



PATENTS ACT 1977

PARTIES Rolls-Royce Plc, Douglas John Angus, Alexander

Edward Henry Fitzhugh and Michael Thomas Turner

ISSUE Whether a certificate should be issued in

accordance with section 13(3) to the effect that Michael Thomas Turner should not have been mentioned as a joint inventor in patent number

GB2484569

HEARING OFFICER A R BUSHELL

DECISION

- 1 Patents Form 7/77 names three inventors, Douglas John Angus, Alexander Edward Henry Fitzhugh and Michael Thomas Turner.
- 2 Rolls-Royce plc, the current patent proprietor, has made an application under section 13(3) of the Act to the effect that Michael Thomas Turner should not have been mentioned as a joint inventor.
- Michael Thomas Turner has confirmed in a written declaration that he should not have been mentioned as a joint inventor. For their part, Douglas John Angus and Alexander Edward Henry Fitzhugh have also signed the written declaration confirming that Michael Thomas Turner should not have been mentioned as a joint inventor. I therefore conclude that all relevant parties agree that Michael Thomas Turner should not have been mentioned as a joint inventor in the published patent application or granted patent for this invention.
- Accordingly I find that Michael Thomas Turner should not have been mentioned as an inventor in respect of published patent number GB2484569 and the subsequent granted patent. This decision, issued in accordance with section 13(3), serves as a certificate to this effect. I also direct that an addendum slip be prepared for the published patent application and granted patent stating that Michael Thomas Turner should not have been mentioned as an inventor.

AR BUSHELL

B3 Head of Litigation Section, acting for the comptroller