

# Freedom of Information Act 2000 (FOIA) Decision notice

Date: 17 January 2018

Public Authority: Home Office Address: 2 Marsham Street London SW1P 4DF

# Decision (including any steps ordered)

- 1. The complainant has requested information from the Home Office regarding charter flights and food ad fluid refusals in immigration removal centres.
- The Commissioner's decision is that the Home Office has breached section 10(1) of the FOIA as it has failed to give a substantive response to the request within the reasonable timeframe specified by section 10(3).
- 3. The Commissioner requires the public authority to take the following steps to ensure compliance with the legislation.
  - The Home Office must issue a substantive response to the request in accordance with its obligations under the FOIA.
- 4. The public authority must take these steps within 35 calendar days of the date of this decision notice. Failure to comply may result in the Commissioner making written certification of this fact to the High Court pursuant to section 54 of the Act and may be dealt with as a contempt of court.

# **Request and response**

5. On 2 August 2017, the complainant wrote to the Home Office and made three separate requests for information in the following terms:

Request 1:



"Number of reported Food Refusals across the detention estate Q2 April/May/June 2017 inclusive

From which IRC's were the reports made and how many"

Request 2:

"Self-harm in immigration Detention Q2 April/May/June 2017 inclusive, under the following headings:

1. Number of individuals on formal self - harm at risk.

Number of adults / Number of children

2. Number of Incidents of self -harm requiring medical treatment.

Number of adults / Number of children

3. Number of deaths if any.

*In Campsfield House, Brook House, Colnbrook, Dungavel, Harmondsworth, Morton Hall, Tinsley House, Yarl's Wood IRC's, The Verne, and any Short Term Hold Centres."* 

Request 3:

"Charter Flights (Escorts and Removals) Q2 April/May/June 2017 inclusive, under the following headings:

- 1. Number of males removed
- 2. Number of females removed
- 3. Number of escorts
- 4. Number of flights in total

5. Number flights to each country / number removed to each country

6. Number of children, if any"



- 6. The Home Office responded on the same day. It stated that it had merged the three requests as they related to the same subject matter and that they aimed to respond by 1 September 2017.
- 7. On the 1 September 2017 the Home Office wrote to the complainant again. They advised him that they were considering his request under the exemption at section 31(1)(f) which relates to law enforcement and the maintenance of security and good order in prisons or other detention facilities. As the Home Office now needed to consider the public interest test they now aimed to provide a response by 29 September 2017.
- 8. Despite the complainant contacting the Home Office again on 3 October 2017 a substantive response has not been issued.

#### Scope of the case

- 9. The complainant contacted the Commissioner on 11 October 2017 to complain about the way his request for information had been handled.
- 10. Following receipt of the complaint the Commissioner contacted the Home Office, reminding it of its responsibilities and asking it to respond to the complainant within 10 working days.
- 11. Despite this intervention the Home Office has failed to respond to the complainant.

# **Reasons for decision**

- 12. Section 10(1) of the FOIA states that an authority must comply with section 1(1) of the FOIA `...promptly and in any event not later than the twentieth working day following the date of receipt'. However, section 10(3) enables an authority to extend the 20 working day limit up to a `reasonable' time in cases where the public interest test attached to the application of a qualified exemption is being considered.
- 13. The FOIA does not define what constitutes a 'reasonable' extension of time. It is the view of the Commissioner that an authority should normally take no more than an additional 20 working days to consider the public interest test, meaning that the total time spent dealing with the request should not exceed 40 working days.
- 14. The Commissioner has found that the time taken to produce a substantive response to this request considerably exceeds the 40 working days and is therefore not reasonable. She has therefore decided that the Home Office has breached section 10(1) of the FOIA.



# **Right of appeal**

15. Either party has the right to appeal against this decision notice to the First-tier Tribunal (Information Rights). Information about the appeals process may be obtained from:

First-tier Tribunal (Information Rights) GRC & GRP Tribunals, PO Box 9300, LEICESTER, LE1 8DJ

Tel: 0300 1234504 Fax: 0870 739 5836 Email: <u>GRC@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk</u> Website: <u>www.justice.gov.uk/tribunals/general-regulatory-</u> <u>chamber</u>

- 16. If you wish to appeal against a decision notice, you can obtain information on how to appeal along with the relevant forms from the Information Tribunal website.
- 17. Any Notice of Appeal should be served on the Tribunal within 28 (calendar) days of the date on which this decision notice is sent.

Signed .....

Alun Johnson Team Manager Information Commissioner's Office Wycliffe House Water Lane Wilmslow Cheshire SK9 5AF