



13 May 2011

PATENTS ACT 1977

PARTIES Deckel Maho Pfronten GmbH,

Marzell Maier and Rolf Kettemer

ISSUE

Whether a certificate should be issued in accordance with section 13(3) to the effect that Rolf Kettemer should not have been mentioned as an inventor in patent number EP (UK) 1696289

HEARING OFFICER

S M Williams

DECISION

- 1 European Patent (UK) No. 1696289 names two inventors: Marzell Maier and Rolf Kettemer.
- 2 Deckel Maho Pfronten GnbH, the patent proprietor, has now made an application under section 13(3) of the Act to the effect that Rolf Kettemer should not have been mentioned as an inventor.
- In support of the application, the patent proprietor has filed a statement signed by Rolf Kettemer and Marzell Maier. This takes the form of a request that Rolf Kettemer is deleted as co-inventor with respect to patent number EP (UK) 1696289 and a number of other national patents resulting from EP 1696289. In view of this signed statement, I therefore conclude that the relevant parties agree that Rolf Kettemer should not have been mentioned as an inventor in the EP (UK) granted patent.

Accordingly I find that Rolf Kettemer should not have been mentioned as an inventor in respect of patent number EP (UK) 1696289. This decision, issued in accordance with section 13(3), serves as a certificate to this effect. I also direct that an addendum slip be prepared for the granted EP (UK) patent stating that Rolf Kettemer should not have been mentioned as an inventor.

S M WILLIAMS

B3 Head of Litigation Section, acting for the Comptroller