IN THE PRIVY COUNCIL

No. 40 of 1964.

ON APPEAL

FROM THE SUPREME COURT OF THE BAHAMA ISLANDS.

BETWEEN

1963 No. 391

EQUITY SIDE

IN THE MATTER of the Quieting Titles Act 1959

- and -

10

15

20

25

5

UNIVERSITY OF LONDON INSTITUTE OF ADVANCED LEGAL STUDIES

16 JAN1969

25 RUSSELL SQUARE LONDON, W.C.1.

IN THE MATTER of Seventy six one hundred and fifths undivided interests in and to all that tract of land being part of Lot Number Eight (8) at Hog Island now known as Paradise Island containing thirty two and fifteen hundredths (32.15) acres and being bounded on the North by the sea, on the East by Lot Number Nine (9), on the South by the Harbour of Nassau, and on the West by the other portion of Lot Number Eight (8)

- and -

IN THE MATTER of the Petition of Paradise Beach and Transportation Company Limited, Beach Head Limited and Eleanor Parroti, Joycelyn Moxey, Mizpah Burrows and Frederick Burrows

CASE FOR RESPONDENTS

30 This is an appeal from the Judgment of Seare J. in the Supreme Court of the Bahamas Equity Side dismissing the Petition of the Appellants by which Petition the Appellants were seeking a declaration under the Quieting 35 Titles Act 1959 in respect of an undivided 17/21

forming part of Lot Number 8 at Hog Island now known as Paradise Island. It is common ground between the parties that the entirety of the land in dispute was vested in 5 John Alexander Burrows (hereinafter called "the Testator") on his death on the 23rd October 1913 and that such land devolved under the terms of his Will dated the 22nd November 1912. Page 120 By his said Will the Testator devised his real 10 Page 120 estate as to one third to his wife Elizabeth who Line 10 died on the 2nd May 1918 for life and after her death he devised the one third to his grandsons Percy Webb and Clarence Asgin as tenants in common and the other two thirds of his real estate he 15 devised to seven of his children namely Nehemiah Burrows Joseph Burrows Roseliza E. Price Victoria L. Hanna Eliza B. Hall Veronica L. Murray and Miriam A. Stuart to be held by them as tenants 20 in common. The said grandchildren and children are now The Respondent Cyril Price Robinson all dead. was joined in these proceedings as a representative of the devisees of Victoria Hanna and the 25 Respondents Beatrice Louise Lightbourn and Edith Augusta Price are the devisees of Roseliza Price. The learned Judge considered the devolution of the interests of each of the above-mentioned tenants in common and the Respondents accept his conclusion on such devolution which he summarised 30 Page 30 Line 8 as follows:-The Appellant Paradise Beach and 35/105 Shares Transportation Co. Ltd. The Appellant Beach Head Limited 5/105 Shares 35 The four Appellant children of 45/105 Shares John Burrows (Cousin John) 44/210) 46/210) The Crown The Respondent Cyril Price Robinson as a representative of the devisees of Victoria Hanna 10/105 Shares 40 The Respondents Beatrice Louise Lightbourn and Edith Augusta Price as devisees of Roseliza Price 10/105 Shares

interest in a tract of land of about 321 acres

as tenants in common.

6. In addition to 20/105 Shares to which are entitled under the documentary title the Respondents claim the entirety of the land by virtue of long possession of themselves and their respective predecessors in title namely Victoria Hanna and Roseliza Price.

5

- 7. Victoria Hanna and Roseliza Price were Fage 49 farming the disputed land before the death Line 7 of the Testator in 1913 with his permission and thereafter they continued in possession of the land for their respective lives and their successors in title have since remained in possession.
- 8. The Respondents' primary contention is that none of the other devisees under the Testator's Will ever entered into possession of the disputed land and accordingly that their respective rights to make an entry upon or to bring an action to recover the disputed land became barred after the expiration of 20 years from the death of the Testator.
- 9. In support of this contention the Respondents rely upon the Real Property Limitation (No. 1) Act 1833 (Cap. 216) and in particular the Respondents say that upon the true construction of Section 3 of the Act of 1833 as none of such other devisees under the Testator's Will ever entered into possession time commenced to run against them from the death of the Testator and expired in 1933.

Page 45

Line 9

- Judge that Nehemiah Burrows one of the devisees under the Testator's Will in fact entered into possession of the disputed land. The Respondents say that the learned Judge rightly found on the evidence before him that Nehemiah Burrows never entered into possession of the disputed land.
- 11. If contrary to the contention of the Respondents Nehemiah Burrows did enter into possession of the disputed land then the Respondents say upon the true construction of the above-mentioned Acts

		run on his death on the 24th September 1917 and that as none of the devisees under the Will of Nehemiah Burrows ever entered into possession the right to make an entry upon and the right to bring an action to recover the disputed land in respect of his share therein became barred in 1937.	5
Page Line		12. Upon the documentary title found by the learned Judge John Burrows (Cousin John) the eldest son of Nehemiah Burrows took as one of seven joint tenants under the Will of his father Nehemiah Burrows his father's share in the disputed land and as heir-at-law the share of the grandson Percy Webb who died intestate in 1923.	10
Page Line	27	13. A further claim was made before the learned Judge that John Burrows (Cousin John) entered into possession of the disputed land but the Respondents say that the learned Judge rightly found on the evidence before	20
Page Line		him that John Burrows (Cousin John) never entered into possession of the disputed land.	
Page Line		14. If contrary to the contention of the Respondents John Burrows (Cousin John) did enter into possession of the disputed land then the Respondents say upon the true construction of the above-mentioned Acts time in respect (a) of his interest as a	25
		joint tenant under the Will of his father Nehemiah Burrows and (b) of his interest in the share of Percy Webb as his heir-at-law commenced to run from his death on the 17th July 1939.	30
Page Line		15. None of the joint tenants under the Will of Nehemiah Burrows who survived John Burrows (Cousin John) ever entered into possession of the disputed land and accordingly their respective rights to recover the disputed land became barred in 1959.	35
			40
Page	124	16. The Appellants Eleanor Parroti, Mizpah Burrows, Frederick Burrows and Joycelyn Moxey together with John Burrows Junior who has since died became entitled under the provisions of the Will of John Burrows (Cousin John) to the interest in the disputed land taken by John Burrows as heir-at-law of the grandson Percy Webb but none of such persons entered	45

into possession of the disputed land and accordingly their respective rights to recover the disputed land also became barred in 1959.

17. For these reasons and upon the grounds stated in the reasons for the Judgment of Seare J. it will be contended on behalf of the Respondents that the Judgment dismissing the Appellants' Petition is right and should be upheld.

KENNETH W. RUBIN, 23/6/67.

IN THE PRIVY COUNCIL

ON APPEAL FROM THE SUPREME COURT OF THE BAHAMA ISLANDS.

Re: The Quieting Titles Act 1959

- and -

Re: 76/105th Shares in certain land in Hog Island

- and -

Re: The Petition of Paradise
Beach & Transportation Ltd
and Others

CASE FOR RESPONDENTS

Bulcraig & Davis, Amberley House, Norfolk Street, W.C.2.