

01 November 2012

PATENTS ACT 1977

PARTIES Forensic Pathways Limited, Richard Maurice Leary
and Chang-Tsun Li

ISSUE Whether a certificate should be issued in
accordance with section 13(3) to the effect that
Richard Maurice Leary should not have been
mentioned as a joint inventor in patent number
GB 2467767

HEARING OFFICER A R BUSHELL

DECISION

- 1 Patents Form 7/77 names two inventors, Richard Maurice Leary and Chang-Tsun Li.
- 2 Forensic Pathways Limited, the current patent proprietor, has made an application under section 13(3) of the Act to the effect that Richard Maurice Leary should not have been mentioned as a joint inventor.
- 3 Richard Maurice Leary has confirmed in a written declaration that he should not have been mentioned as a joint inventor. For his part, Chang-Tsun Li has also filed a written declaration confirming that Richard Maurice Leary should not have been mentioned as a joint inventor. I therefore conclude that all relevant parties agree that Richard Maurice Leary should not have been mentioned as a joint inventor in the published patent application or granted patent for this invention.
- 4 Accordingly I find that Richard Maurice Leary should not have been mentioned as an inventor in respect of published patent application number GB 2467767 and the subsequent granted patent. This decision, issued in accordance with section 13(3), serves as a certificate to this effect. I also direct that an addendum slip be prepared for the published patent application and granted patent stating that Richard Maurice Leary should not have been mentioned as an inventor.

A R BUSHELL

B3 Head of Litigation Section, acting for the Comptroller