1	TRADE MARKS REGISTRY  Room A2  Harmsworth House
2	13-15 Bouverie Street London, EC4Y 8DP
3	Holldon, Hell obi
4	Friday, 4th April 2003
5	Before:
6	
7	MR. G. HOBBS, QC (Sitting as the Appointed Person)
8	
9	In the Matter of the TRADE MARKS ACT 1994
10	and
11	In the Matter of application by <b>Telecom Plus Plc</b> to register a series of Trade Marks in
12	Classes 1, 4, 9, 36, 38 & 39.
13	and
14	An appeal to the Appointed Person under Section 76 of the Trade Marks Act 1994 against the decision of Mr. A J Pike
15	acting on behalf of the Registrar, dated 26th November 2002.
16	
17	(Computer-aided Transcript of the Stenograph Notes of Marten Walsh Cherer Ltd., Midway House,
18	27/29 Cursitor Street, London, EC4A 1LT. Telephone No: 0207 405 5010. Fax No: 0207 405 5026.)
19	
20	MR. J STOBBS (Boult Wade Tennant) appeared on behalf of the
21	Appellant.
22	MR. M. KNIGHT represented the Registry.
23	
24	DECISION  (Ag approved by the Appointed Person)
25	(As approved by the Appointed Person)

Τ	THE APPOINTED PERSON: In order to obtain a filing date, an
2	application for registration of a trade mark must comply with
3	the requirements of sections 32 and 33 of the Trade Marks Act
4	1994. Those sections provide as follows:
5	"Application for registration
6	32 - (1) An application for registration of a trade
7	mark shall be made to the registrar.
8	(2) The application shall contain -
9	(a) a request for registration of a trade mark,
10	(b) the name and address of the applicant,
11	(c) a statement of the goods or services in
12	relation to which it is sought to register the
13	trade mark, and
14	(d) a representation of the trade mark.
15	(3) The application shall state that the trade mark is
16	being used by the applicant or with his consent, in
17	relation to those goods or services, or that he has a
18	bona fide intention that it should be so used.
19	(4) The application shall be subject to the payment of
20	the application fee and such class fees as may be
21	appropriate.
22	Date of filing
23	33 - (1) The date of filing of an application for
24	registration of a trade mark is the date on which
25	documents containing everything required by section

7	In Ty Nant Spring Water Ltd's Trade Mark Application
6	application."
5	for registration are to the date of filing of the
4	(2) References in this Act to the date of application
3	date of filing is the last of those days.
2	If the documents are furnished on different days, the
1	32(2) are furnished to the registrar by the applicant.

[2000] RPC 55 I considered the scope of the requirement for "a representation of the trade mark" to be filed under section 32(2)(d). Having regard to the provisions of the Act noted at pages 56 and 57 of the report of my decision, I concluded that a representation must not only disclose the identity of the mark put forward for registration, but must provide a fixed point of reference which clearly and unambiguously does so from the outset in order to receive a filing date under section 33(1). In that case the application rejected for lack of certainty related to a sign represented in words alone as: "A blue bottle of optical characteristics such that if the wall thickness is 3mm the bottle has, in air, a dominant wavelength of 472 to 474 nanometres, a purity of 44 to 48 per cent, an optical brightness of 28 to 32 per cent."

Also on the basis of the requirement for legal certainty, Mr. Simon Thorley QC, sitting as the Appointed Person, in Swizzels Matlow Ltd's Application [1999] RPC 879

upheld the rejection of an application for registration of a three-dimensional sign graphically represented in words alone in the following terms: "The trade mark consists of a circular compressed tablet bearing a raised heart outline on both flat surfaces and containing within the heart outline on one side any one of several different words or phrases".

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The requirement for certainty as to the identity of signs presented for registration has since been emphasised in paragraphs 48 to 55 of the judgment of the European Court of Justice in Case C-273/00 Ralf Sieckmann v Deutsches Patent und Markenamt, 12th December 2002. In paragraph 53 of its judgment the Court observed that: "In order to fulfil its role as a registered trade mark a sign must always be perceived unambiguously and in the same way so that the mark is guaranteed as an indication of origin." The Court went on to observe in paragraph 54 that: "The object of the representation is specifically to avoid any element of subjectivity in the process of identifying and perceiving the sign. Consequently the means of graphic representation must be unequivocal and objective." In paragraph 55 it was confirmed that the applicable provisions of Community law require the relevant graphic representation to be clear, precise, self-contained, easily accessible, intelligible, durable and objective.

Although the Sieckmann case related to an application

to register an olfactory mark, it is clear that the ruling of the Court is of general application. In his Opinion delivered on 12th November 2001 in Case C-104/01 Libertel

Groep BV v Benelux-Merkenbureau Advocate General Lger focused on the requirement for certainty in relation to applications for the registration of colours at large. In his Opinion delivered on 3rd April 2003 in Case C-283/01 Shield Mark BV v Joost Kist Advocate General Colomer likewise emphasised the requirement for certainty in relation to graphic representation of signs in the form of sounds.

In the present case, Telecom Plus PLC ("the Applicant") applied on 14th February 2002 to register 16 signs in series as trade marks for use in relation to various goods and services in classes 1, 4, 9, 36, 38 and 39.

Box number 4 on the prescribed form of application for registration contained the following request for information:

"If the mark is not a word or a picture indicate here (for example, three-dimensional)". The applicant responded to this request in a single word: "description". The descriptions put forward for registration were identified in an attachment to the form of application in the following terms:

"1. The mark consists of a pictorial representation of a pig, not being a photographic representation, attached to packaging and other materials relating to

- 1 the provision of the goods and services.
- 2. The mark consists of a pictorial representation of
- a pig, not being a photographic representation, with a
- 4 slot in its back, as applied to packaging and
- 5 materials relating to the provision of the goods and
- 6 services.
- 7 3. The mark consists of a pictorial representation of
- 8 a pig, not being a photographic representation,
- 9 presented generally in profile, as applied to
- 10 packaging and materials relating to the provision of
- 11 the goods and services.
- 12 4. The mark consists of a pictorial representation of
- a pig, not being a photographic representation, with a
- 14 curly tail, as applied to packaging and materials
- 15 relating to the provision of the goods and services.
- 16 5. The mark consists of a pictorial representation of
- 17 a pig, not being a photographic representation, with a
- 18 curly tail in the form of a telephone wire, as applied
- 19 to packaging and materials relating to the provision
- of the goods and services.
- 21 6. The mark consists of a pictorial representation of
- a pig, not being a photographic representation, with a
- 23 slot in its back and a curly tail, as applied to
- 24 packaging and materials relating to the provision of
- 25 the goods and services.

1	7. The mark consists of a pictorial representation of
2	a pig, not being a photographic representation, with a
3	slot in its back, and a curly tail in the form of a
4	telephone wire, as applied to packaging and materials
5	relating to the provision of the goods and services.
6	8. The mark consists of a pictorial representation of
7	a pig, not being a photographic representation,
8	portrayed generally in profile, with a slot in its
9	back, and a curly tail in the form of a telephone
10	wire, as applied to the packaging and materials
11	relating to the provision of the goods and services.
12	9. The mark consists of a pictorial representation of
13	a pig, not being a photographic representation,
14	portrayed generally in the colour pink, attached to
15	packaging and other materials relating to the
16	provision of the goods and services.
17	10. The mark consists of a pictorial representation of
18	a pig, not being a photographic representation,
19	portrayed generally in the colour pink, with a slot in
20	its back, as applied to packaging and materials
21	relating to the provision of the goods and services.
22	11. The mark consists of a pictorial representation of
23	a pig, not being a photographic representation,
24	portrayed generally in the colour pink, presented
25	generally in profile, as applied to packaging and

1	materials relating to the provision of the goods and
2	services.
3	12. The mark consists of a pictorial representation of
4	a pig, not being a photographic representation,
5	portrayed generally in the colour pink, with a curly
6	tail, as applied to packaging and materials to the
7	provision of the goods and services.
8	13. The mark consists of a pictorial representation of
9	a pig, not being a photographic representation,
10	portrayed generally in the colour pink, with a curly
11	tail in the form of a telephone wire, as applied to
12	packaging and materials relating to the provision of
13	the goods and services.
14	14. The mark consists of a pictorial representation of
15	a pig, not being a photographic representation,
16	portrayed generally in the colour pink, with a slot in
17	its back and a curly tail, as applied to packaging and
18	materials relating to the provision of the goods and
19	services.
20	15. The mark consists of a pictorial representation of
21	a pig, not being a photographic representation,
22	portrayed generally in the colour pink, with a slot in
23	its back, and a curly tail in the form of a telephone
24	wire, as applied to packaging and materials relating
25	to the provision of the goods and services.

16. The mark consists of a pictorial representation of a pig, not being a photographic representation, portrayed generally in the colour pink, portrayed generally in profile, with a slot in its back, and a curly tail in the form of a telephone wire, as applied to the packaging and materials relating to the provision of the goods and services."

The Registry objected to the application under section 32 of the Act and Rule 11 of the Trade Marks Rules 2000 on the basis that the descriptions attached to the form of application failed to disclose the identity of any sign with precision sufficient to permit full and effective implementation of the legal and administrative requirements of the Act relating to registration. The Applicant was allowed two months from 18th February 2002 within which to remedy the deficiency.

The agents for the Applicant maintained that the descriptions in question clearly and unambiguously disclosed the identity of the signs in the series and that the objection raised by the Registry was misconceived. A hearing was appointed to consider submissions on behalf of the Applicant as to the sufficiency of the application. The hearing took place before Mr. A J Pike acting on behalf of the Registrar on 10th June 2002.

The hearing officer maintained the objection under

section 32(2)(d) for the reasons subsequently given in a
written decision issued on 26th November 2002. He made the
following observations in paragraphs 14 to 26 of his
decision:

"14. The sixteen marks in question are similar to each other in that although they are all intended to be a description of the same thing they differ from each other in the amount of information that they provide.

As an inevitable consequence of this practically all of the words which constitute the first mark are incorporated within the other fifteen marks. To an extent this pattern continues so that the marks contain various amounts of information but nevertheless appear to be an attempt to describe the same thing.

15. In order to determine whether or not these marks satisfy the requirements of section 32(2)(d) of the Act I consider it necessary to conduct a detailed examination of their content. The first words in all sixteen marks are 'The mark consists of a pictorial representation of a pig, not being a photographic representation'. The first three definitions of the word 'pictorial' in Collins English Dictionary are as follows:

'adj. 1. relating to, consisting of, or

1	expressed by pictures (of books, newspapers,
2	etc.) containing pictures. 3. of or relating to
3	painting or drawing.'
4	16. I note that the same dictionary defines a picture
5	as:
6	'n. 1.a. a visual representation of something,
7	such as a person or scene, produced on a surface
8	as in a photograph, painting, etc.'
9	17. It is clear from these dictionary definitions of
10	the words 'pictorial' and 'picture' that it is not
11	possible to determine with any degree of certainty
12	what the nature of the pictorial representation is
13	intended by these marks. Is it a painting? Is it a
14	line drawing? In my view it is impossible to answer
15	these questions with any degree of certainty as it is
16	not possible to determine what type of pictorial
17	representation it is.
18	18. The pictorial representation is 'of a pig'. In my
19	view there are further difficulties with this aspect
20	of the marks. Is it a representation from head on,
21	from behind or from the side? If from the side is the
22	pig facing left or right? Is the pig lying down or
23	standing up? What breed of pig is it? I am aware
24	from my own personal knowledge that there are many
25	breeds of pigs which are very different to each other

ranging from the breed made famous in the well known 1 film 'Babe' to breeds such as Tamworth and the Vietnamese Pot Bellied pigs. 3 19. As a result of the lack of preciseness in these 5 words it is not possible to determine the nature of 6 the mark. There is no certainty as to its precise 7 nature. 20. As these words are common to all sixteen marks 8 9 that effectively decides the issue before me, but in 10 case I am wrong in my findings I will go on to 11 consider the other elements of the marks. The second 12 mark contains the additional words 'with a slot in its 13 back'. There is perhaps a tendency to expect the slot to run lengthways down the back of the pig but this is 14 not the only possibility covered by these words. The 15 slot could just as easily run across the back at 16 17 ninety degrees to the length of the back or even

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

21. The third mark contains the words 'presented generally in profile'. There is no indication as to what is meant by the word 'generally'. The fourth mark contains the words 'with a curly tail'. Again, there is no indication as to the size or position of the tail.

across the back at a diagonal angle. There is also no

indication as to the length or width of the slot.

22. The fifth mark contains the words 'with a curly
tail in the form of a telephone wire'. The first
point I will make is that I consider that a tail in
the form of a telephone wire is not an image that I
would understand when encountering the fourth mark,
however, the same problems exist, there is no
indication as to the size or position of the tail.
23. The sixth mark consists of words upon which I have
already commented. My comments regarding this mark
are simply a combination of those for the second and
fourth marks. The same applies to the seventh mark
which is a combination of the words contained in the
second and fifth marks. The eighth mark contains
words which are a combination of the third and fifth
marks.
24. The ninth mark is the same as the first mark but
with the additional words 'portrayed generally in the
colour pink'. Firstly I note the presence of the word
'generally'. This again demonstrates the imprecise
nature of the mark. The second point is that the
colour pink is not precisely defined. Following on
from the <b>Ty Nant</b> decision the registry issued guidance
on acceptable forms of graphical representation. The
appropriate section is reproduced below:

1	colour(s)
2	11. Where colour is claimed as an element of a
3	device or pictorial mark, the representation of
4	the mark should be filed in colour. A black and
5	white representation will not be accepted unless
6	each part of the mark is clearly identified as
7	such, and the colour(s) defined by a widely
8	available colour standard. This will not be
9	necessary where a colour representation of the
10	mark is filed.'
11	The form of application does not contain a
12	representation of the colour nor does it provide any
13	definition of the colour pink by reference to a colour
14	standard.
15	25. The remaining seven marks (numbered 10 to 16)
16	raise no further issues but consist of various
17	combinations of the elements already identified in
18	this decision.
19	26. In my view none of these marks meet the
20	requirement of section 32(2)(d) of the Act in that
21	none of the sixteen marks applied for are represented
22	with sufficient precision to permit a full and
23	effective examination."
24	Rejection of the application followed inexorably from the
25	assessment contained in these paragraphs.

On 27th December 2002 the Applicant gave notice of appeal to an appointed person under section 76 of the Trade Marks Act 1994 contending, in substance, that the descriptions put forward for registration satisfied the requirement for legal certainty as to the identity of the signs to which they related. That contention was maintained and developed in argument at the hearing before me.

I do not rule out the possibility of describing visual matter in words sufficient to satisfy the requirement for graphic representation under section 32(2)(d). However, the vice in each of the descriptions in issue in the present case is that they leave room for the differing perceptions of different individuals to be equally applicable bench marks by which to judge whether a particular visual representation falls within the relevant wording, with each individual also being liable to regard the wording of the description as applicable to a multiplicity of different visual representations. This is a recipe for uncertainty.

I think it is clear that the registration system proceeds on the basis of filings in relation to single signs capable of functioning as trade marks by virtue of the singularity of significance they individually possess. I do not think it can be right that the Registrar's examiners should have to use their own imagination in order to visualise what a sign looks like for the purpose of assessing

1	whether it possess a distinctive character. Precision and
2	singularity of representation are both required, in my view,
3	in order to satisfy the basic requirement for legal
4	certainty. I am entirely satisfied that the descriptions in
5	issue in the present appeal lack both precision and
6	singularity of representation. Despite having listened with
7	care to arguments advanced on behalf of the Applicant I can
8	find no error in the hearing officer's reasoning or
9	conclusion. The appeal will therefore be dismissed.
10	Does anybody want to say anything more? (No response)
11	Thank you both very much for your submissions. It has been
12	very interesting.
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	