

Freedom of Information Act 2000 (FOIA) Decision notice

| Date: | 26 November 2019 |
|-------------------|---|
| Public Authority: | Commissioner of the City of London Police |
| Address: | PO Box 36451 |
| | 182 Bishopsgate |
| | London |
| | EC2M 4WN |
| | |

Decision (including any steps ordered)

- 1. The complainant requested information from City of London Police about the scoring matrix used by the National Fraud Intelligence Bureau.
- 2. The Commissioner's decision is that City of London Police has breached section 10(1) of the FOIA in that it failed to provide a valid response to the request within the statutory time frame of 20 working days.
- 3. The Commissioner requires City of London Police to take the following step to ensure compliance with the legislation.
 - City of London Police must provide a substantive response to the request in accordance with its obligations under the FOIA.
- 4. City of London Police must take this step within 35 calendar days of the date of this decision notice. Failure to comply may result in the Commissioner making written certification of this fact to the High Court pursuant to section 54 of the Act and may be dealt with as a contempt of court.

Request and response

5. On 16 May 2019, the complainant wrote to City of London Police and requested information in the following terms:

"I would like to submit a request for information under the Freedom of Information Act. My request relates to information held by the National Fraud Intelligence Bureau.



1. Please can you provide me with the "scoring matrix" by which the NFIB determine whether or not to allocate a reported fraud case to a police force for investigation?

To be clear the "scoring matrix" I request is that referred to in the below paragraph:

"Reports from Cifas, FFA UK and Action Fraud are automatically assessed by the NFIB's systems, using a scoring matrix to determine whether there are sufficient grounds to allocate the case to the police for investigation"

Which is taken from page 25 of this Home Office document:

<u>https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/syste</u> <u>m/uploads/attachment_data/file/729127/crime-outcomes-</u> <u>hosb1018.pdf</u>

2. Please could you provide me a list of all the "viability criteria" the NFIB use to determine a fraud case's strength, plus an explanation of the weighting applied to each criteria?

To be clear the "viability criteria" I request are those referred to in the below paragraph:

"The reports are scored based on a viability criteria, and the NFIB's system automatically produces crime networks with a viability ranking."

Which is taken from page 25 of the same Home Office document:

<u>https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/syste</u> <u>m/uploads/attachment_data/file/729127/crime-outcomes-</u> hosb1018.pdf

3. Please can you provide me with a full explanation of the answers to the above in order that I may be able to understand exactly how one reported fraud may be referred by the NFIB to a police force for investigation; while another reported fraud may not be?"

6. City of London Police acknowledged the request on 22 May 2019. On 20 and 22 August 2019 the complainant contacted City of London Police to ask for an update. To date, a substantive response has not been issued.

Scope of the case



- 7. The complainant contacted the Commissioner on 19 September 2019 to complain about City of London Police's failure to respond to their request.
- 8. The Commissioner has considered whether City of London Police has complied with its obligations in relation to the time for compliance at section 10(1) of the FOIA.

Reasons for decision

9. Section 1(1) of the FOIA states that:

"Any person making a request for information to a public authority is entitled –

(a) to be informed in writing by the public authority whether it holds information of the description specified in the request, and

(b) if that is the case, to have that information communicated to him."

- 10. Section 10(1) of the FOIA states that a public authority must respond to a request promptly and "*not later than the twentieth working day following the date of receipt*".
- 11. On 6 November 2019 the Commissioner wrote to City of London Police, reminding it of its responsibilities and asking it to provide a substantive response to the complainant within 10 working days.
- 12. Despite this intervention City of London Police has failed to respond to the complainant.

From the evidence provided to the Commissioner in this case, it is clear that City of London Police did not deal with the request for information in accordance with the FOIA. The Commissioner finds that City of London Police has breached section 10(1) by failing to respond to the request within 20 working days and it is now required to respond to the request in accordance with the FOIA.



Right of appeal

13. Either party has the right to appeal against this decision notice to the First-tier Tribunal (Information Rights). Information about the appeals process may be obtained from:

First-tier Tribunal (Information Rights) GRC & GRP Tribunals, PO Box 9300, LEICESTER, LE1 8DJ

Tel: 0300 1234504 Fax: 0870 739 5836 Email: <u>grc@justice.gov.uk</u> Website: <u>www.justice.gov.uk/tribunals/general-regulatory-</u> <u>chamber</u>

- 14. If you wish to appeal against a decision notice, you can obtain information on how to appeal along with the relevant forms from the Information Tribunal website.
- 15. Any Notice of Appeal should be served on the Tribunal within 28 (calendar) days of the date on which this decision notice is sent.

Signed

Phillip Angell Group Manager Information Commissioner's Office Wycliffe House Water Lane Wilmslow Cheshire SK9 5AF