

Freedom of Information Act 2000 (FOIA) Decision notice

Date:	10 May 2022
Public Authority:	Department for Digital, Culture, Media and Sport
Address:	4th Floor
	100 Parliament Street
	London
	SW1A 2BQ

Decision (including any steps ordered)

- 1. The complainant requested information from the Department for Digital, Culture, Media and Sport ("DCMS") about minutes of a meeting between Oliver Dowden, Lord Brownlow and the Royal Albert Hall. By the date of this notice DCMS had not issued a substantive response to this request.
- 2. The Commissioner's decision is that DCMS has failed to complete its deliberations on the balance of the public interest within a reasonable time and has therefore breached section 17(3) of FOIA.
- 3. The Commissioner requires DCMS to take the following step to ensure compliance with the legislation.
 - Having confirmed whether or not information is held within the scope of the request: either disclose the requested information, if held, or, to the extent that information is to be withheld, issue a refusal notice in accordance with the requirements of section 17 of the FOIA.
- 4. DCMS must take this step within 35 calendar days of the date of this decision notice. Failure to comply may result in the Commissioner making written certification of this fact to the High Court pursuant to section 54 of FOIA and may be dealt with as a contempt of court.



Request and response

5. On 6 January 2022, the complainant made the following request for information to DCMS:

"This is a freedom of information request for minutes of the meeting between Oliver Dowden, Lord Brownlow and the Royal Albert Hall on 18th January 2021 on the subject of the Great Exhibition 2.0.

Please also provide any notes provided by officials in preparation for the meeting.

Please also release any messages exchanged between Oliver Dowden and Lord Brownlow on the subject of the Great Exhibition 2.0. This includes any Whatsapp messages."

6. On 3 February 2022, DCMS wrote to the complainant to explain that it held information relevant to the complainant's request, but that it would need to extend the time taken to complete its public interest test considerations in respect of an exemption under section 36 (Prejudice to effective conduct of public affairs) of FOIA.

Scope of the case

- 7. The complainant contacted the Commissioner on 1 April 2022 to complain about the delay in DCMS's consideration of the public interest test.
- 8. On 6 April 2022 the Commissioner wrote to DCMS, reminding it of its responsibilities and asking it to provide a substantive response to the complainant within 10 working days. To date, a substantive response has still not been provided.
- 9. The Commissioner has considered whether DCMS has complied with its obligations in relation to section 17 of FOIA.

Reasons for decision

10. Section 1(1) of FOIA states that:

"Any person making a request for information to a public authority is entitled –



(a) to be informed in writing by the public authority whether it holds information of the description specified in the request, and

(b) if that is the case, to have that information communicated to him. $\ensuremath{\mathcal{I}}$

- 11. Section 10(1) of FOIA states that a public authority must respond to a request promptly and "not later than the twentieth working day following the date of receipt".
- 12. Section 17(3) of FOIA states that where a public authority is relying on a qualified exemption, it can have a "reasonable" extension of time to consider the public interest in maintaining the exemption or disclosing the information.
- 13. FOIA does not define how long a reasonable time is. The section 45 Code of Practice on request handling states that "it is best practice for an extension to be for no more than a further 20 working days".¹ This means that the total time spent responding to a request should not exceed 40 working days unless there are exceptional circumstances.
- 14. In this case, the total time taken by DCMS has exceeded 40 working days. The Commissioner does not consider there to be any exceptional circumstances and finds that, by failing to complete its deliberations on the public interest within a reasonable time frame, DCMS has not complied with section 17(3).
- 15. DCMS is now required to finalise its public interest considerations under section 17(3) of FOIA and respond to the complainant.

1

https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_d ata/file/744071/CoP_FOI_Code_of_Practice_-_Minor_Amendments_20180926_.pdf



Right of appeal

16. Either party has the right to appeal against this decision notice to the First-tier Tribunal (Information Rights). Information about the appeals process may be obtained from:

First-tier Tribunal (Information Rights) GRC & GRP Tribunals, PO Box 9300, LEICESTER, LE1 8DJ

Tel: 0203 936 8963 Fax: 0870 739 5836 Email: <u>grc@justice.gov.uk</u> Website: <u>www.justice.gov.uk/tribunals/general-regulatory-</u> <u>chamber</u>

- 17. If you wish to appeal against a decision notice, you can obtain information on how to appeal along with the relevant forms from the Information Tribunal website.
- 18. Any Notice of Appeal should be served on the Tribunal within 28 (calendar) days of the date on which this decision notice is sent.

Signed

Michael Lea Team Manager Information Commissioner's Office Wycliffe House Water Lane Wilmslow Cheshire SK9 5AF