

Privy Council Appeal No. 138 of 1920.

Bengal Appeal No. 30 of 1918.

Srimati Jinnatannessa Khatun and another (substituted for T. C.
Tweedie) - - - - - *Appellants*

v.

Jogesh Chandra Roy Chowdhury and others - - - *Respondents*

FROM

THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT FORT WILLIAM IN BENGAL.

JUDGMENT OF THE LORDS OF THE JUDICIAL COMMITTEE OF THE
PRIVY COUNCIL. DELIVERED THE 30TH APRIL, 1923.

Present at the Hearing :

LORD BUCKMASTER.

LORD SUMNER.

SIR JOHN EDGE.

MR. AMEER ALI.

LORD SALVESEN.

[*Delivered by* LORD BUCKMASTER.]

Their Lordships have listened to very careful and useful arguments by the appellants' counsel in support of this appeal, but they find themselves quite unable to advise His Majesty that the appeal should be allowed. In substance it can only succeed if it is possible for the appellants to show that, upon some ground well known to the law, the award that is the subject matter of the whole dispute can be successfully impeached. Their Lordships are unable to find that any adequate reason is given in support of that view and they will, therefore, humbly advise His Majesty that the judgment of the High Court should be affirmed and this appeal dismissed. The respondents have not appeared before the Board, but they have incurred certain costs including the lodgment of their printed case, and these must be paid by the appellants.

In the Privy Council.

SRI MATI JINNATANNESSA KHATUN AND
ANOTHER (SUBSTITUTED FOR T. C.
TWEEDIE)

v.

JOGESH CHANDRA ROY CHOWDHURY AND
OTHERS.

DELIVERED BY LORD BUCKMASTER.