

Freedom of Information Act 2000 (FOIA)

Decision notice

Date: 9 December 2022

Public Authority: Foreign, Commonwealth & Development Office
Address: King Charles St
London
SW1A 2AH

Decision (including any steps ordered)

1. The complainant requested information from the Foreign, Commonwealth & Development Office ("the FCDO") about correspondences and communications from Dominic Raab and Sir Philip Baroton. By the date of this notice the FCDO had not issued a substantive response to this request.
2. The Commissioner's decision is that the FCDO has failed to complete its deliberations on the balance of the public interest within a reasonable time and has therefore breached section 17(3) of FOIA.
3. The Commissioner requires the FCDO to take the following step to ensure compliance with the legislation.
 - Having confirmed whether or not information is held within the scope of the request: either disclose the requested information, if held, or, to the extent that information is to be withheld, issue a refusal notice in accordance with the requirements of section 17 of the FOIA.
4. The FCDO must take this step within 35 calendar days of the date of this decision notice. Failure to comply may result in the Commissioner making written certification of this fact to the High Court pursuant to section 54 of FOIA and may be dealt with as a contempt of court.

Request and response

5. On 30 December 2021, the complainant made the following information requests for information to the FCDO:

"From 9th August 2021 to the 16th August 2021, please provide all correspondence and communications both received and sent by the then Secretary of State, Dominic Raab where an electronic search is possible that contain any of the following words: "holiday", "Crete", "sea", "tan", "relax", "sun", "fly", "travel", "return".

I define "correspondence and communications" as including (but not limited to) the following:

- Emails (and their attachments)
- WhatsApp messages
- Notes taken during telephone conversations
- Minutes taken during meetings."

And

"From 9th August 2021 to the 26th August 2021, please provide all correspondence and communications both received and sent by the the Permanent Under-Secretary at the Foreign, Commonwealth & Development Office, Sir Philip Baroton, where an electronic search is possible that contain any of the following words: "holiday" "travel" "relax" "return" "sun" "sea".

I define "correspondence and communications" as including (but not limited to) the following:

- Emails (and their attachments)
- WhatsApp messages
- Notes taken during telephone conversations
- Minutes taken during meetings."

6. On 20 March 2022, the FCDO wrote to the complainant to explain that it held information relevant to the complainant's request, but that it would need to extend the time taken to complete its public interest test considerations in respect of Section 24, Section 27 and Section 35 of FOIA.

Scope of the case

7. The complainant contacted the Commissioner on 14 November 2022 to complain about the delay in the FCDO's consideration of the public interest test.
8. On the 17 November 2022 the Commissioner wrote to the FCDO, reminding it of its responsibilities and asking it to provide a substantive response to the complainant within 10 working days. To date, a substantive response has still not been provided.
9. The Commissioner has considered whether the FCDO has complied with its obligations in relation to section 17 of FOIA.

Reasons for decision

10. Section 1(1) of FOIA states that:

"Any person making a request for information to a public authority is entitled –

(a) to be informed in writing by the public authority whether it holds information of the description specified in the request, and

(b) if that is the case, to have that information communicated to him."

11. Section 10(1) of FOIA states that a public authority must respond to a request promptly and "not later than the twentieth working day following the date of receipt".
12. Section 17(3) of FOIA states that where a public authority is relying on a qualified exemption, it can have a "reasonable" extension of time to consider the public interest in maintaining the exemption or disclosing the information.
13. FOIA does not define how long a reasonable time is. The section 45 Code of Practice on request handling states that "it is best practice for an extension to be for no more than a further 20 working days".¹ This

1

https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/744071/CoP_FOI_Code_of_Practice_-_Minor_Amendments_20180926_.pdf

means that the total time spent responding to a request should not exceed 40 working days unless there are exceptional circumstances.

14. In this case, the total time taken by the FCDO has exceeded 40 working days. The Commissioner does not consider there to be any exceptional circumstances and finds that, by failing to complete its deliberations on the public interest within a reasonable time frame, the FCDO has not complied with section 17(3).
15. The FCDO is now required to finalise its public interest considerations under section 17(3) of FOIA and respond to the complainant.

Right of appeal

16. Either party has the right to appeal against this decision notice to the First-tier Tribunal (Information Rights). Information about the appeals process may be obtained from:

First-tier Tribunal (Information Rights)
GRC & GRP Tribunals,
PO Box 9300,
LEICESTER,
LE1 8DJ

Tel: 0203 936 8963

Fax: 0870 739 5836

Email: grc@justice.gov.uk

Website: www.justice.gov.uk/tribunals/general-regulatory-chamber

17. If you wish to appeal against a decision notice, you can obtain information on how to appeal along with the relevant forms from the Information Tribunal website.
18. Any Notice of Appeal should be served on the Tribunal within 28 (calendar) days of the date on which this decision notice is sent.

Signed

Michael Lea
Team Manager
Information Commissioner's Office
Wycliffe House
Water Lane
Wilmslow
Cheshire
SK9 5AF