South by the property ${ }^{30}$ of Rasammah widow of Sethuramalingam Kurukkal and others. Of the ground and well contained within these boundaries an undivided $1 / 3$ share.
345. Land situated at Alvai Neelakudiarkurichchy called " Urulai" in extent $315 / 8$ Lachchams V.C.-do-Thoddam 1. Of these parcels an extent of $10 \mathrm{~J} / 2$ Lachchams V.C. in the middle. Of this on the North an extent of 5 Lachchams V.C. and $23 /+$ Kulies and bounded and West by the property of Theivanai daughter of Ambalam and others, North by the property of Kadirgamar Ramalingam and South by the property of Parupathipillai wife of Alvapillai. The whole of this.
346. Land situated in the District of Anuradhapura in the village of 40 Bandara Bulankulama called "Ibawela, "Pahalawela "Kokhamattawela" in extent 33 Acres 4 roods and 24 perches. Of this extent for Lot No. 4 is 2 Roods 16 perches, Lot No. 5 is 3 Acres 8 perches. Lot No. 9 is 1 acre 1 rood and 16 perches. Total 5 acres.
347. Land situated at the District of Anuradhapura Lot No. 6 marked in plan No. 670 dated $5-10-42$ made by C. J. Sabapathy, Licensed Surveyor, situated at Bandara Bulankulama in Ebaalawewatulana of Kendekorale of Nuwaragamalate in the District of Anuradhapura bounded on the North
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by Lot No. 12 in the said plan No. 670 East by the road reservation, South by lot No. 5 property belonging to P. B. Bulankulama and Railway Reservation and West by Railway Reservation containing in extent 4 Acres 2 roods $27 / 500$ perches. The whole of this.
48. Land situated at Puloly West Singapahuthevankurichchy called "Kommikaladdy " in extent 32 Lachchams V.C. and 3 Kulies ard bounded on the East by the property of Sithamparanathar Kanapathipillai and others, North by the property of Kanthappar Vairavipillai and others and by the property of Vallathai wife of Vairamuttu and others, West by lane and South by the property of Sithamparanathar Kanapathipillai. Of this 10 an undivided $54 / 384$ share.

Drawn by :
(Sgd.) S. Nagalingamudalis
(Sgd.) V M. C'vmaraswamy, District Judge. 17-10-66.

## PART II - EXHIBITS

## P 44
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## IN THE DISTRICT (:OURT OF JAFFNA

In the matter of the Estate of the late Chankarappillai Visulvanathar of Puloly West.

Deceased.
Testamentar:
Jurisdiction
10 No. 1420.
Vinifanathar Chankarappillai of Puloly West.
Administrator.
A true full and perfect Inventory of the rights and credits of the abovenamed deccased by the Administrator.

> Description of Property

Rs. Cts.

1. Land situated at Puloly West Malavarayakurichy called Nedunkulaveliththenikkadukudalpay 8 Lachchams V. C. Do-12 Lachchams V.C. of this excluding the land taken for graveyard the remainder is bounded on the East by the
2. Of the aforesaid 2 nd land out of the ground lying to the West
of the lane $5,3 / 8$ Lachchams being the 5 th parcel situated to the South of 26 Lachchams in the middle is bounded on the East by lane, North by the property of Kadirgamar Kanagasabai and others, West by the property of Parupathy, wife of Arumugam and others and South by street of this $3 / 16$ share ... ... ... North by graveyard West by the property of Venayagar Velappar and others and on the South by the property of Theivar Nagalingamudaliar and others of this $1 / 8$ share of the ground and palmyrahs with share of well and whole of coconut trees ... ... ... ... ... 20.010
3. Land situated at-do-called Nedunkularcliththenithenkilakkilmetku. 72 Lachchams V.C.-do.-16. 5/8 Lachchams V.C. Vayal 23, $1 / 4$ Lachchams V.C. Thoddam 3 Do-5, 3/8 Lachchams V.C. of this out of the 1st parcel the ground lying to the East of the lane passing through and the 2nd and 4 th parcels from one block bounded on the East by the property of Parupathippillai, wife of Chitamparappillai and others, North by Inn, West and South by lane of this $3 / 16$ share ... ... ... ... ... $50 \cdot 00$
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4. Of the aforesaid 2nd land out of the ground lying to the West of the lane 13 Lachchams on the East out of 26 Lachchams in the middle is bounded on the East by lane North by the property of Sivakamippillai, widow of Murugappar and others West by the property of Kanattai, wife of Sitamparappillai and others and South by the property of Parupathippillai, wife of Sitamparappillai and others of this $2 / 3$ share ...
$500 \cdot 00$
5. Of the aforesaid 2nd land the 3rd parcel in extent $231 / 4$ Lachchams P.C. is bounded on the East by the property of Kadirgamar Veluppillai and others North by the property of Aladiyit Pillaiar Temple West by the property of Sivakamippillai, widow of Murugappar and others and South by street of this $1 / 2$ of $14.1 / 2$ Lachchams with share of well
$150 \cdot 00$
6. Land situated at Puloly West Malavarayakurichy called Naththavattai 18 Lachchams V.C. Thoddam 2. House 1 and hounded on the East by watercourse North by the property of Vathaththaippillai, wife of Veluppillai and others West by Road and by the property of Vathaththaippillai, wife of Veluppillai and South by the property of Umaiaththaippillai, wife of Vettivelpillai and others. Of this $1 / 20$ share ...
$50 \cdot 00^{20}$
$25 \cdot 00$
8. Land situated at-do-called Thenninkawattai 5 1/4 Lachchams V.C'. bounded on the East by the property of Valliammai, widow of Murugar and others North by the property of Paramakumarappar and others West by the property of Valliar Nagappar and others and south by lane of this $1 / 3$ share
$50 \cdot 00$
$75 \cdot 00$
Total $\cdots \overline{1,250 \cdot 00}^{40}$

I, Visuvanathar Changarappillai of Puloly West, administrator of ${ }^{\mathbf{P}} \mathbf{4 4}$ the estate of my late father Changarappillai Visuvanathar of Puloly West, inventory filed deceased, do solemnly, sincerely and truly, affirm and declare as follows :- JTesna,

Testamentary Case
No. 1420-

1. To the best of my knowledge, information and belief the above ${ }^{2-2 \cdot 1905}$ written Inventory contains a full and true and correct account of all the property movable and immovable and rights and credits of the said Changarappillai Visuvanathar, deceased, so far as I have been able with due diligence to ascertain the same.
2. I have made a careful estimate and valuation of all the property the particulars of which are set forth and contained in the said Inventory and to the best of my judgment and belief the several sums respectively set opposite to the several items in the said Inventory fully and fairly represent the values of items to which they are respectively set opposite.
(Sgd.) V Changarappillat.
Affirmed to at Point Pedro on the 2nd Day of February, 1905.
Before me.
(Sgd.) Illegible, Commissioner.
${ }^{20}$ True copy of the Inventory filed of record in D.C. Jaffna Case No. Testamentary 1420.
(Sgd.)

| T |  | (Sgd.) Secretary, District Court, Jaffna. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dowry Deed No. 6 | $\text { P } 42$ <br> 6838 attested by Notary Public | S. Subramaniam, | P 42 <br> Dowry Deed No. 6838 attested by S. Subramaniam, $\underset{\text { Notary Public- }}{\text { 10-1-190e }}$ 10-1-1906 |
| Translation <br> The duplicate bears one stamp of the value of Rs. 5 /- |  | Application No. $\frac{190}{4-3-60 .}$ |  |
|  |  | Prior Registration Jaffna <br> 4 Land A 8/172 <br> 5 Land A 47/36 \& 39. |  |

No. 6838
Know all men by these Presents that I, Theivanaippillai, widow of Nagappar Sidamparappillai of Puloly West, do hereby give and convey by way of dowry unto my daughter Meenachchippillai daughter of Sitamparappillai of the same place the undermentioned properties.

## P 42
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## Properties

In the Parish of Point Pedro in Vadamarachy West division in the District of Jaffna in Northern Province.

1. Land situated at Puloly East Malavarayakurichy called " Mavilvayal" in extent 40 Lachchams P.C.-do-in extent $101 / 4$ Lachchams P.C. of this out of the Northern half share, an extent of 10 Lachchams according to possession is bounded on the East by the property of Kanapathipillai Thambiah, North by water channel. West by lane and south by a portion of this land belonging to Periar Veeragathi of this $1 / 2$ share valued at Rs. 100/-.
2. Of the above said land an extent of $\gamma 1 / 2$ Lachchams according to 10 possession out of the said Northern half share is bounded on the East by the property of Kanapathipillai Thambiah and others, North by the property of Periar Veeragathi and by the undermentioned 3rd property, West by the undermentioned 3rd property and by lane, and South by the property of Kanapathi Kadirgaman of this $1 / 2$ share valued at Rs. $75 /$-.
3. Of the above said 1st land an extent of $21 / 2$ Lachchams according to possession out of the said Northern half share is bounded on the East and South by the abovesaid 2nd property, North by the property of Periar Veeragathi, West by lane of this an undivided $4 / 9$ shar evalued at Rs. 25/-.
4. Land situated at Puloly East Vecrapahuthevankurichy called Mudavanthoddam in extent 19 1/2 Lachchams V.C. with palmyrah trees and vadalies bounded on the East by the property called "Arasandiollai" belonging to Parupathy, wife of Sithamparappillai and others, North by the undermentioned 6 property, West by the property called "Nallanthoddam " belonging to Velar Thamer and others and South by lane of this an undivided $1 / 2$ of $1 / 8$ share valued at Rs. $100 /-$.
5. Land situated at do-called Pannangawattai in extent $123 / 8$ Lachchams V.(..-Do-veedu 1 ( $=1$ Lachcham and 6 kulies) with palmyrah trees, vadalies margosa trees and four solid houses and bounded on the ${ }^{30}$ East by the property of Kaththirunal, wife of Solomon and others, North by lane and by the property of Kaththirunal, wife of Solomon and others, West by property called Kelavianthoddam belonging to Packiam, daughter of Ehamparam and South by the property of Moothuthamby Alvar and others. Of this an undivided $1 / 2$ of $1 / 3$ share valued at Rs. 100/-.
6. Land situated at Puloly East Singapahuthevankurichy called "Thevathoddam" in extent $365 / 8$ Lachchams V.C. of this $11 / 16$ share of ground on the West with palmyrah trees, vadalics and ilanthai trees is bounded on the East by a portion of this land belonging to Kandappar Thamotheram and others, North by the property called Savanai belonging ${ }^{40}$ to Velar Thamer and others, West by the property of Subramaniar Sivapatham and others and South by the abovesaid 4 land of this, out of the ground, an undivided $1 \frac{1}{4}$ of $10 / 11$ share and of the palmyrah trees, vadalies and ilanthai trees, $1 / 4$ share valued at Rs. $350 /$ -

The total value is Rs. 750/- Seven hundred and fifty.

I do, hereby, declare that of the said properties, the 1 and 2 properties ${ }_{\text {Dowr }}^{\mathbf{P}} \mathbf{4 2}$ belong by right of mudusom of my husband the late Nagappar Sitham. Nowry Deed parappillai and by right of urumai devolved from his brother the late Nagap- S. Subramanian, par Arumugam who died issueless and by virtue of transfer deed in his ${ }^{\text {Notary }} \mathbf{P} \mathbf{P}$ favour bearing No. 5710 dated the 3rd April, 1894 and attested by Murugappar Subramaniam, Notarr, the 3rd property by right of mudusom of the said Sithamparappillai and $b_{y}$ virtue of this abovesaid urumai and by virtue of transfer deed in his favour bearing No. 1718 dated the 10th June, 1900 and attested by this Notary. the 4th property by virtue of transfer 10 deed in favour of the said Sithamparappillai bearing No. 1149 dated the 18th December, 1882 and attested by Murugappar Subramaniam Notary, the 5th property belongs to the said sithamparappillai by right of mudusom under and by virtue of dowry deed in favour of his mother the late Valliammai bearing No. 141 of volume $\gamma$ dated the 20th May, 1842 and attested by Velauthar Kandiah. Notary and by right of urumai, as per above said urumai and the 6th property belongs to the said Sithamparappillai by right of mudusom and by right of urumai, as per his aforesaid urumai and at present belongs to me by possession.

I the said Mcenadchippillai, daughter of Sithamparappillai the dowry ${ }_{20}$ receiver have accepted this dowry.

In witness hereof we set our signatures to this and to two others of the same tenor in the presence of Sinnathamby Subramaniam, Notary and in the presence of Velauthar Kandiah of Puloly West, Vairaviar Kanapathipillai of Puloly East and Kadirgamar Vinasithamby of the same place the subscribing witnesses hereto in the dwelling house of the dowry grantor and receiver at Puloly West on the tenth day of January One thousand nine hundred and six.

## Witnesses :

(Sgd.) V. Kandiah
(Sgd.) Vairavi.ir Kanapathipillai
(Sgd.) K. Vinasithamby
$\mathbf{X}$ This is the hand mark of Theivanaipillai.
(Sgd.) S. Subramaniam, Notary.
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I, Sinnathamby Subramaniam, Notary Public of Vadamarachy West division of Jaffna, do hereby certify and attest that I have read over and explained the foregoing instrument to the said Theivanaippillai, widow of Nagappar Sithamparappillai and Sithamparappillai Meenadchippillai in the presence of Velauthar Kandiah of Puloly West, Vairaviar Kanapathipillai of Puloly East, and Kadirgamar Vinasithamby of the same place the subscribing witnesses and the said three witnesses who are known to me declared to have known the dowry grantor and receiver that the said Theivanaippillai, Meenadchippillai and witnesses set their mark and Signatures in my presence and in the presence of one another all being present at the 10 same time in the dwelling house of the dowry grantor and receiver at Puloly West on this 10th day of January, 1906 that the duplicate bearing one stamp to the value of Rs. 5/- and to the original one of Re. 1/- and which stamps were supplied by me the Notary.
(Sgd.) S. Slbramaniam,
(SEAL)
10th January, 1906.

I, N. Gnanasambanthan, Registrar of Lands of Point Pedro, do herely, certify that the foregoing is a true copy of a deed of dowry made of the ${ }^{20}$ duplicate filed of record in this office and the same is granted on the application of Mr. S. Nagalingamudaly, Proctor of the Supreme Court, Point Pedro.
(Sgd.) N. Gnanasambanthan, Registrar of Lands.

## Land Registry,

Point Pedro, 22nd March, 1960.
Translated by :
(Sgd.)..... ...................

Deed of Transfer No. 7684 attested by P. Sithamparapillai, Notary Public
1st land A $45 / 17$
2nd - A 45/18
3rd $-\mathrm{A} 45 / 19$
4th $-\mathrm{A}+5 / 20$
5th - A 45/21

$$
\text { 6th }- \text { A 29/281 }
$$

$$
\text { 9th }- \text { A 12/156 }
$$

No. 7684
To all to whom these presents shall come I, Visuvanathar Sangarappillay of Puloly West, Point Pedro, Advocate send greeting.

Whereas my father Sangarappillay Visuvanather of Puloly West, aforesaid died intestate leaving the immovable property hereinafter described subject to the life interest of his mother Maruthaththai, widow of Sangarappillay and leaving his two sons viz. myself and Visuvanathar Kanakaratnam now of India as his sole heirs and whereas I obtained ${ }_{20}$ Letters of Administration to the estate of the said Sangarappillay Visuvanathar in Testamentary Case No. 1420 of the District Court of Jaffna and whereas it is now necessary to convey the property left by the said Sangarappillay Visuvanathar to his heirs to wit myself and the said Visuvanathar Kanakaratnam subject to the life interest of the said Maruthaththai, widow of Sangarappillay and after her death subject to the life interest of Vallippillay, widow of the intestate and mother of mine and of the said Visuvanathar Kanakaratnam.

Now know all men by these presents that I Yisuvanathar Sangarappillay, Administrator of the estate of the said Sangarappillay Visuvanathar, so do hereby, convey, transfer, set over, assure and assign unto myself and to the said Visuranathar Kanakaratnam in equal shares the following immovable property to wit:

1. Land situated at Puloly West Malavarayakurichy in Point Pedro Parish of the Vadamaradchy West division in Jaffna District of the Northern Province called Nedunkulavelitheny Kadu Kadalpaya in extent $83 / 8$ Lachchams V.C. and-Do-in-extent 12 Lachchams V.C. of these excluding however the land taken for the use of Graveyard and Road the remainder in extent more or less 18 Lachchams V.C. is bounded on the East by the property of Katpagam, widow of Murugappar and others on the North
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by Graveyard on the West by the property of Vinayagar Velappar and others and on the South by the property of Theivar Nagalingamudaliar and others of this an undivided one-eighth share of the ground and palmyrah with share of well and whole of coconut trees worth Rs. 50/-
2. Land situated at Puloly West Malavarayakurichy aforesaid called Nedunkulavelitheny Thenkilakilmetku in extent 72 Lachchams Varaku Culture-Do. in extent 16 5/8 Lachchams. Varaku ('ulture-Do-Vayal in extent $231 / 4$ Lachchams Paddy Culture gardens 3-Do- in extent $53 / 8$ Lachchams Varaku Culture of this out of the first parcel land lying to the East of the lane passing through and the land of the second and fourth 10 parcels form one tract of land and which tract of land is bounded on the East by the property of Parupathypillay, wife of Paramoe Chidamparapillay and others on the North by Madam on the West and South by lanes. Of this an undivided 3/16 three sixteenths shares worth Rs. 300/-
3. Land situated at Puloly West Malawarayakurichy called Nedunkulavelitheny in five parcels abovementioned of this out of the land lying to the West of the lane passing through an extent of $101 / 2$ Lachchams. V.C. to the South of 26 Lachchams Varaku ('ulture in the middle is bounded on the East by lane on the North by the property of Kadirkamar Kanaga- 20 sabai and others on the West by the property of Parupathypillay, wife of Arumugam and others and on the South by lane of this an undivided 3/16, three sixteenths shares worth Rs. 50/-
4. Land situated at Puloly West Malavarayakurichy called Nedunkulavelitheny in five parcels aforesaid of this out of the land lying to the' West of the lane passing through an extent of 13 Lachchams V.C. on the East out of 26 Lachchams V.C. in the middle is bounded on the East by lane on the North by the property of Sivakamyppillay, widow of Murukappar and others on the West by the property of Chinnappillay, wife of Kathirithamby and others on the South by the property of Parupathypillay, wife of Paramoe Sidamparappillay and others of this an undivided $2 / 3$, two ${ }^{30}$ thirds shares worth Rs. $500 /$-.
5. Land situated at Puloly West Malavarayakurichchy called Nedunkulavelitheny in five parcels aforesaid of this the third parcel in extent 23 1/4 Lachchams P.C. is bounded on the Fast by the property of Kadirkamar Yeluppillay and others on the North hy Aladiyit Pillaiar Temple on the West by the property of Sivakamypillay, widow of Murukappar and others and on the South by lane of this one-half of fourteen and a half Lachchams P.C. with share of well worth Rs. $150 /-$
(i. Land situated at Puloly West Malavarayakurichchy called Naththavetty in extent 18 Lachchams $V^{\prime} .($. garden 2 House 1 and bounded on the 40 East by water course on the North by the property of Vethaththai, widow of Veluppillay and others on the West by road and on the South by the
property of Oomaiyatippillay wife of Vettivelpillay and others of this an undivided $1 / 20$ one twenticth share worth Rs. $80 /-$.
(Sgd.) V. Singarappillai
(Sgd.) Illegibly,
(Land called Naththarattai sold in. Case No. 3190 D.C., Point Pedro.
(Sgd.) Illegibly, Secretary, District Court. Point Pedro. 21-9-50)

7 Land situated at Puloly West Malavarayakurichy called Nathavetty in extent $1+1 / 2$ Lachchams V.C. of this five cighth shares on the west is bounded on the East by the property of Kanapatipillai Ampalavanar and others on the North by the property of Kanapatipillai Sanmugam and others on the West by Road and on the South by the property of Kadirattai, wife of Nagappar and others of this an undivided $1 / 8$ one-eighth share worth Rs. 25/-.
8. Land situated at Puloly West Malavarayakurichy called Thenningawathai in extent $51 / 4$ Lachchams V.C. bounded on the East by the property of Walliammai, wife of Murugar and others on the North by the property 20 of Paramar Kumarappar and others on the West by the property of Valliar Nagappar and others and on the South by lane of this an undivided $1 / 3$ onethird share worth Rs. 50/-
(S. Kanagaratnam's share in 8 th Land was transferred 15394/23-12-18 (Intld)
9. Land situated at Puloly West Singapakuthevankurichy called Kalappilawattai in extent $865 / 8$ Lachchams V.C. and bounded on the East by the property of Kumarasamy Velauthar on the North by the property of Suppar Kanapathipillai and others on the West by lane and on the South by the property of Muthalianachchy. widow of Veeragathiar and others of this an undivided $1 / 96$ one ninety-sixth share worth Rs. 75/-.

To have and to hold the said premises which are of the total worth one thousand two hundred and fifty rupees unto myself the said Visuvanather Sangarappillay and the said Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam their heirs, executors. administrators and assigns for ever subject however to my right to recover the costs of administration from the said estate.

And I the said Visuranathar Sangarappillay, do hereby, for myself, my heirs, cxecutors and administrators covenant and declare with and to the said V'isuranathar Sangarappillay and Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam that I have not as administrator as aforesaid executed or been party or prixy to any ant, deed, matter or thing whereby the said premises hereby 40 conveyed or any of them may be impeached or encumbered in title charge or estate howsoever and shall and will as administrator as aforesaid at all times hereafter at the request and cost of the said Visuvanathar Sangarappillay and Visuranathar Kanagaratnam and their aforewritten do and execute or cause to be done or executed all such further acts, deeds, matters
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and things as shall or may be neressary for the better or more perfectly assuring the said premises or any of them unto the said Visuvanathar Sangarappillay and Visuvanathar Kanakaratnam and their aforewritten as shall or may be reasonably required.

In witness whereof I the said Visuvanathar Sangarappillay have hereunto and to two others of the same tenor as these presents set my hand at the residence of the Notary Public hereinafter named at Puloly West this thirtieth day of Junc One thousand nine hundred and six in the presence of Messrs. Paramoe Subramaniam of Puloly West and Murugar Alvappillay Vetpillay of Puloly East the subscribing witnesses hereto. ${ }^{10}$
(Sgd.) $V$ Singarappillay.
Witnesses :
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { P. Subramaniam } \\ \text { M. A. Vetpillay }\end{array}\right\}$ (Signed)
(Sgd.) P. Sithamparipillay, Notary Public.

I, Paramoe Sithamparapillai, Notary Public of Vadamaradchy West and Thenmaradchy in the District of Jaffna, do hereby. certify and attest that the foregoing deed was read over by Mr. Visuvanathar Sangarappillai ${ }^{20}$ to grantor thereof in the presence of Paramoe Sburamaniam of Puloly West and Murugar Alvappillai Oste Pillai of Puloly East, the subscribing witnesses thereto all of whom are known to me, the same was signed by the said grantor, by the said witnceses and by me the said Notary in my presence and in the presence of one another all being present together at the same time at my house at Puloly West this 30th day of June, 1906.

And I further certify and attest that the duplicate of this deed bears stamps of the value of Rupecs Ten and the Original a stamp of one Rupee and that the said Stamps were supplied by me and that the said deed was read over and explained by me to the said witnesses and that the first ${ }^{30}$ witness signed as $\mathbf{P}$. Subramaniam.

Date of attestation :
30th June, 1906.

(SEAL)
I, ParamoeSithamparapillai, Notary Public of Karavedy East, do hereby, certify that the foregoing is a true copy of a conveyance made from the protocol filed of record in my office and that the certified copy bears two stamps of the value of One Rupec and the said stamps were supplied by me. ${ }^{40}$

15th January, 1910.
(Sgd.) P. Sithamparapillait, Notary Public.

## D 3
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## Translation

Know all men by these presents that I, Walliammai, widow of Sangarapillai Visuvanathar of Puloly West in Vadamaradchy West Jaffna for and on account of the love I have towards my son Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam who was employed as Engineer at Calcutta in India and who is
${ }^{10}$ at present at Puloly East in Vadamaradchy West in-Do-and for other diverse which would urge me to this act do hereby set over and conver by way of donation unto him the following properties worth Rs. 1,500/-.

Those propertics are as follows :--
In Point Pedro Parish Vadamaradchy West Division Jaffna District, Northern Province.

1. Land situated at Puloly West Malavarayakurichy called Konnakaladdy in extent $15 \% / 8$ Lachchams V.C. Of these the extent in possession for the Western half share i.e. $715 / 16$ Lachchams V.C. with palmyrahs, vadalies, margosa trees and ilanthai trees and bounded on the East by
20 the property of Visuvanathar Sangarapillai and others North by the property belonging to me and others. West by the property of Kumarasamy Velauthar and others and South by lane. Of these contained within these boundaries an undivided $1 / 4$ share.
2. Land situated at-Do-called Keniawattai in extent $351 / 2$ Lachchams V.C. Do Thoddam 4 these parcels of ground with well and bounded on the East by the property of Nagaththai, wife of Kathirithamby and others North by the property of Valliappar Poothathamby and others West by the property Visuvanathar Sangarapillai and others and South by road. Of the ground contained within these boundaries an undivided $1 / 6$ share
${ }^{30}$ and the share appertaining to this of the well.
3. Land situated at-Do-called Alakiawattai in extent $91 / 8$ Lachchams V.C. and land situated at Singapahuthevankurichy called Alakiawattai 10 Lachchams V.C. these parcels of ground with palmyrahs, vadalies, margosa trees, house and huts and bounded on the East by the property of Nagaththai, wife of Kathirithamby and others, North by the property of Visuvanathar Paramu and others, West by the property of Kathirithamby Ponniah and others and South by Village limit lane of Alvai. Of these contained within these boundaries an undivided $1 / 32$ share.
4. Land situated at Puloly West Malavarayakurichy called Ivathai 40 in extent $261 / 4$ Lachchams V.C. with palmyrah and vadalies and bounded on the East by lane, North by the property of Sivakamipillai, wife of Sivapragasam and others, West by the property of Paramu Sithamparapillai and South by the property of Parupathipillai, wife of Alvapillai and others. Of these contained within these boundaries an undivided $1 / 4$ share.
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5. Land situated at - Do - called Ivathai Vaiyal in extent $133 / 4$ Lachchams P.C. with palmyrahs, vadalies and margosa trees and bounded on the East and North by property belonging to me and my sister, West by the property of Paramu Sithamparapillai and South by the property of Parupathipillai, wife of Alvapillai and others. Of those contained within these boundaries an undivided $1 / 4$ share.
6. Land situated at-Do-called Tholiodai in extent $301 / 4$ Lachchams V.C. with palmyrahs and vadalies and bounded on the East by lane, North by lane and by the property of Aiyamuttu Karthigesar and others, West by the property of Nagaththai, wife of Kovinthan and others and South by 10 lanes and by the property of Arantar Sellappah and others. Within these boundaries an undivided $1 / 16$ share excluding old palmyrahs.
7. Lands situated at Puloly West Singapahuthevankurichy called Eluveddy in extent 4 Lachchams V.C -Do-Yeedu 1 and land situated at Puloly West Veeraipahuthevankurichy called Mudaneelakaladdy in extent 7 Lachchams V.C. Of these parcels an extent of 7 Lachchams V.C. and 14 Kls. on the West according to partition possession with houses and other appurtenances contained therein and bounded on the East by the property of Sinnapillai, wife of Kandiah North by road and by property belonging to me and others, West by the property of Kathirgamar Kanagasabai ${ }_{20}$ and others and South by property belonging to me and others. Of these contained within these boundaries an undivided $1 / 4$ share.
8. Out of the parcels of land called Eluveddy and Mudaneelakaladdy situated at-Do-an extent $8 \mathbf{3} / 4 \mathrm{Kls}$. of ground according to partition possession with well and coconut trees contained therein and bounded on the East and West and South by property belonging to me and others and North by road within these boundaries excluding a coconut tree which bears green coconut and which is on the East of the Northern extreme and remaining coconut trees belonging exclusively and of the ground, well and arecanut trees an undivided $1 / 4$ of share of $2 / 3$ share.
9. Further out of Eluveddy Mudaneelakaladdy an extent of 2 kls . of ground according to partition possession and bounded on the East by the property of Sinnapillai, wife of Kandiah North by road, West and South by property belonging to me and others. Of the ground contained within these boundaries an undivided $1 / 4$ share of $2 / 3$ share.
10. Land situated at Alvai Veerakodiakurichy called Pullavadivaiyal in extent 11 1/2 Lachchams V.C. Of this the extent in possession for $1 / 4$ on the North-east is 2 r/8 Lachchams P. (' and bounded on the East by the property of Aiyamuttu Karthigesar and others. North by the property of Sivakamipillai, widow of Periathamby and others, West by the property 40 of Wallipillai, wife of Kulandavelu and others and South by the property of Valliar Murugappar and others. Of those contained within these boundaries an undivided 1/4 share.

I, do hereby, declare that out of those properties, the first nine properties belong as per donation deed No. 5139 dated the 20th day of December, 1903 and attested by this Notary Paramu Sithamparapillai in my favour and
the 10th property as per deed of gift No 404 dated $\cdot 2$ st August, 1871 and $\begin{gathered}\text { D } 8 \\ \text { Deed of Donation }\end{gathered}$
the 10th property as per deed of gift No. 404 dated 21st August, 1871 and No. 10834 attested attested by Murugappar Subramaniam, Notary in my favour and by posses- by P. sion and the said Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam and his heirs, executors, Nithamparapillai, administrators and assigns shall have the lawful right to possess these for ${ }^{25-12-1909}$ ever and these are not in any way alienated or encumbered but free from - Continued. encumbrances and the produce of these properties belong to me during my lifetime and after that only to the donee.

I, Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam the said donee have accepted this donation with gratitude.

10 In witness whereof we the said parties set our signatures in the presence of the subscribing witnesses herein below Visuvanathar Arunasalam of Puloly West and Karthigesar Ratnasingam of Thunnalai North and in the presence of the Notary whose name is herein below mentioned on the 25th day of December, 1909 in the house of the Notary at Puloly West.

This is the hand mark of Walliammai.
(Sgd.) V Kanagaratitam.
Witnesses:
(Sgd.) V Arenasalam
(Sgd.) K. Ratnasingam

I, Paramu Sithamparapillai, Notary Public, do herebsy, certify and attest that I have read over and explained the foregoing instrument to the said parties in the presence of the witnesses hereto Visuvanathar Arunasalam of Puloly West and Karthigesar Ratnasingam of Thunnalai North and I know them and the said deed was signed by its parties, witnesses and by me the Notary all being present at the same time and place on the 25 th day of December, 1909 in my house at Puloly West and the duplicate bears 5 stamps of Rs. $7 / 50$ and the original one stamp of Re. 1/- and that these $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { stamps were supplied by me } & \mathbf{X} & \mathbf{X} & \mathbf{X}\end{array}$
${ }^{30}$ Date of attestation :
25th day of December, 1909.
(Sgd.) Paramu Sithamparapillai
(Sgd.) Illegibly
(Sgd.) P. Sithamparapillai,
(SEAL)
Translated by me:
(Sgd.)

## P 1

## Mortgage Bond No. 9973 attested by S. Subramaniam, Notary Public

Tramslation
Application No. 119/13-2-60.
The duplicate of the deed bears 3 stamps of the value of Rs. 2/50.
Prior registration Jaffna.
Registration in prior deed A 20/201.
No. 9973
We, Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam and wife Meenadchippillai of Puloly ${ }^{10}$ West do execute and grant mortgage debt bond to Kanapathipillai Kandiah of Puloly West presently of Kalutara to wit:

The amount paid as his money b! his uncle Vairavanathar Sethunathar for and on his behalf and borrowed and received by us is Rs. 750/-. This sum of Rupees seven hundred and fifty with interest thereon at the rate of 10 percent per annum from the date hereof we do hereby proinise to pay jointly and severally unto the said Kanapathipillai Kandiah on demand ensuring beneficium non numeratae pecuniae And for better securing the payment of the same we do hereby hypothecate as special mortgage with the said Kanapathipillai Kantiah the undermentioned property.

## PROPERTY

Land in possession under and by virtue of donation and in favour of the 2nd named person of us bearing No. 8062 and 4948 respectively dated the 29th March, 1897 and 27 th September, 1892 and attested by Murugappar Subramaniam, Notary.

Land situated at Puloly West Yeerapahuthevankurichy in the parish of Point Pedro in Vadamaradchy West division in the District of Jaffna in Northern Province called Kottavattai in extent $83 / 8$ Lachchams V.C. which and an extent of $11 / 2$ Lachchams being one-half share on the East of the land called Kottawattai in extent 3 Lachchams V.C. form a total ${ }^{30}$ extent of $97 / 8$ Lachchams V.C'. with palmyrah trees, vadalies, coconut trees, margosa trees with stone built houses and mud house and hut and bounded on the East by Road, North by the property of Ledchumippillai, wife of Alvappillai and others, West by the property of Thangammah, wife of Rasaratnam and others and South by the property of Ponnamah widow of Kandiah of those within these boundaries an undivided half-share.

We deliver herewith the said deeds.
In witness whereof we set our signatures to this and to two others of the same tenor in the presence of Sinnathamby Subramaniam, Notary
and in the presence of Thenny Alvapillai of Puloly East and Kadirgamar ${ }_{\text {Port }}{ }^{1}$ Alvapillai of the same place the subscribing witnesses thereto in the Mortgage Bond office of the said Notary at Puloly East on the Twentieth day of January bubramaniam One thousand nine hundred and ten.
(Sgd.) V Kanag.iratnam
(Sgd.) K. Mefahdehy
Witnesses:
(Sgd.) Illegibly
10
(Sgd.) K. Alvapilidif.
(Sgol.) S. Subramaniam
(Sgd.) S. Stbramaniam, Notary.

I, Sinnathamby Subramaniam, Notary Public of Jaffna, do hereby certify and attest that I have read over and explained the foregoing instrument to the said Visuranathar Kanagaratnam who has signed as V Kanagaratnam and Meenadchippillai. wife of Kanagaratnam who has signed as K. Meenadchy in the presence of Then Alvapillai of Puloly East who has signed illegibly and Kandasamy Alvappillai of the same place who has signed as K. Alvappillai the subscribing witnesses hereto that I know the grantor ${ }^{20}$ and witnesses that the said Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam and wife Meenadchypillai and witnesses set their signatures in my presence and in the presence of one another all being present at the same time in my office at Puloly East on the 20th January, 1910 that the amount of Rs. 750/- mentioned herein was paid in my presence that the duplicate hereof bears 3 stamps of the value of Rs. $9 / 50$ cents and which stamps were supplied by me $\mathbf{X X X}$
(Sgd.) S. Subramantam
(Sgd.) S. Subramaniam, Notary Public.

30 20th January, 1910.
I, T. Selvarajah, Registrar of Lands of Point Pedro, do hereby, certify that the foregoing is a true copy of the deed of Mortgage made from the duplicate filed of record in this office and the same is granted on the application of Mr. S. Nagalingamudaly, Proctor, S.C., Point Pedro.
(Sgd.) Illegibly
Registrar of Lands.
Land Registry,
Point Pedro,
20-2-1960.
${ }^{40}$ Translated by:
(Sgd.) Illegibly
Sworn Translator.

## D 5

## Deed of Transfer No. 9975 attested by S. Subramaniam, Notary Public

Translation

No. 9975
Know all men by these presents that I, Kanapathiar Kathirgamar of Puloly East for and in consideration of the sum of Rs. 500/- paid by Meenadchipillai, wife of Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam of Puloly West and received do hereby, transfer and set over unto the said Meenadchipillai the following property:-

## Property

Land belonging to me by possession as per transfer deed No. 234 dated 13th March, 1872 and attested by Alvymar Velauthar, Notary in my favour.

Land situated at Puloly East Malavarayakurichy in Point Pedro Parish Vadamaradchy Division, Jaffna District, Northern Province, called Mavilrayal in extent 40 Lachchams P.C.- Do.- 10 Lachchams P.C'. Of these the extent for the Southern half share is 25 Lachchams P.C. and bounded on the East by the property of Santhiapillai Swampillai, North by property of the purchaser and others West and South by lane. The whole ${ }^{211}$ of those contained within these boundaries.

I do hereby declare that I am responsible to warrant and defend the claim and disputes of the aforesaid properties and this is not in any way alienated or encumbered and endorsement is made on the said deed.

In witness whereof I set my signature to this and to two others of the same tenor in the presence of Sinnathamby Subramaniam, Notary and in the presence of the subscribing witnesses herein below Kathirgamar Veluppillai of Puloly West and Kathirgamar Alvapillai of Puloly East on the 21 st day of January. 1910 in the office of the said Notary at Puloly East.
(Sgd.) K. Kathirgamar
Witnesses :
(Sgd.) Kathirgamar Veidpillai
(Sgd.) K. Alvapillai

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { (Sgd.) s. } \underset{\text { Nubramaniam, }}{\text { Sotary. }}
\end{gathered}
$$

I, Sinnathamby Subramaniam, Notary Public, do hereby, certify and attest that $I$ have read over and explained the foregoing instrument to the said grantor in the presence of the witnesses hereto and I know them and the said grantor and witnesses have in my presence and they in the presence of one another signed at the same time on the 21st day of January, ${ }^{40}$

1910 in my office at Puloly East and the sum of money mentioned in the ${ }^{1)} 5$ deed was paid in my presence and the duplicate bears 3 stamps of Rs. $2 / 50$ Deed of Transfer and that these stamps were supplied by me.
(Sgd.) S. Subramaniam.
(Sgd.) S. Subramaniam, Notary Public.
(SEAL)

## Translated by me:

(Sgd.)
Sworn Translator,
District Court,
Point Pedro.

D 6
Endorsement No. 10293 in Deed No. 8062

## Translation

## I) 6

Endorsement No. 10203 in Deed No. 8062 0-7-1910

No. 8062

Know all men by these presents that we, Velappar Kandiah and wife, Wallipillai of Puloly West for and on account of the natural love we have towards Meenadchipillai, daughter of Sithamparapillai of the same place the sister of the 2nd named of us and for other $g$ rod causes which would urge to this act do hereby set over and convey by way of donation unto the said Meenadchipillai, daughter of Sithamparapillai and her heirs, executors, administrators and assign the following property worth Rs. 250/-.

## Property

Land belonging to us by possession as per donation deed No. 6971 dated 23rd November. 1895 and attested by Murugappar Subramaniar, Notary, in favour of the 2nd named of us.

Land situated at Puloly West Veerapahuthevankurichy in Point Pedro Parish Vadamarachy division, Jaffna District, Northern Province, called Kottawattai in extent 8 3/8 Lachchams V. (' this land and Kottawattai in extent 3 Lachchams V.C. of this half share on the East total in extent 7/8 Lachchams V.C. with palmyrahs, vadalies, mango trees, margosa trees, well. houses and huts and bounded on the East by road, North by the property of Ledchumipillai, wife of Alvapillai, Sinnacuddy, wife of Kandappar and others, West by the property of Seethevippiliai, wife of Subramaniar, Ponnamma, wife of Kandiahpillai and others and South by the property of Ponnammah. wife of Kandiahpillai. Of this an undivided ${ }_{10}$ half share of $1 / 4$ share $\quad \mathrm{X} \quad \mathrm{X} \quad \mathrm{X} \quad \mathrm{X} \quad \mathrm{X}$

As the said Meenadchipillai, daughter of Sithamparapillai being a minor, I, Naggapar Sithamparapillai her father have accepted the aforesaid property as donation on behalf of her.

In witness whereof we set our signatures for this and to two others of the same tenor in the presence of Murugappar Subramaniam, Notary Public and in the presence of the subscribing witnesses herein below Puniyar Kandappar of Puloly West and Vyramuttu Kandiah of Puloly East on the 29th day of March, 1897 in the office of the said Notary at Puloly West.

## (Sgd.) Y Kinidiah.

O Hand mark of Wallipilifal.
(Sgd.) M. Sithamparapillail.
Witnesses :
(Sgd.) P. Kandappar.
(Sgd.) V. Kandiah.
(Sgd.) M. Subramanitir.
I, Murugappar Subramaniar, Notary Public do hereby, certify and attest that I have read over and explained the foregoing instrument to the said parties in the presence of the witnesses hereto and I know them and the said executants and witnesses have in my presence and they in the ${ }_{30}$ presence of one another signed at the same time on the 29th March, 1897 in my office at Puloly West and the duplicate bears stamps of Rs. 1/50 and that these stamps were supplied by me.

The 29th day of March. 1897.
(Sgd.) M. Subramanith, Notary Public.
(SEAL)
Translated by me :
(Sgd.)

D 1<br>Deed of Transfer No. 16363 attested by P. Sithamparapillai, Notary Public<br>Translation<br>Prior Registration<br>1st Land A 65/371.

I) 1

Deed of Transfer No. 16363 attested by P. Sithamparapillai, Notary Public--5-6-1921

Instrument Transfer. ('onsideration Rs. 2,670/-.
Properties - 2.
No. 16363
Know all men by these presents that I. Kathirgamar Kanagasabai alias Sinniah of Vannarponnai East in Jaffna division in Jaffna, do herebs, sell. transfer and set over unto Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam who is employed as Engineer at Yakalaingpoor in Orisa Division in India for Rs. 2,670/the following properties with the whole right, title, and interest therein.

Those properties are as follows :--
In, Point Pedro Parish Yadamaradchy Division, Jaffna District, Northern Province.

1. Land situated at Puloly West Singapahuthevankurichy called ${ }_{20}$ Scema in extent 1.5 Lachchams V.C. and 1 kly. with house, well, palmyrahs. cultivated plantations and spontancous plantations contained therein and bounded on the East and South by lanc. North by the property of Kandar Sinnatamby and others and West by the property of the heirs of the late Velauthar Arunasalam and others. The whole of those contained within these boundaries.
2. Land situated at Puloly West Malavarayakurichy called Nedunkulavelitheny Thenkilakkilmetku in extent $\because 2$ Lachchams V. ( ${ }^{\circ}$.-Do.$165 / 8$ Lachchams V.C. --Do.-Yayal $231 / 4$ Lachchams P.C.--Do.-Thoddam 3. Of these parcels an extent of 13 Lachchams V.C. on the East ${ }^{30}$ out of 26 Lachchams V.C. in the middle out of the ground on the West of the street passing through the 1st pared in extent 72 Lachchams V.C. with well and coconut trees contained therein and bounded on the East by the said street passing through; North by the property of Sivakamipillai, widow of Murugappar and others, West by the property of Sivakamipillai, wife of Sivapragasam and others and South by property belonging to this grantee, and me and others. Of the ground, well and coconut trees contained within these boundaries an undivided $1 / 3$ share.

I, do hereby, declare that out of these properties, the lst property belongs as per transfer deced No. 294: dated the 12 th day of February, 1913 40 and attested by Vaithilingam Kumaraswamy. Notary, in my favour and my name was mentioncd as "Kathirgamar Kanagasabai " and the 2nd property as per deed of Gift No. 6270 dated the 29th day of December, 1886 and attested by Murugar Kandappar, Notary in my favour in which my name was mentioncd as "Kathirgamar Sinniah" and by possession and the said Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam and his heirs, executors, administrators, and assigns shall have the lawful right to possess these for ever and in case if any claim or dispute happen to arise respecting these, I shall
I) 1

Deed of 'Transfer
No. 16363 attested
by P. Sitharnparapillai. Notars
Public--
5-6-1921
--Continuced.
warrant and defend the same and as the 2 nd property was ottied by me in favour of Paramanthar Arumugam of Puloly West for Rs. 300/and as Kathirgamar Kanapathipillai of Puloly West got assignment, and which sum of Rs. 300/- I have set off out of the consideration to be redeemed by him and I have received the balance sum from Velauthar Kumarasamy of Puloly West the Attorney which he stated to be the Mudusom money of this grantee and the said properties are not subjected to any other encumbrances except the said otty and the deed of the 1st property--do.-delivered with the original of this instrument and endorsement is made on the deed of gift on the 2 nd property.

In witness whereof I set my signature in the presence of the subscribing witnesses herein below Kandar Murugar of Karaveddy West and Kathirgesar Subramaniam of Alvai South and in the presence of the Notary whose name is herein below mentioned on the 5th day of June, 1921 in the house of the Notary at Puloly West
(Sgd.) K. Kanagasabai.
Witnesses :
(Sgd.) Kandar Murlgidr.
(Sgd.) K. Subramaniam.
(Sgd.) Illegibly.
I, Paramu Sithamparapillai, Notary Public, do hereby, certify and attest that I have read over and explained the foregoing instrument to the said grantor in the presence of the witnesses hereto and I know them and the said instrument was signed by its grantor witnesse's and by me the Notary all being present at the same time and place on the 5th day of June, 1921 in my house at Puloly West and the duplicate of this instrument bears seven stamps of Rs. $48 /$ - and the original one $\operatorname{stamp}$ of Re. 1/- and that these stamps were supplied by me and the sum of Rs. 2,370/-mentioned to have been paid now, was paid in my presence. $\begin{array}{llllll}\mathrm{X} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{X}\end{array}$
(Sgd.) Parime Sithamparapillai.
(Sgd.) Illegibly
Date of attestation 5th June, 1921.
(Sgd.) P. Sithamparapillai, Notary Public.
(SEAL)
Endorsement 2nd land was donated

No. 2800
2-11-52
40
(Intld.) P K.
Translated by me:
Notary Public.
(Sgd.)
Sroorn Translator, District Court, Point Pedro.

## D 2

# Deed of Transfer No. 20711 attested by S. Subramaniam, Notary Public 

 No. 20711 attested by s. Subramaniam Notary Public -21-3-1927Translation

Transfer Rs. 600/Land 1.

Prior Registration Jaffna.
A 171/130.

No. 20711
Know all Men by These Presents that we Theivanaipillai, widow of ${ }_{10}$ Murugappar Subramaniam of Puloly West and Kathirgamar Somer Sabapathipillai of Alvai West for and in consideration of the sum of Rs. 600/paid by Velauthar Kumarasamy of Puloly West the attorney which sum he stated to be the mudusom money of Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam of Puloly West presently of North India and received do hereby, transfer and set over unto the said Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam the following property.

## Property

Land situated at Puloly West Singapahuthevankurichy in Point Pedro Parish Vadamaradchy Division, Jaffna District, Northern Province called ${ }_{20}$ Seema in extent $71 / 8$ Lachchams V.C.-Do.-Veedu 1 of these parcels an extent of 8 Lachchams V.C'. and $5 / 8$ kuly on the South with palmyrahs, vadalies, ilanthai trees and Margosa trees and bounded on the East by the property of the grantee, North by property belonging to Puvaneswary Amman Temple, West and South by lanc. Of those contained within these boundaries an undivided half share.

We, do hereby, declare that this property belongs as per conveyance No. 18071 dated 11th April, 1926 and attested by P Sithamparapillai, Notary and by possession and endorsement is made on the said deed respecting this transfer and as the 2nd named of us have paid the amount on behalf ${ }_{30}$ of the 1st named due to Appacuddy Selliah on a mortgage bond and got receipt this consideration was taken in order to pay a part of the money due to the 2nd named.

In witness whereof we set our signatures to this and to two others of the same tenor in the presence of the Notary Sinnathamby Subramaniam and in the presence of the subscribing witnesses herein below on the 21st day of March, 1927 in the office of the said Notary at Puloly East.

This is the hand mark of Theivanaipillai.
(Sgd.) Somar Sibipatimpillai.
Witnesses :
(Sgd.) V Thamboo.
(Sgd.) K. Subramaniam.
1)

Deed of Transfer No. 20711 attested by S. Subramaniam Notary Public 21-3-192\% Continued.

## P 10

Deed of Transler
No. 2190 attested
he 1. Sivacolundu,
Notary Public-16-10-3:3

I, Sinnathamby Subramaniam, Notary Public, do hereby, certify and attest that I have read over and explained the foregoing instrument to the said grantors in the presence of the witneases herefor and the grantors are not known to me and the said witnesses whom 1 know stated that they know them and the grantors and witnesses have in my presence and they in the presence of one another signed at the same time on the 21st March, 1927 in my office at Puloly East and the sum ot money Rs. 600/mentioned in the deed was paid in my presence and the duplicate bears $\underset{\mathbf{X}}{\text { two stamps of Rs. } 15 /- \text { and the original one stamp of Re. } 1 /-\underset{X}{\mathbf{X}} \underset{\mathbf{X}}{\mathbf{X}} \quad \underset{\mathbf{X}}{\mathbf{X}} \quad \mathbf{X}}$
(Sgl.) S. Subraminitim.
(Sgd.) S. Subramiviam, Notary Public.
Date of attestation :
21 st March, $192 \%$.
(SEAL)
Translated b! me:
(Sgd.)
Siworn Translator,
District Conrt.
Point Pedro.

P 10
Deed of Transfer No. 2190 attested by A. Sivacolundu, Notary Public

Application No. 1:3/17-2-60.
Transfer.
Lands. 2.
Consideration Rs. 1,500/-.
Prior Registration: 1 86/20) and 1 i 1/61.
Stamp duty : Rs. $21 /$.
No. 2190
Know all men by these presents that I, Bulankulame Walauwe Loku. Kumarinamy of Bulankulama in Anuradhapura (hereinafter sometimes called the Vendor) for and in consideration of the sum of Rupees One Thousand and Five hundred (Rs. 1,500/-) of lawful money of Ccylon well and truly paid to me by Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam of Puloly West in Point Pedro (hereinalter sometimes called the Vendee) (the receipt whereof I do, hereby, admit and acknowledge) do hereby,
grant, convey, assign, sell, transfer and set over, unto the said Vendee, $\underset{\text { Deed }}{\mathbf{P} 10}$ his heirs, exeutors, administrators and assigns the land described in Deed of Transfer the schedule hereto together with all and singular the rights, ways, easements, by A. Sisanacoundu, advantages, servitudes and appurtenances whatsoever thereto belonging 16-10-33. or in any wise appertaining or usually held occupied, used or enjoyed there--Continued. with or reputed or known as part or parcel thereof and together with all the estate, right, title, interest, claim and demands, whatsoever of me the said Vendor, of into upon or out of the said premises and every part thereof of which said premises the first land is being held and possessed by me by
10 right of Maternal inheritance and the second land by right of Paternal inheritance.

To have and to hold the said premises hereby sold and conveyed with the rights, easements and appurtenances unto him the said Vendee, his heirs, executors, administrators and assigns absolutely forever.

And I, the said Vendor for myself my heirs, executors and administrators, do hereby, covenant, promise, and declare ${ }_{\text {; }}$ with and to the said Vendee, his heirs executors, administrators and assigns, that the said premises hereby sold and conveyed are free from any encumbrance whatsoever and that I and my aforewritten shall and will at all times hereafter warrant and defend the same and every part thereof unto him and his aforewritten against any person or persons whomsoever and also shall and will at all times hereafter at the request and cost of the said Vendee or his aforewritten do and execute or cause to be done and executed all such further and other acts, deeds, assurances, matters and things whatsoever for the further and more perfectly assuring thesaid premises, hereby sold and conveyed or any part thereof unto him or his aforewritten as by him or them may be reasonably required.

In witness whereof I, the said Vendor, do hereunto and to two others of the same tenor and date as these presents set my hand at Bulankulame ${ }^{30}$ on this Sixteenth day of October One Thousand Nine Hundred and Thirty Three.

## The Schedule Above Referred To

1. An undivided one-fifth share of and in all that allotment of land called Siyambalawawela bearing lot No. 6 situated at Bandara Puliyankulama in Ihalawewa, Tulana in Kande Korale, in Nuwaragam palata in the District of Anuradhapura of the North Central Province containing in extent Forty-one acres three roods and eight perches (41 A. 3R. 8P) and bounded on the North by Mullagalakele bearing lot No. 1 D and Puliyankulama Mukalana bearing lot No. 1 F. South-East by Puliyankulamamukalana beari $g$ lot No. 1 South by Road leading to Rambewa and Mullaga-
${ }^{40}$ lakele bearing lot No. 1 D, exclusive of the Rail Road passing through it and the land reserved for the Road.
2. An undivided one-third part or portion of the land called Bulankulame situated in the Town and District of Anuradhapura of the North Central Province and bounded on the North by the land claimed by natives. North-East by land claimed by Crown and the land claimed by Natives, East by land claimed by natives presently belonging to

P 10
Deed of Transfer No. 2190 attested by A. Sivacolundu, Notary Public-16-10-33
-Continued.
S. Subramaniam and others South-East by land purchased by Babappu and land said to belong to the ('rown presently belonging to $\dot{\mathbf{P}} \mathrm{S}$. T. Sithamparampillai, South-West and West by land said to belong to the Crown and by land belonging to the Natives containing in extent exclusive of the Road and Water course, passing through the land fifteen Acres and twenty-five perches (15A. 0R. 25P.).

## Witnesses :

Signed and delivered in the presence of us, and we declare that we are well acquainted with the executant and $\quad\rangle$ Mark of Loku Kumarihamy know her proper name, occupation and residence.
\} Mark of Loku Kumarihamy」

1. (Sgd.) L. B. Whimgama.
2. (Sgd.) Illegibly.
(Sgd.) A. Sivacolunde, Notary Public.

I, Alvappillai Sivacolundu, of Anuradhapura in the Island of Ceylon, Notary Public, do hereb, certify and attest that the foregoing Instrument having been duly read and explained by me the said Notary to the said Bulankulame Walauwe Loku Kumarihamy who is known to me in the ${ }^{20}$ presence of Loku Banda Weragama who signed the same as "L. B. Weragama " of Welimada and Tikiri Banda Weragama who signed the same as T. B. Weragama of Anuradhapura the subscribing witnesses thereto both of whom are known to me the same was signed by the said Bulankulame Walauwe Loku Kumarihamy with a Mark and also by the said witnesses in my presence and in the presence of one another all being present at the same time at Bulankulame aforesaid on this Sixteenth day of October One Thousand Nine Hundred and Thirty Three.

And I further certify and attest that the consideration mentioned herein was set off against a part of the amount due in case No. 1765 of the an District Court of Anuradhapura and that the duplicate of this instrument bears three stamps of the value of Rupees Twenty Four and the original bears one stamp of the valuc of Rupee one and that the said stamps were supplied by me.
Date of attestation : 16th October. 1983.
(Sgd.) A. Sivacolunde, Notary Public.

I, W M. T. Weerasingha, Additional Registrar of Lands, Anuradhapura, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true copy of a deed of transfer made from the duplicate filed of record in this office, and the same is granted 40 on the application of T. Mylvaganam Esqr., Proctor. Anuradhapura.
(Sgd.) Illegibly, Registrar of Lands.
Land Registry,
Anuradhapura.
17th February, 1960.

## P15

Deed of Transfer No. 2415 attested by A. Sivacolundu, Notary Public-10-1-35.

The Duplicate bears two stamps of Rs. 15/-
Application No. 26/15-2-62.
Prior Registration A 96/156.
No. 2415
To all to whom these presents shall come I, Bulankulanc Walauwe Weragama Loku Kumarihamy of Bulankulame send greeting :-

Whereas by deed of transfer No. 2190 dated 16th October, 1933 and attested by the same Notary who is attesting these presents I, the said Loku Kumarihamy, transferred and sold two lands to Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam of Puloly West in Point Pedro, which said lands are fully described in the Schedulc to the said Deed No. 2190 and whereas the second land described in the said schedule to the said Deed No. 2190 does not exactly tally with the land I intended to sell him in. respect of the extent boundaries and the right by which I inherited the land I intended to sell him and whereas the said Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam the vendee in the said Deed No. 2190 has called upon me to execute a fresh Deed of Transfer in respect of the 20 said second land in the said Deed No. 2091 conveying to him proper title to the land I intended to sell him.

Now know all men by these presents that I, the said Bulankulame Waluwe Loku Kumarihamy (hereinafter sometimes called the vendor) for and in consideration of the sum of Rupees One Thousand (Rs. 1,000/-) which is the consideration I received for the second land I conveyed by the said Deed No. 2091 well and truly paid to me by the said Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam of Puloly West in Point Pedro (hereinafter sometimescalled the Vendee) (the receipt whereof I do hereby admit and acknowledge) do hereby, grant, convey, assign, sell, transfer and set over 30 unto the said vendee, his heirs, executors, administrators and assigns the land described in the schedule hereto together with all and singular the rights, ways, easements, advantages, servitudes and appurtenances whatsoever thereto be longing or in any wise appertaining or usually held or occupied, used or enjoyed therewith or reputed or known as part or parcel thereof and together with all the estate right, title, claim, interest and demand whatsoever of me the said vendor of in to upon or out of the said premises and every part thereof which said premises were being held and possessed by me by right of inheritance from my deceased mother.

To have and to hold the said premises hereby sold and conveyed with 40 the rights. easements and appurtenances unto him the said vendee, his heirs, executors, administrators and assigns absolutely for ever.

Deed of Transfer
No. 2415 attested by A. Sivacolund Notary Public-10-1-35
-Continued.

And I, the said vendor for myself, my heirs, executors and administrators, do hereby, covenant, promise and declare with and to the said vendee and his aforewritten that the said premises hereby sold and conveyed are free from any encumbrance whatsoever and that I and my aforewritten shall and will at all times hereafter warrant and defend the same and every part thereof unto him and his aforewritten against any person or persons whomsoever and also shall and will at all times hereafter at the request and costs of the said vendee or his aforewritten do and execute or cause to be done and executed all such further and other acts, deeds, assurances, matters and things whatsoever for the further and more perfectly assuring 10 the said premises hereby sold and conveyed or any part thereof unto him or his aforewritten as by him or them may be reasonably required.

In witness whereof I, the said Vendor do hereunto and to two others of the same tenor and date as these presents set my hand at Bulankulame on this tenth day of January, One Thousand Nine Hundred and Thirty Five.

## Schedule Referred To

An undivided extent of five Acres (5.. 0r. Op.) out of an undivided one-fifth share or portion belonging to me out of all those three lands called Ihalawela, Pahalawela and Kakkamottawela lying in continguity and forming one property situated at Bandara Bulankulame in the Town and 20 District of Anuradhapura in the North Central Province containing in extent thirty-five acres (35A. 0r. 0p.) more or less and bounded on the North by Crown land Pankuliya Tank and land belonging to Bulankulame family, East by the Acre lands belonging to Bulankulame family South by land belonging to Bulankulame family and Crown land West by lands belonging to Bulankulame family.

Witnesses :

1. (Sgd.) Illegibly.
2. (Sgd.) A. B. Subasinghe.

This is the left thumb impression of Bulankulame so Walauwe Weragama Loku Kumarihamy.
(Sgd.) A. Sivacolundu, Notary Public.

I, Alvappillai Sivacolundu of Anuradhapura, Notary Public, do hereby, certify and attest that the foregoing instrument having been duly read over and explained by me the said Notary to the said Bulankulame Walauwe Weragama Loku Kumarihamy who is known to me in the presence of Henry William Dangamuwa of Welimada who signed the same illegibly and Appuralage Bandara Subasinghe of Anuradhapura who signed the same as "A. B. Subasinghe " both of whom are known to me the same was signed 40 by the said Bulankulame Walauwe Weragama Loku Kumarihamy with a mark and also by the said witnesses and by me the said Notary in my presence and in the presence of one another all being present at the same time at Bulankulame on this tenth day of January, One Thousand Nine Hundred and Thirty Five.

And I further certify and attest that the duplicate of this instrument P. ${ }^{15}$ bears two stamps of the value of Rupees fifteen and the original bears on Deen of Transfer mon $\mathrm{No}$.2415 attested stamp of the value of rupee one and that the said stamps were supplied by A. Sivacoiundu, by me and that the consideration mentioned therein was set off against $10-1-35$ part of the consideration of Deed No. 2190 dated 16th October, 1983 and-Continued. attested by me.

Date of attestation :
10th January, 1935.

(Sgd.) A. Sivacolundu, Notary Public.

(SEAL)
I, W. M. J. Weerasuriya, Registrar of Lands, Anuradhapura, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true copy of a deed of transfer made from the duplicate filed of record in this office and the same is granted on the application of Mr. K. Chandrasekaram.

Land Registry,
(Sgd.) Illegibly, Anuradhapura, 2-3-62.

P 12
Deed of Transfer No. 3325 attested by
V. Senathirajasegaram, Notary Public

Translation

P 12
Deed of Transfer No. 3825 attested by V. Senathirajasegaram, Notary Public-4-2-86.

Duplicate bears stamps of the value of Rs. 25/-.
Application No. 136/17-2-60.
Lands 3.
Rs. 1,500/-.
No. 3325
4th February, 1936.
Know all men by these presents that I Velauthar Nagalingam of Puloly West, do hereby declare -

P 12
Deed of Transfer No. 3325 attested by V. Senathirajasegaram,
Notary Public-4-2-36.
-Continued.

The 1st land that is mentioned in the following schedule belongs to Kanapathippillai Kumarasamy of Alvai North according to transfer deed No. 169 dated the 26th October, 1919 and attested by K. Kanapathippillai, Notary and transfer deed No. 4855 dated 18th February, 1920 and attested by K. S. Sivaguru, Notary The 2nd land belongs to Muthuppillai, wife of Kumarasamy according to dowry deed No. 3028 dated the 9th May, 1910 and attested by D. M. Vallipuram, Notary. The 3rd land belongs according to the above said dowry deed the said Muthuppillai and others have 'xecuted partition deed No. 11891 dated 1st February, 1915 and attested by K. Arumugam, Notary and was possessing same, and of the ${ }^{10}$ said lands the said Kanapathippillai Kumarasamy, and wife Muthuppillai to this grantee Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam living at Puloly West have executed mortgage decd dated 11 th December, 1924 and attested 19 Paramu Sithamparapilla, Notary under No. 17602 for Rupees One Thousand and Five Hundred and interest and the said Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam filed the said debt bond in case No. 7212 of the Jaffna District Court and he is Plaintiff and the said Kanapathipillai Kumarasamy and wife Muthupillai as defendants and so sued and had obtained decree, and on the decree an order to recover the dues paying and as the Court had requested me and appointed me a Commissioner to sell the said lands, and with the ${ }^{20}$ order of the said court and after having made the usual and when l sold the land on the Public Auction on the 23rd May. 1935 the Plaintiff Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam became the highest bidder and bought the land for Rs. 1,500/- and that amount was agreed and, set apart of the money to be paid on the decree, the said Court had confirmed the sale; and the said Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam according to his purchase and he requested me to execute and grant in his favour a real transfer deed, and I had to execute a deed so.

Know all men by these presents. I the said K. Velauthar Nagalingam, on account of the above reasons the said Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam for ${ }^{30}$ a part of money which he to pay on the decree, and for the agreement of Rs. $500 /$ - on the decree, do hereby, sell, transfer and convey to the said Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam the land mentioned in the following schedule.

## The above said Schedule

In Kaddaiveli Parish, Vadamaradchy Division, Jaffna District, Northern Province.

1. Land situated at Alvai Veerakodia Kurichchy called Ampalantheny in extent $321 / 4$ Lachchams V.C. of this on the South of $4 / 5$ share, on the North East excluding $11 / 4$ Lachchams V.C. the remaining in extent ${ }^{4}$ 24 Lachchams V.C. 10 kulies. with palmyrah, vadalies, coconut trees, houses, and spontaneous plantation, and the cultivations and well bounded on the East by street, North by the property of Kanapathipillai Kumaraswamy and others, West by the property of Kanapathipillai Kumaraswamy and wife, Muthuppillai and others and South by the property of Kayilayar Suppar and others of the whole of this within the boundaries an undivided $1 / 8$ share and the whole of the house built by the said Kumaraswamy and wife Muthuppillai.
2. Land situated at Alvai, Mappanakurichchy called Periatheny ${ }^{\text {P } 12}$ in extent $853 / 8$ Lachchams V.C.-do-Thodam 1. of these parcels according $N$ or Transfer to possession in extent 8 Lachchams V (c with palmyrahs and vadalies by $\mathbf{v}$ Senathiraja to possession in extent 8 Lachchams 1. . Win palmyrahs and vada segaram, bounded on the East by the property of Murugan Thilakarippillai and Notary Publicothers North by the property of Kanthappar Subramaniam and others, ${ }^{4-2-36}$. West by the property of Rasammah, wife of Kandiah and South by Arumugam Vythalingam and others of this an undivided $1 / 2$ share; and its share of well by way to the West of this land :-and the right of way and water course.
3. Land situated at Neelakuddiyar Kurichchy called Urulai in extent $315 / 8$ Lachchams V.C-do-Thoddam 1. of these parcels in the middle of $101 / 2$ Lachchams V.C. on the North 5 Lachchams V C. $23 / 4$ kulies with palmyrahs and vadalies, bounded on the East by the property of Ponnampalam Muruguppillai and others, North by the property of Ramalingam Saravanamuthu, West by the property of Ponnampalam Muruguppillai and others and Soutn by the property of Ponnu, wife of Kanapathippillai and others. The whole of this within the boundaries. In witness whereof, I set my signature to this and to two other copies of the same tenor, at Puloly East on the 4th day of February, 1936.

## This is the Signature of $V$ Nagalingam.

We, the said witnesses do declare we know well the real name, residence and occupation of the grantors.
(Sgd.) V Kindiaif.
(Sgd.) Y Senathirajasegaram,
Notary Public.
(Sgd.) V. Aremogim.
I, Vinasithambe Senathirajasegamam, Notary Public of Vadamaradehy Division of Jaffia, do hereby, certit and attest that I read and explained the foregoing instrument to K. Velauthar Nagalingam who signed in English 30 to herein signed witnesses Velauthar Kandiah of Puloly East, Veluppillai Arumugam of the same place in their presence I know the grantor and witnesses, the grantors and witnesses mentioned in the deed, in my presence and they in the presence of one another signed at the same time at Puloly East on the the February, 1936. The duplicate bears 2 stamps of the value of Rs. $25 /$ and the original bears one stamp of Re. 1/- and before this deed was read and explained, in the duplicate ("in Tamil") ("in Tamil ") in the 2nd line ("in Tamil") ("in Tamil") ("in Tamil") was cut in the latter line (" in Tamil") was written above in the original page 2 4th line (" in Tamil ") was corrected in the duplicate and original of 3rd
40 land, 3rd line (" in Tamil ") was corrected.

> (Sgd.) Y Senathirajasegarim, Notary Public.

Date of attestation :
4th February, 1936.

## P 12

Deed of Transfer No. 3325 attested by V. Senathirajasegaram,
Notary Public-4-2-86.
-Continued.

I, 'T. Selvarajah, Registrar of lands of Point Pedro, do hereby, certify that the foregoing is a true copy of a deed of Transfer made from the duplicate filed of record, in this office and the same is granted on the application of Mr. S. Nagalingamudaly, Proctor of the Supreme Court, Point Pedro.
(Sgd.)
Registrar of Lands.
Land Registry, Point Pedro, 2nd March, 1960.

Translated by :
(Sgd.) Illegibly,
Sroorn Translator,
District Court, Jaffna.

## P 8

Deed of Transfer No. 2842 attested by K. Krishnapillai, Notary Public

## Translation

The Duplicate bears Three stamps of the value of Rs. 42/-.
Application No. 109/11-2-60. 20
Prior Registration A/289.
236-237
Anuradhapura-A 55/47 \& 49 .

## Transfer

Rupees : 2,500/-.
Lands: 4.
Duplicate Stamps 42 Rupees.
No. 2842/4-10-39
To all to whom these presents shall come, I, Mylattai, widow of Kathirgamar Murugappar of Puloly West, Vadamaradchy, Jaffna, do hereby ${ }^{30}$ send Greetings.

Whereas Vinasithamby Subramaniam who died issucless in Colombo left behind properties worth over Rupees Two Thousand Five Hundred without leaving behind a Last Will or Testament and left behind as heirs, my sister Kathirasippillai, widow of Veeragathiar Annamalai issueless, my brothers, children of my brothers who died and me and died on the 7th of March, 1935.

And whereas Nagammah, widow of the said Subramaniam for his P 8 movable and immovable properties in Testamentary Case No. 179 D.C. Noed of Transfer Jaffna obtained probate and was on the occasion of the final account, gave by K. Krishnapossession of the lands described in the schedule to me and to all the heirs pilla and all the heirs and I possess the same with my heirs and I from the month $4-10-39 \mathrm{c}$ of August, 1938 and one of the heirs of the said late Subramaniam Kathirasippillai, widow of Veeragathiar Annamalai when the Testamentary Case was in action died after a Last Will in favour of the children Somasundaram, Kanaganathan of Seethevippillai, wife of Periathamby Vinasi10 thamby my only daughter on about 28th February, 1938, and appointed me as executrix and whereas I have obtained Probate in $47 /$ P.T. District Court, Jaffna and my said sister Kathirasippillai and I received a sum of of Rs. 530/- from Karthigesu Muruguppillai on the 25th November, 1936 on a Promissory note with interest therein at 12 per cent and the said Muruguppillai has obtained decree in Case No. 892/P. District Court, Jaffna and whereas this amount is shown in Testamentary Case No. 47/P.T. and whereas for the welfare the sons Somasundaram, Kanaganathan on or about 10 years ago my sister the said Kathirasippillai and I have donated to them our properties by Dowry and purchase and yet for their betterment
20 a house is to be constructed and as the money in our hand was not enough and for the said debt and the father of the said children the late Periathamby Vinasithamby borrowed money by his property and as it was not settled and as per decree No. 27066 a portion was settled and the balance was not given being it amounted Rs. 650/- and interest thereon and debt by the assignment of the deed No. 5763 attested by Notary K. Muttucoomaru on the 26th May, 1937 in favour of the said Karthigesu Muruguppillai and the debt for the purchase of tiles for the said building and for the debt for completing the said house by deed No. 8904 attested by Notary M. S. Kandiah on the 18th February, 1933 in favour of Murugappar Ponnusamy
${ }^{30}$ of Puloly West for the sum of Rs. $500 /$ - with interest thereon and whereas I am sick and bedstricken and as the grantors have noticed me to settle the debts and if not they are to take necessary actions to redeem the debts and whereas the price of the land has gone down and if they are allowed to be sold it seemed to me that the children Somasundaram, Kanaganathan will undergo severe difficulties and as the properties below belong to several heirs it is wise to exclude the lands under mortgage bond and as Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam of Puloly West has agreed to purchase my $1 / 7$ share and the $1 / 7$ th share of my said sister Kathirasippillai belonged to her by the said Testamentary Case 47/P.T. total amounting to $2 / 7$ share
${ }^{40}$ for Rupees $2,500 /$ - and I have agreed to transfer and set over the same and know all men by these presents that I for reasons above shown for and in consideration of the sum of Rs. 2,500/- do hereby transfer same with all right, title and interest thereon to the said Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam and whereas the said Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam, his heirs, administrators, executors shall ever possess and enjoy.

The properties below are not in any way alienated or encumbered but free from encumbrances, and I and my heirs shall warrant and defend if any dispute or claim arise.

In witness whereof I set my signature to this and to two others of the ${ }^{50}$ same tenor in my house at Puloly West.

This fourth day of October, 1939.

Deed of 'Transfer No. 2842 attested by K. Krishnapillai,
Notary Public-4-10-39
-Continued.

## Schedule

1. Land called Nedunkulavelitheni Kadu Kadal Paya situated at Puloly West, Singapahuthevankurichchy, Point Pedro Parish, Vadamaradchy Division. Jaffna District, Northern Province in extent 47 Lachchams V.C. of this $71 / 2$ Lachchams V.C. on the Western side Pallan Kadu Kadal Paya in extent $183 / 4$ of this an extent of $31 / 2$ Lachchams V.C. total extent is 11 Lachchams V.C. for this according to survey Plan annexed in Partition Case No. 8814 District Court, Jaffna for lot No. 1 the extent is 4 Lachchams 8 8/16 kulies with bungalow, kitchen, coconut trees, palmyrah trees and well is bounded on the East by water channel North by Road, West by ${ }^{10}$ the property of trust called Nedunkulavelitheni belonging to Aladypillaiyar Temple and South by the property of the temple and to me and to others of this an undivided $2 / 7$ share and the whole of those belonging to this.

2 Land situated at-do.-Irai in---do.--land plan in extent for lot No. 2 is $159 / 16$ kulies with coconut trees is bounded on the East by the property of Brito Muthunayagam, North by the property of Krishnapillai Mylvaganam, West by water channel and on the South by the property of the aforesaid Aladypillaiyar temple of this an undivided $2 / 7$ th share.
3. Land called Basuvakkulam in Anuradhapura town, Nuwaragama Parish, Nuwaragama Korale, Anuradhapura District, North Central Pro- ${ }^{20}$ vince in extent according to Plan No. 80 surveyed by Licensed Surveyor K. Velmurugu on the 7th of June, 1915 to the North of the earth plan No. T. P. 134597, the lot $A$ in extent 1 acre, 3 roods, 20 perches, with coconut trees, arecanut trees, mango trees, jak trees, orange trees and lime trees is bounded by the lease property belonging to me and to my brothers and sister and North by the property of the Buddhist temple as described in plan No. 13807, West by the property of Vinasithamby Somasundaram and shareholders South by the property of Vinasithamby Somasundaram and shareholders of this an undivided $2 / 7$ share.
4. In-do.-Irai to the Northern $1 / 2$ share described in Plan No. ${ }^{30}$ T.P. 131745 , the extent for Lot C'in the said plan is Acres 3, Roods 3, Perches 36 with coconut trees, house, huts and arecanut trees is bounded on the North by path and water course and the land purchased by Narangavita Sumana-saranananasi East by the above said property and West by water course of the whole of this an undivided $2 / 7$ share with appurtenance thereof.

W itnesses.
(Sgd.) S. Saravanamettu.
(Sgd.) S. Vinasithambr.

This is the hand mark and left thumb impression of Mylattipillai.

I, Kathirithamby Krishnapillai, Notary Public for the judicial Division of Point Pedro, Jaffna District, do hereby certify and attest that I read over Deed of Transfer and explained the foregoing instrument to Mylattipillai, widow of Kathir- by k. Krishnagamar Murugar who set her hand mark and left thumb impression and to polarary the witnesses Sinnathambyar Saravanamuttu of Puloly West and to Sinna- 4-10-39 thamby Vinasithamby and I know them and the said grantor and witnesses they in $m y$ presence and in the presence of each other in the house of the grantor at Puloly West set their signatures at one and the same time on the 4th day of October, 1939 and the duplicate bears stamps of the value 10 of Rs. $42 /$ - and the original stamp of 1 Re. and before the instrument was read over explained in page 3 iines $\mathbf{1 4}, \mathbf{1 5}$, in duplicate and original were closely written in original 4th page in line 40 ("in Tamil ") is corrected in Duplicate in 2nd page in line 20 ("in Tamil") is struck off and the sum mentioned in the instrument was paid in my presence.
(Sgd.) K. Krishnapillai, Notary Public.
Date of attestation :
5th October. 1939.
I, T. Selvarajah, Registrar of Lands, Point Pedro, do hereby certify 20 that the foregoing is a true copy of a deed of transfer made from the duplicate filed of record in this office and the same is granted on the application of Mr. S. Nagalingamudaly, Proctor, Supreme Court, Point Pedro.

Land Registry,
Point Pedro.
20th February, 1960.
(Sgd.) Illegibly, Registrar of Lands

## Translated by:

(Sgd.) T. K. Subramaxiam, Sworn Translator,

District Court, Jaffna.

## P 25

P 25
Plaint in District
Court,
Anuradhapura, Case No. 2263-$15-3-40$
IN THE DISTRIC'T COLR'T OF ANURADIIAPURA
Pailekagama Dhammipala Therunnanise of Lankarama in Anuradhapura

Plaint in District Court, Anuradhapura, Case No. 2268-15-3-40 -Continued.

No. 2263

1. Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam of Point Pedro.

Lunatic. 2. Sooriya Kumara Wannisinghe Alfred Madduma Banda Bulankulama by his guardian ad-litem S. W. Madduma Banda Bulankulama of Anuradhapura.
3. Sooriya Kumara Wannisinghe Richard Punchi Banda Bulankulama.
4. Sooriya Kımara Wannisinghe Henry Bulankulama.
5. Weragama Kahande Walauwe Punchi Kumarihamy.
(dead) 6. Bulankulama Walauwe Weragama Loki Kumarihamy. 10
7. Punchi Banda Bulankulama all of Bulankulama Walauwe in Anuradhapura Town.
8. Sooriya Kumara Wannisinghe Bulankulama Somawathie Kumarihamy of Kapuwatte Walauwe in the District of Matale.

Defendants.

1. Dangamuwe Weragama Madduma Kumarihamy of Dangamuwe Walauwe in Welimada.

1st set of added Defendant.

1. Howage Kirimenika (dead)
2. Manellge Simon
3. Manellge Hendrick
4. Manellge Solomon
5. Manellge Jussey minor by his guardian ad-litem.

Manellge Simon all of Bandara Bulankulama in Nuwaragam Korale.

2nd set of added Defendants.
On this the 15th day of March, 1940.
The plaint of the plaintiff abovenamed appearing by $V$ Ramaswamy his Proctor states as follows:-

1. Certain Owita Kumarihamy was the original owner of the land described in the schedule hereto.
2. The said Owita Kumarihamy died several years ago and her right ${ }_{\text {Count }}^{\text {Plas }}$ 25 District and title to the said land devolved on (1) L. B. Bulankulama Disawe, (2) M. B. Anuradhapura Bulankulama, (3) P. B. Bulankulama, (4) T. B. Bulankulama and (5) Bulan- case No kulama Walauwe Weragama Loku Kumarihamy-her children who suc--Continued. ceeded to the property left behind by her and were each entitled to an undivided one-fifth share of the said land.
3. By Deed No. 474 dated the 27 th day of November, 1922 and attested by P. B. Bulankulama, Notary Public, the said T B. Bulankulama sold and transferred his undivided one-fifth share of the said land to Weragama ${ }^{10}$ Kahande Walauwe Bulankulama Kumarihamy.
4. By Deed No. 1246 dated the 28th day of July, 1932 and attested by S. Nadaraja, Notary Public, the said L. B. Bulankulama Dissawe who was entitled to an undivided one-fifth share of the said land and the said Weragama Kahande Walauwe Bulankulama Kumarihamy granted and transferred by way of exchange their undivided two-fifth shares of the said land to M. B. Bulankularna, who with his own one-fifth share of the same became entitled to an undivided three-fifth shares of the said land.
5. By Deed No. 1332 dated the 15 th day of June, 1922 and attested by S. Nadaraja, Notary Public, the said M. B. Bulankulama sold and trans${ }^{20}$ ferred his undivided three-fifth share of the said land to the 2nd, 3rd, 4th, 5th and 8th defendants abovenamed who thus became each entitled to an undivided three-twenty-fifth shares of the same.
6. By Deed No. 2415 dated the 10 th day of January, 1935 and attested by A. Sivacolundu, Notary Public, the said Bulankulama Walauwe Loku Kumarihamy the 6th defendant sold and transferred an undivided extent of five acres out of an undivided one-fifth share of the said land to the 1 st defendant retaining for herself an undivided extent of two acres.
7. The said P. B. Bulankulama by Bond No. 2776 dated the 3rd day of December, 1929 and attested by S. D. Krishnaratne, Notary Public, 20 mortgaged to Punchi Hewage Nonis Appu of Anuradhapura an undivided one-fifth share of a specified portion of 17A. 1R. 16P. out of the said land and the said Bond was sued in Case No. 1587 of this Court and judgment was obtained against him therein. At the sale under a Commission issued in the said case tor the sale of the said one-fifth share, the said Punchi Hewage Nonis Appu became the Purchaser thereof under and by virtue of Deed of Transfer No. 1772 dated the 30th day of June, 1931 and attested by A. Sivacolundu, Notary Public.
8. By Deed No. 400 dated the 9 th day of September, 1933 and attested by S. Velmurugu, Notary Public, the said Punchi Hewage Nonis Appu ${ }^{40}$ sold and transferred the said one-fifth share of the said extent of 17 A. 1R. 16P. to the plaintiff, who thus became entitled to an undivided extent of 3 acres, 1 rood and 35 perches out of the land described in the schedule and the said P. B. Bulankulama the 7 th defendant is entitled to the remaining extent of 3 acres 2 roods and 5 perches.
9. The plaintiff and the defendants are entitled to the said land in the following shares, to wit :
(1) The plaintiff' is entitled to an undivided extent of Three Acres One Rood and Thirty Five Perches.
(2) The 7th defendant is entitled to the balance extent of Three Acres Two Roods and Five Perches.
(3) The 1st defendant is entitled to an undivided extent of Five Acres.
(4) The 6th defendant is entitled to the balance extent of Two Acres.
(5) The 2nd, 3rd, 4th, 5th and 8th defendants are entitled to an undivided $3 / 25$ th shares of the said land which is equal to 4 A .0 r . 32f. per each.
10. The plaintiff and his predecessors in title have held and possessed ${ }^{10}$ the said land undisturbed and uninterrupted by a title adverse to and independent of all others for more than ten years previous to the bringing of the action and have thereby acquired a prescriptive right and title to the same in terms of Section 3 of Ordinance No. 22 of 1871.
11. The plaintiff finds it difficult and inconvenient to possess the said land in common with the defendants and desires to have a partition of the said land.
12. The said land is reasonably worth Rs. 3,333/33.

WHEREFORE the plaintiff prays :
(1) that he and the defendants may be declared entitled to the said ${ }^{20}$ land according to the shares specified above;
(2) that the said land be partitioned and divided shares be allotted to the plaintiff and the defendants according to the said shares.
(3) that the defendants be ordered to pay costs of suit and of partition according to their respective shares.
(4) for such other and further relief as to this Court shall seem meet.
(Sgd.) V. Ramaswamy, Proctor for Plaintiff.

## Schedule Referred To

All that land called Ihalawela, Pahalawela and Kakkamotewela together ${ }^{30}$ forming one property bearing Lot No. 8 B in B.S.P.P. 525 situated at Bandara Bulankulama in the Town and District of Anuradhapura of the North Central Province and bounded on the North by Crown land Pankuliya Tank and land belonging to Bulankulama Family, on the East by the Acreland belonging to Bulankulama Family, on the South by the land belonging to Bulankulama Family and on the West by the lands belonging to Bulankulama Family containing in extent thirty-five acres (35A. 0r. 0p.)
(Sgd.) V. Ramaswamy, Proctor for Plaintiff.

## PEDIGREE

## Owita Kumarihamy

## P 25

Plaint in District Court,

1
Anuradhapura
Case No. 2268
15-3-40
-Continued


10 True copy of plaint with amended caption in District Court. Anuradhapura partition Case No. 2263.
(Sgd.)
Secretary.
District Court, Anuradhapura, 14-12-61.

## P 24 <br> P 24 <br> Lispendens in District Court, <br> Lispendens in District Court, Anuradhapura Case No. 2263

20 Registered as a Lispendens A119/18.
Anuradhapura, 24th April, 1940.
(Sgd.)
Registrar of Lands.
Application for Registration of a Lispendens.
To the Registrar of Lands of Anuradhapura.
1, Velupillai Ramaswamy, Proctor of Anuradhapura, hereby apply for registration of the partition action to wit :

Names of parties :

Vs.

1. Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam of Puloly West, Point Pedro.
2. Sooriya Kumara Wannisinghe Alfred Madduma Banda Bulankulama.
3. Sooriya Kumara Wannisinghe Richard Punchi Banda Bulankulama.
4. Sooriya Kumara Wannisinghe Henry Bulankulama.
5. Weragama Kahande Walauwe Punchi 10 Kumarihamy.
6. Bulankulama Walauwe Weragama Loku Kumarihamy.
7. Punchi Banda Bulankulama all of Bulankulama Walauwe in Anuradhapura Town.
8. Sooriya Kumara Wannisinghe Bulankulama Somawathie Kumarihamy of Kapuwatta Walauwe in the District of Matale.

Defendants.
Name of the Court : In the District Court of Anuradhapura.
Number of the Action : 2263.
Reference to previous registration of the land if known : $\mathbf{A} 96 / 156$ as a Lispendens affecting the land in your District described in the schedule hereto.
(Sgd.) R. Ramaswamy, Signature of - Ipplicant's Proctor
Dated 24th April, 1940.

## Schedule above referred to

All that land called Ihalawela, Pahalawela and Kakkamotewela together forming one property bearing lot No. $8_{\mathrm{B}}$ in Final Village Plan situated at Bandara Bulankulama in the Town and District of Anuradhapura ${ }^{30}$ of the North Central Province and bounded on the North by Crown Land Pankuliya Tank and Land belonging to Bulankulama Family on the East by the Acreland belonging to Bulankulama Family on the South by the land belonging to Bulankulama Family and on the West by land belonging to Bulankulama Family containing in extent thirty-five acres (35A. 0r. 0p.)
(Sgd.) R. Ramaswamy, Proctor for Plaintiff.

True copy of Lispendens filed of record in District Court, Anuradhapura Partition case No. 2263.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { (Sgd.) } \ldots \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~ \\
\text { Secretary, } \\
\text { District Court, } \\
\text { Anuradhapura. }
\end{gathered}
$$

# D 20 <br> Decree in District Court, Anuradhapura, Partition Case No. 2263 <br> D 20 <br> Decree in District <br> Court, Anuradhapura Partition Case No. 2263-3-8-44 <br> <br> DEGREE <br> <br> DEGREE <br> <br> IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF ANURADHAPURA 

 <br> <br> IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF ANURADHAPURA}

Pallekagama Dhammapala Therunnanse of Lankarama in Anuradhapura.

1. Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam of Point Pedro.
2. Sooriya Kumara Wannisinghe Alfred Madduma Banda Bulankulama by his guardian ad-litem S. W. Madduma Banda Bulankulama of Anuradhapura.
3. Sooriya Kumara Wannisinghe Richard Punchi Banda Bulankulama.
4. Sooriya Kumara Winnisinghe Henry Bulankulama.
5. Weragama Kahande Walauwa Plencii Kumarihamy.
6. Bulankulama Walauwa Weragama Loku Kumarihamy (dead).
7. Punchi Banda Bulankulama all of Bulankulama Walauwa in Anuradhapura Town.
8. Sooriya Kumara Wannisinghe Bulankulama Somawathie Kumarihamy of Kapuwatta Walauwa in the District of Matale.

Defindants.

1. Dangamuwa Weragama Madduma Kumarihamy of Dangamuwa Walauwa in Welimada.

D 20
Decree in District
Court, Anuradhapura Partition Case No. 2263-3-8-44 -Continued.

1. Howage Kirimenika (dead)
2. Manellage simon
3. Manellage Hendrick
4. Manellage Solomon
5. Manellage Jussey minor by his guardian-adlitem Manellage Simon all of Bandara Buiankulama in Nuwaragam Korale.

2nd set of Added-Defendants.

This action coming on for final disposal before T. Quentin Fernando, Esquire, District Judge of Anuradhapura on the 3rd day of August, $1944{ }^{10}$ in the presence of Mr. V Ramaswamy, Proctor on the part of the plaintiff, Mr. A. Sivacolundu, Proctor on the part of the 1st defendant and the first and second sets of added parties and Mr. S. Nadaraja, Proctor on the part of the 2 nd, 3 rd, 4 th, 5 th and 8 th defendants and the 6 th defendant being absent and unrepresented having no interest in the land in question and having transferred her interest therein and notice of considering the Commissioner's report and entering the final decree having been served.

It is ordered that of the land described in the schedule hereto marked X and described by lots $\mathbf{1 , 2 , 3 , 4 , 5 , 6 , 7 , 8 , 9 , 1 0 , 1 1}$ in Survey Plan No. 662 dated 14th February, 1942 made by T. Subramaniam, Licensed Surveyor ${ }^{20}$ and Leveller of Anuradhapura filed of record.

1. The lot marked 1 in the said plan No. 662 in extent Three acres and nine and two-fifth perches (3A. 0R. 9 and 2/5p.) and lot marked 7 therein in extent Eight acres Two roods and Thirty seven perches (8A. 2R. 37p.) with their appurtenances and lot marked 8 therein in extent Eight acres One rood and Twenty four perches and more fully described in the schedule hereto marked A be allotted and given to the 2nd, 3rd, 4th, 5th and 8 th defendants and they are hereby declared entitled to them.
2. The lot marked 11 in the said plan No. 662 in extent Two acres (2a. 0r. Op.) and lot marked 2 in extent One acre One rood and one and ${ }^{30}$ four-fifth perches with their appurtenances more fully described in the schedule hereto marked B be allotted and given to the 7 th defendant's successor-in-title the second set of added parties aforesaid and they are hereby declared entitled to them.
3. The lot marked 3 in the said plan No. 662 in extent One rood and thirty six and four-fifth perches (0A. 1 R .36 and 4/5p.) and lot marked 10 therein in extent one acre and one rood (1A. 1r. 0p.) with their appurtenances and more fully described in the schedule hereto marked ${ }^{C}$ bc allotted and given to the first set of added party and she is hereby declared entitled to it.
4. The lot marked 4 in the said plan No. 662 in extent Two roods ${ }_{D} 20$ and sixteen perches (0A 2R. 16P.) and lot marked 5 therein in extent thre Decree in District acres and eight perches (3A.0R. 8P.) and lot marked 9 therein in extent Anuradhapura One acre one rood and sixteen perches (1A. 1R. 16P.) with their appur- $\frac{\text { Partition } \mathrm{No} \text { (2683 }}{}$ tenances and more fully described in the schedule hereto marked $\mathbf{D}$ be ${ }^{3-8-44}$ allotted and given to the first defendant and he is hereby declared entitled-Continued. to it.
5. The lot marked 6 in the said plan No. 662 in extent Three acres one rood and thirty-five perches (3A. 1R. 35P.) with its appurtenances and ${ }^{10}$ more fully described in the schedule hereto marked E be allotted and given to the plaintiff and he is hereby declared entitled to it.

## Schedule "X"

1. All that land called Ihalawela, Pahalawela and Kakkamotewela togetner forming one property bearing lot No. 8 B in B.S.P.P. 525 represented by lots $1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10$ and 11 in Survey Plan No. 662 made by T. Subramaniam, Licensed Surveyor, situated at Bandara Bulankulama in the Town and District of Anuradhapura of the North Central Province and bounded on the North by Crown Land Pankuliya Tank and land belonging to Bulankulama Family on the East by the acreland belong-
${ }^{20}$ ing to Bulankulama Family on the South by the land belonging to the Bulankulame Family and on the West by the lands belonging to the Bulankulama Family containing in extent Thirty-five acres (35A. 0r. 0p.) but containing an extent of Thirty-three acres Two roods and Twenty-four perches (33A. 2R. 24P.) according to the said Survey Plan No. 662.

> Schedule "A "

1. All that allotment of land marked lot 1 in the Survey Plan No. 662 dated 14th February, 1942 made by T. Subramaniam, Licensed Surveyor and Leveller being divided portion of lot 8 B aforesaid containing in extent of Three acres and Nine and Two-fifth perches (3a. 0r. 9 and 2/5p.) and ${ }^{30}$ bounded on the East by lot 2 and on the North-West and South by Crown land and
2. All that allotment of land marked 7 in the aforesaid plan No. 662 being a divided portion of lot 8 B aforesaid containing in extent Eight acres Two roods and Thirty-seven perches (8A. 2R. 37p.) and bounded on the East by lot 6 on the North by Crown land and lots 2 and 3 on the West by lot 8 and land belonging to the Bulankulama Family and on the South by land belonging to the Bulankulama Family and
3. All that allotment of land marked Lot 8 in Survey Plan No. 662 aforesaid being a divided portion of Lot 8 B aforesaid containing in extent
${ }^{40}$ Eight acres One rood and Twenty-four perches and bounded on the East by lot 7 North by Crown land West by Lot 9 South by land of Bulankulama Family.

Decree in District
Court.
Anuradhapura
Partition Case
No. 2263-
3-8-44
-Continued.

1. All that lot marked 2 in aforesaid plan 662 being a divided portion of Lot No. 8 B aforesaid containing in extent One acre One rood and One and four-fifth perches (1A.1R. 1 and $4 / 5$ th P.) and bounded on the East by land belonging to the plaintiff and lot 3 on the West by lot 1 on the South by Crown land and lot 7 .
2. All that lot marked 11 in the aforesaid plan No. 662 being a divided portion of Lot No. 8 B aforesaid containing in extent Two acres (2A.0r.0p.) and bounded on the East by lot 10 on the North by Crown land and on the West and South by land belonging to the Bulankulama Family.
Schedule "C "'
3. All that lot marked 3 in the aforesaid plan 662 being a divided portion of lot No. $8 \mathbf{B}$ aforesaid containing in extent One rood and Thirtysix and four-fifth perches ( $0 \mathrm{~A} .1 \mathrm{R} .364 / 5 \mathrm{p}$.) and belonging to the plaintiff and on the West by lot 2 and on the South by $\operatorname{lot} 7$ and Crown land.
4. All that lot marked 10 in the aforesaid plan No. 662 being a divided portion of lot No. 8 B aforesaid containing in extent One acre and One rood (1A. 1R. 0p.) and bounded on the North by Crown land on the East by lot No. 9 on the West by lot No. 11 and on the South by the land belonging ${ }^{20}$ to the Bulankulama Family.

## Schedule " D "

1. All that lot marked 4 in the aforesaid plan 662 being a divided portion of the lot No. 8 B aforesaid containing in extent Two roods and sixteen perches (0a. 2R. 16P.) and bounded on the East and North by land belonging to the plaintiff on the West by lot 3 and on the South by Crown land.
2. All that lot marked 5 in the aforesaid plan 662 being a divided portion of lot No. 8 B aforesaid containing in extent Three acres and Eight perches (3A. 0r. 8P.) and bounded on the East by land belonging to the plaintiff on the North by Crown land and on the West by lot, 6 and on the ${ }^{30}$ South by land belonging to the Bulankulama Family.
3. All that lot marked 9 in the aforesaid plan 662 being a divided portion of lot No. 8 B aforesaid containing in extent One Acre One rood Sixteen perches (1a. 1r. 16p.) and bounded on the East by lot 8 on the North by Crown land on the West by lot 10 and on the South by land belonging to the Bulankulama Family.

## Schedule " E"

D 20
Decree in District Court,

1. All that lot marked 6 in the aforesaid plan 662 being a divided portion of $\operatorname{lot} 8 \mathrm{~B}$ aforesaid containing in extent Three acres One rood and belonging to the Bulankulama Family.

It is further ordered that to adjust the difference in value of the appurtenances in the respective lots of the said land allotted to the parties aforesaid the plaintiff do pay to the 2nd, 3rd, 4 th, 5 th and 8 th defendants a
${ }^{10}$ sum of Rupees $41 / 35$ c.ts. and that the first defendant do pay them a sum of Rupees 133/96 rts. and that the parties do pay to plaintiff his costs of suit and of partition pro-rata.
(Sgd.) N. Krishnadasan, District Judge.
The 3rd day of Magust. 1944.
True Cope.
(Sgd.)

# P 11 <br> <br> Notary Public 

 <br> <br> Notary Public}

P11
Deed of Transfer
No. 94 attested by V. K.
Subramaniam,
Notary Publie-
1-12-40)

Application No. 109/11-2-60.

Translation

The Duplicate bears two stamps of the value of Rs. 15/-. ${ }^{30}$ Transfer
Land 1.
Prior Registration
Rs. 650/-. A 211/122.

1st December, 1940.
No. 94
Know all men by these presents that we Suppar Veluppillai and wife Sinvappillai of Alvai South for and in consideration of the sum of Rs. 650/Six hundred and fifty, do hereby, transfer and set over the land mentioned in schedule below on condition described below.

## P11

Deed of $\boldsymbol{f}^{\text {rampler }}$ No. 94 attested
by V. K.
Subramaniam, Notary Public-1-12-40 Continued.

The property mentioned in the said Schedule below was in favour of the 1st named of us by decd of transfer No. 13863 attested by Notary Paramu Sithamparappillai on the 31st day of December, 191: belongs to us and by possession and the said consideration amount of Rs. 650/. with interest thereon at 10 percent be paid within three years from this date by us or our heirs, executors or administrators, the grantee or his aforcwritten should retransfer same at our costs for consideration as same to us or to our aforewritten and if we or our aforewritten fail to pay the said Rs. 650/and interest thereon within three rears and at the elapse of three years these conditions will be null and void and this instrument shall be considered ${ }^{10}$ legal and valid and after that period this deed of transfer is a legal and valid one and there shall be no rights to us or our aforewritten to demand a deed of transfer to be executed and the grantee shall have rights to enter and take possession of the property and the right of possession shall be with us or with our aforewritten for the said three years and we deliver the title deed with this instrument and we have the full right and power to transfer and sell and we shall warrant and defend the property

## The Schedule of Property

Land called Nungaiyapulam situated at Thunnalai, Veerasuntharamuthalikurichy in Kaddaiveli Parish, Vadamaradchy Division, Jaffna ${ }^{2}$ District, Northern Province in extent 49 1/む Lachchams V.C. of this for $5 / 7$ share on the South is in extent $351 / 4$ Lachchams V.C. according to possession with well is bounded on the East by the property of Kathirgamar Arambo and others North by the property of Nagappar Paramu and others West by the property of Mylvaganam Arulampalam and others and on the South by the property of Raman Velappar and others of those contained within these boundaries an undivided $2 / 3$ share of $1 / 6$ share.

In Witness whereof we set our signatures to this and to two others of the same tenor in the office of the said Notary at Puloly East this 1st day of ${ }^{30}$ December, 1940.

We the witnesses do hereby, declare that we know the grantors and grantee by their real name, residence and occupation.
(.sgd.) S. Veluppilial.
$X$ This is the hand mark of Sinnappillai, wife of Veluppillai.

Left thumb impression.
(Sgd.) V Coomaraswamy
(Sgd.) K. Vallipuram
(Sgd.) V K. Subrimanlam

I, Visuvanathan Kanagaratnam Subramaniam, Notary Public for ${ }_{\text {Deed }} 11$ The Judicial Division of the Court of Point Pedro and Chavakachcheri, Deed of Transfer do hereby, certity and attest that I read over and explained the foregoing by $\mathbf{v}$. K. instrument to the said Murugar Suppar Veluppillai who signed as S. Velup- Notary Publicpillai and to Sinnappillai who set her left thumb impression and to the ${ }^{1-12-40}$ witnesses Velauthar Cumaraswamy of Puloly West who set nis signature continurd. illegible and to Kanthar Vallipuram of Karaveddy East and I know them and the said grantors and witnesses; in my presence and all in the presence of each other signed this 1st day of December, 1940 and the amount men-
${ }^{10}$ tioned in this instrument was paid in my presence and the duplicate bears 2 stamps of the value of Rs. 15/- and the original one stamp of the value of Re. 1/- and before the instrument was read over and explained in duplicate 2nd page in 1st line (" in Tamil ") is corrected and in original lst page last line (" in Tamil") was written over.

Date of attestation :
Ist December, 1940.

> (Sgd.) V. K. Subramaniam
> (Sgd.) V K. Subramaniam, $\begin{aligned} & \text { Votary Public. }\end{aligned}$
(SEAL)
20
I. Selvarajah, Registrar of lands, Point Pedro, do hereby, certify that the foregoing is a true copy of the deed of transfer made from the duplicate filed of record in this office and the same is granted on the application of Mr. S. Nagalingamudaly, Proctor, Supreme Court, Point Pedro.

Land Registry,
Point Pedro, 29th February, 1960.
(Sgd.) Illegibly, Registrar of Lands.
Trunsluted by me:
(Sgd.) T. K. Subramaniah, Sworn Translator, District Court. Jaffna.
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Final Partition Decree in District Court, Point Pedro Case No. 1415/P.
FINAL PARTITION DECREE
IN THE DISTRICT COUR'T OF JAFFN.
Held at Point Pedro
${ }^{41}$ ) No. 1415/P.

1. Thamoetharampillai Thangarajah
2. and wife Balaratnam, both of Thunnalai North.
Is.1. Sethunathapillai Velupillai of ThunnalaiNorth.2. Aruleswary, daughter of Paramsothy of -do.-Minor by her Guardian-ad-litemVallifuram Paramsothy of -do.-
3. Vairavan Suppan of Puloly South.
4. Valrayan Pasuvan of -do.-
5. Thiman Kanapathy of -do.-
6. and wife Wally of -do.-10
7. Thamu Veeragathypillai of Alvai South.
8. Valliappan Velupillai of -do.-9. and wife Nagamuttu of -do.-
9. Suppar Velupillai of -do.-
10. Visulanathar Kanagaratnam of Puloly West.
11. Thamar Chinnathamby of -do.-
12. Vinasithamby Senathirajasegaram of Puloly South.
13. S. ('. Kanagasabai of Puloly East. ..... 20
14. Viyagesan Somasundaram of Nallur, Jaffna.
Defendants.

This action coming on for final disposal before L. W. de Silva Esquire, Additional District Judge on the 27th day of August, 1942 in the presence of Mr. M. Esurapadham, Proctor on the part of the plaintiffs and of Mr. A. Nadarajah Sunderam, Proctor on the part of the $7-10$ defendants, of Mr. V. K. Subramaniam, Proctor on the part of the 11th defendant and the other defendant being absent and unrepresented and notice of Final Decree having been reported duly served on them.

It is ordered and decreed that of he land situated at Thunnalai Veera-sundaramudalikurichchy in the Parish of Kaddaiveli in Vadamaradchy ${ }^{30}$ Division in the District of Jaffna called Nungayapulam in extent 38 Lachchams V.C. and 6, 27/32 Kulies with its appurtenances including well and
bounded on the East by the property of Murugar Vallipuram and others. D 18 On the North by the property of V. Senathirajasegaram and others. On ${ }_{\text {Decre }}^{\text {Final Partition }}$ the West by the property of the heirs of the late Sinnathamby Subramaniam in District Court, and on the South by the property of Kanadappar Thambiah and others and Point Pedro C. Sin C. Sivapiragasam and others and described by Lots marked 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, ${ }^{27-8-4 .+2}$ 7 and 8 in the survey plan No. 663 dated the 28th day of April, 1942 and prepared by Mr. M. Velupillai, Licensed Surveyor and Commissioner appointed by this Court to partition the said land and filed ot record in this case.

10 1. The lot marked 3 in extent 5 Lachchams V.C. and 10, $17 / 32$ kulies with its appurtenances and bounded on the East by lot No. 9 on the North by lot No. 2 and the West by the property of the heirs of the late Sinnathamby Subramaniam and of the South by lots Nos. 4 and 8 be and the same is hereby declared to be the absolute property of the 2nd plaintiff.
2. The lot marked 2 in extent 5 Lachchams V.C. 10, 17/32 kulies with its appurtenances and bounded on the East by Lot No. 9 on the North by lot No. 1, on the West by the property of the heirs of late Sinnatnamby Subramaniam and on the South by lot No. 3 be and the same is hereby declared to be the absolute property of the 11th defendant.
3. The lot marked 4 in extent 7 Lachchams V.('. and 5, $8 / 32$ kulies with its appurtenances and bounded on the East by lot No. 9 and on the North by lot No. 3 on the West by the property of the heirs of late Sinnathamby Subramaniam and on the South by lot No. 5 be and the same is hereby declared to be the absolute property of the 2nd defendant.
4. The lot marked 5 in extent 6 Lachchams V.C. and 2, 25/32 kulies with its appurtenances and bounded on the East by lot No. 9 and on the North by lot No. 4 on the West by the property of the heirs of the late Sinnathamby Subramaniam and on the South by lot No. 6 be and the same is hereby declared to be the absolute property of the 7th and 9th defendants in equal shares.
5. The lot marked 6 in extent 6 Lachchams V.C. and 2, 25/32 kulies with its appurtenances and bounded on the East by lot No. 9 on the North by lot No. 5 on the West by the property of the heirs of the late Sinnathamby Subramaniam and on the South by lot No. 7 be and the same is hereby declared to be the absolute property of the 3rd, 4th and 6th defendants in equal shares.
6. The lot marked 7 in extent 4 Lachchams V.C. and 1, $27 / 32$ kulies with its appurtenances and bounded on the East by the property of Murugar Vallipuram and others on the North by lots No. 6 and 8 on the West by the
40 property of the heirs of the late Sinnathamby Subramaniam and on the South by the property of Kandappar Thambiah and others and C. Sivapiragasam and others be and the same is hereby declared to be the absolute property of the 11th defendant subject to right of 10th defendant to obtain a retransfer according to the terms stipulated in Deed No. 94 dated 1st December, 1940 and attested by Mr. V K. Subramaniam, N.P. and marked P19.
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7. The lot marked 1 in extent 2 Lachchams V.C. and $30 / 32$ kulies with its appurtenances and bounded on the East by lot No. 9 on the North by the property of V. Senathirajasegaram and others, on the West by the property of heirs of the late Sinnathamby Subramaniam and South by lots 2 and 8 be and the same is hereby declared to be the absolute property of the 13 th, 14 th and 15 th defendants.
8. The lot marked 8 in extent 11, 13/32 kulies with its appurtenances and bounded on the East and West by lots No. 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 and on the North by lots Nos. 1 and 3 and on the South by lots Nos. $\pm$ and 7 be and the same is hereby declared to be the common property of the plaintiff 10 and defendants for the use as reservation of the well, way and water course in proportion to their shares of the land.
9. The lot marked 9 in extent $14,25 / 32$ kulies with its appurtenances and bounded on the East by the property of Murugar Vallipuram and others North by the property of V. Senathirajasegaram and others West by lots No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 and on the South by lot No. 7 be and the same is hereby declared to be the common property of the plaintiffs and defendants for the use as path.

It is further decreed that to adjust the difference in the value of the appurtenances in the respective lots of the said land allotted to the parties as aforesaid the 2 nd plaintiff do pay to the 3 rd, 4 th and 5 th defendants Rs. 284/66 $\frac{1}{2}$, 2nd plaintiff do pay to 11th defendant Rs. 134/67 $\frac{1}{2}$, 2nd detendant do pay to 11th defendant Rs. 598/60 $\frac{1}{2}$, 2nd defendant do pay to $13,14,15$ th defendants Rs. $34 / 21 \frac{1}{2}, 7$ th and 9 th defendants do pay to 13,14 and 15 th defendants Rs. $161 / 83 \frac{1}{2}$, and 11 th defendant do pay to 13, 14 and 15th defendants Rs. 17/84.

It is further decreed that the costs of this action and of partition not exceeding $1 / 9$ th value of the land be borne by the parties in proportion to their shares in the said land.

This 27th day of August, 1942.
(Sgd.) L. W. De Silva, Additional District Judge.

Drawn by :
(Sgd.ì M. Esurapadham, Proctor for Plaintiff.
"True copy of the Final Partition Decree in District Court, Jaffna Case No. 1415 held at Point Pedro."
(Sgd.)

P 16
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Notary Public
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Duplicate bears Stamps of the value of Rs. 10/-. Transfer.

Application No. 235/25-3-60.
Land 1.
Prior Registration Jaffna.
Rupees 500/-.
A 204/224.
No. 1236/28th October, 1942
Know all men by these presents that I Vethavanam Kathirgamar 10 of Puloly West for and in consideration of Rupees $500 /$ - five hundred paid and received from Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam of the same place do hereby transfer and set over the property mentioned in schedule below with all its right, title and interest thereon unto the said Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam.

Whereas I declare that the property described in the said schedule belong to me by deed of Transfer No. 9963 dated 17 th day of January, 1910 and attested by Nutary S. Subramaniam and by right of Mudusom from my father and by right of Possession and the said deed of transfer is not with me at present and I have the full right and power to sell and transfer and I shall warrant and defend if any claim and dispute arise.

## The abovesaid Schedule

Land called Pommikaladdy situated at Puloly East Singapahuthevankurichy in Point Pedro Parish, Vadamaradchy Division, Jaffna District, Northern Province in extent $3 \pm$ Lachchams V.C. of this an extent of 1 Lachcham 15 kulies for the lane on the Western side being excluded the extent of the rest is 32 Lachchams 3 kulies with well and vadalies is bounded on the North-East by the property of Sithamparanathar Kanapathippillai and others, North by the property of Kanapathippillai Vairavippillai and others and the property of Vallathai wife of Vairamuthu and others West by the lane allotted by this property and South by the property of Sithamboundaries an undivided $9 / 64$ share and the share of the well belonging to this.

In witness whereof I set my signature to this and to two others of the same tenor at Puloly West this 28th day of October, 1942.
(Sgd.) V. Kathirgamar.
Witnesses :
(Sgd.) S. Suppan.

> (Sgd.) V Kasinathar.
(Sgd.) V K. Subramaniam.
(Sgd.) V. K. Subramaniam, Notary Public.

## P16
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I, Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam Subramaniam, Notary Public for the judicial division of the Court of Point Pedro and Chavakachcheri, Jaffna District, do hereby certify and attest that the foregoing instrument was read over and explained by me to the said Vethavanam Kathirgamar and to the subscribing witnesses Sinnavan Suppan of Puloly West and to Velupillai Kasinathar of Puloly East and I know all of them and the said grantor and witnesses in my presence and they in the presence of one another set their signatures at Puloly West on the 28th of October, 1942 and the sum mentioned in the Instrument was paid in my presence and the duplicate bears 1 stamp of the value of Rs. 10/- and the original bears 1 stamp of the ${ }^{10}$ value of Re. 1/-.

Date of attestation :
(Sgd.) V. K. Subramaniam,
(Sgd.) V. K. Subramaniam,
Notary Public.
I, T. Selvarajab, Registrar of Lands, Point Pedro, do hereby, certify that the foregoing is a true copy of a deed of transfer made from the duplicate filed of record in this office and the same is granted on the application of Mr. K. Kandappa, Puloly West.

Land Registry,
Point Pedro.
1st Apr il, 1960.
Translated by :
(Sgd.) T. K. Subramaniam
Sroorn Translator,
District Court,
Sworn Translator,
District Court,
Jaffna.
(SEAL)
arers.

8,


$\qquad$
4. Vallipuram Veluppillai of Alvai South.
5. and wife Walimppilla of -do-.
6. K. Pandaram Kandavanam of Puloly West.

7 Alvappillai Namasivayam of -do-
8. and wife Muthuratnam of -do-.
9. Periyathamby Muruguppillai of Alvai North.
10. Kandiah Rajaratnam of -do-.
11. Velalthar Sinnathimby Chinniah of -do-.
12. Valliperam Maniccam of -do-.
13. Thamar Paramoe of Puttur East.
14. Thamar Iyathurai of Alvai South.
15. Thamar Vanniyasingilim of Puttur East.
16. Thamar Thambiah of -do-.
17. Thamar Kasinathar of -do-.
18. Sinnammah, daughter of Thamar of -do- Minors by their Guardian-ad-litem Vellaimuttar Thamar
! of -do-
19. Mailviganam Arasaratciam of Puloly West.
20. Ponnuchamy Nadarajah of Puloly West.
21. and wife Sethuppillai of -do-
22. Kanapathippillai Kcmarasamy of Alvai South.
23. Kanapathippillai Paramaguru of Alvai South.
24. Kanapathipillai Kathirithamby of -do-.

Dead. 25. Kanapathipillai Thinakaripplllai of -do-.
26. Kanapathippillai Chelliah of -do-.
27. Kanapathippillai Sithamparappillai of -do-.
28. T. Ponnambalam.
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29. Mallvaganam.
30. Mahamanickam.
31. and wife Visaladchippillai.
32. T. Chellammah, widow of Kumarar of Alvai North.

Defendants.
33. N. Alvappillai of Puloly West substituted in place of the 8 th defendant.

This action coming on for final disposal before L. W. de Silva, Esquire, Additional District Judge, on the 11th day of December, 1942 in the presence ${ }^{10}$ of Mr. S. Soorasangaram, Advocate, instructed by Mr. V. K. Subramaniam, Proctor on the part of the plaintiff and of Mr. T. Ramalingam, Advocate, instructed by Mr. S. Visuvalingam, Proctor on the part of the 22nd defendant and the other defendants being absent and unrepresented and notice of Final Decree having been reported duly served on them.

It is ordered and decreed that of the land situated at Alvai Veerakodiakurichy in Kaddaively Parish in the Division of Vadamaradchy in the District of Jaffna. Northern Province called Ampalantheny in extent 26 Lachchams V.C. and kulies with its appurtenances including house and well and bounded on the East by lane, on the North by the property of ${ }^{20}$ Kanapathippillai Kumarasamy and others and madamland, on the West by the property of Kanapathippillai Kumarasamy and wife Muttupillai and others and on the South by the property of Kailayar Suppar and others, and described by lots marked $1.2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14$, $15,16,17,18,19$, and 20 in the Survey Plan No. 1720 dated the 9th day of October, 1940 and prepared by Mr. K. Velmurugu, Licensed Surveyor and Commissioner appointed by this Court to partition the said land and filed of record in this case.

1. The lot marked 1 in extent 6 Lachchams V.C. and 9 kulies with its appurtenances and bounded on the East by lane on the North by the ${ }^{30}$ property of Kanapathippillai Kumarasamy and others on the West by the property of Kanapathippillai Kumarasamy and wife Muttupillai and others and on the South by lot 2 be and the same is here by declared to be the absolute property of the Madam of which the 22, 23, 24, 26 and 27 defendants are trustees.
2. The lot marked 2 in extent 3 Lachchams V.C. and $41 / 2$ kulies with its appurtenances inclusive of the house and bounded on the East by lane, on the North by lot 1, on the West by the property of Kanapathipillai Kumarasamy and wife Muttupillai and others and on the South by lot 3 be and the same is hereby declared to be the absolute property of the plaintiff.
3. The lot marked 3 in extent 3 Lachchams V.C. and $41 / 2$ kulies with its appurtenances and bounded on the East by lane, on the North by lot 2, on the West by the property of Kanapathippillai Kumarasamy and wife Muttupillai and others and on the South by lot No. 4 be and the same is hereby declared to be the absolute property of the 9 th defendant.
4. The lot marked 4 in extent 1 Lachcham V.C and $1,1 / 2$ kulies $\frac{1}{1} 19$ with its appurtenances and bounded on the East by lane, on the North by Decree in District lot 3, on the West by the property of Kanapathippillai Kumarasamy and Court, Point Pedro wife Muttuppillai and others and on the South by lot 5, be and the same is ${ }_{11-12-42}^{\text {case No. }}$ hereby declared to be the absolute property of the 11th defendant.
-Continued.
5. The lot marked 5 in extent-Lachchams V.C. and 9, 3/4 kulies with its appurtenances and bounded on the Esat by lane, on the North by lot 4, on the West by the property of Kanapathippillai Kumarasamy and wife Muttuppillai and others and on the South by lot 6 be and the same is 10 hereby declared to be the absolute property of the 10 th defendant.
6. The lot marked 6 in extent $\geq$ Lachchams V.C. and $7,7 / 8$ kulies with its appurtenances inclusive of the well and bounded on the East by lane, on the North by lot 5, on the West by the property of Kanapathippillai Kumarasamy and wife Muttuppillai and others and on the South by lot 7, be and the same is hereby declared to be the absolute property of the 6th defendant.
7. The lot marked 7 in extent $14,5 / 8$ kulies with its appurtenances and bounded on the East by lane, on the North by lot 6. on the West by the property of Kanapathippillai Kumarasamy and wife Muttuppillai and others 20 and on the South by lot 8 , be and the same is hereby declared to be the absolute property of the 21 st defendant.
8. The lot marked 8 in extent 2 Lachchams V.C. and 7. $7 / 8$ kulics with its appurtenances and bounded on the East by lane, on the North by lot 7, on the West by the property of Kanapathippillai Kumarasamy and wife Muthuppillai and others and on the South by lot 9 be and the same is hereby declared to be the absolute property of the 8 th defendant.
9. The lot marked 9 in extent $8,31 / 40$ kulies with its appurtenances and bounded on the East by lane, on the North by lot 8, on the West by the property of Kanapathippillai Kumarasamy and others and South by ${ }^{30}$ lot 10 be and the same is hereby declared to be the absolute property of the 1st defendant.
10. The lot marked 10 in extent $4,81 / 80$ kulies with its appurtenances and bounded on the East by lane, North by lot 9, West by the property of Kanapathippillai Kumarasamy and wife Muttuppillai and others and on the South by lot 11, be and the same is hereby declared to be the absolute property of 2 nd defendant.
11. The lot marked 11 in extent $4,31 / 80$ kulies with its appurtenances and bounded on the East by lane, on the North by lot 10, on the West by the property of Kanapathippillai Kumarasamy and wife Muttuppillai ${ }^{40}$ and others, and on the South by lot No. 12 be and the same is hereby declared to be the absolute property of the 3rd defendant.
12. The lot marked 12 in extent 1 Lachcham V.C. and $11,1 / 4$ kulies with its appurtenances and bounded on the East by lane, on the North by lot 11, on the West by the property of Kanapathippillai Kumarasamy and wife Muttuppillai and others and on the South by lot 13 be and the same is hereby declared to be the absolute property of the 5 th defendant.

Final Partition 13. The lot marked 18 in ex Decree in District kulies with its appurtenances and bounded on the East by lane, North Court, Point Pedro
Case No. 124/P. Case No. 124/P.
$11-12-42$ --Continued. wife Muttuppillai and others and South by lot 14, be and the same is hereby declared to be the absolute property of the 4th defendant.
14. The lot marked 14 in extent-Lachchams Y.C. and $14,5 / 8$ kulies with its appurtenances and bounded on the East by lane, on the North by lot 13, on the West by the property of Kailayar Suppar and others and on the South by lot 15 be and the same is hereby declared to be the absolute property of the 12th defendant.
15. The lot marked 15 in extent-Lachchams V.C. and $2,7 / 16$ kulies with its appurtenances and bounded on the East by lane, on the North by lot 14, on the West by the property of Kailayar Suppar and others and on the South by lot 16 be and the same is hereby declared to be the absolute property of the 13 th defendant.
16. The lot marked 16 in extent--Lachchams V.C. and 2, 7/16 kulies with its appurtenances and bounded on the East by lane and bounded on the North by lot 15, on the West by the property of Kailayar Suppar and others, and on the South by lot 17, be and the same is hereby declared to be the absolute property of the 14th defendant.
17. The lot marked 17 in extent-Lachchams V.C. and 2, 7/16 kulies with its appurtenances and bounded on the East by lane, on the North by lot 16, on the West by the property of Kailayar Suppar and others, and on the South by lot 18 be and the same is hereby declared to be the absolute property of the 15 th defendant.
18. The lot marked 18 in extent 2, $7 / 16$ kulies with its appurtenances and bounded on the East by lane, on the North by lot 17, on the West by the property of Kailayar Suppar and others and on the South by lot 19 be and the same is hereby declared to be the absolute property of the 16th defendant.
19. The lot marked 19 in extent 2, 7/16 kulies with its appurtenances and bounded on the East by lane, on the North by lot 18, on the West by the property of Kailayar Suppar and others and South by lot 20 be and the same is hereby declared to be the absolute property of the 17th defendant.
20. The lot marked 20 in extent 2, $7 / 16$ kulies with its appurtenances and bounded on the East by lane, on the North by lot 19, on the West and on the South by the property of Kailayar Suppar and others be and the same is hereby declared to be the absolute property of the 18 th defendant.

It is further decreed that to adjust the difference in the value of the Final appurtenances in the respective lots of the said land allotted to the parties as aforesaid the

Final Partition Decree in District Court, Point Pedro
Case No. 124/P
11-12-42
-Contimued.
plaintiff do pay to the madam (22, 24, 26 and 27 defendants) Rs. 17/12;
,, do pay to the 8 th defendant Rs. $19 \cdot 92$;
", do pay to the 1st defendant Rs. 17•89;
,, do pay to the 2 nd defendant Rs. 594 ;
", do pay to the 5th defendant Rs. 16.07;

9th defendant do pay to the 5 th defendant Rs. 22.71 and to the 4 th defend${ }^{10}$ ant Rs. $95 \cdot 23,11$ th defendant do pay to the 4 th defendant cents 33 , and to the 12 th defendant Rs. $39 \cdot 65$, 10th defendant do pay to the 12 th defendant Rs. $11 \cdot 99$, 6 th defendant do pay to the 12 th detendant Rs. $6 \cdot 50$, to the 13th defendant Rs. 12.05, to the 14 th defendant Rs. $12 \cdot 05$ to the 15 th defendant Rs. $12 \cdot 0.5$ and to the 16 th defendant Rs. $12 \cdot 05$, 6 th defendant do pay to the 17 th defendant Rs. $4 \cdot 46$, 21 st defendant do pay to the 17 th defendant Rs. $2 \cdot 59$ and to the 18 th defendant Re. $1 \cdot 00$, 3rd defendant do pay to the 18th defendant cts. 05.

It is further decreed that, the costs of this action and of partition be borne by the parties in proportion to their shares in the said land.
${ }^{20}$ This 11th day of December, 1942.

(Sgd.) L. W. de. Silva, Additional District Judge.

Drawn by :
(Sgd.) V. K. Subramaniam, Proctor for Plaintiff.

True copy of Final Partition Decree in Case No. 124/P. D.C. Jaffna held at Point Pedro.
(Sgd.) $\qquad$
Secretary,
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Gase No. 2309
FINAL DECREE
IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF ANURADHAPURA
Y Kanagaratnam of Puloly West, Point Pedro.
Plaintiff
No. 2309 I's.

1. Sooriy. Klmari Wannisinghe Puchei Bandi Bulankulama of Puliyankulama.
2. Werigama Kahande: Whawwe Bulankulama Tikiri Kumarihimy of Nuwarawewa Walawwa in Anuradhapura.
3. Punchi Banda Bulankulama ol Bular kulama Walawwa in Bulankulama.
4. Mylvaganam Sivarimalingim.
5. Mylvaganam Nillanathin.
6. Myheiganim Ramanathin.
7. Selfaderai Pinchritrahlidi Veladthin.
8. Selvadurai Kamahawathi.
9. Sleivideleai Vythianathan.
10. Selvadurai Sefthalakshimi, the 4th, 5th, and 6th defendants minors by their Guadian-ad-litem Vythianathar Mylvaganam and 7th, 8th, 9th and 10th defendants, minors by their Guardian-ad-litem Vythianathar Solvadurai all of Anuradhapura.

Defendents.
This action coming on for Final disposal before E. V R. Samarawickrama Esquire, District Judge of Anuradhapura on the 14 th December, 1942, in the presence of Mr. A. Sivacolundu, Proctor on the part of the ${ }^{30}$ plaintiff and Messrs. Ramaswamy and Paramakuru, Proctors on the part of the 1st and 2nd defendants and Mr. K. Subramaniam, Proctor on the part of the 4th to 10 th defendants.

It is ordered and decreed that the allotment of the land called siyam- Dinal balawela bearing Lot No. 6 situated at Bandara Puliyankulama and fully in District Co described in the schedule hereto and depicted in Plan No. 670 mentioned anuradhapura above is declared the common property of the plaintiff and the 1st, 2nd case no. 230. and th to 10th defendants.

And it is further ordered and decreed (1) that lot No. 1 and 6 in the said Plan No. 670 containing in extent 3A. 1R. 8. 7 P and 4A. 2R. 2\% 5 P respectively and in the aggregate $7 \mathrm{~A} .3 \mathrm{R} .36 \div \mathrm{P}$. and described below in the Schedule B ine and the same is decreed the property of the plaintiff.
2. That the lot No. 15 in the said Plan No. 670 containing in extent 15A. 3R. $32 \cdot 3 \mathrm{P}$. and described below in the schedule B be and the same is decreed the property of the 1 st defendant.
3. That lots Nos. 5. 13 and 14 in the said Plan No. 670 containing in extent respectively $3.1 .2 \mathrm{R} .37 .3 \mathrm{P} . ; 2.1 .3 \mathrm{R} .21 \mathrm{PP} . ; 1 \mathrm{~A} .1 \mathrm{R} .17 \cdot 8 \mathrm{P}$. and in the aggregate $7 \mathrm{~A} .3 \mathrm{R} .36 \cdot \because \mathrm{P}$ and described below in schedule 13 be and the same are decreed the property of the 2 nd defendant.
4. Lot No. 2 in the said Plan No. 670 containing in extent 1A. 1R. 03. 2 P described in schedule $B$ be and the same is decreed the property of the 4 th defendant.

20
5. Lot No. 3 in the said Pla:1 No. 670 containing in extent 1A. $1 R$. $03 \cdot \because \mathrm{P}$ and described below in Schechule B be and the same is decreed the property of the 5th defendant.
6. Lots Nos. 4 and 11 in the said Plan No. 670 containing in extent respectively 3R. $02 \cdot 5 \mathrm{P}$. and 0 A .2 R . $00 \cdot 7 \mathrm{P}$. described bclow in schedule B be and the same are decreed the property of the 6th defendant.

T Lot No. 7 in the said Plan No. 670 containing in extent OA. 3 R 32 4P. described below in schedule B be and the same is decreed the propert.. of the 7 th defendant.
8. Lot No. 8 in the said Plan No. 670 containing in extent 0A. 3R $3032 \cdot 4 \mathrm{P}$ and described below in schedule B be and the same is decreed the property of the 8 th defendant.
9. Lot No. 9 described in the said Plan No. 670 containing in extent 0 A. 3R. $32 \cdot 4$ P. and described below in schedule B be and the same is decreed the property of the 9 th defendant.
10. Lot No. 10 in the said Plan No. 670 containing in cxtent 0A. 3 R $32 \cdot 4 P$. and described below in schedule B be and the same is decreed the property of the 10 th defendant.
11. Lot No. 12 in the said Plan No. 670 described below in schedule $\mathbf{B}$ containing in extent 0A. 1R. 17P. be and the same is decreed the common 40property of the 4 th to 10th defendants to scrve as a path for their respective lands.

D ${ }^{17}$

## Final Decree

 in District Court, Anuradhapura Case No. 2309 -Continued.It is further ordered and decreed that the parties to this case bear the costs of these proceedings and of partition in proportion to their respective shares.

## Sichedule' $A$ '

The allotment of land called Siyamblagahawela bearing lot No. 6 situated at Bandara Puliyankulama in Ihalawewa Tulana Kende Korale containing in extent 41 acres 3 roods and 8 perches and bounded on the North by Mullagahakele bearing lot No. 1 D and Puliyankulama Mukalana bearing lot No. 1F. East by lot 1F. called Puliyankulama Mukalana South East by Puliyankulama Mukalana bearing lot 1, South by road leading ${ }^{10}$ to Rambawewa and Mullagahakele bearing lot No. 1D and West by lot 1D called Mullagahakele exclusive of the Rail Road passing through it and the land reserved for the Road with its appurtenances which said lot No. 6 is presently described in Plan No. 670 dated 5th October, 1942 made by C. J. Sabapathy, Licensed Surveyor and Leveller and is said to contain 391. 3R. 20.9P according to his survey and Plan.

$$
\text { Schedule }{ }^{\prime} B
$$

1. All that land lot No. 1 marked in Plan No. 670 dated 5th October, 1942 and made by C. J. Sabapathy, Licens $\in$ d Surveyor and Leveller situated at Bandara Puliyankulama in Ihala New Tulana of Kende Korale in Nuwara- 20 gam Palata in the District of Anuradhapura of the North Central Province bounded on the North by lot 2 in the said Plan No. 670 East by Railway Reservation. South by lot 1D and West by lot 1D containing in extent 3A. 1R. 08.7P.
2. All that land lot No. 2 marked in the said Plan No. 670 situated at Bandara Puliyankulama aforesaid and bounded on the North by lot 3 in the said Plan No. 670 East by Railway Reservation South by lot 1 described above and West by the property of the 1st defendant containing in extent 1R.03.2P
3. All that land lot No. 3 marked in the said Plan No. 670 situated 30 as aforesaid and bounded on the North by lot 4 in the said Plan No. 670 East by Railway: Reservation; South by lot 2 described above and West by property belonging to the 1st defendant containing in extent 1A. 1.R 03.2 P
4. All that lot No. $\downarrow$ marked in the said Plan No. 670 situated as aforesaid and bounded on the North by lot 13 in the said Plan No. 670 and the property of the 1st defendant; East by Railway Reservation; and West by the property belonging to the 1st defendant and South by lot No. 3 described above.
5. All that land lot No. 5 in the said plan No. 670 situated as aforesaid 4 bounded on the North by the property of the 1st defendant and by lot 6 in the said Plan No. 670 ; East by Reservation and lot 1D South by lot 1D and West by Railway Reservation containing in extent 3A. 2R. 37.3P
6. All that land lot No. 6 marked in the said Plan No. 670 situated ${ }_{\text {Fin }} 17$ as aforesaid bounded on the North by lot No. 12 in the said Plan No. 670 in District Court, East by Road Reservation; South by lot 5, described above, property Anuradhapura belonging to 1st defendant and Railway Reservation and West by Railway cocontinued. Reservation containing in extent 4A. 2R. 27 5P.
7. All that land lot No. 7 marked in the said Plan No. 670 situated as aforesaid bounded on the North by lot 15 in the said Plan No. 670; East by Road Reservation ; South by lot 12 in the said Plan No. 670 and West by lot No. 8 described below containing in extent 0A. 3R. 32.4P.
8. All that land lot No. 8 marked in the said Plan No. 670 situated as aforesaid bounded on the North by lot 15 in the said plan; East by lot $\tilde{r}$ described above; South by 12 in the said Plan and West by lot 9 described below containing in extent 0A. 3R. 32•4P.
9. All that land lot No. 9 marked in the said Plan No. 670 situated as aforesaid bounded on the North by lot 15 in the said Plan No. 670 East by lot 8 described above South by lot 12 in the said Plan and West by lot 10 described below containing in extent 0A. 3R. 32•4P
10. All that lot marked 10 in the said Plan No. 670 situated as aforesaid and bounded on the North by lot 15 aforesaid; East by lot 9 described above ${ }^{20}$ South by lot 12 aforesaid and West by lot 11 described below containing in extent 0A. 3R. $32 \cdot 4 \mathrm{P}$.
11. All that land lot No. 11 marked in the said Plan No. 670 situated as aforesaid and bounded on the North by lot 15 aforesaid East by lot 10 aforesaid; South by lot 12 aforesaid and West by Railway Reservation containing in extent 0A. 2R.0.7P
12. All that land lot No. 12 marked in the said Plan No. 670 situated as aforesaid and bounded on the North by lots 7 to 11 aforesaid; East by Road Reservation; South by lot 6 aforesaid above and West by Railway Reservation containing in extent 0A. 1R. 17P.
13. All that land lot No. 13 marked in the said Plan No. 670 situated as aforesaid and bounded on the North and West by the property of the 1st defendant: East by Railway Reservation; South by lot 4 described above containing in extent 2A. 3R 21 1P.
14. All that land lot marked 14 described in the said Plan No. 670 and situated as aforesaid and bounded on the North and East by property of the 1st defendant; South by lot 15 described below and West by Railway Reservation containing in extent 1A. 1R. 17-8P.
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P 5
Deed of Transfer
No. 1573 attested by V. K. Subramaniam,
Notary Public-1-4-1943
15. All that land lot No. 15 marked in the said Plan No. 670 situated as aforesaid and bounded on the North by the property of the 1st defendant and lot 14 described above; East by Road Reservation and threshing floor and the property of the 1st defendant; South by lots 7 to 11 described above and West by Railway Reservation containing in extent 15A. 3R. 32.3P

(Sgd.) E. V R. Samarawickrama, District Judge.

True Copy of Final Decree Entered in Case No. 2309 D.C. Anuradhapura and filed of record in the same Case.
(Sgd.)
Secretary.

## Translation

The Duplicate bears four stamps of the value of Rs. 9/50.
Application No. 109/11-2-1960.
1st April, 1943.
Transfer.
Lands 8.
Rs. 300/-
No. 1573
Know all men by these presents that we Velauthar Arumugam of Puloly West and K. Velauthan Nagalingam for and in consideration of the sum of Rs. 300/- Rupees Three hundred received from Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam of the same place do hereby sell, transfer and convey to the said Visuvana- ${ }^{30}$ thar Kanagaratnam the lands mentioned in the following schedule :-

In witness whereof we set our signatures to this at Puloly West on the 1st day of April, 1943.

Land mentioned in the following schedule belongs to Kumaraswamy Velauthar, the late father of us and by urumai and possession according to administration case No. 124/P of the District Court of Jaffna.

## Schedule of Property <br> P5

Deed of Transfer No. 1573 attested by V. K. Subra-

## maniam,

Notary Public-
1-4-1943

1. Land situated at Puloly West Malavarayakurichchy called Veran--Continued thanai in extent 2, 3/4 Lachchams V.C. bounded on the East by the property of Ponnammah widow of Kandiah and others. North by water channel, West by country limits street of Alvai and South by the property of Ponnachchy widow of Kandiah and others of the whole of this within the boundaries an undivided one eighteenth share.
2. Land situated at Puloly West Singabahuthevankurichchy called Arian Kaladdy in extent 12, 1/8 Lachchams V.C. - do- house 1/4 Total 12 Lachchams V.C. 8, $1 / 4$ kulies bounded on the East, West and South by land and North by the property of Annapoorani widow of Arumugam and others. Of the whole of this of ground an undivided $7 / 432$ shares. Within this land, on the West, of the palmyrahs and tammarind trees $1 / 18$ share.
3. In - do - Nitchinganollai Vadakku in extent 7, l/4 Lachchams V.('. with palmyrahs and vadalies bounded on the West by lane, North by lane, West and South by the property of the purchaser. Of the whole an undivided $1 / 18$ share.
4. Land situated at Puloly West, Malavarayakurichchy called Nedunkulavelitheni Thenkilakkilmetku. This according to plaint filed with final decree in partition case No. 18665 of the District Court of Jaffna Lot No. 3 in extent 1 Lachcham 11, $1 / 2$ kulies bounded on the East by the property of Sothilingam wife of Kumaraswamy, North by the property of Aladiyitpillaiyar Temple, West by the property of Ponnammah widow of Singaravclu and South by lane. Of the whole of this an undivided 1/6 share.
5. Land situated at Puloly West Singabahuthevankurichehy called Vilvalai in extent 9, 1/2 Lachchams V.C. with palmyrahs and vadalies boun${ }^{30}$ ded on the East by lanes. North by the property of Rasammah, wife of Kanagaratnam and others, West by our property and others and South by lane of the whole of this an undivided $1 / 18$ share.
6. In - do - Sathollai in extent 10, 1/4 Lachchams V.C. of this $\mathbf{1 / 2}$ share in extent 5, $1 / 8$ Lachchams V.C. with palmyrahs and vadalies bounded on the East by the property of Eladchumy wife of Ramoe and others, North by the property of Kanthan Vyramuttu and others, West by the property of Amirthammah wife of Suppiramaniam and South by water channel of this an undivided $1 / 18$ share

7 Land situated at Puloly West, Malavarayakurichchy called Ala${ }^{40}$ kiawattai in extent 9, 1/8 Lachchams V.C.- do - Sinhapahuthevankurichchy called Alakiawatte in extent 10 Lachchams V.(. Total 19, 1/8 Lachchams V.C. with palmyrahs and vadalies bounded on the East by the property of Veluppillai Kumaraswamy and others North by the property of Kathirithamby Subramaniam and others. West and South by country limit street of Alvai of the whole of this an undivided $14 / 576$ share.

## maniam,

Notary Public-
1-4-1943
-Continued
8. Land situated at Puloly West Malavarayakurichchy called Vilvalai in extent 14, 1/2 Lachchams V.C. with palmyrahs and vadalies bounded on the East and North by the property of the purchaser and others, and West by the property of Kathirithamby Subramaniam and others, and South by the property of Vinasithamby Somasundaram and others of the whole of this, an undivided $1 / 72$ share.
(Sgd.) C. V. Nagalingam.
(Sgd.) V Arumugam.
Witnesses :
(Sgd.) A. Sabapathipillai.
(Sgd.) V. Kasinathar.
(Sgd.) V. K. Subramaniam.
(Sgd.) V. K. Subramaniam, Notary Public.

I, Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam Subramaniam Notary Public of the Jurisdiction of the Court of Point Pedro and Chavakachcheri do hereby certify and attest: I read and explained the foregoing instrument to the said M. Velauthar Nagalingam, Velauthar Arumugam to herein signed witnesses Arunasalam Sabapathy of Puloly West, Veluppillai Kasinathar of Puloly West in their presence. I know all of them. The grantors and wit- 20 nesses in my presence and they in the presence of one another signed at the same time at Puloly West on the 1st of April, 1943. The consideration mentioned in the deed was paid in my presence, the duplicate bears 4 stamps of the value of Rs. $9 \cdot 50 \mathrm{cts}$. and the original bears stamp of Re. 1/- and before this deed was read and explained, in duplicate page 1, 1st line("in Tamil")was cut in 4th line ("in Tamil") was corrected, 2nd land 1st line ("in Tamil") ("in Tamil") waswritten above, in 4th line ("in Tamil") was cut 3rd land 2nd line ("in Tamil") ("in Tamil") was written above in the original page 1, 2nd line ("in Tamil") was cut, 2nd land 1st line, 4th land 1st line ("in Tamil") ("in Tamil") was written above, 8 th land 4th line ("in Tamil") was written ${ }^{80}$ above.

## Date of Attestation

1st April, 1943.
(Sgd.) V. K. Subramaniam,
Notary Public.
Translated by
(Sgd.)
Sworn Translator, District Court, Jaffna.
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Deed of Transfer No. 5619 attested by A. Sivacolundu, Notary Public 29-7-1943 A. Sivacolundu, Notary Public

Registered A 133/37
Anuradhapura, 16th August, 1943.
(Sgd.)
Registrar of Lands.

Transfer<br>Lands 1<br>${ }^{10}$ Consideration Rs. 200/-<br>Prior Registration<br>Stamp Duty Rs. 4/00

No. 5619
1650/16th August, 1943
Know all Men by these presents that I, Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam of Puloly West in Point Pedro (hereinafter sometimes called the Vendor) for and in consideration of the sum of Rupees Two Hundred (Rs. 200/-) of lawful money of Ceylon well and truly paid to me by Kanagaratnam Subramaniam of Puloly West in Point Pedro (hereinafter sometimes called
20 the Vendee), the receipt whereof I do hereby admit and acknowledge do hereby grant, convey, assign, sell, transfer, and set over, unto the Vendee, his heirs, executors, administrators and assigns the land described in the schedule hereto together with all and singular the rights, ways, easements, advantages, servitudes and appurtenances whatsoever thereto belonging or in otherwise appertaining or usually held occupied, used or enjoyed therewith or reputed or known as part or parcel thereof and together with all the estate, rights, title, interest, claim and demands, whatsoever of me the said Vendor of in to upon or out of the said premises and every part thereof which said premises have been held and possessed by me under and by virtue of
${ }^{30}$ Final Partition Decree entered in Case No. 2309 of the District Court of Anuradhapura dated 14th December, 1942.

TO HAVE AND TO HOLD the said premises hereby sold and conveyed with the rights, easements and appurtenances unto him the said Vendee, his heirs, executors, administrators and assigns absolutely forever.

And I the said Vendor for myself and my heirs, executors and administrators do hereby covenant promise and declare with and to the said Vendee his heirs executors, administrators and assigns. that the said premises hereby sold and conveyed are free from any encumbrance whatsoever and that I and my aforewritten shall and will at all times hereafter warrant and defend
40 the same and every part thereof, unto him and his aforewritten against any person or persons whomsoever and also shall and will at all times hereafter
at the request and cost of the said Vendee his aforewritten do and execute or cause to be done and executed all such further and other acts, deeds, assurances, matters and things whatsoever for the further and more perfectly assuring the said premises hereby sold and conveyed or any part thereof unto him and his aforewritten as by his or them may be reasonably required.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF I the said Vendor do hereunto and to two others of the same tenor and date as these presents set my hand at Anuradhapura on this Twenty Ninth day of July, One Thousand Nine Hundred and Forty Three.

## The Schedule above referred to

All that land Lot No. 6 marked in Plan No. 670 dated 5th October, 1942 and made by T. Sabapathy Licensed Surveyor and Leveller situated in Bandarapuliyankulama in Ihala Wewa Tulana Kande Korale in Nuwaragam Palata in the District of Anuradhapura of the North Central Province bounded on the North by Lot 12 in the said Plan No. 670, East by Road Reservation, South by the property belonging to P. B. Bulankulama and Railway Reservation and West by Railway Reservation containing in extent Four Acres Two Roods and Twenty seven and five hundredth perches (4A. 2R. 27 5/100 P.).

## Witnesses

Signed and delivered in the presence of us and we declare that we are well acquainted with the executant and know his proper name, occupation and residence.
(Sgd.) V Kanagaratnam.

1. (Sgd.) S. V Mudalihamy
2. (Sgd.) R. Tikiri Banda
(Sgd.) A. Sivakolundu,
Notary Public.
I, Alvapillai Sivakolundu of Anuradhapura in the island of Ceylon, ${ }^{30}$
Notary Public do hereby certify and attest that the foregoing instrument having been duly read and explained by me the said Notary to the said Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam who is known to me in the presence of Seerala Velvidanage Mudalihamy of Anuradhapura and Ranhamige Tikiri Banda of Nambadawewa the subscribing witnesses thereto both of whom are known to me the same was signed by the said executant and also by the said witnesses in my presence and in the presence of one another all being present at the same time at Anuradhapura aforesaid on this Twenty Ninth day of July, One Thousand Nine Hundred and Forty Three.

And I further certify and attest that the duplicate of this instrument 40 bears Two stamps of the value of Rupees Four and that the consideration mentioned therein was not paid in my presence.

Date of attestation.
(Sgd.) A. Sivakolundu, Notary Public.
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Deed of Transfer
No. 6579 attested
by K. Krishna-
pillai,
Notary Public-
4-10-1943

Translation.
The duplicate bears stamps of the value of Rs. 18/-.
Application No. 109/11-2-1960.

Transfer
Lands 4.
Rs. 900/-

4th October, 1943.
No. 6579

Know all men by these presents, that we. Sinnathamby Saravanamuttu and wife Parupathypillai of Puloly West, for and in consideration of the sum of Rs. 900 /- Rupees Nine Hundred received from Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam of the same place do hereby sell transfer and convey to the said Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam the land mentioned in the following schedule.

In witnesses whereof we set our signatures to this at Puloly West on
20 the 4th day of October, 1943. The land mentioned in the following schedule belongs to the late V. Vinasithamby Subramaniam who is the uncle of the second named of us and which lands according to Administration case No. 179 of the District Court of Jaffna is belonged to me by way of Urumai.

## Schedule of Property

In Point Pedro Parish, Vadamarachchy Division, Jaffna District, Northern Province.

1. Land situated at Puloly West Singapahuthevankurichchy called Nedunkulaveliththeni Kadukadalpai in extent 47 Lachchams V.C. of this on the West 7 1/2 lachchams V.C. Pallankadu Kadalpai in extent 18, $303 / 4$ Lachchams V.C. of this 3, 1/2 Lachchams V.C. Total in extent 11 Lachchams V.C. this according to plaint filed in partition Case No. 8814 of the District Court of Jaffna lot No. 1 in extent 4 Lachchams V.C. 8, 5/16 kulies bungalow house coconut trees palmyrah trees and well bounded on the East by water channel North by road West by the property of Kathirithamby Sabapathy and others and South by the property of Kathirithamby Sabapathy and the property of Kamadehy wife of Kathirgamu of the whole of this an undivided $1 / 2$ of $5 / 28$ share.
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Deed of Transfer No. 6579 attested by K. Krishnapillai,
Notary Public-
4-10-1943
Continued.
2. - Do - land in - do - Plan lot No. 2 in extent 15, 9/16 kulies with coconut trees bounded on the East by the property of Britto Muththunayagam, North by the property of Krishnapillai Mailvaganam, West by Vellavayakkal and South by the property of Kathirithamby Sabapathy and others of the whole of this an undivided $1 / 2$ of $5 / 28$ share.

In Anuradhapura town Nuwaragam Division, Nuwaragam Korale, in Anuradhapura District North - central Province.
3. Vasuvakkulam T.P. 134597 ground in Plan on the North according to lot in plan prepared by Kanthappar Velmurugu on 7th June, 1915 in extent 1 Acre 3 Roods 20 Perches with coconut trees, arecanut trees, mango ${ }^{10}$ trees, Jak trees, orange trees, lime trees bounded on the East by property belonging to the 2nd named of us and others, North by the property of Buddhist Temple, described in Plan No. 131807, West by the following land and South by the property of Vinasithamby Somasundaram and others, of this an undivided $1 / 2$ of $1 / 7$ share.
4. In - do - in - do - land of Plan No. T.P. 131745 1/2 share North of the said Plan, the extent in lot C, 3 Acres 3 Roods 36 Perches with coconut trees hut and houses arecanut trees bounded on the North by water channel and path and the property of Naarangawatta Sumanasara Ennanse East by the above said land and South by the property of Vinasi- 20 thamby Somasundaram and others, West by water channel, of the whole of this an undivided $1 / 2$ of $1 / 7$ share.

> (Sgd.) S. Saravanamuttu.

This is the hand mark of Parupathipillai and left hand thumb mark.

> (Sgd.) C. Krishnapillai, Notary Public.

## Witnesses.

(Sgd.) S. Mariampillai.
(Sgd.) K. Selliah.

I, Kathirithamby Krishnapillai, Notary Public of the Jurisdiction ${ }^{30}$ of this Court of Point Pedro, Jaffna District do hereby certify and attest I read and explained the foregoing instrument to the said Sinnathamby Saravanamuttu, and wife Parupathipillai, who set hand mark and left hand thumb mark to herein signed witnesses Swampillai Mariampillai of Karaveddy West, Kanthavanam Selliah of Karaveddy North, in their presence. I know them, the said deed was signed by grantor. Witnesses in my presence and they in the presence of one another signed at the same
time at Puloly West on the th October, 1943. The consideration mentioned ${ }_{\text {Deed }}^{P 6}$ (in in the deed, was paid in my presence. The Duplicate bears + stamps of by K. KrishnaRs. 18/- and the original bear one stamp of Re. 1/- and before this deed was $\begin{gathered}\text { potarary Public } \\ 4-10-1943\end{gathered}$ ( ${ }^{-}$-Continued. read and explained in the original page 2. 24th line ("in Tamil") was written abow

Date of attestation.
5th October, 1943.
(Sgd.) (. Krishnapiliaf,
Notary Public.

1. S. T. Selifarajah, Registrar of Lands of Point Pedro, do hereby rertify that the foregoing is a true copy of a deed of transfer made from the duplicate filed of record in this office and the same is granted on the application of Mr. S. Nagalingamudaly, Proctor Supreme ('ourt.

> (Sgd.) Illegibly.
> Registrar of Lands.

Land Registry:
Point Pedro.
29th February. 1960.

20 Translated by
(Sgd.) Illegibly.
Szorn Tramslator.
District Court. Jaffna.
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## Deed of Transfer No. 6593 attested by

K. Krishnapillai, Notary Public

Tramslation.

The Duplicate bears Four stamps of the value of Rs. 34/-.

Prior Registration Jaffna.

Inuradhapura 1 . $5.5 / 4$ \& 49

Tramster.
Lards 4.
Rs. 1,800 , No. 6593/7.10.43

Know all men by these presents that I Parupathipillai widow of Arunasalam of Puloly West for and in consideration of the sum of Rs. 1.800/Rupees One thousand cight hundred received from Vismamathar Kamagaratnam of the same place do hereby sell transfer and conver to him the land mentioned in the following sehedule :

In witness whereof I set my signature to this at Puloly West on the ith ${ }^{\boldsymbol{p}}$ Deed of Trausfer No. 6593 attested day oi (October 1943.

I do hereby declare the land mentioned in the following belongs to me according to transfer deed No. 2707 dated the 20th August 1939 and attested by this attesting Notary and possecsion and the said deed have been given along with this:

## Sichedule of Propert!


#### Abstract

In Point Pedro Parish, Vadamaradehy Division, Jaffna District, Northern Provinee


1. Land situated at Puloly West singahahuthevankurichchy called Nedunkulavelitheni Kadukadalpai in extent ti Lachchams $V$ ( of this on the West 7, 1/2 Lachchams V.(. Pallan Kadukadalpai in extent $18,3+1$ Lachehams V.C. of this 3, $1 \geq$ Lachehams V.C. Total 11 Lachehams V.C. This arcording to plan filed with partition (arr No. $8 x 1+$ of the District Court of Jaffan Lot No. 1 in extent + Lachehams V.C. 8. s/16 Kulies with bungalow house coconut trees palmyrah trees and well bounded on the East by water chamel North by road. West by Kathirithamby Sabapathy and others. South by the properts of Kathirithamby Sabapathy and Kamadrhy wife of Kathirganu of this an undivided 5.28 share.
2. .- Do-- do - land lot No. 2 in Plan in extent 15, 9/16 kulies with coconut trees bounded on the East by the property of Britto Muthunayagam North by the property of Krishnapillai Mylvaganam, West by water channel, and South by the property of Kathirithamby Sabapathy and others of the whole of this an undivided $5 / 28$ share.
3. In Anuradhapura Town Nuvaragama Division Nuvaragam Korale in Anuradhapura District Northern Province called Vasuvakkulam T.P 134597 ground in Plan, on the North of Plan prepared by Kanthappar Velmurugu Surveyor on the 7th June 1915, the extent of lot A, in the said Plan is 1 Acre 3 Roods 20 Perches with coconut trees, arecanut trees, mango 10 trees, jak trees, orange trees. lime trees, bounded on the East by the property of the heirs of the late Vinasithamby Veluppillai and others belonging b) lease and possession, North by Buddhist Temple mentioned in Plan 131807 West by the following land and South by the property of Vinasithamby Somasundaram and shareholders. Of this an undivided 1:7 share.
4. In - do -- do -- T.P. 131715 described in the Plan $1 / 2$ share North. of the said Plan lot ( ${ }^{\circ}$ in extent 3 Acres 3 Roods 36 Perches with coconut trees, hut and houses, arecanut trees bounded on the North by water channel and path. and the land belonging to Narangavitta Sumanasara I'nnanse and on the East by the above said land and South by the 2 property of Vinasithamby Somasundaram and Shareholders and West ly water channel, of the whole of this an undivided $1 / 7$ share.
(Ggd.) A. Parefpthipphlai.
(Sgd.) ( Krishiapillai,
Notary Public.
Witnesses:
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I. Kathirithamby Krishnapllai, Notary Public of the jurisdiction of the Court of Point Pedro Jaffna District do hereby certify and attest. I read and explained the foregoing deed to Parupathippillai widow of Arunasalam to herein signed witnesses Kanthavanam Chelliah of Karaveddy North, Muruguppillai Subramaniam of Alvai South in their presence. I know them the grantor and witnesses. in my presence and they in the presence of 10 one another signed at the same time at Puloly West on the 7th of October 1943. The consideration mentioned in the deed was paid in my presence, this Duplicate bears 4 stamps of Rs. $34 /$ - and the original bears one stamp of Re. 1/- and before this deed was read and explained, in Duplicate Page 1 27 th line (" in Tamil ") was corrected page 2. 15th line ("in Tamil ") was cut, and ("in Tamil ") (" in Tamil ") was written above in the original page 1, 19th line ("in Tamil") page 2. 2nd line ("in Tamil ") was corrected,................ para. \&, was corrected in Duplicate the grantor in her signature (" in Tamil ") and in the original ("in Tamil ") and she signed as-.

Deed "If Transfer No. 6593 attested by K. Krishnapillai, Notar: Public-
--10-43
Continued.
I. 'I. Selvarajah, Registrar of Lands of Point Pedro, do hereby certify' that the foregoing is a true ropy of a deed of Transfer, made from the duplicate filed of Record in this office and the same is granted on the application of Mr. Nagalingamudaly, Proctor, Point Pedro.
(Sgd.)
Registrar of Lands.
Land Registry, Point Pedro, 27th February, 1960.

Translated by me 10
(Sgd.)
Sreorn Translator.
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Decree for sale in District Court Jaffna
Partition Case No. 2080
And Final Partition Decree in District Court, Jaffna Case No. 8841
DECREE FOR SALE

# IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF JAFFNA HELD AT POINT PEDRO 

Visivanathar Kanagaratnam of Puloly West.
Plaintiff.
No. 2080 P
Vs.

1. Arumugam Sangarapillai of Federated Malay

Minor 3. Chelvathamby Ramachandran of - do -
4. Manikcam Chelvathamby of Puloly East, Guardian -ad-litem of the 3rd Defendant.

Minors $\left\{\begin{array}{lll}5 . & \text { Vinasithamby } & \text { Somasundaram of Puloly West. } \\ 6 . & \text { Vinasithamby } & \text { Gananathan of - do-. }\end{array}\right.$
7. Sinnathamby Saravanamuthu of - do - Guardian -ad-litem of 5 th and 6 th defendants.

Defendants.
This matter coming on for final disposal before Earle Wijeyawardena Esquire, Additional District Judge of Jaffna on the 31st day of August, 1944 in the presence of Advocate N. Sivagnanasundaram instructed by Mr. V. K. Subramaniam Proctor on the part of the plaintiff and Messrs. Kandaiya and Mailvaganam Proctors on the part of the 4th defendant.

It is ordered and decreed that the lands situated at Puloly West Singhapahuthevan Kurichchy in the Parish of Point Pedro of the Division of Vadamaradchy of the Northern Province called Nedunkulavelitheni in extent 4
${ }^{30}$ Lachchams V.C. and 8, $8 / 16$ kulies and 15, $9 / 16$ kulies and described in Survey Plan No. 2150 dated 26th April, 1944 and prepared by K. Velmuruku licensed Surveyor as lots No. 1 and No. 2 and containing in extent 5 Lachchams V.C. and 6, $1 / 16$ kulies and bounded as follows :-

Lot No. 1 - East by water channel, North by Road, West by the property of Kathirithamby Sabapathy and others, South by the property of Kathirithamby Sabapathy and others and by the property of Kamatchy wife of Kathirgamu and others.
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Decree for Sale in District Court Jaffna, Partition Case No. 2080 -31-8-41
-Continued.

Final Partition Decree in District Court, Jaffna Case No. 8841-26-10-14

Lot No. 2 - East by the property of Britto Muthunayagam, North by the property of Krishnapillai Mailvaganam, West by water channel, South by the coconut garden belonging to Alady Pillaiyar Temple and the same is hereby declared the common property of the plaintiff 1st, 2 nd . 3 rd , 5 th and 6th defendants belonging to them in common.

The plaintiff is entitled to an undivided $82 / 112$ share of the said lands.
2nd defendant is entitled to an undivided $10 / 112$ share of the said lands.
3rd defendant is entitled to an undivided 10/112 share of the said lands.
5 th defendant is entitled to an undivided $5 / 112$ share of the said lands.
6 th defendant is entitled to an undivided $5 / 112$ share of the said lands. ${ }^{10}$
It is further ordered and decreed that the said land with its appurtenances be sold under the provisions of Section 8 of Chapter 56 of the Ceylon Legislative Enactments and that the proceeds of sale thereof be divided and given to the owners thereof in proportion to their shares.

It is further decreed that the costs of this action and sale not borne by the purchaser both not exceeding Rs. 600/- be borne by the parties in proportion to their shares in the said land.

The 31st day of August, 1944.

> (Sgd.) E. WiJeyawardena,
> Additional District Judge. ${ }^{20}$

Drawn by :
V. K. Subramaniam, Proctor for Plaintiff.

## FINAL PARTITION DEGREE

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF JAFFNA
Supiramaniam Vethavanam of Point Pedro
Plaintiff.
No. 8841. Vs.

1. Supiramaniam Kathirkamathamby of Point Pedro.
2. Culandaivelu Arambamoorthy of - do -.
3. Wife Ponnailampikai of - do -
4. Supiramaniam Senpakapillai of - do -


Defendants.
The 4th, 5th and 6th defendants are minors by their Guardian the 7th 10 defendant.

The above action coming on for final disposal before W. Duraisamy Esquire, Acting District Judge on the 26th day of October, 1914, in the presence of Mr. K. Arulampalam Proctor for the 8th defendant and the plaintiff and the 1st, 3 rd, 7 th -9 th and 10th defendants being absent and unrepresented and notice of final decree having been reported duly served on them.

It is ordered and decreed that of the land situated at Point Pedro Singapahuthevankurichchy called Nedunkulaveliythenikkadukadalpaya in extent 10 Lachchams V.C. and 12, $2 / 16$ kulies with its appurtenances and 0 bounded on the East by the property of Britto's children, North by road, West by land belonging to Viknesuparapillaiar Temple and South by land belonging to Viknesuparapillaiyar Temple, and described by lots marked 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9 on the survey plan dated 15th day of April, 1914 and filed in this case by Mr. G. C. Ganapathypillai Licensed Surveyor and Commissioner appointed by this Court to partition the said land.

1. The lot marked 3 in extent 8 kulies with the appurtenances belonging thereto, bounded on the East by lot marked 4 in the said Plan, North by road, West by channel marked in the said Plan, and South by lot marked 2 in the said Plan, be and the same is hereby declared to be the absolute ${ }^{30}$ property of the plaintiff, subject to life interest in favour of the 7th defendant, and further subject to mortgage in favour of the 11th defendant.
2. The lot marked 4 in extent 8 kulies with its appurtenances, bounded on the East by lot marked 5 in the said Plan, North by road, West by the lot marked 3 in the said Plan, and South by lot marked 2 in the said Plan, be and the same is hereby declared to be the absolute property of the 1st defendant subject to life interest in favour of the 7 th defendant and further subject to Mortgage in favour of the 11 th defendant.
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-Continued.
3. The lot marked 5 in extent 8 kulies with its appurtenances, bounded on the East by the lot marked 6 in the said Plan, North by road, West by the lot marked 4 in the said Plan and South by the lot marked 2 in the said Plan be and the same is hereby declared to be the absolute property of the 3rd defendant subject to life interest in favour of the 7th defendant.
4. The lot marked 6 in extent 8 kulies with its appurtenances, bounded on the East by the lot marked 7 in the said Plan, North by road, West by the lot marked 5 in the said plan and South by the lot marked 2 in the said Plan, be and the same is hereby declared to be the absolute property of the 4th defendant to life interest in favour of the 7th defendant.
5. The lot marked 7 in extent 8 kulies with its appurtenances bounded on the East by the lot marked 8 in the said Plan, North by road, West by the lot marked 6 in the said Plan, and South by the lot marked 2 in the said Plan, be and the same is hereby declared to be the absolute property of the 5 th defendant, subject to life interest in favour of the 7th defendant.
6. The lot marked 8 in extent, 8 kulies with its appurtenances, bounded on the East by the lot marked 9 in the said Plan, North by road, West by the lot marked 7 in the said Plan and South by lot marked 2 in the said Plan, be and the same is hereby declared to be the absolute property of the 6th defendant, subject to life interest in favour of the 7th defendant.
7. The lot marked 9 in extent 2 Lachchams V.C. and 12, $1 / 16$ kulies with its appurtenances and bounded on the East by the property of Britto's children, North by road, West by the lot marked 8 in the said Plan, and South by the lot marked 2 in the said Plan, be and the same is hereby declared to be the absolute property of the 7 th defendant.
8. The lot marked 1 in extent 4 Lachchams V.C. and 8, 8/16 kulies with its appurtenances, bounded on the East by channel marked in the said Plan, North by road, West and South by land belonging to Viknesuparapillaiyar Temple and the lot marked 2 in extent $15,9 / 16$ kulies with its appurtenances bounded on the East by the property of Britto's children, ${ }^{\text {so }}$ North by lots marked $9,8,76,5,4$ and 3 in the said Plan, West by channel and South by land belonging to Viknesuparapillaiyar Temple, be and the same are hereby declared to be the absolute property of the 8th defendant.

It is further decreed that the 9 th and 10th defendants do pull down and remove, within two months from the date hereof, the huts marked $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}$, and $\mathbf{D}$ belonging to them. It is further decreed that, to adjust the difference in the value of the appurtenances in the respective lots of the said land allotted to the parties as aforesaid, the plaintiff do pay to the 8 th defendant Rs. 66/- to the 1st defendant Rs. 35/58 and to the 3rd defendant Rs. 22/84 the 4 th defendant do pay to the 3rd defendant Rs. 12/42 and the 7th defen- 40 dant do pay to the 3 rd defendant cents 32 , to the 5 th defendant Rs. 14/58 and to the 6th defendant Rs. 15/58.

It is further decreed that the costs of this action and of partition be Final borne by the parties pro-rata.

The 26th day of October, 1914.
$\qquad$

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF JAFFNA HELD AT POINT PEDRO
CERTIFIGATE OF SALE
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Certificate of Sale
Certificate of Sale
Certificate of Sale
IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF JAFFNA HELD AT POINT PEDRO
IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF JAFFNA HELD AT POINT PEDRO
Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam of Puloly West.
Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam of Puloly West.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (Sgd.) W. Duraisamy, } \\
& \text { Acting } \text { District Judge. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## True Copy.

(Sgd.)
Secretary, District Court, Jaffna.
17-12-1943.
True copy of Decree for Sale and Final Partition Decree in D.C., Jaffna Case No. 8841 filed in D.C., Point Pedro Case No. 2080/P.
(Sgd.).
Secretary,
District Court Point, Pedro.
10-10-62.
Plaintiff.
No. 2080/P.Is.

1. Arumigam Sangarappillai of Federated Malay States by his Attorney Kathirithamby Krishna- pillai of Puloly West.2. and wife Seethevan of - do. -.Minor 3. Chelvathamby Ramachandran. s.
2. and wife Seethevan of - do. -.

Minor 3. Chelvathamby Ramachandran.
4. Manikkam Chelvathamby of Puloly East.
(Guardian-ad-litem of the 3rd Defendant)

Continued.

Minors. $\begin{cases}5 . & \text { Vinasithamby } \\ 6 . & \text { Vomasunderam of Puloly } \\ \text { Gest. }\end{cases}$
7. Sinnathamby Saravanamuttu of - do -. (Guar-dian-ad-litem of 5th and 6th Defendants)

Defendants.
Whereas under and by virtue of decree for sale entered in this case under the provisions of Chapter 56 of the Legislative Enactments of Ceylon the land described in the schedule hereto was declared the property of the Plaintiff, 2nd, 3rd, 5th and 6th Defendants.

And whereas by the said decree dated $31-8-44$ it was ordered that the said land with its appurtenances should be sold in terms of the said Chapter.

And whereas by virtue of the commission issued under the decree the said land was sold on the 16 th day of December, 1944 by Mr. V. Mudaliyar Culandaivelu Commissioner of Sales in terms of the conditions of sale duly approved by this Court and that the said land was purchased by the Plaintiff in this case as the highest bidder for the sum of Rupees Eleven thousand (Rs. 11,000/-) and the Plaintiff was given credit for the sum of Rupees Eight thousand and fifty three and cents fifty eight (Rs. 8,053/58) and the said Plaintiff has deposited in Court the balance sum of Rupees Two thousand nine hundred and forty six and cents forty two (Rs. 2,946/42).

And whereas by an order of this Court dated 1st February, 1945 certi- 20 ficate of sale has been ordered to be issued to the said Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam of Puloly West the Plaintiff in this case.

1. Earle Wijeyawardena Additional District Judge Jaffna do hereby certify in terms of section 8 of Chapter 56 of the Legislative Enactments of Ceylon that the said land described in the schedule hereto was duly sold on the 16th day of December, 1944, under the provisions of the said Chapter 56 and was duly purchased by the said Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam of Puloly West, the plaintiff in this case as the highest bidder among the coowners for the sum of Rupees Eleven thousand (Rs. 11,000/-) and he was given credit for them of Rs. 8,053/58 and that the whole of the balance ${ }^{30}$ purchase amount of Rs. 2,946/42 was duly paid by the said Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam in terms of the conditions of sale duly approved by this Court. That the said Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam has become the absolute owner and proprietor of the land described in the schedule hereto.

Given under my hand at Point Pedro this 15th day of February, 1945.

> (Sgd.) E. Wijeyawardena, Additional District Judge.

Schedule referred to above
Land situated at Puloly West Singapahuthevankurichchy in the Parish of Point Pedro in the Division of Vadamaradchy in the District of 40 Jaffna, Northern Province called "Nedunkulavelitheni" in extent

4 Lachchams V. (. and 8, 8/16 kulies and $15,9 / 16$ kulies with stone built ${ }^{P 9}$ house and appurtenances and described in Survey Plan No. 2150 dated 26 th Certificate of April, 1944 and prepared by K. Velmurugu Licensed Surveyor as Lot 1 15-2-45 and 2 consisting in equal extent 5 Latchchams V.C. and 6, $1 / \mathbf{1 6}$ kulies and ${ }^{- \text {Continued. }}$ bounded as follows:-

Lot 1.- in extent 4 Lachchams V.C. and 8, $8 / 16$ kulies. East by water channel, North by road, West by the property of Kathirithamby Sabapathy and others, South by the property of Kathirithamby Sabapathy and others and by the property of Kamatchy wife of Kathirgamu and others.

Lot 2.-- in catent 15. 916 kulies, East by the property of Britto Muthunayagam, North by the property of Krishnapillai Mailvaganam, West by water channel, South hy coconut garden belonging to Alady Pillaiyar Temple.
(Sgd.) E. Wideyawardena, Additional District Judge.
Witnesses:

1. (Sgd.) Illegibly
2. (Sgd.) Illegibly

Drawn by :
20 (Sgd.) V K. Sibramaniam, Proctor for Plaintiff.

True copy of the Certificate of Sale in D.C. Jaffna (held at Point Pedro) Case No. 2080 P .

(Sgd.) Illegibly, Acting Secretary. 4-3-60.

District Court, Point Pedro. 4th March, 1960.
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## IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF ANURADHAPURA

1. Karthigesar Mirugupillai and wife
2. Murugupiliai Ponnamaa both of Puloly West, Point Pedro,

No. 2515
l's.

1. Vinasithamby Somasundaram
2. Vinasithamby Kanaganathan
3. Chelvathamby Ramachandran
4. Annamma alias Seetheran wife of Sangarapillai and
5. Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam all of Puloly West. in - do. -.

Defendants.
This 9th day of March, 1945.
The Plaint of the plaintiffs abovenamed appearing by K. Subramaniam, ${ }^{10}$ their Proctor states as follows :-

1. That certain Arumugam Alvappillai of Anuradhapura was the original owner of the land Lot No. 448 situated at Basawakulama within the jurisdiction of this Court.
2. That by deed of donation Nos. 75 and 96 dated 11 th May, 1903 and Brd June, 1903 both attested by Notary J. H. R. Jayamanna, the said Arumugam Alvappillai donated the said lands to his daughter Nachchippillai wife of Murugappar Ampalavanar.
3. The said Murugappar Ampalavanar and wife Nachchippillai by deed of Transfer No. 15258 dated 1st January, 1908 attested by Notary M. 20 Subramaniam transferred an undivided one half share of the said land to Veerakathiyar Annamalai.
4. That by deed of transfer No. 15298 dated 1st February, 1908 attested by the said Notary M. Subramaniam, the said Ampalavanar and wife Nachchippillai transferred the other undivided one half share of the said land to $\mathbf{P}$ Vinasithamby Subramaniam.
5. That by virtue of a deed of transfer No. 1023 dated 30th October, 1908 attested by B. Horsebourgh, District Judge of Anuradhapura the said Veerakathiyar Annamalai and the said P. Vinasithamby Subramaniam were jointly entitled to land Lot No. $4+9$ adjoining the land Lot No. 148 above- 30 said both of which lots have since then been treated as one property.
6. That by deed of partition No. 306 dated 9 th June, 1915 attested by Notary D. S. Arumugam, the said Veerakathiyar Annamalai and P. Vinasithamby Subramaniam mutually divided the said land lots 448 and 449 and the said P. Vinasithamby Subramaniam was allotted and given the lots marked "A" and "C" in Plan No. 80 dated 7th June, 1915 and made by K. Velmurugu Licensed Surveyor and more fully described in the schedule hereto.
7. The said P. Vinasithamby Subramaniam died intestate and issueless P. 18 and leaving as his sole heirs- (1) Periyathamby Vinasithamby (2) Paru- District Court, pathippillai wife of Saravanamuttu (who were the children of his brother Anuradhapura Periyathamby) who became entitled to an undivided $2 / 28$ th share each and $1-3-3-5$ (3) Vinasithamby Sinnathamby (4) Vinasithamby Veluppillai (5) Vinasi--Continued. thamby Kandappar (6) Mailathaippillai widow of Murugappar (7) Kadirasippillai widow of Annamalai and (8) Vinasithamby Kumaresu cach of whom became entitled to an undivided $4 / 28$ th share of the said land.
8. The said Periyathamby Vinasithamby died in July, 1935 intestate and leaving as his sole heirs his sons Vmasithamby Somasundaram and Vinasithamby Kanaganathan each of whom became entitled to an undivided 1/28th share.
9. That said Vinasithamby Sinnathamby died leaving a Last Will bequeathing his $4 / 28$ th share of the said land to his son Sinnathamby Vinasithamby who obtained Probate of the said Last Will in the said District Court of Jaffna Testamentary Case No. 40/P.T. and sold the same by deed of Transfer No. 640 dated 6th February, 1939 attested by Notary K. Subramaniam to Sinnathamby Kandiah.
10. The said P. Vinasithamby Veluppillai by deed of Transfer No. 2027 ${ }^{20}$ dated 20th August, 1939 attested by Notary C. Krishnapillai sold and transferred his $4 / 28$ th share to Parupathippillai wife of Arunasalam who by deed of Transfer No. 6593 attested by the same Notary sold the same to the 5th defendant.
11. The $4 / 28$ th share of P. Vinasithamby Kandappar was sold on a writ issued against him in Case No. 28299 of the Court of Requests of Point Pedro and was purchased by Nagamma widow of P. Vinasithamby Subramaniam who obtaincd Fiscal's Conveyance No. 488 dated 15th August, 1938 and by deed of Transfer No. 10270 dated 8th October 1941 attested by Notary M. S. Kandaiya sold the same to Parupathippillai wife of Sinnatham${ }^{30}$ by Kandiah.
12. The Sinnathamby Kandiah referred to in paragraph 9 and his wife Parupathippillai referred to in paragraph 11 by deed of Donation No. 6.518 dated 22nd December, 1943 gifted their undivided 8/28th share to the 2nd plaintiff.
13. The said $\mathbf{P}$. Vinasithamby Kumaresu died intestate and leaving as his sole heirs his daughters Sellamma wife of Chelvathamby and Annamma alias Seetheran wife of Sangarapillai.
14. That said Sellamma died intestate leaving as her sole heir her son Chelvathamby Ramachandran who and the said Annamma alias Seetheran became entitled to an undivided $2 / 28$ th share.
15. The said Kadirasipillai widow of Annamalai died leaving a Last Will dated 22nd February, 1938 appointing the said Mailathaippillai widow of Murugappar as executrix of the said Last Will and testament and devising and bequeathing her shares to the said Somasundaram and Kanaganathan. The said Last Will was proved in the District Court of Jaffna Testamentary Case No. 47/P.T.
16. The said Mailathaippillai acting personally and as exccutrix of the Last Will of Kadirasippillai sold her $4 / 28$ th share and the $4 / 28$ th share of Kadirasippillai by deed No. 2842 dated 4th October, 1939 to the 5th defendant.
17. That by reason of the above premises the parties to this action have become entitled to the following shares:-

The 2nd plaintiff to an undivided $8 / 28$ th share.
The 1st defendant to an undivided $1 / 28$ th share.
The 2 nd defendant to an undivided $1 / 28$ th share.
The 3rd defendant to an undivided $2 / 28$ th share.
The 4th defendant to an undivided $2 / 28$ th share.
The 5th defendant to an undivided $14 / 28$ th share.
18. That the parties to this action have by their undisturbed and uninterrupted possession and the like possession of their predecessors in title have acquired a prescriptive right and title to their shares in the said land in terms of Prescription Ordinance of 1871 .
19. The plaintiffs find it inconvenient and impracticable to possess the said lands in common.
20. The said lands are reasonably worth Rs. 6,000/-.
21. The 1st plaintiff is made a party as husband of the 2nd plaintiff ${ }^{20}$ and the defendants be declared entitled to the said lands in common in the shares specified above that the said lands be partitioned and divided shares thereof allotted to the plaintiff and the defendants that the costs of suit of partition be awarded pro-rata and for such other and further relief as to this Court shall seem meet.

> (Sgd.) K. Subramanam, Proctor for Plaintiffs.

## Schedule referred to above

1. All that land marked Lot ' A ' in Plan No. 80 dated 7th Jume, 1915 made by Kandappar Velmurugu Licensed Surveyor forming the Northern ${ }^{30}$ portion of land described in T.P - 134597 situated at Baswakulama in the Town and district of Anuradhapura and bounded on the North by land described in Plan No. 131807, East by Reservation along Road, South by land Lot marked ' $B$ ' in the said Plan No. 80 forming the Southern Portion of this said land described in T.P. - No. 134597 and West by land described in T.P. - No. 131745, containing in extent One Acre Three roods and Twenty perches (1A.3R. 20P) with the trees and plantations standing thereon and,
2. All that Lot marked ' $C$ ' in the aforesaid Plan forming the Northern P. ${ }_{\text {Paint }}^{18}$ half of land described in T.P - No. 131745 situated at Baswakulama afore- Pistrict court, said and bounded on the North by water course and a path. East by land Anuradhapura
 the said Plan forming the Southern half of this said land described in Contimued. T.P. - No. 131745, and West by water course containing in extent Three Acres, One Rood and Thirty six perches --(3A. 1R. 36P) with the trees and plantations standing thereon.

True copy of Plaint filed of record in District Court Inuradhapura Case No. 2515.
(Sgd.)

## Secrelary.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { (Sgd.) } & \text { K. Scbramanlam, } \\
\text { Proctor for Plainiffs. }
\end{array}
$$
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Application for Registration of Lispendens in District Court, Anuradhapura Case No. 2515 -10-4-45

Case No. 2515

## APPLICATION FOR REGISTRATION FOR LISPENDENS

To the Registrar of Lands, Anuradhapura.
I, K. Subramaniam of Anuradhapura, Proctor for Plaintiff do hercby apply for registration of the Partition Action to wit:

Names of the Parties:-

1. Karthigesar Muruguppillar and wife
2. Muruguppillai Ponnamat both of Puloly West, Point Pedro.

Is.

1. Vinasithamby Somasundaram
2. Vinasithamby Kanaganathan
3. Chelvathamby Ramachandran
4. Annamma alias Seethevan wife of Sangarappillai
5. Visufanathar Kinagaratnam all of Puloly West, Point Pedro.

Defendants.
Nome of Court: District Court, Anuradhapura.
Number of the Action: 2515

## Reference to previous Registration

of the land if knoren: A 55/47\&49, 134/270 \& 293
As a Lispendens affecting the land in your District described in the schedule hereto.

Dated 10th April, 1945.
(Sgd.) K. Subramaniam, Signature of his Proctor.

## Schedule above referred to

1. All that land marked Lot A in Plan No. 80 dated 7 th June, 1915 made by Kandappar Velmurugu Licensed Surveyor forming the Northern portion of land described in T.P. 134597 situated at Baswakulama in the ${ }^{20}$ Town and District of Anuradhapura and bounded on the North by the land described in Plan No. 131807 East by reservation along road South by land Lot marked " B " in the said Plan No. 80 forming the southern portion of the said land described in T.P. No. 134597 and West by land described in T.P 131745 containing in extent One Acre Three Roods and Twenty Perches (1A. 3R. 20 P ) with the trees and plantations standing thereon and
2. All that Lot marked " C " in the aforesaid Plan forming the Northern half of land described in T. P. No. 131745 situated at Baswakulama aforesaid and bounded on the North by water course and a path East by land described in T.P. No. 134597 South by land Lot marked "D " ${ }^{30}$ in the said Plan forming the Southern half share of this said land described in T.P. No. 131745 and West by water course containing in extent Three Acres One Rood and Thirty Six Perches (3A, 1R. 36P.) with the trees and plantations standing thereon.

True copy of Lispendens filed of record in D. C. Anuradhapura Partition Case No. 2515.
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 Final Decree in District Court,Final Decree in District Court, Anuradhapura
Case No. 2515
IN THE DISTRICI COURT OF ANURADHAPURA

1. Karthigesar miruguppillai and wife
2. Merigeppillai Ponnamma both of Puloly West, Point Pedro.

Plaintiffs.
No. 2515

## Vs

1. Vinasithamby Somasundaram
2. Vinasithamby Kanaganathan
3. Chlilvathamby Ramachandran
4. Annamma alias Seethevan wife of Sangarapillai.
5. Visquanathar Kanagaratnam all of Puloly West, Point Pedro.

Incfendants.

This action coming on for final disposal before N. Krishnadasan Esquire, District Judge of Anuradhapura on the 8th day of May, 1946 in the presence of Mr. K. Subramaniam Proctor on the part of the plaintiffs and of Mr. A.
${ }^{20}$ Sivacolundu Proctor on the part of the 5th defendant and notice of considering the Commissioner's report and entering final decree in this case having been duly served on the defendants.

It is ordered and decreed that the land described in the schedule hereto marked " X " and described by Lots - 1, 2, 3, 4. 5, and 6 in the Survey Plan No. 261 dated 20th April, 1946 and made by V Seevaratnam Licensed Surrevor of Point Pedro and filed of record:
(1) The lot marked 2 in the said Plan in extent one acre one rood and thirty three perches ( 1 A .1 R .33 P .) and its appurtenances fully described in the schedule " I" hereto is hereby allotted and given to the second plain80 tiff who is hereby declared entitled thereto.
(2) The lot marked 5 in the said Plan in extent one rood and eighteen perches (0A. IR. 18P.) with its appurtenances fully described in the schedule " $\mathbf{B}$ " hereto is hereby allotted and given to the first and second defendants who are hereby declared entitled thereto.
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(3) The lot marked 3 in the said plan in extent one rood and eighteen perches ( 0 A .1 R .18 P .) with its appurtenances fully described in the schedule " $C$ " hereto is hereby allotted and given to the third defendant who is hereby declared entitled thereto.
(4) The lot marked 4 in the said Plan in extent one rood and eighteen perches ( 0 A .1 R .18 P .) with its appurtenances fully described in the schedule " D " is hereby allotted and given $t o$ the fourth defendant who is hereby declared entitled thereto.
(5) The lot marked 1 in the said plan in extent two acres two roods and seven perches (2A. 2R.07P.) with its appurtenances fully described in ${ }^{10}$ the schedule " E " hereto is hereby allotted and given to the fifth defendant who is hereby declared entitled thereto.
(6) The lot marked 6 in the said plan in extent twenty four perches (0A.0R. 24P.) with its appurtenances fully described in the schedule " F " hereto is hereby allotted and given to the second plaintiff and the defendants as access to the shares of the said land.

It is further ordered and decreed that to adjust the differences in the value of appurtenances as shown in the schedules hereto in the respective lots of the said lands allotted to the parties as aforesaid the second plaintiff shall pay to the first defendant Rs. $57 / 36$ and to the 2 nd defendant Rs. 21/27 ${ }^{20}$ and the 5 th defendant shall pay to the 2 nd defendant Rs. $36 / 09$ and to the 3rd defendant Rs. 55/72 and to the 4 th defendant Rs. $96 / 72$.

It is further ordered and decreed that the plaintilf's costs of this action and of partition be borne by the 2 nd plaintiff and the defendants in proportion to the shares in the said land.
Schedule "X "

A piece of land caled Basawakulamakele (Northern portion of 'T.P.s 134597 and 131745$)$ described by Lots 1, 2, 3, 4.5 and 6 in Survey Plan No. 261 dated $20 t h$ April, 1946 and made by V. Scevaratnam of Point Pedro Licensed Surveyor situated at Basawakulama in the town and District of Anuradha- ${ }^{30}$ pura of the North Central Province and bounded on the North by T.P. No. 131807 property belonging to pansala, East by Reservation along the road, South by the property of the 1st defendant and others and West by Reservation along the anti-Malarial Ela containing in extent five acres and thirty eight perches (5d. 0R. 38P.).

$$
\text { Schedule " } 1 \text { " }
$$

The Lot marked 2 in Plan No. 261 aforesaid in extent one acre one rood and thirty three perches (1A.1R.33P.) with its appurtenances and bounded on the North by Lot No. 1, East by Road Reservation, South by Lot Nos. 3 and 6 and West by Reservation along anti-Malarial Ela exclusive of Ela ${ }^{41}$ passing through it.
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--Continued. of the 1 st defendant and others and West by Reservation along the anti-malarial Ela.
Schedule "C"

The lot marked 3 in the said plan in extent one rood and eighteen perches (0A.1R.18P.) with its appurtenances and bounded on the North by Lot 10 No. 2, East by Lot No. 6 South by lot No. 4 and West by Reservation along the anti-malarial Ela.
Schedule " D"

The lot marked 4 in the said Plan No. 261 in extent one rood and eighteen Perches (0A. 1R. 18P.) with its appurtenances and bounded on the North by Lot No. 3, East by Lot No. 6, South by Lot No. 5 and West by Reservation along anti-malarial Ela.

$$
\text { Schedule "E } E
$$

The lot marked 1 in the said Plan No. 261 in extent two acres two roods and seven Perches (2A.2R.07P.) and bounded on the North by the ${ }^{20}$ property belonging to pansala (T.P. 131807) East by road Reservation, South by Lot No. 2 and West by Reservation along anti-malarial Ela exclusive of the Ela passing through it.
Schedule "F"

The lot marked 6 in extent 24 perches ( 0 A .0 R. 24P.) with its appurtenances and described in the said Plan No. 261 and bounded on the North by Lot 2, East by Road Reservation, South by the property of the 1st defendant and others and West by Lots Nos. 2, 3, and 4.

## (Sgd.) N. Krishnadasan, District Judge.

${ }^{30}$ This 8th day of May, 1946.
Drawn by :
(Sgd.) K. Subramaniam, Proctor for Plaintiffs.

True copy of the Final Decree in D.C. Anuradhapura 2515.
(Sgd.)
Secretary, D.C. Anuradhapura.
P. 3

Affidavit of V. K. Subramaniam (Substituted Defendant) filed in Testamentary Action No. 400 in District Court, Point Pedro --30-1-49.

Affidavit of V. K. Subramaniam (Substituted Defendant)
filed in Testamentary Action No. 400 in District Court, Point Pedro.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF POINT PEDRO

In the Matter of the Last Will and Testament of the late Rasamma wife of Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam of puloly West.

Deceased.
Testamentary 10
Jurisdiction
No. 400
V. Kanagaratnam Subramaniam of Puloly West.

Petitioner.

## Vs.

1. Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam of Puloly West.
2. I Kanagaratnam Kumarasamy of - do - .
3. V Kanagaratnam ('handrasegaram of - do --
4. V. Kanagaratnam Kathirgaman of - do --
5. V. Kanagaratnam Kandappa of - do --.

Respondents.
I, V. Kanagaratnam Subramaniam of Puloly West do hereby solemnly sincerely and truly declare and affirm as follows:-

1. I am the petitioner above-named.
2. My step mother Rasamma wife of the 1 st Respondent died at Puloly West within the jurisdiction of this court on the 20th day of August, 1948 leaving behind a joint Last Will executed by her and her husband the said 1st respondent dated the 26 th day of March, 1946 and attested by K. Sivasangaram Notary Public under No. 1001.
3. The said deceased bequeathed and devised all her property movable ${ }^{30}$ and immovable to her husband the 1st respondent.
4. The heirs of the said deceased but for the Last Will are her sons ${ }^{\mathbf{P} 3}$ the 2nd, 3rd, 4th and 5th Respondents.

Affidavit of $\mathbf{V}$. $\mathbf{K}$. Subramaniam (Substituted De-
5. Full and true particulars of the property belonging to the estate $\underset{\text { festamentary }}{\substack{\text { fender in } \\ \text { Testa }}}$ of the said deceased are given in the schedule hereto.

Action No. 400 in Distriet Court, Point Pedro -
6. I claim Probate of the said Last Will as Executor appointed there- $\begin{gathered}\text { 30-1-49 } \\ - \text { Continue }\end{gathered}$ under.

## The Schedule of property referred to above

## Immovable Property

1. Land situated at Puloly West Malavarayakurichchy called "Veerapandianseema " in extent 6, 1/8 Lachchams V.C'. and bounded on the East by lane, North by the 2nd land West by the property of Kathirithamby Subramaniam and others and South by the property of Ponnachy widow of Kandiah and others, of this an undivided 14/27 share worth
$300 \cdot 00$
2. Land situated at - do -called Ethirollai" in extent 2, 3/4 Lachchams V.C. and bounded on the East and North by lane, West by the property of Ponny widow of Sollian and others and on the South by the 1st land of this an undivided 217/288 share worth
... ... ... $200 \cdot 00$
3. Land situated at - do -- called "Vilvalai" in extent 8 Lachchams V.C. and 3, 29/32 kulies and bounded on the East by the property of Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam and others, North by lane, West by the property of Kathirgamar Kanapathipillai and others South by the property of Velappar Subramaniam and others of this an undivided 1/8 share worth Rs. $100 /$ - of this $1 / 2$ share worth ...
4. Land situated at -- do -- called "Veerapandianseema" in extent 2, 1/2 Lachchams V.C. and bounded on the East by lane, North by the property of Ponny wife of Alvan Velan and West and South by the property of the heirs of Subramaniam Jekasothy and others. The whole of this worth Rs. 100/- of this $1 / 2$ share worth
$50 \cdot 00$
5. Land situated at Puloly West Singapahuthevankurichy called "Vilvalai" in extent 9, 1/2 Lachchams V.C. and bounded on the East by lane, North by the property of Rasamma wife of Kanagaratnam and others, West by the Property of Paramu Subramaniam and others and South by the property of the heirs of Seethevan. Of this an undivided $1 / 4$ share worth ... ... ... ...
$200 \cdot 00$
P. 3

Affidavit of V. K. Subramaniam (Substituted Defendant) filed in Testamentary Action No. 400 in District Court. Point Pedro 80-1-49
-Continued.
6. Land situated at - do. - called "Seema" in extent 7, $1 / 8$ Lachchams V.C - Do. - Veedu 1. Of these parcels on the South an extent of 8 Lachchams V.C. and 5/8 kulies and bounded on the East by the property of Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam West by the property of Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam and on the West and South by lane. Of this an undivided $1 / 2$ share worth Rs. $600 /$. Of this 1/2 worth.
7. Land situated at Puloly West Malavarayakurichchy called "Seema" in extent 4 Lachchams V.C. and bounded on the East by the property of Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam, North by the property of Alvapillai Velautham, West by street and on the South by the property of V Kanagaratnam and others. The whole of this worth Rs. 300/Of this $1 / 2$ worth
8. Land situated at - do - called "Seema " in extent 5 Lachchams V.C. and $1 / 8$ kulies and bounded on the East and South by the property of V. Kanagaratnam, North by the property of Kandar Sinnathamby and West by lane. The whole of this worth Rs. 500/-. Of this $1 / 2$ worth ..
9. Land situated at - do -- called "Seema" in extent 4 Lachchams V.C. and 13 kulies and bounded on the East by the property of V Kanagaratnam and others, West by the following 10th land and South by the property of $V$. Kanagaratnam and others and by lane. The whole of this worth Rs. $500 /-\quad$ Of this $1 / 2$ share worth
10. Land situated at - do - called "Seema" in extent 9 kulies bounded on the East by the aforesaid 9 th land, North by the property of Theivanai widow of Murugappar, West by the property of Alvappillai Velautham and on the South by the property of V. Kanagaratnam. The whole of this worth Rs. $50 /-$. Of this $1 / 2$ share worth ... ...
11. Land situated at - do -called "Seema" in extent 1 Lachcham V.C. and bounded on the East by the property of V. Kanagaratnam and others, North by the property of Theivanaippillai widow of Murugappar, West by the aforesaid 10th land and South by the aforesaid 9th land. Of this an undivided $1 / 2$ share worth Rs. 100/- . Of this 1/2 share worth
12. Land situated at - do - called " Nitchinganollai Vadakku" in extent 7, 1/4 Lachchams V.C. and bounded on the East by lane, North by the property of Sothilingam wife of Kumarasamy and others, West by the property of Kandar Sinnathamby and others and on the South by the property of Theivanai wife of Kathiravelu and others. Of this an undivided $7 / 18$ share worth Rs. $600 /-\quad$ Of this $\mathbf{1 / 2}$ share worth
$250 \cdot 00{ }^{20}$
$250 \cdot 00$
$50 \cdot 00$
13. Land situated at - do -. called Nitchinganollai in extent 2 Lachchams V.C. and 17. 5/8 kulies and bounded on the East and South by lane, North by the property of $V$ Kanagaratnam and others, West ly the property of $V$. Kanagaratnam. The whole of this worth Rs. 600/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share worth
14. Land called Seema situated at Puloly West Singapahutherankurichchy in extent 15 Lachchams V.C. and 1 kuly with stone built houses and bounded on the East and South by lane, North by the property of Kandar Sinnathamby and others, West by the property of the heirs of Velauthar Arunasalam and others. The whole of this worth Rs. $10,000 /$. Of this $1 / 2$ share worth ... ... ...
15. Land situated at Puloly West Malavarayakurichchy called " Nedunkulavelitheni Thenkilakilmetku" in extent 13 Lachchams V.C. is bounded on the East by street, North by the property of Sivakamipillai widow of Murugappar and others, West by the property of Sivakamipillai wife of Sivapiragasam and others and South by the property of V. Kanagaratnam and others. Of this an undivided $1 / 3$ share worth Rs. $1,200 /-$. Of this $1 / 2$ share worth ...
16. Land situated at - do - called "Arasadi" in extent 6 Lachchams V.C. and b, ounded on the East by the property of Kathirithamby Subramaniam, North by the property of Sothilingam wife of Kumarasamy and others West by lane, and South by the property of Kathirithamby Subramaniam and others. Of this an undivided $33 / 36$ share of $1 / 3$ share and share of well and $1 / 8$ share of 6 Lachchams V.C. worth Rs. 150/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share worth
${ }^{30}$ 17. Land situated at Alvai Mappanakurichchy called "Periatheni" in extent 1 Lachcham V.C. and bounded on the East by the following 18th land, North by the property belonging to Imparsitty Pillaiyar Temple, West by the property of Kanapathippillai Paramakuru and brothers, and South by the property of Sinnathangam wife of Somasunddaram. The whole of this and share of well in the North of this land and in the 18 th land worth Rs. $300 /-$. Of this 1/2 share worth.
18. Land situated at - do - called " Periatheni" in extent 3, $3 / 5$ kulics. bounded on the East by the property of $V$. Kanagaratnam and others North by the property belonging to Imparsitty Pillaiyar Temple, West by the aforesaid 17 th land and South by the property of Sinnathangam wife of Somasundaram. Of this an undivided 1/4 share and share of well, right of way and water course worth Rs. 200/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share worth
$600 \cdot 00$
$75 \cdot 00$
$150 \cdot 00$
P. 3
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19. Land situated at - do - called "Periatheni" in extent 14, $2 / 5$ kulies with well and bounded on the East by the property of Wallippillai wife of Veluppillai, North by the property belonging to Imparsiddy Pillaiyar Temple, West by lane and South by the property of Sinnathangam wife of Somasundaram. Of these contained within these boundaries in the well the share appertaining to this the right of way and water course and an undivided $1 / 4$ share of the ground, coconut trees and palmyrah trees, worth Rs. 30/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share worth.
... ... ... ...
$15 \cdot 00^{10}$
20. Land situated at Alvai Malavarayakurichchy called "Vathayavilanai" in extent 92 Lachchams V.C. and 5, 1/4 kulies and bounded on the East by lane and graveyard, North by the property of Valliappar Vethavanam and others, and South by the property of Valliappar Vethavanam and others, the whole of this worth Rs. $26,000 /$. Of this $1 / 2$ share worth

13,000
$21,275 \cdot 00$
21. Land situated at Alvai Maniveerabahuthevankurichchy called " Ayatkulappai Vayal" in extent 22 Lachchams V.C. - do - Thoddam 6, - do - 12 Lachchams V.C. and bounded on the East by the property of Kathirithamby Subramaniam and others North by the property of Kumiladiyait Pillaiyar Kovil, West by the property of the heirs of Kumarasamy Velauthan and South by the property of the heirs of Karthigesar Subramaniam and others. Of this an undivided $23 / 96$ share worth Rs. $50 /$. Of this $\mathbf{1 / 2}$ share worth
22. Land situated at Puloly West Singapahuthevankurichchy called "Manthiodai" in extent 4 Lachchams V.C. and 2, $20 / 32$ kulies and bounded on the East by the property of Theivanaipillai wife of Muttukumarasamy and others, West bythe property of Kanapathipillai Kumarappar and others and South by road. The whole of this worth Rs. $1,000 /$. Of this $1 / 2$ share worth ... ... ...
23. Land situated at Puloly West Singapahuthevankurichchy called "Manthiodai" in extent 4 Lachchams V.C. and 10/32 kulies and bounded on the East by the property of Sithamparan Sinnaian and others, North by Channel, West by the property of Ponnammah wife of Rasiah, South by the following 24th land. The whole of this and share of well and right of way and water course, worth Rs. 1,400/Of this $1 / 2$ share worth
...
24. Land situated at - do - called "Manthiodai" in extent 16, $20 / 32$ kulies, and bounded on the East by the property of Sithamparam Sinnaian and others, North by the afore-
said 23rd Land, West by the property of Ponnammah wife of Rasiah and South by the property of Theivanai widow of Manickam. The whole of this and share of well and right of way and water course worth Rs. 100/-. Of this 1/2 worth
25. Land situated at Alvai Veerakodiakurichchy called "Padavadapulathuvayal" in extent 17, 3/8 Lachchams V.C. and bounded on the East and South by the property of Kathirithamby Subramaniam and others, North by the property of Velappar Subramaniam and others, West by the property of Kandappar Kanagasabai and others. Of this an undivided $1 / 4$ share worth Rs. 200/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share worth
... ...
26. Land situated at Puloly West Singapahuthevankurichchy called "Nedunkulavelitheni" in extent 4 Lachchams V.C. and $8,8 / 16$ kulies with stone built house and bounded on the East by water channel, North by road West by the property of Kathirithamby Sabapathy and others. South by the property of Kathirithamby Sabapathy and others
27. Land situated at - do -- called "Nedunkulavelitheni" in extent 15, $9 / 16$ kulies is bounded on the East by the property of Britto Muttunayagam, North by the property of Krishnapillai Mailvaganam, West by water channel and South by the property belonging to Aladipillaiyar Temple. The whole of this worth Rs. 200/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share worth
$100 \cdot 00$
27,750•00
28. Land situated at Puloly West Singapahuthevankurichchy called "Theva kaladdy" in extent 7 Lachchams V.C. and bounded on the East by the following 29th land, North by the property of Kathirithamby Subramaniam and others, West by the property of Sethuppillai wife of Sinnathambiar and others and South by the property of Suppar Murugesu and others. Of this an undivided $1 / 2$ worth Rs. 100/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share worth
$50 \cdot 00$
29. Land situated at - do - called "Thevakaladdy" in extent 15, 1/4 Lachchams V.C. and bounded on the East by the property or Thamoe Alvar and others North by the property of Thangammah wife of Supiramaniam and others, West by the aforesaid 28th land, and South by the property of Vellathai wife of Kathirippillai and others. Ot this an undivided $1 / 3$ share worth Rs. 250/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share worth

> Affidavit of $\mathbf{V}$. K . Subramaniam (Substituted Defendant) filed in Testamentary
> $50 \cdot 00$ Action No. 400
> 50.00 in District Court
> 50.00 in District Court 30-1-49
> -Continued.
> P. 3 (Substituan De- and by the property of Kamadchchy wife of Kathirkamoe and others. The whole of this worth Rs. $10,000 \cdot 00$. Of this $1 / 2$ share worth
$5,000 \cdot 00$
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30. Land situated at Puloly West Malavarayakurichchy called "Nedunkulavelitheni Thenkilakilmetku" in extent 7 Lachchams V.C. and 6, $1 / 2$ kulies with well is bounded on the East by Lot 2, in Plan No. 1450 dated 6th June, 1937 and prepared by G. C. Ganapathippillai Surveyor belonging to Parupathippillai widow of Kathirithamby, North by the property belonging to Aladipillayar Temple. West by Lot No. 15 in the said plan and on the South by lane. The whole of this and shares in wells in Lots Nos. 16, 17, 18 and 20 and share in Lots Nos. 19 and 21 worth Rs. 2,200/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share worth
...
$1,100 \cdot 00$
31. Land situated at Alvai Veerakodiakurichchy and Maniveeravakuthevankurichchy called "Seenanseema" and "Sathanawattai" in extent 66 kulies and bounded on the East by the property of Kandiah Rasaratnam, North by lane, West by the property of Arumugam Veluppillai South by land called "Seenan Seema" and "Sathanavattai." The whole of this Rs. 10/-. Ot this $1 / 2$ share worth
$5 \cdot 00$
32. Land situated at Alvai Perumiarkurichchyeri called "Paddythidilvattai " in extent 5, 3/8 Lachchams V.C. and bounded on the East by the property of Pillaiyar Kovil situated at Vyarappu Kaladdy North by the property of Ponnambalam Muruguppillai and others, West by the property of Mailvaganam Kandiah and others, and South by the property of $S$ ethupathiammah wife of Rasaratnam and others. Of this an undivided $1 / 4$ share of the ground and $1 / 4$ plantation share of the palmyrahs and $1 / 4$ share of the remaining palmyrahs worth Rs. 80/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share worth.

$$
40 \cdot 00
$$

34. Land situated at Puloly West Singapahuthevankurichchyerai called "Vilvalai " in extent 4 Lachchams V.C. and 2, 4/32 kulies and bounded on the East by lane, North by Lots 1, 2 and 3 in Plan No. 594 dated 25th February, 1941 and prepared by T. Subramaniam Surveyor, West by the property of Valliappar Kandappar and others, South by the property of Rasammah wife of Kanagaratnam and others. Of this an undivided $1 / 2$ share worth
35. Land situated at Puloly West Malavarayakurichchy called "Sivilakaladdy " in extent 8. 3/4 Lachchams V.C.... doVeedu 1 with $1 / 2$ well and bounded on the East by lane, North by lane and by the property of Seethevi wife of Sanmugam and others West by the property of Rasammah wife of Kanagaratnam, South by the property of the heirs of Walliammai wife of Velauthar. Of this an undivided $1 / 8$ share worth
36. Land situated at -... do ... called "Arasady" in extent
37. Land situated at Thunnalai Veerasundaramudalikurichchy called "Nungayapulam" in extent 38 Lachchams V.(.. and 6 , $2 \tau / 32$ kulies. Of this the extent for Lot No. 2 in Plan No. 663 is 5 Lachchans V. ( ${ }^{\circ}$. and $10,17 / 32$ kulies and bounded on the Last by Lot No. 9 North by Lot No. 1 West by the property of the late Sinnathamby Subramaniam and on the South by Lot No. 3. The whole of this worth Rs. 2,000/-
38. Land situated at Puloly West Malavarayakurichchy called "Thinakaladdy" in extent \& Lachchams V.C. and 1,5/32 kulies. Of this extent for Lot No. 1 in Plan No. 6.50 .5 is 3 Lachchams V.C. and 28/32 kulies and bounded on the East by the property of Kandar Kovindi, North by street, West by Lot No. 2 in the said Plan, and on the South by the property of Kaliappar Kandiah worth Rs. 750/-. Of this 1/2 share worth
39. Land situated at - - do -- called "Varanthanai " Kilakku in extent 18, $1 / 2$ Lachchams V.C. - do -- Thoddam 2, with well and bounded on the East by lane. North by the property of Sinnappillai wile of Thambiah and others, West by the property of Muttuppillai widow of Nellinathar and others and South by the property of Sandirasegarar Vethavanam. Of this an undivided $1 / 12$ share of the ground and share of well worth
.. ... ...
40. Land situated in the District of Anuradhapura in the village of Puliyankulama called "Siyanbalawela" in extent 39 acres 3 roods, and $20 \cdot 9$ perches. Of this extent for Lot No. 8 is 3 acres 1 rood and 0.87 perches and bounded on the East by Railway Reservation, North by lot No. 2 in the said Plan, West and South by Lot 1D. The whole of this worth Rs. $1,000 /-$. Of this $1 / 2$ share worth.

Of this $1 / 2$ share worth $. . . \quad . . \quad$... ... $1,000 \cdot 00$
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41. Land situated at .. do - called "Varanthanai" in extent 2, 3/4 Lachchams V.C. and bounder on the East by the property of Ponnammah widow of Kandiah and others, North by water channel West by the village limit of Alvai, South by the property of Ponnachchy widow of Kandiah and others. Of this an undivided $1 / 18$ shareworth Rs. $30 /$-. Of this $1 / 2$ share worth
42. Land situated at Puloly West Singapaguthevankurichchy called " Arian Kaladdy" in extent 12, 1/8 Lachchams V.C.

Do-. Veedu $1 / 4$ forming a total extent of 12 Lachchmas V.C. and 8, $1 / 4$ kulies and bounded on the East, West and South by lane, North by the property of Annapoorani wife of Arumugam and others. Of this an undivided $7 / 432$ share and $1 / 18$ share out of the palmyrahs and tamarind trees standing on the West of this land worth Rs. 10/-. Of this 1/2 share worth
43. Land situated at Alvai Veerakodiakurichchy called "Ampalam Theni" in extent 26 Lachchams V.C. as depicted in Plan No. 1720 dated 9th October, 1940 and prepared by K. Velmurugu Licensed Surveyor. Ot this the extent for Lot No. 2 in the said Plan is 3 Lachchams V. (C. and 4, 1/2 kulies is bounded on the East by lane, North by Lot No. 1 West by the property of Kanapathippillai Kumarasamy and wife Muttupillai and others and on the South by Lot No. 3. The whole of this worth Rs. 600/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share worth
44. Land situated at Alvai Mappanakurichchy called "Periatheni" in Extent 7 Lachchams V.C. and 12, 3/4 kulies and depicted in Plan No. 2495 dated 19th June. 1947 and prepared by K. Velmurugu Licensed Surveyor. Of this extent for Lot No. 1 is 3 Lachchams VC. and 12, $1 / 2$ kulies and bounded on the East by Lot No. 2 North, by the property of Theivanai wife of Namasiyam and others, West by the property of Rasammah wife of Kandiah and others. and on the South by Lot No. 4. The whole of this and right of way and water course $\mathbf{A} . \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}$, and well lying in the Eastern land worth Rs. 900/- Of this $1 / 2$ share worth $\quad .$. 450.00
45. Land situated at Basawakulama in the District of Anuradhapura called "Basawakulamakele" in extent 5 Acres. 0 Roods, 33 Perches. The extent for Lot No. 1, 2 Acres, 2 Roods and 7 Perches and bounded on the East by reservation along the road, North by the property belonging to Pansala, West by Ela and South by Lot No. 2. The whole of this worth Rs. $1,000 /-$. Of this $1 / 2$ share worth
$300 \cdot 00$
46. Land situated at Puloly West Malavarayakurichchy called "Nedunkulavelithenithenkilakkil Metku". Of this 1 Lachcham V.C. and 11, $1 / 2$ kulies being Lot No. 3 marked in Plan filed of record in partition Case No. 17655 of the District

Court of Jaffna and bounded on the East ly the propert of Sothilingam wife of Kumarasamy North by the property belonging to Aladippillaiyar Temple, West by the property of Ponnammah widow of Singaravelu, and South by lane. Of this an undivided $1 / 6$ share worth Rs. 100/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share worth
$\qquad$ ....
47. Land situated at Puloly West Singapahuthevankurichchy called "Sathollai" in extent 10, $1 / 8$ Lachchams V.C. Of this 5, 1/8 Lachchams V.C. being the Eastern $1 / 2$ share and
48. Land situated at Puloly West Malavarayakurichchy called "Alakiavattai" in extent 9, 1/8 Lachchams V.(" do-Singapahutherankurichchy called " Alakiavattai" in extent 10 Lachchams V.C. Total in extent 19, 1/8 Lachchams V.C.
$60 \cdot 00$ well contained within these boundaries an undivided $1 / 3$ share worth Rs. 1,200/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share worth
is bounded on the East by the property of Velupillai Kumarasamy and others, North by the property of Katnirithamby Subramaniam and others, West by South by the Village limit of Alvai. Of this an undivided 19/576 share worth
... ...
49. Land situated at Puloly West Malavarayakurichehy called "Vilvalai" in extent it, $1 / 2$ Lachchams V.C' and bounded on the East and North by the property of Kathirithamby Subramaniam and others West. by South by the property of Sinnathamby Somasundaram and others. Of this an undivided $1 / 72$ share worth Rs. 20/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share worth
50. Land situated at - do --. called "Nedunkulavelitheni Kilak-
50. Lilmetku" in extent 72 Lachchams V.C. Of this on the West of the lane passing through this an extent of 13 LachWest of the lane passing through this an extent of 13 Lach-
chams V.C. and bour ded on the East by the property of Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam and others, North by the property of Sivakamippillai widow of Murugappar and
others, West by the property of Veerakathiar Konamalai property of Sivakamippillai widow of Murugappar and
others, West by the property of Veerakathiar Konamalai and others and south by the property of Rasammah widow of Sethuramalingakurukkal and others. Of the ground and bounded on the East by the property of Ledchumy widow of Ramoe and others. North by the property of Kandiah Vairamuttu and others, West by the property of Amuthammah wife of Subramaniam and South by water channel. Of this an undivided $1 / 18$ share worth Ris. $30 /-$. Of this 1/2 share worth.
15. 00
$10 \cdot 00$
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51. Land situated at - do - called " Ivilavattai" in extent 11, 1/2 Lachchams V.C.-. do -... Thoddam 1, 1/2. Of this extent for 3/16 share on the East is 2, 1/2 Larchchams V.C. and bounded on the East by the property of Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam and others. North by the property of Valliappar Vethavanam and others, West by the property of Kovinthar Thampoe and others and South by Road. Of this an undivided $1 / 4$ share worth Rs ... ... ...
52. Land situated at Puloly West Vandaripahuthevankurichchy called "Mailappai" in extent 27, 1/2 Lachchams V.C. --- Do ---Thoddam 2 is bounded on the East by the property of Arunasalam Suppar and others, North by the property of Vairamuttu Velauthar, West be the property of Kandar Kathirithamby and others, and South by the property of Kandar Sinnathamby and others. Of this an undivided $1 / 40$ share worth

$$
\ldots \quad \text {... } . .
$$

53. Land situated at Alvai Neclakuddiarkurichchy called "Urulai" in extent 31, 5/8 Lachchams V.C' - do -... Thoddam 1, of these parcels and extent of 10, 1/2 Lachchams in the middle. Of this on the North an extent of ; Lachchams I' ('. and 2, $3 / 4$ kulies and bounded on the East and West by the property of Theivamai danghtre of Ampalam and others. North by the property of Kathirgamar Ramalingam, and South by the property of Parupathippillai wife of Alvappillai. The whole of this worth ...
5.4. Land situated at Puloly West Malavaravakurichchy called "Muttukkottawattai "" in extent 17, 1/i Lachchans V.C. is bounded on the East hs the property of Velauthar Sithamparappillai and others, North by the property of Thangammah wife of Thambipillai and others, Wesi by the property of Ponnambalam Paramoc and others and South by lane. Of this an undivided 5/8t share worth
54. Land situated at Alyai Neelakuddiarkurichehy called "Kalappalavattai" in extent 15, 1/2 Lachchams V'. - do - Thoddam 4. Of this an extent of 5 Lachchams v.c. and bounded on the East by the property of Suppar Murugesu and others. North by the propery of Walliammai wife of Velauthar and others, West by the property of Kandar Murugupillai and others, and on the South by the property of Parupathippillai wife of Sinnathamby and others. Of this an undivided $1 / 2$ share of $1 / 3$ share and share of well worth

$$
50 \cdot 00
$$

$200 \cdot 00$
56. Land situated at Mai Maniveeravahuthevankurichchy called "Ayatkulappaivayal" in extent 2 Lachchams V. ( ${ }^{\text {" }}$ - do--12 Lachchams V.C'. -- do -. Thoddam 6 with well lounded on the East by the property of Kandappar Arumugam and others, North by the property belonging to

Kumuladiyit Pillaiyar Temple, West by the property of heirs of Kumarasamy Velauthar and others and on the South by the property of Ulaganathar Murugesappillai and others. Of this an undivided $1 / 2$ of $1 / 3$ of $19 / 128$ share worth

5\%. Land situated in the District of Anuradhapura in the village of Bandara Bulankulama called "Ibalawela, Pahalawela Kokkamattawela, " in extent 3 acres, 4 roods and 24 perches. Of this Extent for Lot No. 4 is 2 Roods 16 Purches
6. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 460 dated 17th November, 1941 and attested by V. K. Subramaniam Notary Public for Rs. 900/- ... at per cent interest Rs. 653/Of this $1 / 2$ share
7. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 3596 dated 24th August, 1946 and attested by V. K. Subramaniam Notary Public for Rs. 700/- at 7 per cent interest. Rs. 798/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share
... $\square$ ... Lot No. 5 is 3 Acres 8 Perches. Lot No. 9 is 1 Acre, 1 Rood, 16 Perches Total 5 Acres. 0 Roods. 0 Perches worth Rs. 1,500/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share worth

## Movable Property

1. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 3497 dated 20th May, 1946 and attested by V. K. Subramaniam Notary for Rs. 160/- at 8 per cent interest Rs. 177/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share
2. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 940 dated 26th July, 1942 and attested by V. K. Subramaniam N.P. for Rs. $400 /$ at 7 per cent interest Rs. $568 /$-. Of this $1 / 2$ share
3. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 3427 dated 13th March, 1946 and attested by V. K. Subramaniam Notary Public for Rs. 600/- at 8 per cent interest Rs. $716 /-$. Of this $1 / 2$ share
4. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 4979 dated 2nd April, 1942 and attested by V. K. Senathirajasegaram Notary Public for Rs. 600/- at 7 per cent interest Rs. 866/Of this $1 / 2$ share
5. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 1439 dated 29th January, 1943 and attested by V. K. Subramaniam Notary Public for Rs. 150/- at 8 per cent interest Rs. 217/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share
....
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$88 \cdot 50$
$358 \cdot 00$
$433 \cdot 00$

 f $108 \cdot 50$
8. By amount duc on Mortgage Bond No. 3187 dated 29th August, 1945 and attested by V K. Subramaniam Notary Public for Rs. 2,500/- at 7 per cent interest Rs. 3,025/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share ... ... ... ... ... 1,512.50
$\boldsymbol{P}_{3}$
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Continued.
9. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 2533 dated 27 th May, 1944 and attested by V. K. Subramaniam Notary Public for Rs. 1,000/- at 8 per cent Rs. 1,340/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share ... ... ... ... ... 670.00
10. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 2914 dated 8th January, 1945 and attested by V. K. Subramaniam Notary Public for Rs. $1,000 /-$ at 7 per cent interest Rs. 1,251/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share
$625 \cdot 50$
11. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 2565 dated 26th April, 1948 and attested by V K. Subramaniam Notary Public for Rs. 1,000/- at 7 per cent interest Rs. 1,023/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share ... ... ... ... ...
$511 \cdot 50$
12. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 4396 dated Ist March, 1948 and attested by V. K. Subramaniam Notary Public for Rs. 400/- at 7 per cent interest Rs. 412/- Of this 1/2 share $206 \cdot 00$
13. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 3979 dated 11th May, 1947 and attested by V K. Subramaniam, Notary Public for Rs. 150/- at 8 per cent interest. Rs. 165/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share
... ... 82.50
14. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 3578 dated 29th July 1937 and attested by V. Senathirajasegaram Notary Public for Rs. 3,000/- at 9 per cent interest Rs. 3,635/Of this $1 / 2$ share
$1,817 \cdot 50$
15. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 3434 dated 16th March, 1946 and attested by V K. Subramaniam, Notary Public for Rs. 1,000/- at 7 per cent interest Rs. 1,169/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share
$58 \pm \cdot 50$
16. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 4191 dated 5th October, 1947 and attested by V. K. Subramaniam, Notary Public for Rs. 500/- at 7 per cent interest Rs. 529/- Of this $1 / 2$ share
17. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 3709 dated 5th November, 1946 and attested by V. K. Subramaniam Notary Public for Rs. 800/- at 7 per cent interest Rs. 898/-. Of this $\mathbf{1 / 2}$ share
18. By amount due on Mortage Bond No. 2500 dated 22nd May, 1946 and attested by V. K. Subramaniam, Notary Public for Rs. 310/- at 8 per cent interest Rs. $368 /-\quad$ Of this $1 / 2$ share
$214 \cdot 50$
$449 \cdot 00$

## 

,

20
10

19. By amount due on Mortgage Bond 3729 dated 21st November, 1946 and attested by V. K. Subramaniam Notary Public for Rs. 500/- at 8 per cent interest Rs. 577/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share.
20. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 3802 dated 8th January, 1947 and attested by V K. Subramaniam Notary Public for Rs. 600/- at 7 per cent interest Rs. 666/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share
.. ... ...
21. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 3459 dated 11 th A pril, 1946 and attested by V. K. Subramaniam Notary Public for Rs. 700/- at 6 per cent interest Rs. 798/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share
... . $399 \cdot 00$
22. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 3803 dated 13th January, 1947 and attested by V. K. Subramaniam Notary Public for Rs. 1,000/- at 6 per cent interest Rs. 1,095/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share
... $547 \cdot 50$
23. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 772 dated 24th March, 1947 and attested by A. Sivakolunthu, Notary Public for Rs. $250 /$ - at 12 per cent interest Rs. 322/-. Of this I/2 share
$161 \cdot 00$
24. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 14151 dated 3rd February, 1943 and attested by V. K. Subramaniam Notary Public for Rs. 250/- at 8 per cent interest Rs. 360/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share
...
$180 \cdot 00$
25. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 3237 dated 29th September, 1945 and attested by V. K. Subramaniam, Notary Public for Rs. 1,000/-at 6 per cent interest Rs. 1,175-/ Of this $1 / 2$ share
26. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 767 dated 19th March, 1946 and attested by A. Sivakolondu Notary Public for Rs. $350 /-$ at 12 per cent interest Rs. $451 /-$. Of this 1/2 share
27. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 5587 dated 17th January, 1940 and attested by V Senathirajasegaram for Rs. 50/- at 10 per cent interest
...
28. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 5497 dated 7th December, 1939 and attested by V Senathirajasegaram for Rs. $100 /-$ at 10 per cent interest.
29. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 2952 dated 10th February, 1945 and attested by V. K. Subramaniam Notary Public for Rs. 400/- at 8 per cent interest Rs. 512/-. Of this $\mathbf{1} / \mathbf{2}$ share

Affidavit of V. K. Subramaniam (Substituted Defendant) filed in Testamentary action No. 400 in District Court, Point Pedro -30-1-49 -Continued.
30. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 7613 dated 7th January, 1943 and attested by V. Senathirajasegaram for Rs. 150/- at 8 per cent interest Rs. 185/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share ... ... ... ... ...
31. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 1248 dated 9th November, 1942 and attested by V K. Subramaniam, Notary Public for Rs. 150/- at 8 per cent interest Rs. 185/Of this $1 / 2$ share
...
32. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 3085 dated 22nd May, 1945 and attested by V.K.Subramaniam for Rs. 1,800/at 7 per cent interest Rs. 2,209!-. Of this $1 / 2$ share
33. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 3091 dated 25th January, 1945 and attested by V. K. Subramaniam Notary Public for Rs. 200/- at 8 per cent interest Rs. 252/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share
34. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 2702 dated 18th September 1944 and attested by V. K. Subramaniam, Notary Public for Rs. 1,500/- at 6 per cent interest Rs. $1,852 /-$. Of this $1 / 2$ share ... ... ...
35. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 2929 dated 22nd January, 1945 and attested by V K. Subramaniam Notary Public for Rs. 500/- at 8 per cent interest Rs. 643/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share
36. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 5116 dated 5th April, 1939 and attested by V Senathirajasegaram Notary Public for Rs. 800/- at 8 per cent interest Rs. 1,141/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share
37. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 883 dated 9th July, 1942 and attested by V K. Subramaniam, Notary Public for Rs. 600/- at 7 per cent interest Rs. $855 /-$. Of this $1 / 2$ share
38. By amount due on Otty Bond No. 570 dated 7th February 1942 and attested by V. K. Subramaniam Notary Public for Rs. 300/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share
39. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 598 dated 18th February, 1942 and attested by V K. Subramaniam Notary Public for Rs. 500/- at 8 per cent interest Rs. $545 /-$. Of
this $1 / 2$ share this $1 / 2$ share
40. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 3159 dated 5th August, 1955 and attested by V. K. Subramaniam Notary Public for Rs. 300/- at 8 per cent interest Rs. 372/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share
92. 50
$1,104 \cdot 50$
$570 \cdot 50$
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$150 \cdot 00$
$272 \cdot 50$
$92 \cdot 50$
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$186 \cdot 00$
41. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 3001 dated 14th March, 1945 and attested by V K. Subramaniam Notary Public for Rs. 400/- at 8 per cent interest Rs. 509/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share
...
...
42. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 3103 dated 2nd Jime, 1945 and attested by V. K. Subramaniam Notary Public for Rs. 200/- at 7 per cent interest Rs. 200/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share
...
43. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 427 dated 26th October, 1941 and attested by V.K. Subramaniam Nctary Public for Rs. 100/- at 10 per cent interest Rs. 158/-. Of this 1/2 share
...
Affidavit of $\mathbf{V}$. $\mathbf{K}$. Subramaniam (Substituted Defendant) filed $204 \cdot 50$ in Testamentary
action No. 400 in action No. 400 i
District Court, Point Pedro -30-1-4!
$100 \cdot 00$
4.t. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 537 dated 12 th January, 1942 and attested by V. K. Subramaniam Notary Public for Rs. 1,000/- at 8 per cent intcrest Rs. 1,527/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share ... ... ... ...
45. By amount due on mortgage Bond No. 5537 dated 27 th December, 1939 and attested by $V$ Senathirajasegaram Notary Public for Rs. 420/- at 10 per cont interest Rs. 220/Of this $1 / 2$ share
46. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 3260 dated 11th October, 1945 and attested by V K. Subramaniam Notary Public for Rs. 500/- at 8 per cent interest Rs. 560/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share
...
$280 \cdot 00$
47. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 3001 dated 14th March, 1945 and attested by V K. Subramaniam Notary Public for Rs. 400/- at 8 per cent interest Rs. 509/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share
48. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 2452 dated 3rd A pril, 1944 and attested by V K. Subramaniam Notary Public for Rs. 75/- at 8 per cent interest Rs. 101/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share
...
$50 \cdot 50$
49. By amount due on Promissory Note dated 8th January, 1944 for Rs. 280/- at 10 per cent interest Rs. 408/-. Of this $\mathbf{1 / 2}$ share
...
...
204.00
50. By amount due on Promissory note dated 10th October, 1945, for Rs. 75/- at 8 per cent interest. Rs. 92/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share
...
$46 \cdot 00$
51. By amount due on Promissory Note dated 23rd January, 1944 for Rs. 175/- at 6 per cent interest Rs. 227/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share
... $113 \cdot 50$
52. By amount due in Case No. 3114P D. C. Point Pedro, Rs. $397 / 11$. Of this $1 / 2$ share ... ... ...
53. By amount recovered in Cases No. 3087, 3088, and 3089 D.C. Point Pedro Rs. 2,140/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share $\quad . .1,070 \cdot 00$

Affidavit of V. K. Subramaniam (Substibuted Defendant) filed in Testamentary action No. 400 in District Court, Point Pedro 30-1-49 -Comlinued
54. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 5821 dated 23rd June, 1940, and attested by V. Senathirajasegaram from Vairavan Suppan of Puloly West Rs. 616/33. Of this $\mathbf{1 / 2}$ share
... ... ... ... ...
55. 3 Almyrahs worth Rs. 300/00. Of this $1 / 2$ share ... $150 \cdot 00$
56. 3 Tables worth Rs. 300/00. Of this $1 / 2$ share ... ... $150 \cdot 00$
57. 8 Chairs worth Rs. 50/00. Of this $1 / 2$ share ... ... $25 \cdot 00$
58. 1 Wooden Chest worth (large) Rs. $100 / 00$. Of this $1 / 2$ share $50 \cdot 00$
59. 1 Wooden Chest (small) worth Rs. 50/00. Of this $\mathbf{1 / 2}$ share $\mathbf{2 5 \cdot 0 0}$
60. Brassware worth Rs. 60/00. Of this $1 / 2$ share ... ... $30 \cdot 00^{10}$
61. Jewellery worth ... ... ... ... ... 2,000.00

Immovable Property (Additional)
62. Land situated at Puloly West Singapahuthevankurichchy called Bommikaladdy in extent 32 Lachchams V.C. and 3 kulies and bounded on the East by the property of Sithamparanathar Kanapathipillai and others, North by the property of Kanapathiar Vyravipillai and others, and by the property of Vallattai wife of Vyramuttu and others, West by lane and South by the property of Sithamparanathar Kanapathipillai. Of this an undivided $54 / 384$ share worth Rs. $600 / 00$. Of this $1 / 2$ share worth $\quad .$. 300.00

Total Value of Estate ... ... ... 58,289•50

Signed and affirmed to the truth and correctness hereof at Puloly West on the 30th day of January, 1949.

Drawn by :
(Sgd.) M. Esurapadham,
(Sgd.) M. EsURAPADHAM,
Proctor for Petitioner.
(Sgd.) V. K. Subramaniam,
Drawn by:
Before me:--
(Sgd.) P. Kanagasabai, Commissioner for Oaths. 80

District Court of Point Pedro, 8th October, 1962.
(Seal)
True Copy of AFFIDAVIT in District Court, Point Pedro Testamentary Casc No. 400.
(Sgd.) Illegibly, Secretary,

District Court. Point Pedro. 8-10-62. 40
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Petition of V.K. Subramaniam (Substituted Defendant) filed in Testamentary Action No. 400 in District Court, Point Pedro 31-1-49

In the matter of the Last Will and Testament of the Late Rasammah. wife of Vinivinathar Kanagaratnim of Puloly West.


V Kanigaratnam Subramaniam of Puloly West.
Petitioner. Vs.

1. Visceanathir Kanagaratnam of Puloly West.
2. V Kinagaratwam Kumabasimy of-do-.
3. V. Kanagaratnim Santhiramegaram of-do-.
4. V. Kinigiaratnim Kathirkamen of-do-.
5. V Kinagiaraticim Kandappa of-do-.

20
6. Viscyanithar Kanagaratiam Sibraminiam of Puloly West substituted in the room of the Deceased 4th Respondent.

Respondents.
The 31st day of January. 1949.
The Petition of the abovenamed Petitioner appearing ly Mr. M. Esurapadham his Proctor states as follows:

1. The Petitioner's Step-mother Rasammah, wife of the 1st Respondent died at Puloly West within the Jurisdiction of this Court on the 20th day of August, 1948 leaving behind a Joint Last Will executed by her
${ }^{30}$ and her husband the said 1st Respondent dated the 26 th day of March, 1946 and attested by K. Sivasangaram, Notarv Public under No. 1001.
2. The said deceased bequeathed and devised all her property moveable and immovable to her husband the 1st Respondent.
3. The heirs of the said deceased but for the said Last Will are her sons the 2nd, 3rd, 4th and 5th Respondents.

Petition of V.K.
Subrannaiam
(Substituted Defendant)
filed in
Testamentary
Action No. 400 in District Court, Point Pcdro 31-1-49 -Continued.
4. Full and true particulars of the property belonging to the Estate of the said deceased are given in the schedule hereto.
5. The Petitioners claim Probate of the said Last Will as execulor appointed thereunder.

WHEREFORE the Petitioner prays:
(a) that the said Last Will of the deceased be declared proved;
(b) that the petitioner as Executor appointed under the said Will be declared entitled to obtain probate thereof;
(c) that probate of the said Will be accordingly issued to the Petitioner ;
(d) for costs ;
and for such other and further relief as to this Court shall seem meet.
(Sgd.) M. Esurupadham.
Proctor for Petitioner.

## SCHEDULE OF PROPERTY REFERRED TO

## Immovable Property

1. Land situated at Puloly West Malavarayakurichchy called "Veerapandianseema" in extent 6, 1/8 Lachchams V.C. and bounded on the East by lane, North by the

Rs. Cts. the East by the property of Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam and others, North by lane, West by the property of Kathirgamar Kanapathippillai and others and South by the property of Velappar Subramaniam and others. Of this an undivided $1 / 8$ share worth $100 \cdot 00$

Of this $1 / 2$ share worth

2nd land, West by the property of Kathirithamby Subramaniam and others, and South by the property of Ponnachchy widow of Kandiah and others. Of this an undivided $14 / 27$ share worth
2. Land situated at -do- called "Ethirollai" in extent
$2,3 / 4$ Lachchams V. $c$. and bounded on the East and
North by lane, West by the property of Ponny, widow
of Solian and others, and on the South by the 1st land.
2. Land situated at -do- called "Ethirollai" in extent
2,3/4 Lachchams V. $C$. and bounded on the East and
North by lane, West by the property of Ponny, widow
of Solian and others, and on the South by the 1st land.
2. Land situated at -do- called "Ethirollai" in extent
2,3/4 Lachchams V. $C$. and bounded on the East and
North by lane, West by the property of Ponny, widow
of Solian and others, and on the South by the 1st land.
2. Land situated at -do- called "Ethirollai" in extent
2, 3/4 Lachchams V. $C$. and bounded on the East and
North by lane, West by the property of Ponny, widow
of Solian and others, and on the South by the 1st land. Of this an undivided $217 / 288$ share worth
$200 \cdot 00$
3. Land situated at -do- called "Vilvalai" in extent 8 Lachchams V.C. and 3, 29/32 kulies and bounded on
$300 \cdot 00$
4. Land situated at-do-called "Veerapandianseenam" in extent 2, 1/2 Lachchams V.C. and bounded on the Fast by lane, North be the property of Ponny, wife of Alvan Velan and West and South by the property of the heirs of Subramaniam Jekasothy and others. The whole of this worth $100 \cdot 00$

Petition of V. K.
Subramaniam
(Substituted Defendant) filed in Testamentary Action No. 400 in District Court, Point Pedro
Of this $1 / 2$ share worth
31-1-49
5. Land situated at Puloly West Singhapahuthevankurichchy called "Vilvalai "" in extent 9, 1/2 Lachchams V.C. and bounded on the East by lane, North by the property of Rasammah, wife of Kanagaratnam and others West by the property of Paramu Subramaniam and others, and South by the property of the heirs of Seethevan. Of this an undivided $1 / 4$ th share
$200 \cdot 00$
6. Land situated at-do- called "Seema" in extent 7, 1/8 Lachchams V.C.-Do- Yeedu 1. Of these parcels on the South an extent of 8 Lachchams V.C. and 5/8 kulies and bounded on the East by the property of Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam, West by the property of Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam, and on the West and South by lane. Of this an undivided $1 / 2$ share worth $600 \cdot 00$

Of this $1 / 2$ share worth ... ... ... $300 \cdot 00$
7. Land situated at Puloly West Malavarayakurichchy called "Seema" in extent + Lachchams V.C. and bounded on the East by the property of Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam North by the property of Alvappillai Velautham West by street, and on the South by the property of $V$ Kanagaratnam and others. The whole of this worth $300 \cdot 00$
Of this $1 / 2$ worth ... ... ...
8. Land situated at -do- called "Seema" in extent 5 Lachchams V.C. and $1 / 8$ kuly and bounded on the East and South by the property of V. Kanagaratnam North by the property of Kandar Sinnathamby and West by lane. The whole of this worth $500 \cdot 00$ Of this $1 / 2$ worth
9. Land situated at -do" called "Seema" in extent 4 Lachchams V.C. and 13 kulies and bounded on the East by the property of V. Kanagaratnam and others, West by the tollowing 10th land and South by the property of Y. Kanagaratnam and others, and by lane, The whole of this worth $500 \cdot 00$
Of this $1 / 2$ share worth

Petition of V. K. Subramaniam (Substituted Defendant)
filed in Testamentary Action No. 400 in District Court, Point Pedro 31-1-49
-Continued.
10. Land situated at -do- called "Seema" in extent 9 kulies bounded on the East by the aforesaid 9th land, North by the property of Theivanai widow of Murugappar, West by the property of Alvappillai Velautham and on the South by the property of V. Kanagaratnam. The whole of this worth $50 \cdot 00$

Of this $1 / 2$ share worth ... ... ...
11. Land situated - do - called "Seema" in extent I Lachcham V.C. and bounded on the East by the property of V. Kanagaratnam and others, North by the property of Theivanaippillai, widow of Murugappar, West by the aforesaid 10th land, and South by aforesaid 9 th land. Of this an undivided $1 / 2$ share worth $100 \cdot 00$

Of this $1 / 2$ share worth ... ... ... $50 \cdot 00$
12. Land situated at -do-called "Nitchinganollai Vadakku" in extent 7, 1/4 Lachchams V.C. and bounded on the East by lane, North by the property of Sothilingam, wife of Kumarasamy and others, West by the property of Kandar Sinnathamby and others and on the South by the property of Theivanai, wife of Kathiravelu and others. Of this an undivided $7 / 18$ share worth $600 \cdot 00$

Of this $1 / 2$ share worth
13. Land situated at - do - called " Nitchinganollai" in extent 2 Lachchams V.C. and $17,5 / 8$ kulies and bounded on the East and South by lane, North by the property of V Kangaratnam and others, West by the property of $\mathbf{V}$ Kanagaratnam. The whole of this worth $600 \cdot 00$

Of this $1 / 2$ share worth
$300 \cdot 00$
14. Land called "Seema" situated at Puloly West, Singhapahuthevankurichchy in extent 15 Lachchams V.C. and I kuly with stone built houses and bounded on the East and South by lane, North by the property of Kandar Sinnathamby and others, West by the property of heirs of Velauthar Arunasalam and others. The whole of this $10,000 \cdot 00$

Of this $1 / 2$ share worth ... ... ... 5,000.00
15. Land situated at Puloly West Malavarayakurichchy called "Nedunkulavelitheni Thenkilakilmetku" in extent 13 Lachchams V.C. is bounded on the East by street, North by the property of Sivakamipillai,
widow of Murugappar and others, West by the property of Sivakamipillai, wife of Sivappiragasam and others, and South by the property of V. Kanagaratnam and others. Of this an undivided $1 / 3$ share worth $1,200 \cdot 00$

Of this $1 / 2$ share worth
16. Land situated at-do called "Arasadi" in extent 6 Lachchams V.C. and bounded on the East by the property of Kathirithamby Subramaniam, North by the property Sothilingam, wife of Kumarasamy and others, West by lane, and South by the property of Kathirithamby Subramaniam and others. Of this an undivided $33 / 36$ share of $1 / 3$ share and share of well and $1 / 8$ share of 6 Lachchams V.C. worth $150 \cdot 00$

Of this $1 / 2$ share worth
17. Land situated at Alsai Mappanakurichchy called "Periatheni ", in extent 1 Lachcham. V.C. and bounded on the East by the following 18th land North by the property of belonging to Imparsitty Pillaiyar Temple, West by the property of Kanapathippillai Paramaguru and brothers, and South by the property of Sinnathangam, wife of Somasundaram. The whole of this and share of well in the North of this land and in the 18th land worth $300 \cdot 00$

Of this $1 / 2$ share worth
... ... ...
18. Land situated at-do called "Periatheni" in extent 3, $3 / 5$ kulies bounded on the East by the property of $\mathbf{V}$ Kanagaratnam and others, North by the property belonging to Imparsitty Pillaiyar Temple, West by the aforesaid 17th land, and South by the property of Sinnathangam, wife of Somasundaram. Of this an undivided $1 / 4$ share and share of well, right of way and watercourse worth $20 \cdot 00$

Of this $1 / 2$ share worth ... ... ...
19. Land situated at-do called "Periatheni" in extent 14, $2 / 5$ kulies with well and bounded on the East by the property of Wallippillai, wife of Veluppillai, North by the property belonging to Imparsitty Pillaiyar Temple West by lane, and South by the property of Sinnathangam, wife of Somasundaram. Of those contained within these boundaries in the well the share appertaining to this the right of way and watercourse and an undivided $1 / 4$ share of the ground, coconut trees, and palmyrah trees, worth $30 \cdot 00$
Of this $1 / 2$ share worth
... ... ...

Petition of V. K. Subramaniam (Substituted Defendant) filed in Testamentary
$600 \cdot 00 \begin{aligned} & \text { Action No. } 400 \text { in } \\ & \text { District Court }\end{aligned}$ District Court Point Pedra 31-1-49
-Continued.
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Petition of V. K.
Subramaniam (Substituted Defendant) filed in
Testamentary Action No. 400 in District Court, Point Pedro 31-1-49
-Continued.
20. Land situated at Alvai Malavarayakurichchy called "Vathayavillanai" in extent 92 Lachchams V.C and $5,1 / 4$ kulies and bounded on the East by lane, and grave yard, North by the property of Valliappar Vethavanam and others and South by the property of Valliappar Vethavanam and others. The whole of this worth $26,000 \cdot 00$... ... ... ... Of this $1 / 2$ share worth ... ... ... 13,000•00
21. Land situated at Alvai Maniveerabahuthevankurichehy called " Ayatkulappai Vayal " in extent 22 Lachchams V.C.-do thoddam 6,-do 12 Lachchams V.('. and bounded on the East by the property of Kathirithamby Subramaniam and others. North by the property of Kumaladiyit Pillaiyar Kovil, West by the property of the heirs of Kumarasamy Velauthar and South by the property of the heirs of Karthigesu Subramaniamand and others. Of this an undivied 23/96 share worth $50 \cdot 00$
Of this $1 / 2$ share worth ... ... ...
22. Land situated at Puloly West Singhapahuthevankurichy called "Manthiodai" in extent 4 Lachchams V.C. and 2, 20/32 kulies and bounded on the East by the property of Theivanaipillai, wife of Muttukumarasamy and others, West by the property of Kanapathipillai Kumarappar and others, and South by road. The whole of this worth $1,000 \cdot 00$

Of this $1 / 2$ share worth
23. Land situated at Puloly West, Singhapahuthevankurichchy called "Manthiodai" in extent 4 Lachchams V.C. and $10 / 32$ kulies and bounded on the East by the property of Sithamparan Sinnian and others, North by channel, West by the property of Ponnammah, wife of Rasiah, South by the following 24th land. The whole of this and share of well and right of way and watercourse, worth $1,400 \cdot 00$
Of this $1 / 2$ share worth
24. Land situated at-do called "Manthiodai" in extent 16, 20/32 kulies and bounded on the East by the property of Sithamparan Sinnian and others, North by the aforesaid 23rd land, West by the property of Ponnammah, wife of Rasiah and South by the property of Theivanai, widow of Manicam. The whole of this and share of well and right of way and watercourse worth $100 \cdot 00$

Of this $1 / 2$ share worth ... ... ... $50 \cdot 00$ $700 \cdot 00$
25. Land situated at Alvai Veerakodiakurichchy called " Padavadapulathuvayal " in extent 17, 3/8 Lachchams V.C. and bounded on the East and South by the property of Kathirithamby Subramaniam and others, North by the property of Velappar Subramaniam and others West by the property of Kandappar Kanagasabai and others. Of this an undivided $1 / 4$ share worth $200 \cdot 00$

Of this 1/2 share worth
26. Land situated at Puloly West Singhapahuthevanothers. Of this undivided $1 / 3$ share worth $250 \cdot 00$

Of this $1 / 2$ share worth
$125 \cdot 00$
kurichchy called " Nedunkulavelitheni " in extent 4 Lachchams V.C. and 8, 8/16 kulies with stone built house and bounded on the East by water channel, North by road, West by the property of Kathirithamby Sabapthy and others, South by the property of Kathirithamby Sabapathy and others and by the property of Kamadehy, wife of Kathirkamu and others. The whole of this worth $10,000 \cdot 00$

Of this $1 / 2$ share worth $\ldots$...
Land situated at-do called "Nedunkulavelitheni" in
extent $159 / 16$ kulies is bounded on the East by the
property of Britto Muttunayagam, North by the pro-
perty of Krishapillai Mylvaganam, West by water
channel, and South by the property belonging to Aladi-
pillaiyar Temple. The whole of this worth $200 \cdot 00 \ldots$
Of this $1 / 2$ share worth ... ...
27. Land situated at-do called "Nedunkulavelitheni " in
extent $159 / 16$ kulies is bounded on the East by the
property of Britto Muttunayagam, North by the pro-
perty of Krishnapillai Mylvaganam, West by water
channel, and South by the property belonging to Aladi-
pillaiyar Temple. The whole of this worth $200 \cdot 00$...
Of this $1 / 2$ share worth $\ldots$
Land situated at-do called "Nedunkulavelitheni" "in
extent $159 / 16$ kulies is bounded on the East by the
property of Britto Muttunayagam, North by the pro-
perty of Krishnapillai Mylvaganam, West by water
channel, and South by the property belonging to Aladi-
pillaiyar Temple. The whole of this worth $200 \cdot 00 \ldots$
Of this $1 / 2$ share worth $\quad . .$.
Land situated at-do called "Nedunkulavelitheni" in
extent $159 / 16$ kulies is bounded on the East by the
property of Britto Muttunayagam, North by the pro-
perty of Krishapillai Mylvaganam, West by water
channel, and South by the property belonging to Aladi-
pillaiyar Temple. The whole of this worth $200 \cdot 00 \ldots$
Of this $1 / 2$ share worth $\ldots$...
Land situated at-do called "Nedunkulavelitheni" in
extent $159 / 16$ kulies is bounded on the East by the
property of Britto Muttunayagam, North by the pro-
perty of Krishapillai Mylvaganam, West by water
channel, and South by the property belonging to Aladi-
pillaiyar Temple. The whole of this worth $200 \cdot 00 \ldots$
Of this $1 / 2$ share worth $\ldots$...
Land situated at-do called "Nedunkulavelitheni" in
extent $159 / 16$ kulies is bounded on the East by the
property of Britto Muttunayagam, North by the pro-
perty of Krishapillai Mylvaganam, West by water
channel, and South by the property belonging to Aladi-
pillaiyar Temple. The whole of this worth $200 \cdot 00 \ldots$
Of this $1 / 2$ share worth $\ldots$
Land situated at-do called "Nedunkulavelitheni" "in
extent $159 / 16$ kulies is bounded on the East by the
property of Britto Muttunayagam, North by the pro-
perty of Krishnapillai Mylvaganam, West by water
channel, and South by the property belonging to Aladi-
pillaiyar Temple. The whole of this worth $200 \cdot 00 \ldots$ Of this $1 / 2$ share worth
28. Land situated at Puloly West Singhapahuthevankurichchy called "Thevakaladdy" in extent 7 LachOf this an undivided $1 / 2$ share worth $100 \cdot 00$

Of this $1 / 2$ share worth ... ... ...

$$
\ldots \quad \ldots
$$

$$
100 \cdot 00
$$ chams V.C. and bounded on the East by the following 29th land, North by the property of Kathirithamby Subramaniam and others West by the property of Sethuppillai, wife of Sinnathambiar and others, and South by the property of suppar Murugesu and others. $50 \cdot 00$

29. Land situated at-do called "Thevakaladdy" in extent 15, 1/4 Lachchams V.C. and bounded on the East by the property of Thamoe Nlvar and others, North by the property of Thangammah, wife of Subramaniam and others, West by the aforesaid 28th land, and South by the property of Vellathai, wifc of Kathiripillai and - of this worth lo,00


[^0]



$50 \cdot 00$

[^1][^2]
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30. Land situated at Puloly West Malavarayakurichy called Nedunkulavelitheni Thenkilakilmetku in extent 7 Lachchams V.C. and $61 / 2$ kulies with well is bounded on the East by lot 2 in plan No. 1450 dated 6th June, 1937 and prepared by G. C. Ganapathippillai, Surveyor belonging to Parupathippillai, widow of Kathirithamby, North by the property belonging to Aladipillaiyar temple, West by lot No. 15 in the said plan and on the South by lane. The whole of this and shares in wells in lots Nos. 16, 17, 18 and 20 and share in lots Nos. 19 and 21 worth $2,200 \cdot 00$

Of this $1 / 2$ share worth ... ... .. 1,100.00
31. Land situated at Alvai Veerakodiakurichchy and Maniveeravaguthevankurichy called Seenanseema and Sathanawattai in extent 66 kulies and bounded on the East by the property of Kandiah Rasaratnam, North by lane, West by the property of Arumugam Veluppillai, South by the land called Seenan Seema and Sathanawattai. The whole of this $\mathbf{1 0 \cdot 0 0}$

Of this $1 / 2$ share worth ... ... ... $\mathbf{5 \cdot 0 0}{ }^{2 \prime}$
32. Land situated at Alvai Perumiakurichchyeri called Paddithidalwattai in extent 5, 3/8 Lachchams V.C. and bounded on the East by the property of Pillaiyar Kovil situated at Vyrappu Kaladdy North by the property of Ponnambalam Muruguppillai and others, West by the property of Mylvaganam Kandiah and others. Of this an undivided $1 / 4$ share of the ground and $1 / 4$ th plantation share of the palmyrahs and $\mathbf{J} / 4$ share of the remaining palmyrahs worth $80 \cdot 00$

Of this $1 / 2$ share worth
33. Land situated at Alrai Veerakodiakurichchy and Maniveeravaguthevankurichy called Seenan Seema and Sathanawattai in extent 5 Lachchams V.C. and $14,1 / 4$ kulies and bounded on the East by the property of Kandiah Rasaratnam and another. On the North by the property of Ponnambalam Muruguppillai and others. On the West by the property of Ramoe Kathirippillai and others and on the South by the property of Kandiah Rasaratnam and another. Of this $1 / 4$ plantation share worth $50 \cdot 00 \ldots$

Of this $1 / 2$ share worth
$25 \cdot 00$
34. Land situated at Puloly West Singapahuthevankurichehy called Vilvalai in extent + Lachchams V.C. and $2,4 / 32$ kulies and bounded on the East by lane, North by lot 1, 2 and 3 in plan No. 594 dated 25th February. $19!1$ and prepared by T. Subramaniam, Surveror. We;s by the property of Valliappar Kandappar and other;, South by the property of Rasammah, wife of Kanakaratnam and others. Of this an undivided 1/2 share worth
35. Land situated at Puloly West Malavarayakurichy called Sivilakaladdy in extent 8, 3/4 Lachchams V.C.-do-verdu 1 with $1 / 2$ well and bounded on the East by lane, North by lane and by the property of Seethevi, wife of Sanmugam and others, West by the property of Rasammah, wife of Kanagaratnam, South by the property of the heirs of Walliammai. wife of Velauthar. Of this an undiveded $1 / 8$ share worth
36. Land situated at-do called "Arasady" in extent 43, 1/4 Lachcham V.C.-do thoddam 4. Of these parcels an extent of 6 Lachchams V.' and 2 kulies and bounded on the East by the property of Velan Ampalavan and others and on the North by the property of the heirs of Paramoe Sithamparappillai, West by the property of Sothilingam, wife of Kumarasamy and others and South by the property of Kardar Kanapathiar and others. Of this an undivided $1 / 4$ share worth
37. Land situated at-do called Varanthanaikilakku in extent 18 1/2 Lachchams V.C'. do. thoddam 2 with well and bounded on the East by lane, North by the property of sinnappillai, wife of 'Thambiah and others, West by the property of Muttuppillai, widow of Nellinathar and others and south by the property of Sandirasegarar Yethavanam. Of this an undivided 1/12 share of the ground and share of well worth
38. Land situated in the District of Anuradhapura in the Village of Pulliyankulama called Siyanbalawela in extent 39 acres, 3 roods and 20.9 perches. Of this extent for lot No. 8 is 3 acres 1 rood and 0.87 perches and bounded on the East by Railway reservation North by lot No. 2 in the said plan, West and South by lot 1.D. The whole of this worth $1,000 \cdot 00$

Of this $1 / 2$ share worth
39. Land situated at Thunnalai Veerasundaramudalikurichchy called Nungayapulam in extent 38 Lachchams V.C. and 6, 27/32 kulies. Of this the extent
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for lot No. 2 in plan No. 663 is 5 Lach hams V.C. and 10, 17/32 kulies and bounded on the East by lot No. 9, North by lot No. 1, West by the property of the late Sinnathamby Subramaniam and on the South by lot No.3. The whole of this worth $2,000 \cdot 00$

Of this $1 / 2$ share worth ... ... ... 1,000.00
40. Land situated at Puloly West Malavarayakurichchy called Thinakaladdy in extent 4 Lachchams V.C. and $1,5 / 32$ kulies. Of this extent for lot No. 1 in plan No. 6505 is 3 Lachchams V.C. and 28/32 kulies and bounded on the East by the property of Kandar Kovindi, North by street, West by lot No. 2 in the said plan, and on the South by the property of Kaliappar Kandiah, worth 750•00

Of this $1 / 2$ share worth
41. Land situated at-do called Varanthanai in extent 2, 3/4 Lachchams V.C. and bounded on the East by the property of Ponnammah, widow of Kandiah and others, North by water channel, West by the village limit of Alvai, south by the property of Ponnachchy, widow of Kandiah and others. Of this an undivided 1/18 share worth $50 \cdot 00$

Of this $1 / 2$ share worth

$$
\ldots
$$

$$
25 \cdot 00
$$

42. Land situated at Puloly West Singapahuthevankurichchy called Ariankaladdy in extent 12, 1/8 Lachchams. V.C.-do-veedu $1 / 4$ forming a total extent of 12 Lachchams V.C. and 8, $1 / 4$ kulies and bounded on the East, West and South by lane, North by the property of Annapoorani, wife of Arumugam and others. Of this an undivided $7 / 432$ share and $1 / 18$ share out of the palmyrahs and tamarind trees standing on the West of this land worth $40 \cdot 00$

Of this $1 / 2$ share worth
... ... ...
43. Land situated at Alvai Veerakodiakurichy called Ampalantheni in extent 26 Lachchams V.C. as depicted in plan No. 1720 dated 9th October, 1940 and prepared by K. Velmurugu, Licensed Survecor. Of this the extent for lot No. 2 in the said plan is 3 Lachchams V.C. and $41 / 2$ kulies is bounded on the East by lane, North by lot No. 1, West by the property of Kanapathipillai Kumarasamy and wife Muttuppillai and others on the South by lot No. 3. The whole of this worth $600 \cdot 00$

Of this $1 / 2$ share worth
44. Land situated at Mlai Mappanakurichchy called Periatheni in extent 7 Lachchams V.C. and $12 \cdot 3 / 4$ kulies as depicted in plan No. 2495 dated 19th June, 1917 and prepared by K. Vclmurugu, Licensed Survevor. Of this extent for lot No. 1 is 3 Lachchams V. $C^{\prime}$ and $121 / 2$ kulies and bounded on the East by lot No. 2. North by the property of Theivanai, wife of Namasivayam and others, West by the property of Rasammah, wife of Kandiah and others and on the South by lot No. 4. The whole of this and right of way and water course, A.B.' $'$. and well lying in the Eastern land worth $900 \cdot 00$

Of this $1 / 2$ share worth ... ... ... $\mathbf{4 5 0 \cdot 0 0}$
4.5. Land situated at Basawakulama in the District of Anuradhapura called Basawakkulamakela in extent 5 acres, 0 roods, 33 perches. The extent for lot No. 1 2 acres, 2 roods and 7 perches and bounded on the Last by reservation along the road, North by the property belonging to Pansala, West by Ela and South by lotNo.2. The whole of this worth $1,000 \cdot 00$

Of this $1 / 2$ share worth ... ... ...
46. Land situated at Puloly West Malavarayakurichchy called Nedunkulavelithenithenkilakkilmetku. Of this 1 Lachcham V.' ${ }^{\prime}$. and 11, $1 / 2$ kulies being lot No. 3 marked in plan filed of record in partition Case No. 17655 of the District Court of Jaffna and bounded on the East by the property of Sothilingam, wife of Kumarasam!, North be the property belonging to Aladipillaiyar temple, West by the property of Ponnammah, widow of Singaravelu and South by lane. Of this an undivided $1 / 6$ share worth $100 \cdot 00$

Of this $1 / 2$ share worth
47. Land situated at Puloly West Singapahuthevankurichchy called Sathollai in extent 10, 1/8 Lachchams V.C'. Of this 5, 1/8 Lachchams V.c'. being the Eastern half share and bounded on the East by the property of Ledchumy, widow of Ramoe and others, North by the property of Kandiah Vairamutu and others, West by the property of Amuthammah, wife of Subramaniam and South by water channel. Of this an undivided 1/18 share worth $30 \cdot 00$

Of this $1 / 2$ share worth
-•
... ... ...
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48. Land situated at Puloly West Malavarayakurichchy called Alakiawattai in extent 9, 1/8 Lachchams V.C. -do- Singapahuthevankurichchy called Nakiawattai in extent 10 Lachchams V.C: Total in extent 19, 1/8 Lachchams V.C'. is bounded on the East by the property of Veluppillai Kumarasamy and others, North by the property of Kathirithamby Subramaniam and others, West by ............... iouth by the Village limit of Alvai. Of this an undivided 19/576 share worth ...
$60 \cdot 00{ }^{10}$
49. Land situated at Puloly West Malavarayakurichchy called Vilvalai in extent 14. 1/2 Lachchams Y.C. and bounded on the East and North by the property of Kathirithamby Subramaniam and others West by $\ldots . . . . . . . . . . . .$. South by the property of Sinnathamby' Somasundaram and others. Of this an undivided 1/72 share worth $20 \cdot 00$

Of this $1 / 2$ share worth ... ... ... $10 \cdot 00$
50. Land situated at -do- called Nedunkula celithenikillakil metku in extem 72 Lachchams V.C Of this on the West of the lame passing through this an extent of 13 Lachchams V.C. and bounded on the East ly the property of Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam and others, North by the property of Sivakamippillai Mrugappar and others, West hy the property of Vecragathiar Konamalai and others and South by the property of Rasammah, widow of Sethuramalingakurukkal and others. Of the ground and well contained within these boundaries an undivided $1 / 3$ share worth Rs. 1,200/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share worth
51. Land situated at -do-called Ivilawattai in extent $111 / 2$ Lach chams V.C. -do- thoddam 1, 1/2. Of this extent for $3 / 16$ share on the East is $2,1 / 2$. Lachchams V.C. and bounded on the East by the property of Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam and others, North by the property of Valliappar Vethavanam and others, West by the property of Kovindar Thampoe and others and South by Road. Of this an undivided $1 / 4$ share worth ... ... ... ...
53. Land situated at Alvai Neelakuddiarkurichchy called Urulai in extent 31, 5/8 Lachchams Y.('. -do- thoddam 1. Of these parcels an extent of $101 / 2$ Lachchams in the middle. Of this on the North an extent of is Lachehams V.(', and $2,3 / 4$ kulies and bounded on the East and West by the property of Theivamai, daughter of Ampalam and others, North by the property of Kathirgamar Ramalingam and South by the property of Parupathippillai, wife of Alvappillai. The whole of this worth
54. Land situated at Puloly West Malavarayakurichchy called Muttikottawattai in extent $17,1 / 4$ Lachchams V.C. is bounded on the East by the property of Velauthar Sithamparappillai and others. North by the property of Thangammah, wife of Thambippillai and others, West by the property of Pomambalam Paramoe and others and South by lane. Of this an undivided 5/84 share worth
55. Land situated at Alvai Neclakuddiakurichchy called Kalappalawattai in extent 15, 1/2 Lachchams V.C. do. thoddam 4. Of this an extent of 5 Lachchams Y.C. and bounded on the Last by the property of Suppar Murugesu and others. North by the property of Valliammai, wife of Velauthar and others, West by the property of Kandar Muruguppillai and others and on the South by the property of Parupathippillai, wife of Sinnathamber and others. Of this an undivided 1/2 share of $1 / 3$ share and share of well worth ...
56. Land situated at Alai Maniveeravaguthevankurichehy called lyatkulappaivayal in extent 22 Lachchams V C.- do-12 Lachchams V.C.- do-Thoddam 6 with well and bounded on the East by the property of Kandappar Arumugam and others North by the property belonging to Kumadadipillaiyar Temple West by the property of the heirs of Kumarasamy Velauthar and others and on the South by the property of Ilaganathar Murugesappillai and others. Of this an undivided $1 / 2$ of $1 / 3$ of $19 / 128$ share worth ... ...
100.00
57. Land situated in the District of Anuradhapura in the village of Bandara Bulankulama called Ibalawela, Pahalawela, Kokkamattawela in extent 3 acres 4 roods and 24 perches.
$\mathrm{P}_{2}$
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Of this:
Rs. Cts.
Extent for lot No. 4 is 2 roods 16 perches lot No. 5 is 3 acres 8 perches lot No. 9 is 1 acre 1 rood, 16 perches

Total 5 Acres 0 rood 0 perches
worth Rs. $1,500.00$. Of this $1 / 2$ share worth
750.00

Rs. ... 35,575.00

## Movable Property

Rs. Cts.

1. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 3497 dated 20th May, 1946 and attested by V K. Subramaniam, Notary Public for Rs. 150/- at 8 per cent interest Rs. 177/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share88.50
2. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 940 dated 26 th July, 1942 and attested by V K. Subramaniam. Notary Public for Rs. $400 /-$ at 7 per cent interest Rs. $568 /-$ Of this $1 / 2$ share
284.00
3. By amount due on Mortgage bond No. 3427 dated 13 th March, 1946 and attested by $V$ K. Subramaniam, Notary Public for Rs. 600/- at 8 per cent intercst Rs. 716/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share 358.00
4. By amount due on mortgage bond No. 4979 dated 2ind April, $19 \nmid 2$ and attested by V. Senathirajasegaram, Notary Public for Rs. 600/- at 7 per cent interest Rs. $866 /-$. Ot this $1 / 2$ share ..
5. By amount due on mortgage bond No. 1439 dated 29 th January, 1943 and attested by V. K. Subrananiann, Notary Public for Rs. 150/- at 8 per cent interest. Rs. 217/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share
108.50
6. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 460 dated 17 th November, $19+1$ and attested by 1 K. Subramaniam, Notary Public for Rs. $900 /$ - at per cent interest. Rs. 653/Of this $1 / 2$
... ...
326.50
7. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 3596 dated 24 th August, 1946 and attested by V. K. Subramaniam, Notary Public for IRs. 700/- at 7 per cont interest. Rs. 798/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share
399.00
8. By anount due on Mortgage Bond No. 3187 dated 29th August, 1945 and attested by $V^{\prime} \mathrm{K}$. Subramaniam. Notary Public for Rs. 2,500/- at 7 per cent interest. Rs. 3,025/.. Of this $1 / 2$ share ... $\ldots$... $1,512.50$
9. By amount due on Mortgage bond No. 2533 dated 27th May, 1944 and attested by V K. Subramaniam, Notary Public for Rs. 1,000/- at 8 per cent Rs. 1,340/-. Of this 1/2 share
10. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 2565 dated 26th April, 1948 and attested by V. K. Subramaniam, Notary Public for Rs. 1,000/- at 7 percent interest, Rs. $1,023 /$-. Of this $1 / 2$ share
... ...
11. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 2914 dated 8th January, 1945 and attested by V. K. Subramaniam, Notary Public for Rs. 1,000/- at 7 per cent interest Rs. $1,251 /$-. Of this $1 / 2$ share
$625 \cdot 00$
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$511 \cdot 50$
12. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 4396 dated 1st March, 1948 and attested by V. K. Subramaniam, Notary Public for Rs. $400 /-$ at 7 per cent interest. Rs. 412/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share $206 \cdot 00$
13. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 3979 dated 11th May, 1947 and attested by V K. Subramaniam, Notary Public for Rs. 150/- at 8 percent interest. Rs. 165/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share ... ... ..
$82 \cdot 50$
14. By amount due on mortgage Bond No. 3578 dated 29th July, 1937 and attested by V. Senathirajasegaram, Notary Public for Rs. 3,000/- at 9 percent interest Rs. 3,635/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share
...
$1,817 \cdot 50$
15. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 3434 dated 16th March, 1948 and attested by V K. Subramaniam, Notary Public for Rs. 1,000/- at 7 percent interest Rs. 1,169/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share ...
$584 \cdot 50$
16. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 4191 dated 5th October, 1947 and attested by V K. Subramaniam, Notary Public for Rs. 500/- at 7 percent interest Rs. 529/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share
$214 \cdot 50$
17. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 3709 dated 5th November, 1946 and attested by V K. Subramaniam, Notary Public for Rs. 800/- at 7 percent interest Rs. 898/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share
$449 \cdot 00$
18. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 2500 dated 22nd May, 1946 and attested by V. K. Subramaniam, Notary Public for Rs. 310/- at 8 percent interest Rs. 368/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share$184 \cdot 00$
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19. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 3729 dated 21st November, 1946 and attested by V. K. Subramaniam, Notary Public for Rs. 500/- at 8 percent interest Rs. 577/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share
$288 \cdot 50$
20. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 3802 dated 8th January, 1947 and attested by V. K. Subramaniam, Notary Public for Rs. 600/- at 7 percent interest Rs. 666/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share
$333 \cdot 00$
21. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 3459 dated 11th April, 1946 and attested by V. K. Subramaniam, Notary Public for Rs. 700/- at 6 percent interest Rs. 798/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share$399 \cdot 00$
22. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 3808 dated 13th January, 1947 and attested by V. K. Subramaniam, Notary Public for Rs. 1,000/- at 6 percent interest Rs. 1,095/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share ...
$547 \cdot 50$
23. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 772 dated 24th March, 1946 and attested by A. Sivakolunthu, Notary Public for Rs. 322/- of this $1 / 2$ share
24. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 1451 dated 3rd February, 1943 and attested by V. K. Subramaniam, Notary Public for Rs. 250/- at 8 percent interest Rs. $360 /$-. Of this $1 / 2$ share
$180 \cdot 00$
25. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 3237 dated 28th September, 1945 and attested by V. K. Subramaniam, Notary Public for Rs. 1,000/- at 6 percent interest Rs. $1,175 /$-. Of this $\mathbf{1 / 2}$ share ...

58750
26. By amount due on mortgage Bond No. 767 dated 19th March, 1946 and attested by A. Sivakolunthu, Notary Public for Rs. 350/- at 12 percent interest Rs. $451 /-$. Of this $1 / 2$ share ... ... ... ...
$225 \cdot 50$
27. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 5587 dated 17th January, 1940 and attested by V. Senathirajasegaram for Rs. $50 /$ - at 10 percent interest
28. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 5497 dated 7th December, 1939 and attested by V. Senathirajasegaram $\quad 100 \cdot 00$ Notary Public for Rs. $100 /$ - at 10 percent interest
29. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 2952 dated 10th February, 1945 and attested by V. K. Subramaniam, Notary Public for Rs. $400 /-$ at 8 percent interest
Rs. $512 /-$ Of Rs. 512/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share

40 $256 \cdot 00$
30. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 7613 dated 7th January, 1943 and attested by V. Senathirajasegaram for Rs. 150/- at 8 percent interest Rs. 185/- Of this 1/2 share
31. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 1248 dated 9th November, 1942 and attested by V. K. Subramaniam, Notary Public for Rs. 150/- at 8 percent interest Rs. 185/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share ...
32. By amount due on Mortage Bond No. 3085 dated 22nd May, 1945 and attested by V. K. Subramaniam for Rs. 1,800/- at 7 per cent interest Rs. 2,209/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share ... ... ... ... 1,104.50
33. By amount due on Mortage Bond No. 3091 dated 25th January, 1945 and attested by V. K. Subramaniam, Notary Public for Rs. 200/- at 8 percent interest Rs. 252/-. Of this $\mathbf{1} / 2$ share
... $126 \cdot 00$
34. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 2702 dated 18th September, 1944 and attested by V K. Subramaniam, Notary Public for Rs. 1,500/- at 6 percent interest Rs.1,852/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share $926 \cdot 00$

Rs. ... 49,849.50
35. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 2929 dated 22nd January, 1945 and attested by V. K. Subramaniam, Notary Public for Rs. 500/- at 8 percent interest Rs. 643/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share

321-50
36. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 5116 dated 5th April, 1939 and attested by V. Senathirajasegaram, Notary Public for Rs. 800/- at 8 per cent interest Rs. 1,141/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share
...
$570 \cdot 50$
37. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 883 dated 9th July, 1942 and attested by V K. Subramaniam, Notary Public for Rs. 600/- at 7 percent interest Rs. 855/- Of this $1 / 2$ share
$427 \cdot 50$
38. By amount due on Otty Bond No. 570 dated 7 th February, 1942 and attested by V K. Subramaniam, Notary Public for Rs. 300/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share ... ... ... $150 \cdot 00$
39. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 598 dated 18th

February, 1942 and attested by V. K. Subramaniam, Notary Public for Rs. 500/-. at 8 percent interest Rs. $545 /-$. Of this $1 / 2$ share
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40. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 3159 dated 5th August, 1955 and attested by V K. Subramaniam, Notary Public for Rs. 300/- at 8 percent interest Rs. $372 /$-. Of this $1 / 2$ share
$186 \cdot 00$
41. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 3001 dated 14th March, 1945 and attested by V K. Subramaniam, Notary Public for Rs. 400/- at 8 percent interest Rs. 509/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share ... $204 \cdot 50$
42. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 3103 dated 2nd June, 1945 and attested by V. K. Subramaniam, Notary Public for Rs. 200/- at 7 percent interest Rs. 200/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share ...

10000
43. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 427 dated 26 th October, 1941 and attested by V. K. Subramaniam, Notary Public for Rs. 100/- at 10 percent interest Rs. 158/- Of this 1/2 share ... ... $79 \cdot 00$
44. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 537 dated 12 th January, 1942 and attested by V. K. Subramaniam, Notary Public for Rs. $1,000 /$ at 8 percent interest Rs. 1,527/-. Of this $1 / 2$
$763 \cdot 50{ }^{20}$
45. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 5537 dated 27th December, 1939 and attested by V Senathirajasegaram, Notary Public for Rs. $420 /$ - at 10 percent interest Rs. 220/-. Of this $\mathbf{1 / 2}$ share
$110 \cdot 00$
46. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 3260 dated 11th October, 1945 and attested by V. K. Subramaniam, Notary Public for Rs. 500/- at 8 percent interest Rs. 560/- Of this $1 / 2$
$280 \cdot 00$
47. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 3001 dated 14th March, 1945 and attested by V' K. Subramaniam, Notary Public for Rs. 400/- at 8 percent interest Rs. 509/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share
...
$254 \cdot 50$
48. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 2452 dated 3rd April, 1944 and attested by V. K. Subramaniam, Notary Public for Rs. 75/- at 8 percent interest Rs. 101/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share
$50 \cdot 50$
49. By amount due on Promissory Note dated 8th January, 1944 for Rs. 280/- at 10 percent interest Rs. 408/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share
$204 \cdot 00$

51. By amount duc on Promissory Note dated 23rd January, 1944 for Rs. $175 /$ - at 6 percent interest Rs. $227 /$-. Of this $1 / 2$ share

P

Petition of $\mathbf{V}$. $K$.
sulir:mininiam
113:50 (Substituted
Defendant) filed
in Testamentary
Action No. 400 in

52. By amount due in Case No. 311+P District Court.
Point Pedro Rs. 397/11. Of this $1 / 2$ share
District Court.
53. By amount recovered in cases Nos. 3087, 3088 and 3089 District Court. Point Pedro Rs. 2,140/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share ... ... ... ... 1,070.00
5t. By amount due on Mortwage Bond No. 5821 dated 23 rd June, 1940 and attested by V . Senathirajascgaram from Vairavan Suppan of Puloly West Rs. 616/33. Of this 1/2 share
$308 \cdot(0)$
54. 3 almyrahs worth Rs. $300 /$-. Of this $1 / 2$ share ... $150 \cdot 00$
55. 3 tables worth Rs. 300/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share ... $150 \cdot 00$
56. 8 chairs worth Rs. 50 - Of this $1 / 2$ share $\quad$... $\mathbf{2 5 \cdot 0 0}$
57. 1 wooden chest (large) worth Rs. 100/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share $50 \cdot 00$
58. 1 wooden chest (small) worth Rs. 50/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share $25 \cdot 00$
59. Brassware worth Rs. 60/- Of this $1 / 2$ share ... $30 \cdot 00$
60. Jewellery worth ... ... ... ... $2,000 \cdot 00$

## Immovable Property (Additional)

62. Land situated at Puloly West, Singhapahuthevankurichy called Pommikaladdy in extent 32 Lachchams V.C. and 3 kulies and bounded on the East by the property of Sithamparanathar Kanapathipillai and others, North by the property of Kanapathiar Vyravipillai and others and by the property of Vallattai, wife of Vyramuttu and others, West by lane and South by property of sithamparanathar Kanapathipillai. Of this an undivided $54 / 384$ share worth Rs. $600 /$ - Of this half-share worth ... ...

$$
\text { Total value of Estate ... } 58,289 \cdot 50
$$

(Sgd.) M. Esurapadham, Proctor for Petitioner.
True copy of Petition dated 31-1-19 in District Court, Point Pedro Case No. 4o ' T '
(Sgd.) Illegibly, Secretary,
District Court, Point Pedro. 6-3-62 in District Court, Point Pedro Testamentary Case No. 400 24.9 .49
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## Journal Entry in District Court, Point Pedro Testamentary

Case No. 400

## IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF POINT PEDRO

In the matter of the Last Will and Testament of the late Rasammaf, wife of Visuvanatilar Kinagaratnim of Puloly West.

Deceased.
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { Testamentary } \\ \text { Jurisdiction }\end{array}\right\}$ No. 400
V. Kanagaratnam Subraminam of Puloly West.

Petitioner.
I s.

1. Viscianithar Kanagaratnam of Puloly West and 4 others.

Respondents.

## Journal Entry of 24-9-49

As all the movable properties described under item 1 to 60 in the schedule to the petition and affidavit filed in this case as well as all the immovable properties described therein under items 1-4, 6-33, 38-50, 53, 57 and $62{ }^{20}$ were acquired not by the deceased but by her husband the 1 st respondent and as in terms of section 20 of Ordinance 58 of 1947 the said properties still remain the exclusive properties of the deceased's husband and as by a mistake the said properties had been included in the schedule. Mr. M. Esurapadham, Proctor moves to amend the petition by deleting all the said properties in the schedule thereto.

This amendment will not in any way affect the inquiry fixed in this case.

Move with notice to Proctor for respondents.

$$
\text { (Sgd.) P Sri Skanda Rajah, } 30
$$

District Judge.
True Copy of Journal Entry of $2+-9-49$ in District Court, Point Pedro Case No. 400/T.
(Sgd.)

Affidavit of K. Kathirgaman filed in Testamentary Action

In the matter of the Estate and effects of the late Rasimman, wife of Visuvanathar Kanagiratiam of Puloly West.

Deceased.
Testamentary Jurisdiction
${ }^{10}$ No. 400/T.

Kinagaritiam Kathirgaman of Puloly West, presently Irrigation Engineer, Gal-Oya.

Petitioner.
Is.

1. Kanagaratnim Kumaraswamy of Puloly West, presently of $40 / 2$, Joseph Lane, Bambalapitiya.
2. Kinagaritiam sindirasekeram of -do-.
3. Kanagaratiam Kandappail of -do-
4. Visurinithar Kinigidatnim of Puloly West.

Respondents.
I, Kanagaratnam Kathirgaman of Puloly West, presently Irrigation Engineer, Gial-Oya, do hereby, solcmmy, sincerely and truly declare and affirm as follows:-

1. I am the Petitioner abovenamed.
2. My mother the abovenamed deceased died at Puloly West and on the 20th day of August, 1948.
3. Full and true particulars of the property left behind by the said deceased are given in the schedule hereinbelow:
4. 'The heirs of the said deceased are her children the petitioner and ${ }^{30} 1$ st to $3 r d$ respondents.
5. I claim Letters of Administration to the Estate of the deceased as the son of the deccased.

## SCHEDULE OF PROPERTY REFERRED TO

## Immovable Property

1. Land situated at Puloly West Malavarayakurichehy called "Veerapadiaseema" in extent 6, $1 / 8$ Lachchams V.C. and bounded on the East by lane, North by the 2nd land, West by the property of Kathinithamby Subramaniam and others and South by the property of Ponnachchy, widow of Kandiah and others. Of this an undivided $14 / 27$ share worth
...
2. Land situated at -do- called "Ethirollai" in extent 2, 3/4 Lachchams I.C. and bounded on the Last and North by lane, West by the property of Ponny, widow of Solian and others and on the South by the 1 st land. Of this an undivided 217/288 share worth ...
$200 \cdot 00$
3. Land situated at -do- called "Vilralai" in extent 8 Lachehams V.C. and 3, 29/32 Kulies and bounded on the East by the property of Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam and others North by lane, West by the property of Kathirgamar Kanapathippillai and others and South by the property of Vclappar Subramaniam and others. Of this an undivided $1 / 8$ share worth Rs. 100/-. Of this half share ...
50.00
4. Land situated at -do- called Vecrapandianseema in extent 2, 1/2 Lachchams V.C. and bounded on the East by lane, North by the property of Ponny, wife of Alvan Velan and West and South by the property of the heirs of Subramaniam Jekasothy and others The whole of this worth Rs. $100 /$-. Of this $1 / 2$ share worth
$50 \cdot 00$
5. Land situated at-do-called "Seema" in extent 7, $1 / 8$ Lachchams V.C.- do- Veedu 1 of these parcels on the South an extent of 8 Lachchams V.C' and $5 / 8$ kulies and bounded on the East by the property of Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam, West by the property of Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam and on the West and South by lanes. Of this an undivided $1 / 2$ shave worth $R_{4} .600 /-$.

Rs. ('ts.
$300 \cdot 00$
5. Land situated at Puloly Wrest Singapahuthevankurichchy called Vilvalai in extent 9, 1/2 Lachchams V.C. and bounded on the East by lane, North by the property of Rasammah, wife of Kanagaratnam and others, West by the property of Paramoe Subramaniam and others and South by the property of the heirs of Seethevan. Of this an undivided $1 / 4$ share

40
$300 \cdot 00$
7. Land situated at Puloly West Malavarayakurichchy called "Seema" in extent 4 Lachchams V.C. and bounded on the East by the property of Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam, North by the property of Alvappillai Velautham, West by Street and on the South by the property of V. Kanagaratnam and others. The whole of this worth Rs. $300 /$-. Of this $1 / 2$ worth
8. Land situated at-do-called "Seema" in extent 5 Lachchams V.C. and $1 / 8$ Kulies and bounded on the East and South by the property of V. Kanagaratnam West by lane. The whole of this worth Rs. 500/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share worth
9. Land situated at-do-called "S eema" in extent 4 Lachchams V.C. and 13 kulies and bounded on the East by the property of V. Kanagaratnam and others, West by the following 10th land and South by the property of V. Kanagaratnam and others and by lane. The whole of this worth Rs. $500 /$. . Of this $1 / 2$ share worth
10. Land situated at-do-called "Seema" in extent 2 kulies bounded on the East by the aforesaid 9th land, North by the property of Theivanai, widow of Murugappar West by the property of Alvapillai Velautham and on the South by the property of $V$. Kanagaratnam. The whole of this worth Rs. 50/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share worth
$25 \cdot 00$
11. Land situated at-do-called "Seema" in extent 1 Lachcham V.C. and bounded on the East by the property of V. Kanagaratnam and others North by the property of Theivanaippillai, widow of Murugappar West by the aforesaid 10th land and South by the aforesaid 9th land. Ot this an undivided $1 / 2$ share worth Rs. 100/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share worth
12. Land situated at-do-called "Nitchinganollai" Vadakku in extent 7, 1/4 Lachchams V.C. and bounded on the East by lane, North by the property of Sothilingam, wife of Kumarasamy and others West by the property of Kandar Sinnathamby and others and on the South by the property of Theivanai, wife of Kadiravelu and others. Of this an undivided 7/18 share worth Rs. $600 /-$. Of this $1 / 2$ share worth ...
13. Land situated at-do-called "Nitchinganollai" in extent 2 Lachchams V.C. and 17, 5/8 kulies and bounded on the East and South by lane, North by the property of V. Kanagaratnam and others, West by the property of V. Kanagaratnam. The whole of those worth Rs. $600 /$-. Of this $1 / 2$ share

P4A
Affidavit of $\mathbf{k}$.
Kathirgaman,
filed in
Testamentary
Action No. 400
in District Court, Point Pedro
14-9-50
$150 \cdot 00$-Continued.

P4A
Affidavit of K . Kathirgaman, filed in Testamentary Action No. 400 in District Court, Point Pedro 14-9-50 -Continued.
14. Land called "Seema" situated at Puloly West Sinhapahuthevankurichchy in extent 15 Lachchams V.C. 1 kuly with stone built houses and bounded on the East and South by the lane, North by the property of Kandar Sinnathamby and others, West by the property of the heirs of Velauthar Arunasalam and others. The whole of this worth Rs. 10,000/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share ... ... ... ... 5,000.00
15. Land situated at Puloly West Malavarayakurichchy called "Nedunkulavelitheni Thenkilakkilmetku" in extent 13 Lachchams V.C. is bounded on the East by street, North by the property of Sivakamippillai, widow of Murugappar and others, West by the property of Sivakamippillai, wife of Sivapiragasam and others and on the South by the property of Kanagaratnam and others. Of this an undivided $1 / 3$ share worth Rs. $1,200 /_{-}$. Of this $1 / 2$ share worth
16. Land situated at-do-called "Arasadi" in extent 6 Lachchams V.C. and bounded on the East by the property of Kathirithamby Subramaniam, North by the property of Sothilingam, wife of Kumaraswamy and others West by lane and South by the property of Kathirithamby Subramaniam and others. Of this an undivided $33 / 36$ share of $1 / 3$ share and share of well and $1 / 8$ share of 6 Lachchams V.C. and worth Rs. 150/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share worth
...
17. Land situated at Alvai Mappanakurichchy called Periyatheny in extent 1 Lachcham V.C. and bounded on the East by the following 18th land, North by the property belonging to Imparsitty Pillaiyar Temple West by the property of Kanapathippillai Paramakuru and others and South by the property of Sinnathangam, wife of Somasundaram. The whole of this and share of well in the North. Of this land and in the 18th land worth Rs. 300/-. Of this half worth
18. Land situated at -do- called "Periatheny" in extent 3,3/5 kulies bounded on the East by the property of V. Kanagaratnam and others, North by the property belonging to Imparsitty Pillaiyar Temple, West by the aforesaid 17th land and South by the property of Sinnathangam, wife of Somasundaram. Of this an undivided $1 / 4$ share and share of well right of way and water course worth Rs. 20/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share worth ...
$600 \cdot 00$
$150 \cdot 00$
$10 \cdot 00$
19. Land situated at -do- called "Periyatheny" in extent 14, 2/4 kulies with well and bounded on the East by the property of Wallippillai, wife of Veluppillai, North by the property belonging to Imparsitty Pillaiyar

Temple, West by lane and South by the property of Sinnathangam, wife of Somasundaram. Of those contained within these boundaries in the well the share appertaining to this right of way and water course and an undivided $1 / 4$ share of the ground, coconut trees and palmyrah trees worth Rs. $30 /-$. Of this $1 / 2$ share worth

P4A
Affidavit of $K$.
Kathirgaman, filed in ${ }^{\text {P }}$
Testamentary
Action No. 400
in District Court, Point Pedro 14-9-50 $15 \cdot 00-$ Comtinued.
20. Land situated at Alvai Malavarayakurichchy called "Vathayavilanai" in extent 92 Lachchams V.C. and $5,1 / 4$ kulies and bounded on the East by lane and graveyard, North by the property of Valliappar Vethavanam and others and South by the property of Valliappar Vethavanam and others. The whole of this worth Rs. $26,000 /-$. Of this $1 / 2$ share worth

$$
13,000 \cdot 00
$$

21. Land situated at Alvai Maniveeravaguthevankurichchy called "Ayatkulapaivayal" in extent 22 Lachchams V.C. -do- Thoddam 6-do-12 Lachchams V.C. and bounded on the East by the property of Kathirithamby Subramaniam and others North by the property of Kumuladiyait Pillaiyar Koil West by the property of the heirs of Kumarasamy Velayuthar and Soutn by the property of the heirs of Karthigesar Subramaniam and others. Of this an undivided 23/96 share worth Rs. $50 /$-. Of this $1 / 2$ share worth
$500 \cdot 00$
22. Land situated at Puloly West Singapahuthevankurichchy called "Manthiodai"' in extent 4 Lachchams V.C. 2,20/32 kulies and bounded on the East by the property of Theivanaippillai, wife of Muttukumarasamy and others, West by the property of Kanapathipillai Kumarappar and others and South by road. The whole of this worth Rs. $1,000 /$. Of this $1 / 2$ share worth ... $500 \cdot 00$
23. Land situated at Puloly West Singapahuthevankurichchy called "Manthiodai" in extent 4 Lachchams V.C. and $10 / 32$ kulies and bounded on the East by the property of Sithambaran Sinnan and others, North by channel West by the property of Ponnammah, wife of Rasiah, South by the following 24th land. The whole of this and share of well and right of way and water course worth, Rs. $1,400 /-$. Of this $1 / 2$ share worth
$700 \cdot 00$
24. Land situated at -do- called "Manthiodai" in extent 16, 20/32 kulies and bounded on the East by the property of Sithambaran Sinnan and others North by the aforesaid 23rd land, West by the property of Ponnammah, wife of Rasiah and South by the property of Theivanai, widow of Maniccam. The whole of this and share of well and right of way and water course worth Rs. 100/-. Of this half worth

Affidavit of $K$. Kathirgaman, filed in Testamentary Action No. 400 in District Court, Point Pedro 14-9-50
-Continued.
25. Land situated at Alvai Veerakodiakurichchy called " Padavadapulathuvayal" in extent 17, 3/8 Lachchams V.C. and bounded on the East and South by the property of Kathirithamby Subramaniam and others, North by the property of Velappar Subramaniam and others West by the property of Kandappar Kanagammah and others. Of this an undivided $1 / 4$ share worth Rs. 200/- Of this $1 / 2$ share worth
26. Land situated at Puloly WestSingapahuthevankurichchy called Nedunkulavelitheny in extent 4 Lachchams V.C: and 8, 8/16 kulies with stone built house and bounded on the East by water channel North by road West by the property of Kathirithamby Sabapathy and others, South by the property of Kathirithamby Subramaniam and others and by the property of Kamadchy, wife of Kathirgamoe and others. The whole of this worth Rs. 10,000/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share worth property of Britto Muttunayagam, North by the property of Krishnapillai Mylvaganam, North by the water channel and South by the property belonging to Aladipillaiyar Temple. The whole of this worth Rs. 200/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share worth
$100 \cdot 00$
28. Land situated at Puloly West, Singapahuthevankurichchy called " Thevakaladdy" in extent 7 Lachchams V.C. and bounded on the East by the following 29th land North by the property of Kathirithamby Subramaniam and others, West by the property of Sethuppillai wife of Sinnathambiar and others and South by the property of Suppar Murugesu and others. Of this an undivided $1 / 2$ share worth Rs. 100/Of this $1 / 2$ share worth
$50 \cdot 00$
29. Land situated at-do- called "Thevakaladdy" in extent $151 / 4$ Lachchams V.C. and bounded on the East by the property of Themoe Alvar and others North by the property of Thangammah, wife of Subramaniam and others, West by the aforesaid 28th land and South by the property of Vallaththai, wife of Kathirippillai and others. Of this an undivided $1 / 3$ share worth Rs. 250/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share worth
30. Land situated at Puloly West Malavarayakurichchy called Nedunkulavelitheny Thenkilakku in extent 7 Lachchams V.C. and $61 / 2$ kulies with well is bounded on the East by lot 2 in plan No. 1450 dated 6 th June, 1937 and prepared by Mr. G. C. Ganapathypillai, Surveyor, belonging to Parupathippillai, widow of

Kathirithamby, North by the property belonging to Aladiyitpillaiar Temple West by lot No. 15 in the said plan and on tne South by lane. The whole of this and shares in well in lots Nos. 16, 17, 18 and 20 share in lots Nos. 19 and 21 worth Rs. 2,200/-. Of this half share worth
31. Land situated at Alvai Veerakodiakurichchy and Maniveeravaguthevankurichchy called Seemanseema and Satananawattai in extent 66 kulies and bounded on the East by the property of Kandiah Rasaratnam North by lane, West by the property of Arumugam Veluppillai, South by the land called Seemanseema and Satnanawattai. The wnole of this Rs. 10/-. Of this 1/2 share worth
...

$$
5 \cdot 00
$$

32. Land situated at Alvai Veerakodiakurichchy and Maniveeravaguthevankurichchy called Seemanseema in extent 5 3/8 Lachchams V.C. and bounded on the East by the property of Pillaiyar Koil situated at Vyrappukaladdy North by the property of Ponnambalam Muruguppillai and others and South by the property of Sethupathiammah, wife of Rasaratnam and others. Of this an undivided $1 / 4$ share of the ground and $1 / 4$ plantations share of the palmyrans and $1 / 4$ share of the remaining palmyrahs worth Rs. $80 /$. Of this $1 / 2$ share worth
33. Land situated at Alvai Vecrakodiakurichchy and Maniveeravakuthevankurichchy called Seemanseema and Sathanavattai in extent $\dot{5}$ Lachchams V.C. and $14,1 / 4$ kulies and bounded on the East by the property of Kandiah Rasaratnam and another on the North hy the property of Ponnambalam Muruguppillai and others, on the West by the property of Ramoe Kathinippillai and others and on the South by the property of Kandiah Rasaratnam and another. Of this $1 / 4$ plantation share worth Rs. $50 /-$. Of this $1 / 2$ shave worth

$$
25 \cdot 00
$$

34. Land situated at Puloly West Singapahuthevankurichchy called "Vilvalai" in extent 4 Lachchams V.C. and 2,4/32 kulies and bounded on the East by lane, North by lot, 1, 2 and 3 in plan No. 594 dated 25th February, 1941 and prepared by T. Subramaniam, Licensed Surveyor, West by the property of Valliappar Kandappar and others, South by the property of Rasammah, wife of Kanagaratnam and others. Of this an undivided $1 / 2$ share ... ... ... ...

P4A
Affidavit of $K$.
Kathirgaman,
filed in
Testamentary
Action No. 400 in District Court,
$1,100 \cdot 00$
Point Pedro
14-9-50
-Continued.
35. Land situated at Puloly West Malavarayakurichchy called "Sivilakaladdy" in extent $83 / 4$ Lachchams V.C. Veedu 1 with $1 / 2$ well and bounded on the East by lane, North by lane and by the property of Seethevi, wife of Shanmugam and others, West by the property of Rasammah, wife of Kanagaratnam, South by the property of the heirs of Walliammai, wife of Velauthar. Of this an undivided $1 / 2$ share worth
$300 \cdot 00$
36. Land situated at-do-called "Arasady" in extent 43, $1 / 4$ Lachchams V.C.- do-Thoddam 4. Of these parcels an extent of 6 Lachchams V.C. and 2 kulies and bounded on the East by the property of Velan Ampalavan and others and on the North by the property of the heirs of Paramoe Sithambarapillai, West by the property of Sethulingam, wife of Kumarasamy and others and South by the property of Kandar Kanapathiar and others. Of this an undivided 1/4 share worth
37. Land situated at-do-called "Varanthanaikillakku" in extent 18, 1/2 Lachchams V.C.- do-Thoddam 2 with well and bounded on the East by lane, North by the property of Sinnappillai, wife of Thambiah and others, West by the property of Muttuppillai, widow of Nellinathar and others and South by the property of Sandirasegar Vethavanam. Of this an undivided 1/12 share of the ground and share of well worth
$600 \cdot 00$
38. Land situated at Anuradhapura in the village of Puliyankulama called 'Siyanbalawela' in extent 39 acres 3 roods and 20.9 perches. Of this extent lot No. 8 is 3 Acres 1 Rood and 0.87 Perches, and bounded on the East by Railway Reservation, North by lot No. 2 in the said plan, West and South by lot 1 D. The whole of this worth Rs. 1000/- Of this $1 / 2$ share worth
$500 \cdot 00$
39. Land situated at Thunnalai Veerasundaramudalikurichchy called "Nunagavapulam" in extent 38 Lachchams V.C. and 6, $27 / 32$ kulies. Of this the extent for No. 2 in plan No. 663 is 5 Lachchams V.C. and $10,17 / 32$ kulies and bounded on the East by lot No. 9 North by lot 1, West by the property of the late Sinnathamby Subramaniam and on the South by lot No. 2. The whole of this worth Rs. 2,000/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share worth
40. Land situated at Puloly West Malavarayakurichchy called "Thinakaladdy" in extent 4 Lachchams V.C. and $1,5 / 32$ kulies. Of this extent for lot No. 1 in plan No. 6505 is 3 Lachchams V.C. and $28 / 32$ kulies and bounded on the East by the property of Kandar Kovindi, North by street, West by lot No. 2 in the said plan and on the South by the property of Kailappar Kandiah worth Rs. 750/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share worth
41. Land situated at -do- called "Varanthanai " in extent 2 3/4 Lachchams V.C. and bounded on the East by the property of Ponnammah, widow of Kandiah and others, North by water channel, West by the village limit of Alvai South by the property of Ponnachchy, widow of Kandiah and others. Of this an undivided 1/18 share worth Rs. 50/- Of this $1 / 2$ share worth
42. Land situated at Puloly West Singhapahuthevankurichchy called Vairankaladdy in extent 12, 1/8 Lachchams V.C. Veedu $1 / 4$ forming a total extent of 12 Lachchams V.C. and 8, $1 / 3$ kulies, and bounded on the East, West and South by lane, North by the property of Annapoorani, wife of Arumugam and others. Of this an undivided 7/432 share and $1 / 18$ th share out of the palmyrahs and tamarind trees standing on the West of this land worth Rs. 40/-. Of this 1/2 share worth
...
43. Land situated at Alvai Veerakodiakurichchy called 'Ampalantheni' in extent 26 Lachchams V.C. as depicted in plan No. 1720 dated 9 th October, 1940 and prepared by Mr. K. Velmurugu, Licensed Surveyor. Of this the extent for lot No. 1 in the said plan is 3 Lachchams V.C. and $4,1 / 2$ kulies is bounded on the East by lane, North by lot No. 1, West by the property of Kanapathippillai Kumarasamy and wife Muttuppillai and others and on the South by lot No. 3. The whole of this worth Rs. $600 /-$. Of this $1 / 2$ share worth
...
44. Land situated at Alvai Mappanakurichchy called Periatheny in extent 7 Lachchams V. C. and 12, 3/4 kulies as depicted in Plan No. 2495 dated 19th June, 1947 and prepared by Mr. J. Velmurugu, Licensed Surveyor. Of this an extent for lot No. 1 is 3 Lachchams V.('. and 12, $1 / 2$ kulies and bounded on the East by lot No. 2, North by the property of Theivanai, wife of Namasivayam and others, West by the property of Rasammah, wife of Kandiah and others and on the South by lot No. 4. The whole of this and right of way and watercourse. A.B.C. and well lying in the Eastern land worth Rs. $900 /$-. Of this $1 / 2$ share worth
$20 \cdot 00$
Affidavit of $K$, Kathirgaman, filed in
Testamentary Action No. 400 in District Court, Point Pedro
14-9-50
-Continued.
$375 \cdot 00$
$25 \cdot 00$
$300 \cdot 00$
$450 \cdot 00$

Affidavit of K .
Kathirgaman, filed in
Testamentary
Action No. 400 in District Court, Point Pedro 14-9-50 -Continued.
45. Land situated at Esawakulam in the District of Anuradhapura called 'Essawakulanımakele' in extent 5 acres 0 rood 33 perches. The extent for lot No. 1 is 2 acres 2 rood and 7 perches and bounded on the East by reservation along the road, North by the property belonging to Pansala, West ly Ela and South by lot 2. The whole of this worth Rs. $1,000 /$ - Of this $1 / 2$ share worth
46. Land situated at Puloly West Malavarayakurichchy called Nedunkulavelithenikilakkilmetku. Of this 1 Lachcham V.C. and 11, 1/2 kulies being lot No. 3 marked 3 in plan filed of record in partition case $\mathbf{N}$ o. 17655 of the District Court of Jaffna and bounded on the East by the property of Sothilingam, wife of Kumarasamy, North by the property belonging to Aladipillaiyar Temple, West by the property of Ponnammah. widow of Singaravadivelu and South by lane. Of this an undivided 1/6 share worth Rs. 100/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share worth
47. Land situated at Puloly West Singhapahuthevankurichchy called "Saththolai" in extent 10, 1/8 Lachchams $V^{\prime}$ C. Of this $5,1 / 8$ Lachehams V.C. being the Eastern $1 / 2$ share and bounded on the East by the property of Ledchumy, widow of Ramoe and others West by the property of Amirthammah, wife of Subramaniam and South by water channel. Of this an undivided $1 / 18$ share worth Rs. $30 /-$. Of this $1 / 2$ share worth
49. Land situated at Puloly West Malavarayakurichchy called "Vilvalai" in extent 14, 1/2 Lachchams V.C. and bounded on the East and North by the property of Kathirithamby Subramaniam and others, West and South by the property of Sinnathamby Somasundaram and others. Of this an undivided 1/72 share worth Rs. $20 /$-. Of this $1 / 2$ share worth
50. Land situated at -do- called Nedunkulavelithenikilakilmetku in extent 72 Lachehams V.(: Of this on the West of the lane passing through this an extent of 13 Lachchams V.C. and bounded on the East by the property of Visuranathar Kanagaratnam and others North by the property of Sivakamippillai, widow of Murugappar and others, West 1 y the property of Veeqagathiar Konamalai and others and South by the property of Rasammah. widow of Sethuramalingikurukkal and others. Of the ground and well contained within these boundaries an undivided $1 / 3$ share worth Rs. $1,200 /$-. Oif this $1 / 2$ share worth
51. Land situated at -do- called Ivilavattai in extent 11, 1/2 Lachehams V.C. -dos-thoddam 1. 1/2. Of this extent for $3 / 16$ share on the East is $2.1 / 2$ Lachchams V.C and bounded on the Last by the property of Visuvanathar Kamagatnam and others, North be the property of Valliappar Vethavanam and others, West by the property of Kovindar Thampoe and others, and South by road. Of this an undivided $1 / 4$ share worth ...
52. Land situated at Puloly West Veerapahuthevanhurichchy called Mailappai in cxtent $271 / 2$ Lachchams V.( -do- thoddam 2 is bounded on the East by the property of Arunasalam Suppar and others North by the property of Vyramutu Velauthar West by the property of Kandar Simnathamby and others. Of this an undivided $1 / 40$ share worth
$50 \cdot 00$
73. Land situated at A lyai Neelakuddiyarkurichchy called "I rulai" inextent 31. $5 / 8$ Lachchams V.(" thoddam 1. Of these parcels ath extent of $10.1: 2$ Lachehams in the middle. Of this on the North an extent of 5 Lachchams V.C. and $2.3 / 4$ kulies and bounded on the East and West hy the property of Theivanai daughter of Ambalam and others, North by the property of Kathirgamar Ramalingam and South by the property of Parupathippillai, wife of Mtappillai. The whole of this worth
$200 \cdot 00$
54. Land situated at Pulsly West Mahararayakurichehy called 'Muttukkottawattai' in extent 17, 1/4 Lachchamis V.C. is bounded on the Fast by the property oi Velauthar Sithamparappillai and others, North by the property of Thangammah, wife of Thambipillai and others, Wist by the property of Ponnambalam Paramu and others and South by lanc. Of this an undivided 5/84 share worth .... ... ...

P4.1
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55. Land situated at Alvai Neclakuddiarkurichchy called "Kanappalavattai" in extent of 15, $1 / 2$ Lachchams V.C'. -do- thoddam 4. Of this an extent of 5 Lachchams V.C. and bounded on the $\mathbf{E}$ ast by the property of Suppar Murugesu and others, North by the property of Valliammai, wife of Velauthar and others, West by the property of Kandar Muruguppillai and others and on the South by the property of Parupathippillai, wife of Sinnathamby and others. Of this an undivided $1 / 2$ share of $1 / 3$ share and share of well worth ...
$100 \cdot 00^{10}$
56. Land situated at Alvai Manivecravaguthevankurichchy called "Ayatkulappaivayal" in extent 2 Lachchams V.C'. -do- 12 Lchchams V. ( -do- thoddam 6 with well and bounded on the East by the property belonging to Kumuladiyitpillaiyar Temple, West by the property of the heirs of Kumarasamy Velauthar and others and on the South by the property of Ulagagurunathar Murugesapillai and others. Of this an undivided $1 / 2$ share of $1 / 3$ share of $19 / 128$ share worth
$100 \cdot 00$
57. Land situated at the District of Anuradhapura in the Village of Bandara Bulankula called Ihalawela Pahalawela Kokkamattawela in extent 3 Arres $\&$ roods and 24 perches. Of this extent for lot No. 4 is 2 roods 16 perches.
Lot No. 5 is 3 acres 8 perches.
Lot No. 9 is 1 acre 1 rood and 16 perches.
Total 5 acres 0 rood and 0 perches worth Rs. 1,500/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share worth

## Movable Property

1. By amount due on mortgage Bond No. 3497 dated 20th May, 1946 and attested by V. K. Subramaniam for Rs. 150/- at 8 per cent per annum interest Rs. $17 \% /$ Of this $1 / 2$ share
2. By amount due on mortgage Bond No. 940 dated 26 th July, 1942 and attested by V. K. Subramaniam, Notary Public for Rs. 400/- at 7 per cent interest Rs. 568/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share ...
3. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 2427 dated 13 th March, 1946 and attested by V. K. Subramaniam, Notary Public for Rs. $630 /-$ at 8 per cent interest Rs. 716/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share ...
4. By amount due on mortgage Bond No. 3939 dated 20th April, 1946 and attested by Senathirajasegaram, Notary Public for Rs. 600/- at 8 per cent interest
Rs. $866 /-$ Of this $1 / 2$ share$88 \cdot 50$
5. 00
6. Be amount due on. Mortgage Bond No. 1439 dated 29 th January, 1943 and attested by V K Subramaniam, Notary Public for Rs $150 /-$ at 8 per cent interest Rs 217/-. Of this $1 / 2$
7. By amount duc on Mortgage Bond No. 460 dated 17 th November, 1941 and attested by V K. Subramaniam, Notary Public for Rs. 150/- at 8 per cent interest Rs. 653/- Of this $1 / 2$
8. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 35848 dated 1946 and attested by V K. Subramaniam, Notary Public for Rs. 700/- per cent per annum Rs. 798/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share ... ... $399 \cdot 00$
9. By Amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 318 dated 29th August, 1945 and attested by V K. Subramaniam, Notary Public for Rs. 2,500/- at 7 per cent interest Rs. $3,025 /-$ Of this $1 / 2$ share
... ...
10. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 2533 dated 27th May, 19.4 and attested by V. K. Subramaniam, Notary Public for Rs. 1,000/- at 8 per cent per annum Rs. $1,340 /-$ Of this $1 / 2$ share
11. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 2914 dated 8th January, 1945 and attested by V. K. Subramaniam, Notary Public for Rs. 1,000/- at 7 per cent interest Rs. 1,253. Of this $\mathbf{1 / 2}$ share
...
$625 \cdot 50$
12. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 2565 dated 26th April, 1948 and attested by V K. Subramaniam, Notary Public for Rs. 1,000/- at 7 per cent interest Rs. 1,023/-. Of this half share
$511 \cdot 50$
13. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 4396 dated 1st March, 1948 and attested by V K. Subramaniam, Notary Public for Rs. 400/- at 7 per cent interest Rs. 412/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share ... ... $206 \cdot 00$
14. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 3979 dated 11th May, 1947 and attested by V K. Subramaniam, Notary Public for Rs. 150/- at 8 per cent interest Rs. $16.5 /-$. Of this $1 / 2$ share
...
$82 \cdot 50$
15. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 3578 dated 29th July, 1947 and attested by V. K. Subramaiam, Notary Public for Rs. 300/- at 9 per cent per annum Rs. 3,865/-. Of this $1 / 2$... ... ... ...
16. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 3834 dated 16th March, 1946 and attested by V. K. Subramaniam, Notary Public for Rs. 1,000/- at 7 per cent interest Rs. 1,109/-. Of this $\mathbf{1 / 2}$ share
$P_{4}$
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17. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 4191 dated 5th October, 1947 and attested by V. K. Subramaniam, Notary Public for Rs. 1,000/- at 7 per cent interest Rs. 1,109/-. Of this 1/2

17 By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 3709 dated 5th November, 1946 and attested by V K. Subramaniam, Notary Public for Rs. 800/- at 7 per cent interest Rs. $898 /$-. Of this $1 / 2$
$449 \cdot 00$
18. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 2500 dated 22nd May, 1948 and attested by V. K. Subramaniam, Notary Public for Rs. 310/- at 8 per cent interest. Rs. 368/-. Of this $1 / 2$
$184 \cdot 00$
19. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 3729 dated 21st November, 1946 and attested by V K. Subramaniam, Notary Public for Rs. 500/- at 8 per cent interest Rs. $577 /$-. Of this half share
$288 \cdot 50$
20. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 3802 dated 8 th January, 1947 and attested by V K. Subramaniam, Notary Public for Rs. 500 /- at 8 per cent interest Rs. 677/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share
$333 \cdot 00^{20}$
21. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 3459 dated 11th April, 1946 and attested by V. Subramaniam, Notary Public for Rs. 700/- at 6 per cent interest Rs. 798/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share
$399 \cdot 00$
22. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 3808 dated 13th January, 1947 and attested by V. K. Subramaniam, Notary Public for Rs. 1,000/- at 6 per cent interest Rs. 1,095/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share
...
$547 \cdot 50$
23. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 772 dated 24 th March, 1948 and attested by A. Sivakolunthu, Notary Public for Rs. 250/- at 12 per cent interest Rs. 322/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share ..
$161 \cdot 00$
24. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 1451 dated 3rd February, 1948 and attested by V K. Subramaniam, Notary Public for Rs. 250/- at 8 per cent interest Rs. $360 /$-. Of this $1 / 2$ share. $180 \cdot 00$
25. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 3237 dated 29th September, 1945 and attested by V. K. Subramaniam, Notary Public for Rs. $1,000 /$ - at 8 per cent interest Rs. 1,175/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share
$587 \cdot 5040$
26. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 767 dated 19 th March, 1946 and attested by K. Sivakolunthu, Notary Public for Rs. 350/- at 12 per cent interest Rs. $451 /-$ Of this $1 / 2$ share
27. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 5587 dated 17 th January, 1940 and attested by V. Senathirajasegaram for Rs. $50 /$. at 10 per cent per annum interest
28. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 5497 dated 7 th December, 1939 and attested by V. Senathirajasegaram, Notary Public for Rs. 100/- at 10 per cent interest

The item 27 and 28 .... ... $100 \cdot 00$
29. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 2952 dated 10th February, 1945 and attested by V K. Subramaniam, Notary Public for Rs. $400 /-$ at 8 per cent interest Rs. 512/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share
$256 \cdot 00$
30. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 7613 dated 7th January, 1943 and attested by V. Senathirajasegaram for Rs. 150/- at 8 percent interest Rs. 185/~. Of this $1 / 2$ share ... ... ... ...
31. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 1248 dated 9th November, 1942 and attested by V. K. Subramaniam, Notary Public for Rs. 160/- at 8 per cent interest Rs. 185/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share
$92 \cdot 50$
32. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 3085 dated 22nd May, 1945 and attested by V K. Subramaniam, Notary Public for Rs. 1,800/- and 7 per cent interest Rs. 2,209. Of this $1 / 2$
... 1,104. 50
33. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 3091 dated 25th January, 1945 and attested by V. K. Subramaniam, Notary Public for Rs. 200/- at 8 per cent interest Rs. 252/-. Of this 1/2 share
$126 \cdot 00$
34. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 2702 dated 18th September, 1944 and attested by V K. Subramaniam, Notary Public for Rs. 1,500/- at 6 per cent interest Rs. $1,852 /-$. Of this $1 / 2$ share
$926 \cdot 00$
35. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 2929 dated 2nd January, 1945 and attested by V K. Subramaniam, Notary Public for Rs. 500/- at 8 per cent interest Rs. 643/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share ... ... ...
36. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 5118 dated 5th April, 1929 and attested by V Senathirajasegaram, Notary Public for Rs. $800 /-$ at 8 per cent per annum. Of this $1 / 2$ share

P4A Affidavit of $\mathbf{K}$. Kathirgaman, filed in Testamentary Action No. 400 in District Court, Point Pedro 14-9-50 -Continued.
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37. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 883 dated 9th July, 1942 and attested by V. K. Subramaniam, Notary Public for Rs. 600/- at 7 per cent per annum Rs. 855/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share $427 \cdot 50$
38. By amount due on Otty Bond No. 570 dated 7th February, 1942 attested by V. K. Subramaniam, Notary Public for Rs. 300. Of this $1 / 2$ share
$150 \cdot 00$
39. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 598 dated 18 th February, 1942 and attested by V. K. Subramaniam, Notary Public for Rs. 500/- at 8 per cent interest Rs. 545/-. Of this half share ...
40. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 3159 dated 14th March, 1945 and attested by V K. Subramaniam, Notary Public Rs. 300/- at 8 per cent interest Rs. 372/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share ... ... $186 \cdot 00$
41. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 3001 dated 14th March, 1945 and attested by V. K. Subramaniam, Notary Public Rs. 400/- and interest at 8 per cent. Of this $1 / 2$ share ...
42. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 3103 dated 2nd June, 1945 and attested by V K. Subramaniam, Notary Public for Rs. 200/- and interest at 7 per cent for Rs. 200/-. Of this half share
$100 \cdot 00$
43. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 427 dated 26th October, 1941 and attested by V. K. Subramaniam, Notary Public for Rs. 100/- at 10 per cent per annum Rs. 158/-. Of this $\mathbf{1 / 2}$ share
$79 \cdot 00$
44. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 537 dated 12th January, 1942 and attested by V. K. Subramaniam, Notary Public for Rs. 1,000 $i^{-}$at 8 per cent interest Rs. $1,527 /-$. Of this $1 / 2$ share

$$
763 \cdot 50
$$

45. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 5537 dated 27th December, 1839 and attested by V. S enathirajasegaram, Notary Public for Rs. 420/- at 10 per cent per annum. Rs. 220/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share
$110 \cdot 00$
46. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. $\mathbf{3 2 6 0}$ dated 11 th October, 1945 and attested by V. K. Subramaniam, for Rs. 500/- at 8 per cent interest Rs. 560/-. Of this 1/2 share
47. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 3001 dated 14th March, 1945 and attested by V. K. Subramaniam, Notary Public for Rs. 400/- at 8 per cent interest Rs. 509/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share ... Affidavit of $K$ Kathirgaman, filed in in District Court, Point Pedro 14-9-50 -Continued.
48. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 2452 dated 3rd April, 1944 and attested by V. K. Subramaniam, Notary Public for Rs. $75 /$ - at 8 per cent per annum interest Rs. 101/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share
$50 \cdot 50$
49. By amount due on Promissory Note dated 8th January, 1944 and for Rs. 280/- at 10 per cent interest Rs. 408/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share ... ... ... ... $204 \cdot 00$
50. By amount due on a Promissory Note dated 10th October, 1945 and for Rs. 75/- at 8 per cent per annum Rs. 92/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share ... $46 \cdot 00$
51. By amount due on a promissory note dated 23rd January, 1944 for Rs. 175/- and 6 per cent interest Rs. 227/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share ... ... ...
52. By amount due in Case No. 3114/P. District Court, Point Pedro Rs. 397/11. Of this $1 / 2$ share
$198 \cdot 50$
53. By amount recovered in Cases Nos. 3087, 3088 and 3089 District Court, Point Pedro Rs. 2,140/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share ... ... ... ... 1,070•00
54. By amount due on Mortgage Bond No. 5821 dated 23rd June, 1950 and attested by V. Senathirajasegaram, Notary Public for Vairavan Suppan of Puloly West Rs. 616/33. Of this $1 / 2$ share ..
$308 \cdot 00$
55. 3 Almyrahs worth Rs. 300/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share ... $150 \cdot 00$
56. 3 Tables worth Rs. 300/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share ... $150 \cdot 00$
57. 8 Chairs worth Rs. 50/-. Of this $1 / 2$ share ... ... $25 \cdot 00$
58. 1 Wooden chest worth (large). Rs. 100/- Of this $1 / 2$... $50 \cdot 00$
59. 1 Wooden chest (small) worth Rs. 50/-. Of this $1 / 2 \ldots$ 25.00
60. Brassware worth Rs. 60/- Of this half share ... $\mathbf{3 0 \cdot 0 0}$
61. Jewellery worth ... ... ... ... 2,000.00

Journal Entry of 2-2-51. 61. A. Cash in hand of the 4th Respondent Rs. 40,000/-. Of this half share ... 20,000.00
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## Immovable Property (Additional)

62. Land situated at Puloly West, Singapahuthevankurichchy called "Kommikaladdy" in extent 32 Lachchams V.C. and 3 kulies and bounded on the East by the property of Sithambaranathar Kanapathippillai and others, North by the property of Kanapathiar Vairavippillai and others and by the property of Vallaththai, wife of Vyramuttu and others, West by lane and on the South by the property of Sithamparanathar, K. Kanapathipillai. Of this an undivided $54 / 384$ share worth Rs. $600 /$-. Of this $1 / 2$ share worth ... ... 300.00

Total value of Estate ... 78,289-50

## Liabilities

1. Medical Expenses Rs. 1,500/-.
2. Funeral Expenses Rs. 2,300/-. ... ... 4,300.00
3. Net value of Estate Rs. $73,989 \cdot 50$

Affirmed to the truth and correctness thereof at Kalmunai this 14th day of September, 1950.
(Sgd.) K. Kathirgimin, 14-9-50. 20

Before me :
(Sgd.)
Justice of the Peace, 14-9-50.

Drawn by :
(Sgd.) S. Nag.alingamudaly, Proctor for Petitioner.

True copy of the Inventory filed of Record in District Court, Point Pedro Case No. T/400.

> (Sgd.) Illegibly, Secretary, $9-10-62$.

District Court, Point Pedro.
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P
Petition of K. Kathirgaman, filed in Thed in action No. 400 in District Court, Point Pedro $\underset{\text { Point }}{\mathbf{3}-10-50}$

In the Matter of the Last Will and Testament, of the Late Rasammah. wife of Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam of Puloly West.

Deceased.
Testamentary
Jurisdiction
${ }^{10}$ No. 400.

1 Kanagaratiaim subrimandam of Puloly West.
Petitioner
Is.

1. Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam of Puloly West.
2. V Kanagaratcim Kumafiswimy of - - do
3. V Kaniceratiam Sandirdsekaram of - do - .
4. V. Kanagarateia Kithimgaman of -- do -.
5. V Kandgaratnam Kindappah of - do --.

Respondents.

In the matter of the Intestate Estate and cffect of the late Rasammah, wife of Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam of Puloly West.

Deccased.
Testamentary
Jurisdiction
No. 400
Kanagaratnam Kathirgaman of Puloly West, presently. . Irrigation Engincer, Gal-Oya.
ls.

1. Kanagaratnam Kumaraswamy of Puloly West, presently of $\mathbf{4 0} / \mathbf{2}$, Joseph Lane, Bambalapitiya.
2. Kinagaratiam sindirasekipim of - do --
3. Kanagaratnam Kandappin of do -
4. Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam of Puloly West.

Respondents.
This 3rd day of October, 1950.

The Petition of the abovenamed petitioner appearing by S. Nagalingamudaly his Proctor states as follows :-

1. The Petitioner's mother, the late Rasammah wife of Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam died intestate at Puloly West on the 20th day of August, 1948.
2. Full and true particulars of the property left behind by the decoased are given in the schedule to the affidavit filed herewith.
3. To the best of the Petitioner's knowledge the heirs of the said deceased are her children, the Petitioner and the 1st, 2nd and 3rd Respondents.
4. The Petitioner ciaims letters of Administration to the estate of the said deceased, as son of the said deceased.

WHEREFORE the Petitioner prays:--
(i) That the Petitioner be declared entitled to take out letters of 20 Administration to the Estate of the late $\mathbf{R}$ asammah, wife of Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam the deceased as the son of the said deceased.
(ii) That letters of Administration be issued to him accordingly,
(iii) For costs; and for such other and further relief as to this court shall seem meet.
(Sgd.) S. Nagalingamudaly, Proctor for Petitioner.

## D 21

FINAL PARTITION DECREE

## IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF POINT PEDRO

Viscranathar Kanagaratnam of Puloly West.
Plaintiff.

## I's.

1. Ambilayanar Joseph Myinaganam of Alvai North.

This action coming on for final disposal before P. Sri Skanda Rajah Esquire, District Judge of Point Pedro on the 13th day of October, 1949 in the presence of Mr. Advocate K. Jeyakody instructed by Mr. V. K. Subramaniam Proctor on the part of the Plaintiff and Mr. Advocate S. Soorasangaram instructed by Messrs. Thanabalasingham and Paramsothy Proctors on the part of the ist Defendant and Mr. C. Thanabalasingham Proctor on the part

Dinal Patitiost
Find
Final Pur
Decree in
District Court,
Point Pedro
Case No. 298 8
13-10-49
-Continued.
of the 4 th and 8th Defendants, Mr. Adrocate K. S. Rajendram instructed by Mr. T. Balakrishnan Proctor on the part of the 2nd, 3rd, 5th and 6th Defendants and Mr. M. Esurapadham Proctor on the part of the 7 th defendant and the other Defendants being absent and unrepresented and Notice of Final Decree having been reported duly served on them.

It is ordered and decreed that of the land situated at Puloly East Singhapahuthevankurichchy in the Parish of Point Pedro, called " Pommikaladdy" in extent 34 Lachchams V. C. and 3 kulies with its appurtenances and bounded on the East by the property of Sithamparanathar Kanapathipillai and others, on the North by the property of Kanapathiar Vairavipillai and 10 Vallaththai wife of Vairamuttu and others, on the West by the lane and on the South by the property of Sithamparanathar Kanapathippillai and described by lots marked $1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11$ and 12 in the Survey Plan No. 2596 dated the 6th day of September, 1948 and prepared by Mr. K. Velmuruku Licensed Surveyor appointed by this Court to partition the said land and filed of record in this Case.

1. The lot marked 1 in extent 17 Lachchams V.C. and 7, 13/24 kulies with its appurtenances and bounded on the East by Lots Nos. 7 and 11 on the North by the property of Kanapathiar Vairavipillai and Vallaththai wife of Vairamuttu and others, on the West by lane and lot No. 10 and on the ${ }^{20}$ South by lots Nos. 2 and 11 be and the same is hereby declared to be the absolute property of the 1st Defendant.
2. The lot marked 2 in extent 4 Lachchams V.C. and $6,3 / 4$ kulies with its appurtenances and bounded on the East by the property of Sithamparanathar Kanapathipillai and others, on the North by lots Nos. 1, 9 and 11, on the West by lane and on the South by lot No. 3 be and the same is hereby declared to be the absolute property of the Plaintiff.
3. The lot marked 3 in extent 1 Lachcham V.C. and 15, 13/24 kulies with its appurtenances and bounded on the East by the property of Sithamparanathar Kanapathipillai and others, on the North by lot No. 2, on the 30 West by lane and on the South by lot No. 4 be and the same is hereby declared to be the absolute property of the 6th Defendant.
4. The lot marked 4 in extent 1 Lachcham V.C. and 17 kulies with its appnrtenances and bounded on the East by the property of Sithamparanathar Kanapathipillai and others, on the North by lot No. 3, on the West by lane and on the South by lot No. 5 be and the same is hereby declared to be the absolute property of the 4 th defendant.
5. The lot marked 5 in extent 1 Lachcham V.C. and 5, $1 / 3$ kulies with its appurtenances and bounded on the East by the property of Sithamparanathar Kanapathippillai and others, on the North by lot No. 4, on the West 40 by lane and on the South by lot No. 6, be and the same is hereby declared to be the absolute property of the 8th Defendant.
6. The lot marked 6 in extent $11,2 / 3$ kulies with its appurtenances $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{Fina}}^{\mathrm{D}}$ and bounded on the East by the property of Sithamparanathar Kanapathi- Fecree in pillai and others, on the North by lot No. 5, on the West by lane and on District Court, the South by the property of Sithamparanathar Kanapathipillai be and Cane Nodrag the same is hereby declared to be the absolute property of the 7 th Defendant.

\author{

- Contimued.
}

7. The lot marked 7 in extent 1 Lachcham V.C. and $5,1 / 3$ kulies with its appurtenances including the houses marked A and B and bounded on the East by the property of Sithamparanathar Kanapathipillai and others, on the North by the property of Kanapathiar Vairavippillai and Vallaththai
${ }^{10}$ wife of Vairamuttu and others, on the West by lot No. 1 and on the South by lots Nos. 8 and 11 be and the same is hereby declared to be the absolute property of the 3rd Defendant.
8. The lot marked 8 in extent 1 Lachcham V.C. and 17 kulies with its appurtenances including the houses marked C. D.E. and F and bounded on the East by the property of Sithamparanathar Kanapathipillai and others, on the North by lot No. 7 on the West by lot No. 11 and on the South by lot No. 9 be and the same is hereby declared to be the absolute property of the 2 nd Defendant subject to life interest in favour of the 11th Defendant in respect of $1 / 64$ share.
9. The lot marked 9 in extent $5,5 / 6$ kulies with its appurtenances and bounded on the East by the property of Sithamparanathar Kanapathipillai and others, on the North by lot No. 8, on the West by lot No. 11 and on the South by lot No. 2 be and the same is hereby declared to be the absolute property of the 10th Defendant.
10. The lot marked 10 in extent 12, $1 / 4$ kulies, with its appurtenances including well and bounded on the East and North by lot No. 1, on the West by lane, and on the South by lots Nos. 1 and 11 be and the same is hereby. declared to be the absolute property of the Plaintiff and the 1st. 2nd, 3rd. 4 th, 6 th, 7 th, 8 th, and 10 th Defendants in common for the use of the well ${ }^{30}$ therein.
11. The lot marked 11 in extent $9,3 / 4$ kulies with its appurtenances and bounded on the East by lots Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9 on the North by lots Nos. 7, 10 and 1 on the West by lots 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 and South by lots 6 and 2 be and the same is hereby declared to be the absolute property of the Plaintiff and the 1st, 2nd, 3rd, 4 th, 6th, 7 th, 8th and 10 th Defendants in common for way and water course.
12. The lot marked 12 in extent 1 Lachcham V.C. and 15 kulies at present a common lane belonging to Plaintiff and 1st, 2nd, 3rd, 4th, 6th, 7th, 8th, and 10th Defendants.
1) 21
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It is further decreed that to adjust the difference in the value of the appurtenances in the respective lots of the said land allotted to the parties as aforesaid the following payments be made :-

The Plaintiff do pay to the 1st Defendant ... ... Rs. $119 \cdot 03$
The 6th Defendant do pay to the 1st Defendant ... Rs. 50. 71
The 4 th Defendant do pay to the 1st Defendant ... Rs. 52.88
The 8th Defendant do pay to the 1st Defendant ... Rs. $\boldsymbol{7} \mathbf{2 1}$
The 8th Defendant do pay to the 3rd Defendant ... Rs. 16:60
The 8th Defendant do pay to the 2nd Defendant ... Rs. II 43
The 7th Defendant do pay to the 2nd Defendant. ... Rs. 13.4710
The 7 th Defendant do pay to the 10th Defendant ... Rs. $4 \cdot 15$
It is further decreed that the costs of this action and of partition be borne by the parties in proportion to their shares in the said land and that Plaintiff's costs pro rata do not exceed one third of the value of the land as fixed by the Commissioner.

It is further decreed that the Plaintiff is entitled to Rs. 25/- for preparing pedigree in addition to his pro rata costs.

It is further decreed that the 1 st, 4 th, and 8 th Defendants do pay to the Plaintiff, 2nd, 3rd. 5th, 6th and 7th Defendants their costs of contest.

The 13th day of October, 1949.
(Sgd.) P Sri Skanda Rajah, Districl Judge, 8-3-50.

## Drawn by :

(Sgd.) V K. Subramaniam, Proctor for Plaintiff.

True Copy of Final Partition Decree in Case No. 2988 District Court, Point Pedro.
(Sgd.)

District Court, Point Pedro.
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Plaint in District Court, Point Pedro

## IN TILE DISTRI T COURT OF POLNT PEDRO

1. Kathirithamby Subrimanlam and wife.
2. Thincinminh of Puloly West.
3. Subramanlam Balasebrimantam and wife.
4. Bagawatify of - do - .
${ }^{17}$ No. 4329
Plumiffs.
Nature: Partition I's.
5. Vinuvanathar Kanigarienam of - do -
(dead) 2. Velauthin Cumardsimy of -.-. do -
6. Kinthappar sibrimhinayt and
7. Wife Thangimmah of .-- do -
8. Y. Cindappah Velauthar presently of et - 36 Lanc. Wellawatte personally and as substitute of deccased 2nd Defendant.
9. Kandiah Sitimmparapplllai of Puttalam.
10. and wife saraswathy of --. do --..

Vidr
Journal
Entry
(24) of

26-11-52
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { 8. Kinimabatnim Kumarashmy } \\ \text { 9. Kanigaratnam Sindirasegamam } \\ \text { 10. Kinagaratnim Kathimemmin }\end{array}\right.$
(11. Kaniginatiam Kandappai all of Puloly West.

This 8 th day of August, 1952.
Defendants.
The plaint of the plaintiffs abovemmed appearing by their Proctor ${ }^{30} \mathrm{~K}$. Ramalingam states as follows :--

1. That the Plaintiffs seek to partition the land situated at Puloly West Malavarayakurichchy within the jurisdiction of this Court called Nitchinganollai vadakku in extent 7, 1/4 Lachchams V.(. and described morefully in the schedule below.
p 13
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2. That certain Wallinachchy wife of Paramu Arumugathar was the original owner and proprietor of the whole of the said land who died several years ago leaving behind property worth below Rs. 2,500/- and leaving behind her children Paramar, Chempaththai, Kulanthainachy and Kandappar of whom Chempaththai was dowried other lands. Thus the said Paramar, Kulanthainachy and Kandappar became entitled to an undivided $1 / 3$ share each (Vide pedigree filed).
3. That the said Paramar having held and possessed his $1 / 3$ share dowried the same to his daughter Katpagam daughter of Paramoe on decrl No. 5270 dated 2nd June, 1885 and attested by Vurugar Kandappar Notary ${ }^{10}$ Public who donated the same to her brothers Paramoe Thambiah and Paramoe Suhnamaniam in equal shares respectively on Deeds Nos. 5833 and 5831 dated 16th October, 1894 and attested by Sandrasekarar Sathasivam, Notary Public who both donated the same to Muthuppillai daughter of Subramaniam on Deed No. 11585 dated 22nd May, 1895 and attested by Murugar Kandappar Notary Public who died leaving behind property worth above Rs. 2,500/which was administered in (ase No. 6693 D.C. Jaffna (Testamentary) and the said share was sold in the said D'stamentary (ase to the 1st defendant as per Deed No. 2066 dated 10th December, 1933 and attested by V. Senathirajasegaram Notary Public.
4. That the said Kulanthainachchy referred to in paragraph 2 above having held and possessed her $1 / 3$ share dowried the same to her daughter Sinnappillai wife of Kathirgamar on deed No. 9041 dated 2nd November, 1891 and aitested by Murugar Kandappar Notary Public who donated the same to the 4 th defendant on Deed No. 10294 dated 25th July, 1909 and 3rd August, 1909 and attested by Paramoe Sithamparappillai Notary Public.
5. That the said Kandappar referred to in paragraph 2 above died leaving behind property worth below Rs. :500/- and leaving behind his children Walliammai, Sivagamippillai, Meenadchippillai and Sinnappillai of whom Meenadchippillai and Sinnappillai died issueless subsequently. ${ }^{30}$ Thus the said Walliammai and Sivagamippillai became entitled to $1 / 6$ share each.
6. That the said Walliammai wife of Vclauthar having held and possessed her $1 / 6$ share bequathed the said share to her husband Cumarasamy Velauthar by a Last Will which was proved in Case No. 8525 District Court Testumentary Jafina.
7. That the said Cumarasamy Velauthar having held and possessed the said $1 / 6$ share died 17 years ag. leaving behind property worth Rs. 2.500/which was administered in Case No. 124 District Court Testamentary Jaffna and leaving behind his children the ?nd Plaintiff, the 2nd Defendunt, Velau- 4 thar Candappah, Velauthar Arumugam, Velauthar Nagalingam and Ponnammah widow of Thambirajah who thus became entitled to $1 / 36$ share cach.
8. That the said Velauthar Candappah having held and possessed his 1/36 share dicd in June, 1950 leaving behind property worth Rs. 2,500/- ind leaving behind his children the 4 th plaintiff and the 5 th and 7 th Defendants who thus became cotitled to $1 / 108$ share each. His estate was administered in Case No, 4.48 District Court Testameatary, Point Pedro.
9. That the said Velauthar Arumugam and Velauthar Nagalingam $\underset{\text { Plaint in }}{\text { P13 }}$ having held and possessed their $1 / 18$ share sold the same to the 1 st Defen- District Court, dant on Deed No. 1573 dated Ist April 1943 and attested by V. K. Subra- Point Pedro maniam Notary Public.
10. That the said Ponnammah widow of Thambirajah having held and possessed her 1/36 share sold the same to the 2nd Plaintiff on Deed No. 17067 dated 8th August, 1948 and attested by K. Subramaniam Notary Public.
11. That the said Sivagamippillai referred to in paragraph 5 above having held and possessed her $1 / 6$ share donated the same to the 4 th plain${ }^{10}$ tiff on Deed No. 1484 dated 8th August, 1936 and attested by V. V Subramaniam Notary Public.
12. That the 2nd and 4th Plaintiffs have by their own undisturbed and uninterrupted possession and by the like possession of those from whom they claim the said shares of land by a title adverse to and independent of that of the defendants and all others whomsoever for a period of 10 years and upwards next immediately preceding the date of this action acquired a prescriptive right and title to the same in terms of the 3rd clause of Chapter 55 of the Ceylon Legislative Enactments of 1938.
13. That the said land belongs to the parties as follows :-
(a) An undivided 6/108 share belongs to the 2nd Plaintiff.
(b) An undivided 19/108 share belongs to the 4th Plaintiff.
(c) An undivided 42/108 share belongs to the 1st Defendant.
(d) An undivided 3/108 share belongs to the 2nd Defendant.
(e) An undivided 36/108 share belongs to the 4th Defendant, subject to mortgage in favour of the 1st Defendant on Deed No. 5537 dated 27 th December, 1939 and attested by V.Senathirajasegaram Notary Public by which the 1st Defendant is entitled to possess the said land in lieu of interest.
(f) An undivided 1/108 share belongs to each of the 5th and 7th Defendants.
14. That the possession in common as heretofore is found to be inconvenient and it is therefore expedient that the said land should be partitioned or sold under the provisions of the Partition Act No. 16 of 1951.
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15. That no persons other than those maintained in the plaint have any share or interest in the said land.
16. That the said land is reasonably worth Rs. $4,000 /$.

WHEREFORE the plaintiffs pray :-
(a) that the land morefully described in the schedule below be declared the common property of the 2nd and 4th Plaintiffs and 1st, 2nd, 4th, 5th and 7th Defendants.
(b) that the said land be partitioned and divided shares thereof be allotted and given to the parties as aforesaid and if such partition be found impracticable then the land be sold and the proceeds of 10 sale be divided pro rata.
(c) that the costs of this action and of partition or sale as the case may be ordered to be borne by the parties in proportion to their shares.
(d) and for such other and further relief as to this Court shall seem meet.

> (Sgd.) K. Ramalingam, Proctor for Plaintiffs.

## Memo of Documents annexed.

(a) An abstract of title.
(b) A Pedigree.
(Sgd.) $\begin{gathered}\text { K. Ramalingam, } \\ \text { Proctor for Plaintiff }\end{gathered}$ Proctor for Plaintiffs.

## Schedule referred to

Land situated at Puloly West Malavarayakurichchy Point Pedro Parish, Vadamaradchi Division, Jaffna District, Northern Province called Nitchinganollai Vadakku in extent 7, 1/4 Lachchams v.c. with palmyrahs and bounded on the East by lane, North by the property of Nagalosany wife of Mylvaganam and others, West by the property of Pavalavally daughter of Muruguppillai and others and by the property of 1st Defendant and South by the property of Theivanaippillai wife of Kathiravelu and others and by other lands. The whole of this.
(Sgd.) K. Ramalingam,
Proctor for Plaintiffs.

## P13 B

Statement of Claim of K. Coomaraswamy, K. Sandrasegaram, K. Kathirgaman and K. Kandappah in District Court, Point Pedro

Case No. 4329/Partition
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Coomaraswamy, K. Sandrasegaram, K. Kathirgaman, and K. Kandappah in District Court, Point Pedro Case No. 4329/Partition
17-12-52

## IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF POINT PEDRO

1. Kathirithamby Subramaniam of Puloly West and 3 others.

Plaintiffs.

1. Kathirithamby Subramaniam
2. Velauthar Kumarasamy of -- do ---
3. Kanthappar Subramaniam of - do - .
4. Wife Thangammah of - do - .
5. V. Kandappaif Velauthar presently of 24 -- 36 lane, Wellawatte.
6. Kandiah Sithamparappillai of Puttalam.
7. Wife Saraswathy of - do -
8. Kanagaratnam Coomarasamy.
9. Kanagaratinam Sandrasegaram
10. Kanagaratnam Kathirgaman
11. Kanagaratnam Kandappah all of Puloly West presently of Bambalapitiya.

Defendants.
This 17th day of December, 1952.
The statement of claim of the above named 8th to 11 th defendants appearing by S. Nagalingamudaly their Proctor states as follows :-

1. These defendants admit such of the averments in the plaint as are not inconsistent with the averments contained hereinafter.

## P13B

Statement of Claim of $\mathbf{K}$. Coomaraswamy, K. Sandrasegaram K. Kathirgaman, and K. Kandappah in District Court, Point Pedro Case No. 4329/Partition 17-12-52 -Continued.
2. The 9 th defendant claimed before the surveyor an undivided $7 / 36$ share of the land for himself and his brothers the 8th, 10 th and 11 th defendants but the plaintiffs though they were all along aware of the rights of these defendants to the said share and were also aware of the claim put forward at the survey have failed and neglected to have these defendants made parties to this action.
3. These defendants state that the acquisition of the $1 / 3$ and $1 / 18$ shares respectively by the 1st defendant on deed No. 2066 of 10-12-1933 referred to in paragraph 3 above of the plaint and deed No. 1573 of 1-4-1943 referred to in paragraph 9 of the plaint were made during the subsistence of his marr- 10 iage with his wife Rasammah and that by virtue of such acquisition the said Rasammah became vested with a right to a half share thereof in terms of Section 19 and 20 of Ordinance No. 1 of 1911.
4. These defendants further state that on the death of the said Rasammah her right to a $7 / 36$ shares of the said land which had vested in her devolved on her children the 8 th to 11 th defendants each of whom thus became entitled to a $7 / 144$ shares of the said land.
5. These defendants have by their own undisturbed and uninterrupted possession of their previous owners for more than ten years next immediately preceding the date of this action by a title adverse to and independent of ${ }^{20}$ the plaintiffs and all others whomsoever acquired a prescriptive right and title to the said $7 / 36$ share of the said land in terms of Section 3 of Chapter 55 of the Legislative Enactments of Ceylon.
6. These defendants further state that the 1st defendant is entitled to only $7 / 36$ shares of the said land and not to $42 / 108$ shares as alleged in paragraph 14 of the plaint.

Wherefore these defendants pray :-
(i) that they be declared entitled to a $7 / 36$ shares of the said land;
(ii) that these defendants be allotted a divided lot for their $7 / \mathbf{3 6}$ shares of the said land;
(iii) for costs ;
and for such other and further relief as to this Court shall seem meet.
(Sgd.) S. Nagalingamudaly, Proctor for 8th - 11th Defendants.

## Memo of Documents annexed :

A Pedigree.
(Sgd.) S. Nagalingamudaly, Proctor for 8th-11th Defendunts.

P13A P13.<br>Statement of Claim of K.<br>Statement of Claim of K. Subramaniam<br>in District Court, Point Pedro

Case No. 4329 Partition

## IN 'THE DISTRICT (COURT OF POIN'T PEDRO

1. Kithirithamby ŚcbramaNiam
2. and wife Thangammah
3. Siebramantam Balast bramaniam
4. and wife Pagawathy all of Puloly West.

## Is.

1. Visuvanatiar Kaniminhitnam of ... do -- and 10 others.

Defendanls.
The 29th day of January, 1953.
The statement of claim of the 1 st defendant appearing by his Proctor N. A. Rajaratnam states as follows :--

1. Answering to the entire plaint this defendant admits the correctness of the averments contained therein.
2. Answering to the statement of claim of the $8--11$ defendants this defendants while admitting that the $14 / 36$ share were purchased on the aforesaid Deeds No. 2066 and 1573 denies the correctness of the rest of the averments contained therein and states that his wife Rasammah died in August. 1948 and the provision of Section 20 of Ordinance 58 of 1947 apply and that no share devolved either on Rasammah or her children the 8th - 11th defendants.
3. This defendant specifically denies that the 8 th to 11 th defendants are entitled to any share in the land sought to be partitioned or that they possessed any share on their behalf.

30
4. This defendant has by his own undisturbed and uninterrupted possession and by the like possession of his previous owners for more than ten years next immediately preceding the date of this action by a title adverse to and independent and all others whomsoever acquired a prescriptive right title thereto in terms of Section 3 of Chapter 55 of the Legislative Enactments of Ceylon.

P13A Statement of Claim of K . Subramaniam in District Court, Point Pedro Case No. 4:329/Partition :30-1-53 -Continued.

## P14A <br> and P14B Issues framed in District Court, Point Pedro Case No. 4329 3-9.53

WHEREFORE this defendant prays:-
(i) that he be declared entitled to an undivided 42/108 share of the said land.
(ii) that divided lot be allotted in the partition.
(iii) that the statement of claim of 8 th defendant be dismissed.
(iv) for costs and for such other and further relief as to this Court shall seem meet.
(Sgd.) N. A. Rajaratnam, Proctor for 1st defendant.

> P 14 A \& P 14 B
> Issues Framed
> in District Court, Point Pedro

Case No. 4329.

## D. C. 4329 ( $\mathbf{P}$ ) - Point Pedro

Trial: 3-9-53.
10th defendant Kanagaratnam Kathirgamar present, others absent.
Mr. K. Ramalingam, Proctor for Plaintiffs.
Mr. Advocate Shivapathasundaram instructed by Mr. N. A. Rajaratnam, Proctor for 1st defendant.

Mr. Advocate Soorasangaram instructed by Mr. S. Nagalingamudaly, ${ }^{20}$ Proctor for 8 - 11 defendants.

There is no contest as between the Plaintiffs and as against any of the defendants.

Mr. Ramalingam states that the contest is between the 1 st defendant and $8-11$ defendants.

Mr. Shivapathasundaram states that there is no contest as between the 1st defendant and plaintiff and that the 1st defendant stands by the share allotted to him by the Plaintiff namely 42/108 share.

Mr. Soorasangaram raises the following points of contest :-
(1) Is the 1st defendant entitled to only half share acquired by him on Deeds No. 2066 of 10-12-1933 and 1573 of 1-4-1943?
(2) Have $8-11$ defendants become entitled to a half share of the said shares by inheritance from their mother Rasammah?

3-9-53
-Contimed.
P14 A
and P 14 Issues framed in District Court,
Point Pedro
(ase No. 4329

## .

(It is common ground amongst all parties to the suit that Rasammah mother of the 8 - 11 defendants and wife of the 1st defendant died in August, 1948, and also that the marriage between the 1 st defendant and deceased Rasammah took place in 1915 and that the marriage between the 1st defendant and the said Rasammah was in subsistence at the time of the 10 execution of the Deeds Nos. 2066 of 10-12-1933 and 1573 of 1-4-1943).

P14C.
P146
Decree and Judgement of the
Decree and Judgment of the Supreme Court
in District Court, Point Pedro Case No. 4329/Partition

P14c:
Decree and
Judgment of the Supreme Court in District Court, Point Pedro Case No. 4329/1 . 16-7-54
-Continued.

Action No. 4329.

## In the District Court of Point Pedro

This cause coming on for hearing and determination on the 8th, 9th and 16th day of July, 1954 and on this day, upon an appeal preferred by the 8th, 9 th, 10 th and 11 th defendants -- appellants before the Hon. Mr. E. F. N. Gratiaen, Q.C., Puisne Justice and the Hon. Mr. H. N. G. Femando, Acting Puisne Justice of this Court, in the presence of Counsel for the Appellants and 1st Defendant Respondent.

It is considered and adjudged that this appeal be and the same is hereby allowed and the Interlocutory Decree passed in the Lower Court is amended 10 (a) by allotting a $7 / 36$ share to the appellants jointly and (b) br proportionately reducing the share allotted to the 1st defendant i.c., by allotting only a $7 / 36$ share to him. The appellants are also entitled to the costs of this appeal and of the contest in the court below. In all other respects the Interlocutor! Decree is affirmed.
(Vide copy of the Judgment attached)
Witness the Hon. Sir Alan Edward Percival Rosce, Kt., Q.C., ('hief' Justice at Colombo, the 21st day of July in the year of our Lord One thousand Ninc hundred and fifty four and our Reign the Third.
(Sgd.)
20
Dcputy Registrer, Supreme Court.
S.(. No. 180 of 1953 (Interlocutory)

District Court, Point Pedro 4329P.
K. Kumardsamy and 3 other

8th to 11th
D.fendants - Appellents.
l's.
K. Subram.niam and 3 others.

Plaintiffs Respoulents
AND 30
$\checkmark$ Kinagaratnim and 6 others.

> 1st to ith
> Difendant.s Respondents.

Present:-Gratiaen J. and Fernando A.J.
Counsel:- H. V Perera Q.C., with T. Arulananthan for the Appellants. Sir Lalitha Rajapakse Q.C., with H. W. Thambiah and S.'Sharvananda for the 1st Defendant-Respondent.

Argued on :- 8th, 9th July, 1954.
Decided on :- -- 16th July, 1954.
Gratiafn, J.
P14e
Decree and
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-Continued.
This is an appeal in a partition action. The only dispute which calls for our adjudication arises upon the legal consequences of certain admitted facts.

Kanagaratnam (the 1st defendant) and his wife Rasammah were Tamils to whom the Tesawalamai applies. They were married in 1915, and their property rights as Tesawalamai spouses were until 4th July, 1947 regulated 10 by the Jaffna Matrimonial Rights and Inheritance Ordinance (Chapter 48) hereinafter called - the principal Ordinance.

In 1933 Kanagaratnam purchased in his own name an undivided $1 / 3$ share in the property sought to be partitioned, and in 1943 he similarly purchased an additional 1/18 share, so that the total extent purchased by him under the relevant conveyance amounted to $7 / 18$. These shares admittedly constituted the thediatheddam "of the husband" within the meaning of section 19 of the Principal Ordinance.

The Appellants are the children of the marriage and as such were the preferential heirs of either parent under Section 21 of the Principal Ordinance.
20 Rasammah died in August, 1948 after the Jaffna Matrimonial Rights and Inheritance (Amendment) Ordinance No. 58 of 1947 (hereinafter called "the amending Ordinance ") had passed into law.

The appellants claimed that half the thediatheddam property purchased by Kanagaratnam in 1933 and 1943 (that is to say, an undivided 7/36 share) vested in Rasammah by operation of law immediately upon its acquisition by Kanagaratnam (Section 20 of the principal Ordinance) and that this undivided 7/36 share devolved on them upon her death under secttion, 21, whose provisions have not been repealed by the amending Ordinance. Kanagaratnam maintained in the Court below that, on the contrary,
30 the entire $7 / 18$ now belonged to him by virtue of an allegedly sweeping alteration which had taken place in the relevant law. This latter contention was upheld by the learned District Judge whose decision is, I regret to say, based on a misunderstanding of two recent rulings of a Full Bench of this Court.

In my opinion, the problem under consideration admits of no doubt. Rasammah's rights in respect of thediatheddam property acquired by her husband before 4th July 1947 were governed by Section 20 of the principal Ordinance, and the provisions of Section 5 and 6 of the amending Ordinance did not operate to divest Rasammah of rights already vested in her under the
40 earlier law-Akilandanayaki v. Sothinagaratnam (1952, 53 N.L.R. 385 F.B., Kandavanam v. Nagammah (1952) 46 C.L.W. 104.
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Earlier controversies as to the nature of the rights of the non-acquiring spouse in thediatheddam acquired by the other spouse before 4th July, 1947, have long since been resolved by decision of this Court. An undivided half share on the property sought to be partitioned had automatically vested in Rasammah, as the non acquiring spouse, by operation of law -Parasaththy Ammal v. Setupulle (1872) 3 N.L.R. 271. The dissenting judgment of Garvin J., to the same effect in Seelachchy v. Visuvanathan (1922) 23 N.L.R. 97 has been consistently followed. See Sampasivam v. Manikkar (1921) 23 N.I.R. 257, Iya Mattayer v. Kanapathippillai (1928) 29 N.L.R. 301 and Vaitilingam v. Scenivasagam (1944) 28 C.L.W 63.

During the subsistence of her marriage, Rasammah's title in a half share of the thediatheddam was of course subject to the marital power of her husband to alienate it or mortgage it for consideration. This marital power was referrable to the husband's status as the manager " sole or irremovable attorney of the wife "- per Mac Donnell (.. J., in Sangarappillai v. Devarajah Mudaliyar (1936) 38 N.L.R. 1 FB. It is quite wrong to suggest that the power proceeds from the enjoyment of any dominium over the wife's share.

The devolution of Rasammah's share upon her death in 1948 remains to be considered. This question is governed by the relevant provisions of 20 the principal Ordinance of 1911 as amended by the amending Ordinance of 1947.

Dr. Rajapakse very properly informed us that he could not support the wholly untenable proposition that the entirety of Rasammah's 7/36 share of the property devolved on Kanagaratnam as the surviving spouse. He argued, however, that the case was governed by Section 6 of the amending Ordinance which repealed Section 20 of the principal Ordinance and substituted in its place a new Section in the following terms:-
" 20 . On the death of either spouse one half of the thediatheddam which belonged to the deceased spouse shall devolve on the surviving spouse and the other half shall devolve on the heirs ${ }^{30}$ of the deceased spouse."

He suggested, a $7 / 72$ share in properties passed under this Section to Kanagaratnam on Rasammah's death and only the remaining 7/72 share to the appellants jointly. For the reasons which follow, I am unable to accept this argument.

In Kannammah v. Sanmugalingam (1954) 55 N.L.R. 260, Pulle J. has effectively disposed of the theory that a single sentence (isolated from its context) of my judgment in Kandavanam's Case (1952) 46 CLW 104 at 105 leads support to the proposition which Dr. Rajapakse had invited us to adopt. No necessity arose in Kandavanam's Case to examine the precise ${ }^{40}$ meaning of Section 6 of the amending Ordinance; it sufficed for the purpose of that appeai to emphasise that the amending Ordinance did not retroactively divest people of any rights acquired before 4th July, 1947 under the principal Ordinance.

In order to extract the true meaning of Section 6 of the amending Ordi- P ${ }^{1+4}$ nance, we must first examine Section 5 which repeals Section 19 of the Decree and $J$ Jument of the principal Ordinance and substitutes a new Section 19 which reads as follows- Supreme Court in District Co
19. "No property other than the following shall be deemed to be Case No. 4329/P thediatheddam of a spouse :
(a) property acquired by that spouse during the subsistene of the marriage for valuable consideration, such consideration not forming or representing any part of the separate estate of that spouse ;
(b) profits arising during the subsistence of the marriage of that spouse."

I shall hereafter refer to Section 5 and 6 of the amending Ordinance as " the new Section 19 " and " the new Section 20 " respectively.

The new Section 19 gives a definition of thediatheddam " which restores for the future the more traditional conception of thediatheddam which had been unmistakably, even though carelessly, altered by legislative intervention in 1911 "-Akilandanayaki's Case (1952) 53 N.L.R. 385 at 397. Accordingly, property which would previously have constituted thediatheddam within the meaning of the principal Ordinance in accordance with the ruling 20 in Avitchi Chettiar's Case (1933) 35 N.L.R. 313, must, if acquired on or after 4th July, 1947, be regarded as " separate property."

The repeal of the old Section 20 and the substitution of the new Section 20 have the following effect:
(a) If either spouse acquires thediatheddam property on or after 4th July, 1947 no share in it vests by operation of law in the nonacquiring spouse during the subsistence of the marriage ;
(b) if the acquiring spouse predeceases the non-acquiring spouse without having previously disposed of such property, the new Section 20 applies accordingly, half the property devolves on the survivor and the other half on the deceased's heirs;
(c) if the non-acquiring spouse predeceases the acquiring spouse the thediatheddam property of the acquiring spouse continues to vest exclusively in the acquiring spouse; the new Section 20 has no application because the thediatheddam of the acquiring spouse never "belonged" to the non-acquiring spouse.

These three propositions pre-suppose that the thediatheddam property had been acquired after the amending Ordinance passed into law.

It thus becomes clear that the new Sections 19 and 20 have no bearing on the present problem. A half share of the thediatheddam property
${ }^{40}$ acquired by Kanagaratnam in 1933 and 1943 had automatically vested in Rasammah (as the non-acquiring spouse) under the old Section 20 and the
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subsequent repeal of the old Section 20 did not operate to divest her of that share. The devolution of Rasammah's share upon her death in 1948 was regulated solely by Section 21 of the principal Ordinance because the new Section 20 has no application to the case. Accordingly, the entirety of Rasammah's vested interest in the thediatheddam property (i.e., a 7/36 share) passed to the appellants as her heirs. The balance $7 / 36$ continued of course, to be vested in the 1st defendant Kanagaratnam.

For these reasons, I would allow the appeal and amend the interlocutory decree passed in the lower Court (a) by allotting a $7 / 36$ share to the appellants jointly and (b) by proportionately reducing the share allotted to ${ }^{10}$ the 1st defendant - i.e. by allotting only a $7 / 36$ share to him.

The appellants are also entitled to the costs of this appeal and of the contest in the Court below. In all other respects the interlocutory decree must be affirmed.

It is unnecessary for the purpose of this appeal to give a definite ruling as to the devolution of thediatheddam property acquired before 4th July, 1947 of the acquiring spouse predeceases the non-acquiring spouse after 4th July, 1947. In such a case, it is already settled law that the new Section 20 could not operate to divest the non-acquiring spouse of the half share which had previously vested in him (or her) under the old Section 20. Dr. Raja- 20 pakse, suggested, however, that the devolution of the half share belonging to the deceased acquiring spouse would be regulated by the newS ection 20 with the result that the non-acquiring spouse would then become vested with an additional $1 / 4$ share. Although I appreciate the undesirability of giving expression to any obiter dictum concerning the interpretation of the amending Ordinance, I desire to place on record that, as at present advised, I am quite unable to accept this theory which (so Dr. Rajapakse informs me) is at present entertained in certain quarters.

The amending Ordinance appears to me to deal only with the incidence the devolution of thediatheddam property acquired by one or other of the ${ }^{30}$ spouses on or after 4th July, 1947. In the hypothetical case referred to, the correct view, in my opinion, is that the new Section 20 would not apply, and that the half share belonging to the acquiring spouse would, upon his death devolve on his heirs under Section 21 of the principal Ordinance whereas the other half share would continue, as before, to vest in the nonacquiring spouse. I find no indication in the language of the amending Ordinance of any intention to enlarge the rights or expectations of a nonacquiring spouse in respect of thediatheddam property which came into existence before 4th July, 1947.
(Sgd.) E. F. N. Gratiaen
40
Fernando, A.J.
I Agree.
(Sgd.) H. N. G. Fernando.

Final Partition Decree in District Court Point Pedro
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## FINAL PARTITION DECREE

1. Kathirithamby Subramaniam and wife.
2. Thangammah of Puloly West.
3. Subramaniam Balasubramaniam and wife.
4. Bagawathy of - do -.

## Plaintiffs.

10 No. 4329. Vs.

1. Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam of - do - .
(Dead) 2. Velauthar Cumarasamy of - do -.
2. Kanthappar Subramaniam and wife.
3. Thangammah of - do -.
4. V. Candappah Velauthar of - do - presently of $24-36$ Lane, Wellawatte personally and as representative of the estate of the late 2 nd defendant.
5. Kandiah Sithamparappillai of Puttalam
6. wife Saraswathy of - do -
7. Kanagaratnam Kumarasamy of - do - presently of Bambalapitiya.
8. Kanagaratnam Sandirasegaram of - do - .
9. Kanagaratnam Kathirgaman of - do -
10. Kanagaratnam Kandappah of - do -.

D 8
Deed of
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attested by
p. Kanapathipillai, Notary Public 2-11-52

## D 8

# Deed of Donation No. 2797 attested by 

P. Kanapathipillai, Notary Public

TRANSLATION
2-11-1952.
Donation
Lands 14
Rs. 20,000/-.

No. 2797
Know all men. by these presents that I Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam of 10 Puloly West for and on account of the natural love I have towards my son Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam Subramaniam of the same place and further for other good causes which would urge me to this act do hereby set over and convey by way of donation unto the said Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam Subramaniam the properties mentioned in the following schedule worth Rs. 20,000/-.

I do hereby declare that the 1st property mentioned in the following schedule belongs as per certificate of Sale No. 140 dated 15 th February, 1945 executed in partition Case No. 2080 of the District Court of Point Pedro and the 2nd property as per final partition decree in Case No. 1415 of the ${ }^{20}$ District Court of Point Pedro and the 3rd property as per transfer Deed No. 2792 dated 18th February, 1935 and attested by V Senathirajasegaram Notary Public in my favour and the 4th property as per transfer Deed No. 1810 dated Ist August, 1938 and attested by V S'enathirajasegaram Notary in my favour and the 5th property as per transfer Deed No. 21741 dated 11th February 1931 and attested by S. Subramaniam Notary in my favour and the 6th property as per final partition decree in Case No. 269 of the District Court of Point Pedro in my favour and the 7th, 8th, 9th 10th, 11th, 12th and 13th properties as per donation Deed No. 10834 dated 25th December, 1909 and attested by $P$ Sithamparapillai Notary in my favour ${ }^{30}$ and by possession and endorsements are made on the said Deeds Nos. 2792 and 10834 and I deliver herewith the other deeds and I will not revoke this donation and make it null and void for any cause whatsoever I the donce the said Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam Subramaniam have accepted this donation with gratitude.

The aforesaid ischedule
In Point Pedro Parish Vadamara lehy Disision Jaffna District Northern Province.

1. Land situated at Puloly West Singapahuthevankurichchy ealled Nedunkulavelitheni in extent 4 Lactichams V.C. and 8, $8 / 16$ kulics - . Do - 40 $15,9 / 16$ kulies. This is described as lots 1 and 2 in plan No. 2150 dated 26th April 1944 and prepared by K. Velmurugu Licensed Surveyor. Of
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## FINAL PARTITION DECREE

1. Kathirithamby Subramaniam and wife.
2. Thangammah of Puloly West.
3. Subramaniam Balasubramaniam and wife.
4. Bagawathy of - do -.

Plaintiffs.
${ }^{10}$ No. 4329.
Vs.

1. Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam of - do -.
(Dead) 2. Velauthar Cumarasamy of - do -.
2. Kanthappar Subramaniam and wife.
3. Thangammah of - do - .
4. V. Candappah Velauthar of - do - presently of $24-36$ Lane, Wellawatte personally and as representative of the estate of the late 2 nd defendant.
5. Kandiah Sithamparappillai of Puttalam
6. wife Saraswathy of - do -.
7. Kanagaratnam Kumarasamy of - do - presently of Bambalapitiya.
8. Kanagaratnam Sandirasegaram of - do -.
9. Kanagaratnam Kathirgaman of - do -.
10. Kanagaratnam Kandappah of - do -.

P 13c
Final Partition
Decree in
District Court, Point Pedro Case No. 4329/P 3-10-56
-Contimued.

This action coming on for final disposal before S. Thambydurai Esquire, District Judge Point Pedro on the 3rd day of October 1956 in the presence of Mr. K. Ramalingam Proctor on the part of the plaintiffs, Mr. N. A. Rajaratnam Proctor on the part of the 1st Defendant and of Mr. S. Nagalingamudaly on the part of the $3,4,8,9,10$ and 11 defendants and the other defendants being absent and unrepresented.

It is ordered and decreed that of the land situated at Puloly West Malavarayakurichchy Point Pedro Parish, Vadamaradchy Division Jaffna District, Northern Province called Nitchinganollai Vadakku in extent 7 1/4 Lachchams but according to survey plan referred to below in extent 10 7 Lachchams V.C. and 17 Kulies with palmyrahs and margosa trees and bounded on the East by lane,North by lane and by the property of Nagalosany wife of Mylvaganam and others, West by the property of Pavalavathy daughter of Murugupillai and others and by the property of the 1st defendant and South by the property of Theivanaippillai wife of Kathiravelu and others and by the property of the 1st defendant and represented by lots Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 in Survey Plan No. 1917 dated 29th July, 1956 prepared by Mr. T. Subramaniam Licensed Surveyor and filed of record.

1. Lot No. 1 in the said plan in extent 1 Lachcham V.C. and 8, $1 / 32$ Kulies with palmyrahs and margosa trees and bounded on the East by lot 20 No. 2 in the said plan, North by the property of Nagalosany wife of Mylvaganam and others, West by the property of Pavalavathy daughter of Muruguppillai and others and South by the property of Theivanaippillai wife of Kathiravelu and others be and the same is hereby declared to be the absolute property of the 1st defendant.
2. Lot No. 2 in the said plan in extent 1 Lachcham V.C. and 15, 15/32 Kulies with palmyrahs and margosa trees and bounded on the East by lot No. 3 in the said plan, North by lot No. 6 in the said plan, West by lot No. 1 in the said plan and South by the property of Theivanaippillai wife of Kathiravelu and others be and the same is hereby declared to be the absolute pro- ${ }^{30}$ perty of the 2nd and 4th plaintiffs and the 5th and 7th defendants in the proportion of 6,19, 1 and 1.
3. Lot No. 3 in the said plan in extent 3, 23/32 Kulies and bounded on the East by lot No. 4 in the said Plan, North by lot No. 6 in the said plan, West by lot No. 2 in the said plan and South by the property of Theivanaippillai wife of Kathiravelu and others be and the same is hereby declared to be the absolute property of the 5 th defendant as representative of the estate of the 2nd Defendant.
4. Lot No. 4 in the said plan in extent 2 Lachchams V.C. and 8, 20/32 Kulies with palmyrahs and margosa tree and bounded on the East by lot 40 No. 5 in the said plan, North by lot No. 6 in the said plan, West by lot No. 3 in the said plan and South by the property of Theivanaippillai wife of Kathiravelu and others and by the property of the lst defendant be and the same is hereby declared to be the absolute property of the 4 th defendant subject to mortgage and otty in favour of the 1st defendant.
5. Lot No. 5 in the said plan in extent 1 Lacheham V.C. and 8, $1 / 32$ P 13.

Kulies with palmyrahs and bounded on the East by lane, North by lot Dean Partition No. 6 in the said plan, West by lot No. 4 in the said plan and South by the District court,
 absolute property of the $8,9,10$ and 11 th defendants in equal shares. ${ }_{3}^{3-10-56}$

Continued.
6. Lot No. 6 in the said plan in extent 9, $4 / 32$ Kulies with 3 female palmyrahs and 7 male palmyrahs and bounded on the East by lane, North by bye-lane and by the property of Nagalosany wife of Mylvaganam and others, West by lot No. 1 in the said plan and South by lots Nos. 2, 3, 4 and
${ }^{10} 5$ in the said plan be and the same is hereby declared to be the common property of the 2 nd and 4 th plaintiffs and the $1,4,5,7.8 .9 .10$ and 11 defendants in proportion to their shares.

It is further ordered and deerecd that the palmyrahs standing in lot No. 6 be cut down and disposed of and the procceds of sale be divided proportionately among the share holders.

It is further ordered and decreed that to adjust the difference in value of the respective lots allotted to the shareholders as aforesaid the 8 to 11 th defendants do pay to the 2 nd and 4 th plaintiffs and the 5 th and 7 th defendants Rs. $180 \cdot 31 \frac{1}{2}$ cts to the 1 st defendant Rs. $81 \cdot 22 \frac{1}{2}$ ets to the 5 th defen${ }^{20}$ dant as representative of the 2 nd defendant Rs. $61 \cdot 65 \frac{1}{2}$ ets and to the 4th defendant Rs. 89•02 cts.

It is further ordered and decreed that the rateable costs of this action and of partition be borne by the respective parties in proportion to their shares.

The 3rd day of October. 1956.

> (Sgd.) S. Thambyidurai, District Judge.

Drawn hy :
(Sgd.) K. Ramalingam,
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Deed of Donation No. 2797 attested by
P. Kanapathipillai, Notary Public

TRANSLATION
2-11-1952.
Donation
Lands 14
Rs. 20,000/-.

No. 2797
Know all men by these presents that I Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam of 10 Puloly West for and on account of the natural love I have towards my son Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam Subramaniam of the same place and further for other good causes which would urge me to this act do hereby set over and convey by way of donation unto the said Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam Subramaniam the properties mentioned in the following schedule worth Rs. 20,000/-.

I do hereby declare that the 1 st property mentioned in the following schedule belongs as per certificate of Sale No. 140 dated 15th February, 1945 executed in partition Case No. 2080 of the District Court of Point Pedro and the 2nd property as per final partition decree in Case No. 1415 of the ${ }^{20}$ District Court of Point Pedro and the 3rd property as per transfer Deed No. 2792 dated 18th February, 1935 and attested by V. Senathirajasegaram Notary Public in my favour and the 4th property as per transfer Deed No. 1810 dated 1st August, 1938 and attested by V. Senathirajasegaram Notary in my favour and the 5th property as per transfer Deed No. 21741 dated 11th February 1931 and attested by S. Subramaniam Notary in my favour and the 6th property as per final partition decree in Case No. 269 of the District Court of Point Pedro in my favour and the 7th, 8th, 9th 10th, 11th, 12th and 13th properties as per donation Deed No. 10834 dated 25th December, 1909 and attested by P. Sithamparapillai Notary in my favour ${ }^{30}$ and by possession and endorsements are made on the said Deeds Nos. 2792 and 10834 and I deliver herewith the other deeds and I will not revoke this donation and make it null and void for any cause whatsoever I the donce the said Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam Subramaniam have accepted this donation with gratitude.

## The aforesaid Schedule

In Point Pedro Parish Vadamaradchy Division Jaffna District Northern Province.

1. Land situated at Puloly West Singapahuthevankurichchy called Nedunkulavelitheni in extent 4 Lachchams V.C. and 8, $8 / 16$ kulies -- Do - 40 15, 9/16 kulies. This is described as lots 1 and 2 in plan No. 2150 dated 26th April 1944 and prepared by K. Velmurugu Licensed Surveyor. Of
this lot marked (a) 1 in extent 4 Lachchams V.C. and 8, 8/16 kulies with D 8 stone built house and coconut trees and bounded on the East by wate , No channel, North by road, West by the property of the heirs of Kathirithamby Sab apathipillai, and others and South by the property of the heirs of Kathirithamby and others and by the property of Kamadchy wife of Kathirgamu and others. The whole of these contained within these boundaries.

Donation No. 2797 attested by P. Kanapathipillai, Notary Public 2-11-52
-Continued.
(b) Lot No. 2 in extent 15, 9/16 kulies and bounded on the East by the property of Britto Muttunayagam, North by the property of Krishnapillai Mylvaganam, West by water channel and South by the coconut estate belonging to Aladiet Pilliyar Temple. The whole hereof.
2. Land situated at Thunnalai Veerasuntharamuthaly Kurichchy in Kaddaiveli Parish in - Do - called Nunkayapulam in extent 38 Lachchams V.C. and 6, 27/32 kulies. This is in extent 38 Lachchams V.C. and 6, 27/32 kulies as per plan No. 663 dated 28th April, 1942 and prepared by M. Velupillai Licensed Surveyor and filed along with the final partition decree in Case No. 1415 of the District Court of Point Pedro. Of this lot No. 2 in the said plan in extent 5 Lachchams V.C. and $1017 / 32$ kulies and bounded on the East by lot No. 9 in the said plan, North by lot No. 1 in the said plan, West by the property of the heirs of Sinnathamby Subramaniam and ${ }^{20}$ South by lot No. 3 in the said plan. The whole of those contained within these boundaries.
3. Land situated at Alvai Malavarayakurichchy called Vethiyavilavai in extent 60 Lachchams V.C.-Do-2, 5/8 Lachchams V.C. - Do--17, 1/4 Lachchams V.C. - Do - thoddam 1 - Do - 29, 1/4 Lachchams V.C. Total in extent 110 Larhchams V.C. and $8,1 / 4$ kulies. Of this excluding an extent of 20, $1 / 4$ Lachchams V.C. on the East given to the Burial ground the remaining in extent 90 Lachchams V.C. and $3,3 / 4$ kulies. This is in extent 92 Lachchams V.C. and $5,1 / 4$ kulies as per plan No. 523 prepared by K. Velautham Licensed Survevor and bounded on the
${ }^{30}$ East by lane and by burial ground, North by the property of Valliappar Vethavanam, West by the property of Valliappar Vethavanam and by the property of Sinnathangachchy wife of Sinniah and others and South by the property of Valliappar Vethavanam and others. Of the ground wellis and the building where water drawing machine was kept contained within these boundaries an undivided $1 / 4$ share and the share of the parapet wall on the North of the Western boundary.
4. Land situated at Puloly West Malavarayakurichchy in Point Pedro Parish called Nedunkulavelithlnythenkilakkilmetku in extent 72 Lachchams V.G. Of this an extent of 13 Lachchams V. $(\%$. on the West in the middle out
${ }^{40}$ of the ground, on the West of the lane passes through and bounded on the East and South by property belonging to me and others North by the property of Sivakamipillai widow of Murugappar and others. And West by the property of Murugappar Alvapillai and others and by the property of Veeragathiar Konamalai and others. Of the ground and well contained within these boundaries an undivided $1 / 3$ share.
5. Land situated at Alvai Vecrakodiyakurichohy in Kaddaiveli Parish called Padaradpulathurayal in extent 5 Lachchams V.C. Do Padavadpulathuvayal 2 Lachchams V.C. -Do... Padavadpulathurayal in extent 6, 1/2 Lachchams V. (. Poenthiervayal 3, 7/8 Lachchams V.C. total in extent 17, 3/8 Lachchams V.C. and bounded on the East and South by the property of Kathirithamby Subramaniam and others North by the property of Velappar Subramaniam and others, West by the property of Kandappar Kanagasabai and others. Within these boundaries out of the gound an undivided $1 / 4$ share and out of the palmyrahs, 2 palmyrahs on the South according to possession.
6. Land situated at Puloly West Singapahuthevankurichchy in Point Pedro Parish called Eluveddy in extent 4, $1 / 4$ Lachehams V.C'. Veedu 1 and land situated at Puloly West Veerabahuthevankurichchy called Mudaneelikaladdy in extent 7 Lachchams V.C. Of these an extent of 8 Lachchams V.C. and 6. 3/4 kulies according to partition possession. Of this excluding 2 Lachchams V.C. in the middle on the North, the remaining in extent 7 Lachchams V.C. and 14 kulies this is in extent 7 Lachchams V.C. and $81 / 2$ kulies as per plan No. 410 A dated 18 th October, 1938 and prepared by M. Velupillai Licensed Surveyor and filed along with the final partition decree in Case No. 269 of the District Court of Point Pedro of this lot marked 20 2 in the said plan in extent 1 Lachcham V.C. and 15, $5 / 8 \mathrm{kulies}$ and bounded on the East by lot 3 in the said plan, North by lots marked A, B in the said plan, West by the property of Manimekalai wife of the grantee and South by property belonging to me and others. The whole of the ground and coconut trees contained within these boundaries.
7. Land situated at - Do - called Eluveddy Mudaneclakaliddy of these parcels of land an extent of $8,3 / 4$ kulies of ground according to partition possession with well and coconut trees and arecanut tree contained therein and bounded on the East by the following 8 th property and by my property, North by road, West by the property of Manimekalai wife of the grantce ${ }^{30}$ and South by my property. Of those contained within these boundaries excluding one coconut tree standing on the North and the other coconut trees belonging exclusively and out of the ground, well and arecanut trees an undivided $1 / 4$ share of $2 / 3$ share.
8. Further out of the land called Eluveddy Mudaneelikaladdy an extent of 2 kulies of ground according to partition possession and bounded on the East by the property of Seethaledchimy wife of Muttukumarasamy North by road, West by the aforesaid 7th property and South by property belonging to me and Sangarapillai Rajendram. Of the ground contained within these boundaries $1 / 4$ share of $2 / 3$ share.
9. Land situated at Puloly West Malavarayakurichchy called Kovoakaladdy in extent 15, $7 / 8$ Lachchams V.C. the extent in possession for the Western half share is $7,13 / 16$ Lachchams V.C. with palmyrahs, vadalies. margosa trees and Ilanthai trees and bounded on the East by the property
of the heirs of Visuvanathar, Sangarapillai and others, North by the property belonging to me and others, West by the property of Subramaniam Kandiah and by the property of Parimalam wife of Nadarajah and South by the property of Subramaniam Kandiah. Of the whole of those contained within these boundaries an undivided $1 / 4$ share.
10. Land situated at - do - called Ivathai in extent $26 \frac{1}{4}$ Lachchams V.C. with palmyrah trees and vadalies and bounded on the East by lane, North by property of Thangammah widow of Arumugam and others, West by the property of Sinnathamby Sivapragasam and South by the property 10 of Mahesu wife of Pasupathy and others. Of those contained within these boundaries an undivided $1 / 4$ share.
11. Land situated at - Do - called Ivathai Vayal in extent 13, 3/4 Lachchams P.C. with palmyrahs and vadalies and bounded on the East and North by the aforesaid 10th property, West by the property of Sinnathamby Sivapragasam and South by the property of Mahesu wife of Pasupathy and others. Of those contained within these boundaries an undivided 1/4 share.
12. Land situated at - Do - called Tholiodai in extent 30, 1/4 Lachchams V.C. with palmyrahs and vadalies and bounded on the East by lane, ${ }^{20}$ North by lane and by the property of the heirs of Ayamuttu Karthigesar and others, West by the property of the heirs of Umayathai wife of Kovindar and others, and South by lane and by the property of the heirs of Arambar Chelliah and others. Of the whole of those contained within these boundaries an undivided $1 / 16$ share.
13. Land situated at Alvai Veerakodiakurichchy in Kaddaiveli Parish called Pullavadivayal in extent 11, 1/2 Lachchams P.C. Of this the extent on possession for $1 / 4$ share on the North is 2, 7/8 Lachchams P.G. and bounded on the East by the property of the heirs of Aiyamuttu Karthigesar and others, North by the property of Sivakamipillai widow of Periathamby ${ }^{30}$ and others, and West by the property of Wallipillai widow of Kulanthaivelu and others and South by the property of Valliappar Murugappar and others. Of these contained within these boundaries an undivided $1 / 4$ share.

In witness whereof we set our signatures to this and to two others of the same tenor the 2nd day of November, 1952 at Puloly West.
(Sgd.) V. Kanagaratnam,
(Sgd.) V. K. Subramaniam.
Witnesses :

(Sgd.) R. Sivapathasundaram.<br>(Sgd.) M. K. Kanapathipillai.

I, Ponnampalam Kanapathipillai Notary Public do hereby certify and attest and I have read over and explained the foregoing instrument to the said Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam and Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam Subramaniam in the presence of the witnesses hereto Ratnasingam Sivapathasundaram of Karanavai South and M. Kandiah Kanapathipillai of Puloly West and I know the executants and witnesses and the said executants and witnesses have in my presence and they in the presence of one another signed at the same time on the 2nd day of November, 1952 at Puloly West and the original bears one stamp of Re. 1/- and the duplicate seven stamps of Rs. 332/-.
$\begin{array}{lllllll}\mathbf{X} & \mathbf{X} & \mathbf{X} & \mathbf{X} & \mathbf{X} & \mathbf{X} & \mathbf{X}\end{array}$

Date of attestation
2nd November, 1952.
(Seal)
(Sgd.) P. Kanapathipillai,
(Sgd.) P. Kanapathipillai, Notary Public.

Translated by me :
(Sgd.)
Sworn Translator,
District Court, Point Pedro.
attested by
P. Kanapathipillai, Notary Public 2-11-52
$\qquad$

Deed of Donation No. 2798 attested by
P. Kanapathipillai, Notary Public TRANSLATION

Donation
2-11-1952.
Lands 5
Rs. 1,850/-
No. 2798
Know all men by these presents that I Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam of Puloly West for and on account of the natural love I have towards my son ${ }^{30}$ Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam Subramaniam of the same place and for other good causes which would urge me to this act do hereby set over and convey by way of donation unto the said Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam Subramaniam the properties mentioned in the following schedule worth Rs. 1,850/-.

I do hereby declare that the 1st, 2nd and 3rd properties mentioned in $D 9$ the said schedule belong to me as per final partition decree in Case No. 2263 Ded of Donation of the District Court of Anuradhapura and the 4th and 5th properties as per attested by transfer deed No. 6196 dated 6th January, 1952 and attested by V. K. Subra- Potary Public maniam Notary in my favour and by possession.

I the donee the said Subramaniam have accepted this donation with gratitude.

## The aforesaid Schedule

Land situated at Pandarapuliamkulam in Anuradhapura Town, Anu${ }^{10}$ radhapura District North Central Province called Ipalawela Pahalawela Kakka Mottawella in extent 33 acres 2 roods and 24 perches as per plan No. 662 dated the 14th February 1942 and prepared by T. Subramaniam Licensed Surveyor and filed along with the aforesaid final partition decree in Case No. 2263. Of this lot No. 4 in the said plan in extent 2 roods and 16 perches and bounded on the East and South by Crown land, North by the property of the Plaintiff in Case No. 2263 and West by lot 3 in the said plan. Of these contained within these boundaries an undivided half share.
2. Lot No. 5 in the said plan in extent 3 acres and 8 perches and bounded on the East by the property of the Plaintiff in the said Case No. 2263
${ }^{20}$ North by Crown land, West by lot No. 6 in the said plan and South by the property of Pulankulama. Of the whole of those contained within these boundaries an undivided half share.
3. Lot No. 9 in the said plan in extent 1 acre 1 rood and 16 perches and bounded on the East by Lot No. 8 in the said plan, North by Crown land, West Lot No. 10 in the said plan and South by the property of Pulamkulama. Of those contained within these boundaries an undivided half share.

In Naduchettikulapahuthy in Vavuniya South Vavuniya District Northern Province.
4. Land situated at Saththirikulamkulam Irai Lot No. 4177/1624 in ${ }^{30}$ Government Survey Plan No. 309266 called Kelkaddukadu in extent 3 acres 2 roods and 28 perches and land situated at - Do - called Palaiadikamam the lot described P.P. 6234/1 in Government Plan No. 368201 in extent 1 acre 3 roods and 16 perches and land situated at - Do - Karampaikadu the lot marked P.P 7027/1 described in Government Plan No. 398938 in extent 1 acre and 12 perches, total in extent 6 acres 2 roods and 16 perches. Of this an extent of 3 acres, 1 rood and 8 perches according to possession on the east and bounded on the East and North by Crown property, West by the property of Sinnapillai Kothandar and South by the property of Nagamany Muttiah. Of those contained within these boundaries
40 an undivided half share.

D 9
Deed of Donat ion No. 2798 attested by
P. Kanapathipillai, Notary Public 2-11-52 -Continued.
5. Land situated at Marukarampani Irai lot marked P.P. 7484/2 described in Government Plan No. 413735 called Karampanikadu in extent 3 acres and perches 39. Of this an extent of 1 acre 2 roods and $191 / 2$ perches according to possession on the North and bounded on the East by the property of Suppiah Kulasingam, North by the property of Sinniah Nagamany, West by Crown land and South by the property of the heirs of Sinnapillai Subramaniam. Of those contained within these boundaries an undivided half share.

In witness whereof we set our signatures to this and to two others of the same tenor on the 2nd day of November, 1952 at Puloly West.
(Sgd.) V Kanagaratnam,
(Sgd.) V. K. Subramaniam.
Witnesses :
(Sgd.) R. Shivapathasundaram,
(Sgd.) M. K. Kanapathipillai.
(Sgd.) P. Kanapathipillat, Notary Public.

I Ponnampalam Kanapathipillai Notary Public do hereby certify and attest that I have read over and explained the foregoing instrument to the said parties in the presence of the witnesses hereto and I know them and the ${ }^{20}$ said parties and witnesses have in my presence and they in the presence of one another signed at the same time on the 2nd day of November, 1952 at Puloly West and the original bears one stamp of Re. 1/- and the duplicate 3 stamps of Rs. $35 /$-.
$\begin{array}{lllllll}\mathbf{X} & \mathbf{X} & \mathbf{X} & \mathbf{X} & \mathbf{X} & \mathbf{X} & \mathbf{X}\end{array}$
Date of attestation :
2nd November, 1952.
(Sgd.) P. Kanapathipillai, Notary Public.

## (Seal)

Translated by me :
(Sgd.)
Sworn Translator, District Court, Point Pedro.
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2-11-1952.
Donation
Land 10.
Rs.10,000/-.
No. 2799
Know all men by these presents that I Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam of Puloly West for and on account of the natural love I have towards my daughter Meenammah wife of Alvapillai Rajaratnam of the same place and for other good causes which would urge me to this act do hereby set over and convey by way of donation unto the said Meenammah wife of M. Alvapillai Rajaratnam the properties mentioned in the following schedule worth Rs. 10,000/-.

## Title of Properties

I do hereby declare that out of the following properties, the 1st property belongs as per transfer deed No. 2792 dated the 18th February, 1935 and 3rd properties as per transfer Deed No. 3518 dated the 28 th day of June, 1936 and attested by V. Senathirajasegaram Notary in my favour and the 4th property as per donation Deed No. 10834 dated 25th December, 1909 and attested by P. Chithamparapillai Notary in my favour and the 5 th property as per conveyance No. 7684 dated 30th June, 1906 and attested by P. Chithamparapillai Notary in my favour and in favour of my brother and the 6th, 7th and 8th properties as per final partition decree in Case No. 2263 of the District Court of Anuradhapura in my favour and the 9th and 10th properties as per transfer deed No. 6196 dated the 6th day of January, 1952 and attested by V. K. Subramaniam Notary in my favour and by possession.

I the said Meenammah the donce do hereby accept this donation with the consent of my husband M. Alvapillai Rajaratnam.

## Schedule of Property

In Kaddaiveli parish Vadamaradchy Division Jaffna District Northern Province.

1. Land situated at Alvai Malavarayakurichchy called Vathiyavilanai in extent 60 Lachchams V.C. - do- 2, 5/8 Lachchams v.c. - Do - 17, 1/4 Lachchams V.C.- Do - thoddam 1-Do-29, 1/4 Lachchams V.C, total in extent 110 Lachchams V.C. and 8, $1 / 4$ kulies. Of this excluding 20 ${ }^{40}$ 1/4 Lachchams V.C. on the East taken for the burial ground the remaining

D 10
Deed of Donation
No. 2799
attested by
P. Kanapathipillai,

Notary Public 2-11-52
-Continued.
in extent 90 Lachchams V.C. and 3, 3/4 kulies. This is in extent 92 Lachchams V.C. and 5, $1 / 4$ kulies as per plan No. 523 prepared by K. Velauthan Licensed Surveyor and bounded on the East by lane and by burial ground North by the property of Valliappar Vethavanam West by the property of Valliappar Vethananam and by Sinnathangachchy wife of Chinniah and others and South by the property of Valliappar Vethavanam and others. Of the ground wells and the buildings where the water drawing machine is kept contained within the boundaries an undivided $1 / 4$ share and the share of the parapet wall on the Northern side of the Western boundary in Point Pedro Parish.
2. Land situated at Puloly West Singapahuthevankurichchy called Thevakaladdy in extent 15, 7/8 Lachchams V.C. Of this the extent for 5/12 share on the East according to possession at present is 7 Lachchams V.C. with palmyrahs and ilanthai trees and bounded on the East by the following 3rd property, North by the property of Kathirithamby Subramaniam and others, West by the property of Sethupillai wife of Sinnathambiar and others and South by the property of Suppar Murugesar and others. Of the whole of this an undivided half share.
3. Land situated at - Do - called Thevakaladdy in extent 15, 1/4 Lachchams V.C. with palmyrahs, vadalies and ilanthai trees and bounded ${ }^{20}$ on the East by the property of Ramu Alvar and others, North by the property of Thangammah wife of Subramaniam and others, West by the aforesaid 1st property and South by the property of Vallaithai wife of Kathiripillai and others. Of the whole of this an undivided $1 / 3$ share.
4. Land situated at Puloly West Malavarayakurichchy called Alagiawattai in extent 9, 1/8 Lachchams V.C. and land situated at Singapahuthevankurichchy called Alagiawattai 10 Lachchams V.C. these parcels of ground with the palmyrahs, vadalies and margosa trees and bounded on the East by the property of Kathirgamar Kanapathipillai and others, North by the property of the heirs of Vinaithithar Paramu and others West by the property of ${ }^{30}$ the heirs of Kathirithamby Ponniah and others and South by the village limit of Alvai, of those contained within these boundaries an undivided 1/32 share.
5. Land situated at Puloly West Malavarayakurichchy called Nedunkulavelitheni Thenkilakkil Metku in extent 72 Lachchams V.C. - Do 16, 5/8 Lachchams V.C. - Do-Vayal 23, 1/4 Lachchams P.C. thoddams - Do - 5, 3/8 Lachchams V.C. Of this out of the 1st parcel in extent 72 Lachchams V.C. an extent of 13 Lachchams V.C. on the East out of 26 Lachchams V.C. in the middle out of the ground on the West of the street passing through with well and coconut trees and bounded on the East by ${ }^{40}$ lane, North by the property of Sivakamipillai widow of Murugappar and others, West by the property of the heirs of Sinnapillai wife of Kathirithamby and others and South by the property of Parupathipillai wife of Paramu Sithamparapillai. Of the whole of those contained within these boundaries an undivided half share $4,2 / 3$ share.
6. Land situated at Pandarapuliankulam in Anuradhapura Town in D 1 Anuradhapura District North Central Province called Ipalawela Phahalawela Kakkamuddawella in extent 33 acres 2 roods and 24 perches as per plan No. 662 dated the 1 th February, 1942 and prepared by T. Subramaniam Licensed Surveyor and filed along with the aforesaid final partition decree in Case No. 2263. Of this lot No. 4 in the said plan in extent 2 roods and 16 perches and bounded on the East and South by Crown land, North by the property of the Plaintiff in Case No. 2263 and West by lot 3 in the said plan. Of those contained within these boundaries an undivided half share.
7. Lot No. 5 in the said plan in extent 3 acres and 8 perches and bounded on the East by the property of the Plaintiff in the said Case No. 2263 North by Crown land, West by lot No. 6 in the said plan and South by the property of Pulankulama. Of the whole of those contained within these boundaries an undivided half share.
8. Lot No. 9 in the said plan in extent 1 acre 1 rood and 16 perches and bounded on the East by lot No. 8 in the said plan, North by Crown land, West by lot No. 10 in the said plan and South by the property of Pulankulama. Of those contained within these boundaries an undivided half share.

In Naduchettikula Division Vavuniya South Vavuniya District Northern Province.
9. Land situated at Saththirikulankulam Lot No. 4177/1624 in Government Survey Plan No. 309266 called Kilkaddukadu in extent 3 acres 2 roods and 28 perches and land situated at -- Do - called Palaiadikamam the lot marked P.P. 6234/1 in Government plan No. 368201 in extent 1 acre 3 roods and 16 perches total in extent 6 acres 2 roods and 16 perches according to possession on the East and bounded on the East and North by Crown land, West by the property of Sinnapillai Kothandar and South by the property of Nagamany Muttiah. Of these contained within these boundaries an undivided half share.
10. Land situated at Marukarampan Irai lot marked P.P 7484/2 described in Government plan No. 413735 called Karampaikadu in extent 3 acres 2 roods and 19, $1 / 2$ perches according to possession on the North and bounded on the East by the property of Suppiah Kulasingam, North by the property of Sinniah Nagamany, West by Crown land and South by the property of the heirs of Sinnapillai Subramaniam. Of those contained within these boundaries an undivided half share.

In witness whereof we set our signatures to this and to two others of the same tenor on the 2nd day of November, 1952 at Puloly West.

Witnesses :
(Sgd.) R. Sivapathasundaram,
(Sgd.) M. K. Kanapathipillai.
(Sgd.) P Kanapathipillai, Notary Public.
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I Ponnampalam Kanapathipillai Notary Public do hereby certify and attest that I have read over and explained the foregoing instrument to the said parties in the presence of the witnesses hereto and I know them and the said parties and witnesses have in my presence and they in the presence of one another signed at the same time on the 2nd day of November, 1952 at Puloly West and the original bears one stamp of Re. 1/- and the duplicate six stamps of Rs. 168/-.
$\begin{array}{lllllll}\mathbf{X} & \mathbf{X} & \mathbf{X} & \mathbf{X} & \mathbf{X} & \mathbf{X} & \mathbf{X}\end{array}$
(Sgd.) P. Kanapathipillai,
(Sgd.) P. Kanapathipillai, Notary Public.
Date of Attestation :
2nd November, 1952.
(Seal)

Translated by me :
(Sgd.)
Sworn Translator, District Court, Point Pedro.

D 11
Deed of Donation
No. 2801
attested by
P. Kanapathipillai, Notary Public
2-11-52

D 11
Deed of Donation No. 2801 attested by
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TRANSLATION
Prior Registration Jaffna
1st, 2nd \& 5th lands A 44/152, 239/258, \& 286/184.

Donation, Lands 7. Rs. 16,000/-.

2-11-1952.
No. 2801
Know all men by these presents that I Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam of ${ }^{30}$ Puloly West for and on account of natural love I have towards my son $\mathbf{V}$. Kanagaratnam Sangarapillai of the same place and for other good causes which would urge me to this act do hereby set over and convey by way of donation unto the said V Kanagaratnam Sangarapillai the properties mentioned in the following schedule worth Rs. $16,000 /$ - subject to the following bindings.

## Title of Property

1) 11

Deed of I Oonation No. 2801
I do hereby declare that out of the following properties, the 1 st property ${ }^{\text {attested by }} \mathrm{p}$. Kanapathipilai. belongs as per transfer deed No. 2792 dated the 18th day of February, 1935 Notary public and attested by $V$ Scnathirajasegaram Notary Public in my favour and ${ }^{2-11-50}-{ }^{2}$ ontinued. and attested by V K. Subramaniam Notary Public in my favour and the 3rd and th properties as per transfer Deed No. 4915 dated 9 th March, 1942 and attested by C. Krishnapillai Notary Public and the ath property as per transfer deed No. 186 dated 24th March, 1941 and attested by V K. Subra-
10 maniam Notary and the 6 th property as per final partition decree in Case No. 17656 of the District Court of Jaffna and the 7 th property as per final partition decree in Case No. 2263 of the District Court of Anuradhapura and by possession and endorsement is made on the said Deed No. 2792 and I deliver herewith the other deeds and this donee shall not have the right to transfer or donate or mortgage or otty these propertics to others except he could dowry or donate to his children and will not subject to such debts and fines and he should possess these properties during his life time and allow them to his decendants and if he happens to die without children these properties should devolve in equal shares to his brothens. Subramaniam, Visu0 vanathar and sister Meenammah wife of Rajaratnam or to their heirs.

I the donee the said Sangarapillai do hereby accept this donation with gratitude subject to the aforesaid bindings.

## Schedule of Property

In Kaddaiveli Parish Vadamaradchy Division Jaffna District Northern Province.

1. Land situated at Alvai Malavarayakurichchy called Vathiyavilanai in extent 60 Lachchams V. (:--Do - 2. $5 / 8$ Lachchams V.C - Do-- 17, 1/4 Lachchams V.C - Do -- thoddam 1 -- Do - 29, 1/4 Lachchams V.C. total in extent 110 Lachchams v.e. and 8. $1 / 4$ kulies. Of this excluding 30 20, 1/4 Lachchams v.c. on the East taken for the burial giound the remaining in extent 90 Lachchams v.c. and 3, 3/4 Kulies. This is in extent 92 Lachchams V.C. and 5, $1 / 4$ kulies as per plan No. 523 prepared by K. Velautham Licensed Surveyor and bounded on the East by lane and by burial ground, North by the property of Valliappar Vethavanam. West by the property of Valliappar Yethavanam and by the property of Sinnathangachy wife of Sinniah and others. And South by the property of Valliappar Vethavanam. Of the ground, wells and the building where the machine to draw water contained within these boundaries an undivided $1 / 4$ share and share of the parapet wall on the N (rthern side of the Western boundary

## In Point Pedro Parish.

2. Land situated at Puloly West Singapahuthevankurichchy called Vatkalasiddy in extent 2, 3/4 Lachchams V.C. and land situated at Puloly West Veerapakutherankurichchy called Vatkalasiddy in extent $1,3 / 4$ Lachchams V.C. Veedu 1. The extent in possession of this land is 8 Lachehams
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V.C. Of these the Western half share in extent 4 Lachchams V.C. and bounded on the East by the property of Alvapillai Sathasivam, North and West by the property of Alvapillai Thamotherampillai and others and South by road. The whole of the ground and palmyrahs contained within these boundaries.
3. Land situated at Puloly West Singapahuthevankurichchy called Manthiodai in extent 26 Lachchams V.C'. Of this $5 / 8$ share on the South and out of the remaining $3 / 8$ share, $2 / 3$ share of the East form one lot. Of this lot Nos. 9, 10 and 11 as per plan dated the 19th October 1908 and prepared by Kathirgamar Kanapathipillai Licensed Surveyor in extent 4 Lachchams ${ }^{10}$ V.C. and 16, 10/32 kulies with palmyrahs and bounded on the East by the property of Sithamparam Sinniah and others, North by water channel, West, by the property of Ponnammah wife of Rasiah and South by the following 4 th property. The whole hereof and the share of well and the right of way and water course.
4. Land situated at - Do -- called Manthiodai out of the lot formed by two shares, lot No. 8 of the aforesaid plan in extent $1620 / 32$ kulies and bounded on the East by the property of Sithamparam Sinniah and others, North by the aforesaid Brd property, West by the property of Ponnammah wife of Rasiah and South by the property of Theivanai widow of Maniccan. ${ }^{20}$ The whole hereof and the share appertaining to this of the well and the right of way and water course.
5. Land situated at - Do - called Manthiodai. Out of the lot formed by two shares lot No. 2 in the aforesaid plan in extent 4 Lachchams v.c. and 2, 20/32 kulies with palmyrahs and bounded on the East by the property of Theivanaipillai wife of Muttukumarasamy and others, North by the property of Sithamparam Manikkam, West by the property of Kanapathiar Kumarappar and others and South by road. The whole of the ground buildings and the newly sunk well contained within these boundaries.
6. Land situated at Puloly West Malavarayakurichchy called Nedun- ${ }^{30}$ kulatvelithenythenkilakilmetku in extent 53 Lachchams V.C. and 10 kulies with wells. Of this lot No. 5 in plan No. 1450 dated the 10 th May, 1937 and prepared by K. Velmurgu Licensed Survesor and filed in the aforesaid case in extent 4 Lachchams V.C. and 2,5/16 kulies and bounded on the East by the property of Maheswary wife of Balakrishnan, North by property belonging to Alady Pillaiyar Temple, West by the property of Sothilingam and South by road. The whole of those contained within these boundaries.
7. Land situated at Pandarapuliyamkulam in Ihalaweewa in Kenda Korale Nuwarakam palatha in Anuradhapura District North Central Prorince called Siampalawella in extent 39 acres 3 roods $20 \cdot 9$ perches as per ${ }^{40}$ plan dated 5th October, 1942 and prepared by C. J. Sabapathy Licensed Surveyor and filed along with the final partition decree in the aforesaid case No. 2309 . Of this lot 1 in the said plan in extent 3 acres, 1 rood and 087 perches and bounded on the East by Railway Station, North by lot No. 2 in the said plan, West and South by lot marked 1D in the said plan. The whole of those contained within these boundaries.

In witness whereof we set our signatures to this and to two others of 111 the same tenor on the 2nd day of November, 1952 at Puloly West. Noed of attested by P. Kanapathipillai,
(Sgd.) V Kinigaratiom, P. Kanapathipital
(Sgd.) V.K. Singarapillai. $\quad \underset{-}{2-11-\operatorname{con}^{2}}-$
Witnesses:
(Sgd.) R. Sivapithasundaram,
(Sgd.) M. K. Kanapathipillai.

> (Sgd.) P Kanapathipillai, (Sgd.) P Kanapathipillai.

I Ponnampalam Kanapathipillai Notary Public do hereby certify and attest that I have read over and explained the foregoing instrument to the said Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam and Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam Sangarapillai in the presence of the witnesses hereto Ratnasingam Sivapathasundaram of Karanavai South and M. Kandiah Kanapathipillai of Puloly West and I know the parties and witnesses and the said parties and witnesses have in my presence and they in the presence of one another signed at the same time on the 2nd day of November, 1952 at Puloly West and the original bears one stamp of Re 1/- and the duplicate 6 stamps of Rs. 261/-.

| X | X | X | X |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

${ }^{20}$ Date of attestation : 2nd November, 1952.

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\text { (Sgd.) P. Kanapathipillai, } \\
\text { (Sgd.) P. Kanapathipillai, } \\
& \text { Notary Public. }
\end{array}
$$

(Scal)
Translated by me:
(Sgd.)
Sworn Translator.
District Court, Point Pedro.

Deed of Lease No. 2511 attested by 'T. Miylvaganam, Notary Public 24-7-59

Application No. 77
Lease.
13-7-1960.
1-Land,
Rs. 5 TG.
No. 2511
This indenture made between Viswanathar Kanakaratnam of Puloly West (hereinafter called the Lessor) of the one part and Secniyar Murugesu
40 of Kopay North presently of Wessagiriya Anuradhapura (hereinafter called the Lessee) of the other part Witnesseth that in consideration of the sum of

P4:3
Deed of Lease
No. 25II attested by T.
MyW:iganam, Notary Public $\because 4-7-5!$
-('omtimucd.
three bushels of paddy for each paddy sowing bushel and also in consideration of the further rents hereby reserved and the covenants and agreements hereinafter contained on the part and on behalf of the said Lessee to be paid and performed the said Lessor do hereby let, lease and devise unto the said Lessee, the land and premises in the schedule hereto morefully described.

To hold the said premises hereby devised with all and singular, easements, rights, and appurtenances, thereto belonging or therewith used or enjoyed unto the said Lessee, heirs, executors, administrators and assigns from the Yala season, Thousand nine hundred and fifty nine for and during the term of fully to be complete and end, ended, yielding and paying therefore ${ }^{10}$ during the said term unto the said Lessor his and heirs, executors, administrators, the Forty Eight bushels of paddy per cultivation season and said Lessee do hereby for himself his heirs, executors Administrators or assigns covenant with the said Lessor his heirs, executors administrators and assigns that the said Lessee or his aforewritten shall and will during the continuance of this lease pay the said rent in manner hereinbefore appointed and keep the said premises in clean and tenantable condition shall and will at the termination of this lease peaceably quit and surrender unto the said Lessor or his heirs executors, administrators or assigns and the said Lessor do hereby for himself his heirs, executors and administrators or assigns ${ }^{20}$ covenant, with the said Lessee his heirs, executors assigns that the said Lessee and his aforewritten paying the said rent in manner hereinbefore appointed and observing and performing the covenants and agreements on his part herein contained shall and may hold, occupy and enjoy the said premises during the term hereby devised without any let suit eviction or disturbance by or on the part of any person or persons lawfully claiming the said premises or any right over or share or interest in the same provided always that if the said or his aforewritten shall permit or allow the rent hereby reserved or any part thereof to be in arrears and unpaid after any of the days on which the same shall become due according to the true intent and mean- ${ }^{3 n}$ ing hereof or if the said or his aforewritten shall be guilty of a breach or nonperformance of any of the other covenants or agreements on these presents contained, on the part of Lessor or his aforewritten to be performed it shall be lawful for the said Lessor or his heirs aforewritten at any time thereafter to cancel and determine these presents and eject the said Lessec and his aforewritten from the said devised premises anything herein contained to the contrary notwithstanding.

In witness whereof the said Lessor, and the said Lessee have hercunto and to two others of the same tenor as these presents set their respective hands at Anuradhapura this Twenty Fourth day of July One Thousand nine ${ }^{40}$ hundred and fifty nine.

## The Schedule referred to

Land situated at Puliyankulam in the Division of Town in the District of Anuradhapura of the North Central Province called Siyambalawela in extent 39 acres 3 Roods and $20 \cdot 9$ perches as depicted in plan No. 670 dated 5.10.1942 and prepared by C. J. Sabapathy Licensed Surveyor of this 1 Lot No. 1 in extent 3 acres 1 rood and $08 \cdot 7$ perches and bounded on the East by

Reservation on the North by Lot No. $s$ in the said plan and on the West and Deed of Lease South by lot 1 D in the said plan. The whole of those contained within these boundaries.
2. Lot No. 6 in extent 4 acres 2 roods and $27 \cdot 5$ perches and bounded on the East by Lot No. 12 in the said plan on the North by Railway Reservation.

Witnesses:

1. (Sgd.) Illegibly
${ }^{10} 2$. (Sgd.) Illegibly
 (Sgd.) in Tamil
(Sgd.) S. Murugesu,
(Sgd.) T. Mylvaganam, Notary Public.

I, Thiagarajah Mylvaganam of Anuradhapura Notary Public do hereby certify and attest that the foregoing instrument having been duly read over and explained by me to the within named Lessor and Lessee the cxecutants hereof who are known to me in the presence of Mohammed Marikar Thaibudeen and Tikiri Banda Jayatilake Wijeratna both of Anuradhapura the attesting witnesses hereto both of whom known to me the same was signed by the said executant and also by the said witnesses in my presence and in the presence of one another all being present at the same time at Anuradhapura on this Twenty Fourth day of July, 1959. I further certify and attest that no consideration passed in my presence that the duplicate bears one stamp of the value of Rs. 10/- and the original one stamp of the value of Rc. 1/-.

Date of Attestation :
24th July. 1959.

> (Sgd.) T. Mylvaganam, Notary Public.

I, R. Gunasekera, Registrar of Lands, Anuradhapura, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true copy of a deed of a Lease Bond made from the duplicate filed of record in this office, and the same is granted on the application of T. Mylvaganam Esquire, Proctor S.C. Anuradhapura.
(Sgd.) R. Gunasekera, Registrar of Lands.
Land Registry, Anuradhapura, 13th July, 1960.
308
Application No. 39
Application No.
A3-3-62
A387, 8/3, $8 / 49,16 / 331,22 / 312$.

## Extracts from the Registration Entrie <br> Extracts from the Registration Entries in the Land Register Registry

_ـ_ As_
$\therefore$



$31$
312

| Division: A Volume : 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T.P. No. Allot No.: Asst. No. | Folio : 312 | Volume : <br> ught forward from : A16 <br> 4.48 <br> Town atd Strect : Basawakkula <br> ande Galkadatwala Tulana $\qquad$ Anuradhapura <br> Korale | Folio: <br> 331 <br> Nuwaragam Palatha : North Central | Boundarie <br> Eatent : | North by a water cou East by a land purcha South by land purcha water course South West, West and $3-3-32 \text { Six acres Thr }$ | path a <br> y M. D. <br> y M. S <br> West <br> ods Thi | d land purchased by $D$. Sellaya and H. Kavum K. K. Mohideen Lebbe <br> y a water course. <br> ty-two perches. | dage Mudaliyar. <br> escribed in plan No. 131742 and by a |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Date of } \\ & \text { Registry } \\ & \text { (Day Book } \\ & \text { No. and } \\ & \text { Date) } \end{aligned}$ | Grantors (Names in full, and residence) | Grantees <br> (Names in full, and residence) | Nature and Particulars of Alienations and Incumbrances <br> (To be concisely and clearly stated) | No. and Date of Deed | Name of Notary. Judge, \&c. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Regn. } \\ \text { Stamp } \\ \text { Duty } \end{gathered}$ | Signature of Registrar | Remaris |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1910 \\ & \text { February, } \\ & 6769 \end{aligned}$ | 1. Murugappar Ambalawanapillai and wife Nachchippillai of Puloly West <br> $\because$ | Pena Vinasithamby Subrama niam Irrigation Sub-Inspector now of Anuradhapura | Transfer of an undivided half sharc of the above. Consideration Rs. $1,000 /-$ | 15098 February 1908 | M. Subramaniam Notary Public | 4/- | (Sgd.) Pontian Peiris | Plan No. 181745 Korale Nuwaragam. <br> Boundaries North by property be- <br> longing to Sidharta Unnanse and others. <br> East by property belonging to the heirs of late M. D. Chelliah and others. <br> South by property belonging to <br> Don Hendrick de silva \& others. West by Timbiriwewe's property and Ela (channel or water course). Extent 7 acres (according to the deeds of gift attested) as per this deed. |
| 1910 <br> 2nd <br> February, <br> 6770 | Murugappar Ambalawanapillai and wife Nachchipillai of Puloly West | Viragattiyar Annamalai of Puloly West | Transfer of undivided half share of the above Consideration Rs. $1,000 /$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 15258 } \\ & \text { 1st } \\ & \text { January } \\ & \text { 1908 } \end{aligned}$ | M. Subramaniam Notary Public | 4/- | (Sgd.) Pontian Peiris | Do. Do. |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1910 \\ & \text { 14th March } \\ & 6892 \end{aligned}$ | 1. Vairavanathar Veerakathiar Subramaniam as and Veerakatbiar AnnaPuloly West | Sovereign Lord the King | Mortgage of an undivided half share of the above as sccurity for the due performance of the duties of a Notary by the First grantor <br> For the registration of the land in folio 311 as partitioned Lots see A 55 <br> 47 to 50 $\begin{gathered} \text { Intld. A. W. de S. } \\ \text { R. L. } \\ \text { 8.5.13 } \end{gathered}$ | No. <br> 21st <br> February <br> 1910 | R. N. Thaine, District Judge | Nil | (Sgd.) A. B. C. Abeyratne <br> Corried over to : | Volume : <br> Do. <br> Folio : |

I, E. D. W. Gunasekara, Registrar of lands, Anuradhapura. do hereby certify that the above are truc cxtracts made from the registration entries registered in Land Register Volumes A $3 / 287,8 / 3,8 / 49$,
16/331, $22 / 312$ up to and including 20 th January, 1962 and the same is granted on the application of K . Chandrasekaram Esqr., of Anuradhapura. Land District Registry,
Anuradhapura,
7th March, 1962.
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$314$


I, E. W. D. Gunasekara, Registrar of Lands. Anuradhapura do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true copy of the registration entry appearing in the Land Register A. Volume 4 folio $\mathbf{2 2 6}$ only and the same is granted
on
(Sgd.) E. W. D:'Guvasekara;


[^3]$317$

$818$

Division: A
Volume 104
$\stackrel{8}{8}$
12

${ }_{3} 20$

| Extracts from the Registration Entries in the Register of Lands at Anuradhapura Land Registry $\quad$ Application $\frac{\mathbf{N o} \text {. } 94}{22 / 5 / 62}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division : A <br> lio: 12 <br> Volume: 51 <br> - Volume: <br> Brought forward from : A4 <br> Name of Land: An allotment of land called Bulankulama <br> Folio: |  |  |  | Boundaries :- _ <br> North by land claimed by the Bulankulama family and reservation along the road. <br> Fast by a stream and lands described in Plan Nos. 131806 and 131807. <br> South East by a stream. <br> South by land described in Plan No. 123705 and land claimed by the Bulankulama family. <br> West by land claimed by Bulankulama family by a path and channel and Bulankulamakele claimed 10 <br> Extent: $24 \mathrm{~A}-0 \mathrm{R}-11 \mathrm{P}$. by T. B. Timbirigama Mudaliyar. |  |  |  |  |
| Date of Registry (Day Book No. and Date) | Grantors (Names in full, and residence | $\begin{gathered} \text { Grantees } \\ \text { (Names in full, and residence) } \end{gathered}$ | Nature and Particulars of Alienations and Incumbrances (To be concisely and clearly stated) | No. and Date of Deed | Name of Notary, Judge, \&t. | (ent $\begin{gathered}\text { Regn. } \\ \text { Stamp } \\ \text { Duty } \\ \text { Dut }\end{gathered}$ | Signature of Registrar | Remarks |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { D B } \\ & \text { 10089 } \\ & \text { 6th March } \\ & 1922 \end{aligned}$ | Suriya Kumara Wannisinghe Tikiri Banda Bulankulama of Bulankulama Walawwa | Daniel Subramaniar Arumugam of Anuradhapura | Mortgage of one undivided third share of the above for Rs. $600 /$ - with interest at $15 \%$ per annum. Subject to certain conditions set forth in the Deed. | No. <br> 222 <br> 21st <br> February <br> 1922 | V. Ramaswamy Notary Public | - | (Sgd.) A. W. De Silva | With the land in folio 13 <br> West by land claimed by the Bulankulama family, by a path and 20 channel and Bulankulamakele claimed by T. B. Timbiriwewe Mudaliyar as per this deed. Interest $12 \%$ per annum if paid on the 21 st day of February every year 0 : or within one calendar month thereafter. <br> (Intld.) A. W. S. <br> Registrar of Lands. |
| DB <br> 2531 <br> 17th April <br> 192 | Suriya Kumara Wannisinghe Loku Kumarihamy of Anuradhapura | Solomon Johnson of Anura- dhapura | Mortgage of undivided one-third share of the above for Rs. $500 /$ - with interest at $15 \%$ per annum. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4903 } \\ & \text { 11th April } \\ & 1924 \end{aligned}$ | D. S. Arumugam | - | (Sgd.) A. W. de Silva | With land in folio 13 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { DB } \\ & 2834 \\ & 30 t h \text { June } \\ & 1924 \end{aligned}$ | Solomon Johnson of Anuradhapura | Sooriyakumara Wannisinghe Loku Kumarihamy of Anuradhapura. | Discharge of the above registered mortgage bond No. 4903 of 11 April, , 924 attested by D. S. Arumu- gam, Notary Public. | Dated 26th June 1924 | - | 2/- | (Sgd.) A. W. de Silva | With land in folio 13 |
| 5858 11th November 1925 | Valliappar Ramalingam of <br> 1. Bulankulama Walawwa <br> 2. Tikiri Banda Bulankulam <br> 3. P. Dharmapala Unnanse and <br> 4. D. S. Arumugam | Anuradhapura <br> tiff) <br> ku Kumarihamy Weragama. <br> Anuradhapura <br> ndants) | Decree of Partition whereby it is ordered and decreed that the above be partitioned into 5 lots. Lot No. (1) to the Plaintiff Lot No. 2 to the 2nd Deft., subject to mortgage bond No. 222 dated $21-2-1921$ of V. RannaNo. 222 dated $21-2-1221$ () to the 1st samy, N.P. Lot No. (3) to Deft., Lot No. (4) to the plaintiff, the ist and 2nd Defts. to be possessed in common, the share of the 2nd Deft. being subject to the mortgage as aforesaid and Lot (5) to the 3rd Deft., subject to the conditions of the Decree. |  |  |  | Carried over to | Volume : Folio : ${ }^{50}$ |

3


| Division: A Volume : 56 |  |  |  | Brundriers:- |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\text { Folio: } 205$ | Name of Land : Siya | Volume. <br> Brought forward from <br> mbalagahawela | Folio : | N. Lot (1) called Mullagalakele and 1 F called Puliyankulama. <br> E. Lot 1 F called Puliyankulama Mukalana. |  |  |  |  |
| T.P. No. <br> Lot No. 6 <br> Asst. No. |  |  |  | s.E. Lot 1 called <br> S. High Road to Ranbewa and Lot (1D) called Mullagalakele. <br> W Jot I D called Mullagalakele. |  |  |  |  |
| Date of Registry (Day Book No. and Date) | Grantors (Names in full, and residence | Grantees <br> (Names in full, and residence) | Nature and Particulars of Alienations and Incumbrances (To be concisely and clearly stated) | $\underset{\substack{\text { No. and } \\ \text { Date of } \\ \text { Deed }}}{ }$ | Name of Notary, Judge, \&e. | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|l\|} \text { Regn. } \\ \text { Stamp } \\ \text { Duty } \end{array}$ | Signature of Registrar | Remarks |
|  | S. W. L. B. Bulankulama | S. W. P. B. Bulankulama | Transfer of an undivided one-fifth share of the above. Total consideration Rs. 6,000/- | 285 <br> 25th <br> August <br> 1923 | D. W. Biyanwila Notary Pu.lic | -- | (Sgd.) A. W. de Silva | With lands in folios 201 to 204 and 206 to 207 |
| DB 178 19th January 1928 | Bulankulama Walawwe Loku Kumarihamy Weragama of Bulankulama <br> Walawwa in Anuradhapura | Seena Thana Appavoopillai of Anuradhapura | Mortgage of undivided one-fifth share of the above for Rs. $\mathbf{8 0 0} /$ - with interest at $24 \%$ per annum. | 951 <br> 2nd <br> December <br> 1927 | V. Ramaswamy <br> Notary Public | - | $\text { (Sgd.) } \underset{\text { Kiravita }}{\text { D. L. P. P. }}$ | With lands in A63/448 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \quad \begin{array}{l} 2394 \\ \text { November } \\ 30 \\ \text { N7th } \\ 1928 \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Bulankulama Walawwe Loku Kumarihamy Weragama of Rulankulama | Visuvanathar Kanagaratnam of Puloly West, Point Pedro. | Mortgage of an undivided one-fifth share of the above for Rs. 1,500/- with interest at $15 \%$ per annum if with interest at $15 \%$ per annum paid annually at $12 \%$ per annum. | $\begin{array}{\|l} 1328 \\ \text { November } \\ \text { 15th, } 1928 \end{array}$ | A. Sivacolundu Notary Public | - | (Sgd.) A.. Manikavasagar | With lands in A 74/61 |
| 240327 th November 1928 | The address of the Mort gagee in the above registered bond No. 1328 of 15 th thar Kanagaratnam (\%. A. Sivacolundu, Proctor, Supreme Court, Anuradhapura. |  |  | Application <br> dated 26th <br> November <br> 1923 |  | -/60 | (Sgd.) A. Manika- | With lands in 74/61 |
| $\begin{gathered} 2405 \\ \stackrel{2405}{\text { November }} \\ \text { 27th, } 1928 \end{gathered}$ | Pena Seena Thena Appavoopillai of Anuradhapura. | Bulankulama Walawwe Loku Kumarihamy Weragama of Bulankulama Walawwa. | Discharge of the Mortgage Bond No. 951 of 2-11-27. attested by $\mathbf{V}$. Ramasamy, Notary Public and RecgisRamasamy, Notary Public and Regis- tered above. | Discharge dated 15th 1928 | - | 2/- | (Sgd.) A. Manika- <br> . vasagar <br> Carried over to | With lands in F. 81/197 <br> Volume A 91 Folio: 67 |
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| Folio: 67 <br> T.P. No. <br> Lot No. 6 <br> Asst. No. | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { Villuge or To } \\ \\ \text { Pattu: Kanc } \\ \text { District : An } \end{array}\right.$ | Volume : <br> Hronght forcard from: $\mathbf{3 6}$ <br> Name of Land: Siyambalagahaw <br> wen and Strect: Bandara Puliyan <br> de <br> nuradhepura Thalawela Tulan | Folio : <br> ulama <br> 205 <br> Korale: Nuwaragampalata <br> Prozince: North Central | Bounduri <br> Ertcint: | N. Lot 1 D called Mu <br> E. Lot if called Pul <br> S E. Lot 1 called <br> S. High Road to Ra <br> W. Lot iD called M <br> 11--R3-P8 (Exclusive | $\begin{aligned} & \text { thakele a } \\ & \text { kulama } \\ & \text { wa } \\ & \text { watand } \\ & \text { ahakele. } \\ & \text { he Rail I } \end{aligned}$ | nd 1 F called Puliyankula Mukalana. <br> ID called Mullagahake <br> Road and reservation alon | Mukalana. <br> the same passing through the land)* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date of Registry (Day Book No. and Nate) | Grantors (Names in full, and residence) | Grantces <br> (Names in full, and residence) | Nature and Particulars of Alienations and Incumbrances (To be concisely and clearly stated) | No. and Deed | Name of Notary, Judge, \&e. | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{\|l\|l} \text { Regn. } \\ \text { Stamp } \\ \text { Suty } \end{array}\right.$ | Siguature of Registrar | t(1;7i) <br> RHMARKS |
| D.B. Sib4 16th 1931 | Tikiri Banda Bulankulama of Bulankulama Walawwa at Bandara Bulankulama in Anuradhapura. | Vaithianathar Mylvaganam of Anuradhapura | share of the above. Consideration <br> Transfer of one undivided fifth Rs. 1000 /- for this and another. | 1804 <br> 31st August <br> 1931 | A. Sivacolundu Notary Pullic | -- | (Sgd.) (G. S. A. de Silva | With another see folio 68 land Siyambalawela |
| 479 23rd March 1932 | V. Canagaratnam by his Attorney, Puloly West in Point Pedro. <br> Plaintiff. <br> Vs. <br> Bulankulama Walawwe Loku Kumarihany of Bulankulama in Anuradhapura Defendant. |  | Lispendens mortgage action affecting an undivided one-fifth share of the above | D.C. 1765 dated 28rd March 1932 | A. Sivacolundu Notary Public | 5/- | (Sgd.) G. S. A. de Silva | With land in folio 68 land Siyambalawela |
| 1797 10th Nove 1933 | Bulankulama Walawwe Lo ku Kumarihamy of Bulankulama | Visuvanathar Kanakaratnain of Puloly West. | Transfer of an undivided fifth share of re above. Consideration Rs. 1,500/for this and another. | 2190 16th <br> October <br> 1983 | A. Sivacolundu | - | (Sgd.) G. S. A. de Silva | With another see folio 68 land Siyambalagahawela |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 834 15th } \\ & \text { July } \\ & \text { 1939 } \end{aligned}$ | Vaithianathar Mylvaganam of Anuradhapura | 1. Mailvaganam Sivaramalingam <br> 2. Mailvaganam Nallanathan <br> 3. Mailvaganam Ramanathan <br> 4. Sellathurai Pancharatnam Vadivelnathan <br> 5. Sellathurai Kamalanathan <br> 6. Sellathurai Vaithianathan <br> 7. Sellathurai Seetha Lakshimi all of Anuradhapura. | Gift of undivided one-fifth of the above subject to the conditions set forth in the deed. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2560 } \\ & \text { 12th June } \\ & 1939 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\text { Notary Public }}{\text { V. Ramasamy }}$ | - | (Sgd.) N. D. W. Perera <br> Carried over to | Land: Siyambalawela <br> Value: Rs. 6,000/- for this and lands in A 104/10 and A 100/291 <br> Volume: A122 Folio: 134 |
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|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Division: A Volume : 93 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T.P. No. <br> Lot No. $\qquad$ <br> Asst. No. | Name of Land: <br> Ihal $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Village or To } \\ \text { Pattu : ... } \\ \text { District : An }\end{array}\right.$ | Brought forward from <br> awela, Pahalawela and Kakkam <br> oren and Street : Bandara Bulan <br> nuradhapura <br> in Anuradhapu | ttawela. <br> ulama <br> Town. <br> Korale : <br> Proviner: North Central | Boundarie <br> Extent : | N. Crown land, Pank <br> E. Acrelands belong <br> S. Land belonging to <br> W. Lands belonging <br> 5A-0R-0P. | a Tank <br> o Bulan <br> lankula <br> ulankula | and land belonging to the kulama Family. ma Family and Crown lan ma Family. | Bulankulama Family. |
| Date of Registry (Day Book Date) | Grantors (Names in full, and residence) | Grantees <br> (Names in full, and residence) | Nature and Particulars of Alienations and Incumbrances <br> (To be concisely and clearly stated) | No. and Date of Deed | Name of Notary, | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { Regn. } \\ \text { Stamp } \\ \text { Duty } \end{array}$ | Signature of Registrar | Remaris |
| D.B. 1101 15th August 1932 | Suriyakumara Banda Bulankulama Dissawa and wife. <br> WeragamaKahandewalavuwe Bulankulama Tikiri Kumarihamy hoth of Nuwarawewa in Anuradhapura | Sooriyakumara Wannisinghe Medduma Banda Bulankuwe in Anuradhapura lama of Bulankulama Walavu- we in Anuradhapura | Conveyance of an undivided two-fifth shares of the above in exchange for 206. the lands registered in folio 205 and | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2846 } \\ \text { 28th July } \\ 1932 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { S. Nataraja } \\ & \text { Notary Public } \end{aligned}$ | R. 25/- | (Sgd.) G. S. A. de Silva | With lands in folios 203-206. Registration of this deed is suspended pending instructions from R.G. entry completed on 15-4-32 <br> (Intld.) G. S. A. de S. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Carried over to | $\text { Volume : A96 Folio: } 156$ |

$988$

ฐ
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P 28
Application No. 85
4-5-62

I, W. J. M. Weerasuriya, Registrar of Lands, Anuradhapura, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true copy of the registration entries imade from Land Register A: Volume 76, Folio 100 of this office upto and
including $9 / 5 / 62$ and the same is granted on the application of K . Chandrasekaram, Esquire of Anuradhapura.
(Sgd.) W. J. M. Welerasluriya,
$\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$
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[^4]Land Registry,
Point Pedro,
28rd August, 1961
(Sgd.) S. T. Selvarajah,
330

I, S. T. Selvarajah, Registrar of Lands of Point Pedro, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true copy of the registration entry appearing in the Land Registers Jaffna A. Volume 39 Folio, 234 of this office upto
and including the 12th day of August, 196i, and the same is granted on the application of K. Kandappa Esq., Puloly West, Point Pedro. (Sgd.) S. T. Selvarajain,

I. T. Selvarajah, Registrar of Lands of Point Pedro, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true copy of the registration entries appearing in the Land Register, Jaffna A. Vol. 154 Folio 285 of this office
upto and including the 12th February, 1962 and the same is granted on the application of K. Kandappa Esqr., of Puloly West, Point Pedro.
Land Registry,
Point Pedro,
Sgi.) T. Smlvaraidah,
332
P 32
Extracts from the Registration Entries in the Land Register at Point Pedro Land Registry

|  |
| :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |

[^5]Extract from the Registration Entries in the Land Register at Point Pedro Land Registry



Land Registry,
Point Pedro.
8th Xugust, 1961
-
楽

Application No. 139
$\begin{array}{r}27-2-62 \\ \text { Jaffna A. } 331 \\ 117\end{array}$


I, T. Selvarajah, Registrar of Lands of Point Pedro do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true copy of the registration entries appearing in the land register Jaffna, A-Volume 331, Folio 117, of this office,
upto and including the 12th February, 1962 and the same is granted on the application of K. Kandappa Esqr., Puloly West, Point Pedro. Land Registry,
Point Pedro,
March 9th, 1962.
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P 35
Extracts from the Registration Entries in the L
Extracts from the Registration Entries in the Land Register at Point Pedro La ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ Registry.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Folio : } \begin{array}{c}
\text { Solume: } \\
\text { Brought forsard from }
\end{array} \\
& \text { Name of Land: Nedunkulavelitheni (Lot 1) }
\end{aligned}
$$

West: Property of Kathirithampy Sabapathy and others. others.

Extent: 4 Lachetiams V.C.and 8, 8/16 kulies
Name of Notary,
Judge. \&te.
$z$
$\underset{\text { Proctor }}{\text { K. Subramaniam }}$ st, Point Pedro
(Sgd.) 'T. Selvarajah, $\quad$ Registrat of Lands.
$\circ$


| Division: A Volume : 208 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Folio: 89 <br> T.P. No. <br> Lot No. <br> Asst. No. | For previ <br> Name of Land: Nun | tiansactions <br> Volume : <br> Brought forward from A 11 <br> aiyappulam <br> own and Street : Virasuntaramu <br> daiveli <br> affna <br> Korale <br> Provin | likurichchi. <br> : Northern <br> Folid: | Boundari <br> Extent: | E. Property of Kan <br> N. Property of Nak <br> W. Property of Mail <br> S. Property of Kan <br> Lachchams V.C. $3 \frac{1}{8}$ | atiresu <br> Para <br> am Ar <br> n Tam | others. <br> \& others. ${ }^{*}$ <br> ampalam. <br> iya \& others. | ? |
| Date of Registry (Day Book No. and Date) | Grantors <br> (Names in full, and residence) | Grantees <br> (Names in full, and residence) | Nature and particulars of Alienations and Incumbrances <br> (To be concisely and clearly stated) | No. and Date of Deed | Name of Notary, Judge, \&c. | Regn. Stamp Duty | Signature df Registrar | Remaris |
| $\begin{aligned} & 7428 \\ & \text { 11th May } \\ & 1936 \end{aligned}$ | V. Chetunatapillai of Thunnalai North | Ponnamma wife of V. Paranchoti of Thunnalai North | Donor of undivided $5 \mathrm{Lms} V$. (. out of Undivided half $\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$ share of the above. Value Rs. 2500/- of this and 4 lands | $\begin{aligned} & 5141 \\ & 27 \mathrm{th} \text { April } \\ & 1930 \end{aligned}$ | S. Tambimuttu, N.P. | - | (Sgd.) S. Veluppillai | With 4 lands in Folio 88 etc. |

[^6]
. ${ }^{\text {ming}}$
Application No. $\frac{572}{7-8-61 .}$
I
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Extract from the Registration Entries in the Land



I, S. T. Selvarajah, Registrar of Lands of Point Pedro do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true copy of the registration entries appearing in the Land Register of Jaffna A. Volume 41 Folio 28, of this office
upto and including the 12th day of August, 1961 and the same is granted on the application of K. Kandappa, Puloly West. Point Pedro.
(Sgd.). S. T. S


I. T. Selvarajah, Registrar of Lands of Point Pedro, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true copy of the registration entrics appearing in the Land Register Jaffna A. Vol. 88 Fol. 250 of this office upto
and including the 12th February, 1962 and the same is granted on the application of K. Kandappa Esqr., of Puloly West, Point Pedro-Land Register is damaged at places marked ellipses.

${ }^{343}$



Extracts from the Registration Entries in the Land Register at Point Pedro Land Registry

Supreme Court of Ceylon,
Y. 283 (Final) of 1963.

District Court of Point Pedro, Case No. 51:1.

> In Her Maisiy's Privy Council on an Appeal from
> The Supreme Court of Ceylon

Betwees
(Dead) VISWANATHAR KANAGARATNAM of Puloly West.

> Origina! Defendant)

VISWANATHAR KANAGARATNAM SLBRAMANTAM of Puloly West as Executer of the Last Will and Testament of the late VISW.S.ITHAR KLNAGARATNAM of Puloly West.
(SCBSTITLTED DEFENDANT - APPELLANT)
APPELLANT

And

KANAGARATNAM KADIRGAMAN of Puloly West, Administrator of the Estate of RASAMMAH in Testamentary Case No. 400 in the District Court of Point Pedro.
(PLAINTIFF - RESPONDENT)
RESPONDENT

## RECORD <br> OF PROCEEDINGS

Printed at the Caxton Printing Works Led., Cotombo 12. 1\%67.


[^0]:    

[^1]:[^2]:    

[^3]:     ffice, up to and including the 1st September, 1981 and the same is granted on the application of T. Mylvaganam Esqr.. of Anuradhapura.

    Anuradhayura,
    loth October, 1961

[^4]:    I, S. T. Selvarajah, Registrar of Lands of Point Pedro, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true copy of the registration entries appearing in the Land Register Jaffna, A Volume 7 Folio 69 of this
    office up to and including the 12 th day of August, 1961 and the same is granted on the application of K. Kandappa Esqr., Puloly West, Point Pedro.

[^5]:    I, T. Selvarajah, Registrar of Lands of Point Pedro, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true copy of the registration entries appearing in the Land Register Jaffna. A Vol. 185 Folio 11 of this office upto and
    including the 12th February, 1962 and the same is granted on the application of K. Kandappa Esqr., of Puloly West, Point Pedro.
    (Sgd.) T. SElivarasah,
    Registrar of Lands.

[^6]:    I, S. T. Selvarajah, Registrar of Lands of Point Pedro do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true copy of the registration entries appearing in the Land Register Jaffina 1 Volume 11 folio 81 and A Volume 208
    folio 89 of this office upto and including the 12 th day of February, 1962 and the same is granted on the application of $K$. Kandappa Esq., Pulofi West, Point Pedro.
    (Sgd.) $\underset{\text { Registrat of Lands. }}{\text { S. T. }}$

