

27 November 2012



## **PATENTS ACT 1977**

PARTIES Lancer GB LLP, Paul Haskayne, Robert Walter

Shettle

ISSUE Whether a certificate should be issued in

accordance with section 13(3) to the effect that Paul Haskayne should not have been mentioned as a joint inventor in patent number GB2456598

HEARING OFFICER

A R BUSHELL

## DECISION

- 1 Patents Form 7/77 names two inventors, Paul Haskayne and Robert Walter Shettle.
- 2 Lancer GB LLP, the current patent proprietor, has made an application under section 13(3) of the Act to the effect that Paul Haskayne should not have been mentioned as a joint inventor.
- Paul Haskayne has confirmed in a written declaration that he should not have been mentioned as a joint inventor. For his part, Robert Walter Shettle has also filed a written declaration confirming that Paul Haskayne should not have been mentioned as a joint inventor. I therefore conclude that all relevant parties agree that Paul Haskayne should not have been mentioned as a joint inventor in the published patent application or granted patent for this invention.
- Accordingly I find that Paul Haskayne should not have been mentioned as an inventor in respect of published patent application number GB 2456598 and the subsequent granted patent. This decision, issued in accordance with section 13(3), serves as a certificate to this effect. I also direct that an addendum slip be prepared for the published patent application and granted patent stating that Paul Haskayne should not have been mentioned as an inventor.

## A R BUSHELL

B3 Head of Litigation Section, acting for the Comptroller