

# Freedom of Information Act 2000 (FOIA) Decision notice

Date: 10 March 2014

Public Authority: The British Broadcasting Corporation ('the

BBC')

Address: 2252 White City

201 Wood Lane

London W12 7TS

### **Decision (including any steps ordered)**

- 1. The complainant has requested figures for the 12 month period prior to the change in policy for the Media Player requests and BBC iPlayer Downloads requests. The BBC explained the information was covered by the derogation and excluded from FOIA.
- 2. The Commissioner's decision is that this information was held by the BBC for the purposes of 'journalism, art or literature' and did not fall inside FOIA. He therefore upholds the BBC's position and requires no remedial steps to be taken in this case.

#### **Request and response**

- 3. The complainant wrote to the BBC on 10 December 2013 and asked for:
  - "I notice that Windows Media Player download and Portable device downloads are no longer available in your iPlayer and the reason given was 'as they accounted for such a small number of download requests received'. I should like to know the monthly figures for the 12 month period prior to the change in policy for the Media Player requests and BBC iPlayer Download requests".
- 4. The BBC responded on 8 January 2014. It explained that it believes that the information requested is excluded from the Act because it is held for the purposes of 'journalism, art or literature.' It explained that Part VI of Schedule 1 to FOIA provides that information held by the BBC and the other public service broadcasters is only covered by FOIA if it is held for 'purposes other than those of journalism, art or literature". It concluded



that the BBC was not required to supply information held for the purposes of creating the BBC's output or information that supports and is closely associated with these creative activities. It therefore would not provide any information in response to the request for information.

## Scope of the case

5. The complainant contacted the Commissioner to complain about the way his request for information had been handled. In particular, he challenged the operation of the derogation in this case.

#### Reasons for decision

6. Schedule One, Part VI of FOIA provides that the BBC is a public authority for the purposes of FOIA but only has to deal with requests for information in some circumstances. The entry relating to the BBC states:

"The British Broadcasting Corporation, in respect of information held for purposes other than those of journalism, art or literature."

- 7. This means that the BBC has no obligation to comply with part I to V of the Act where information is held for 'purposes of journalism, art or literature'. The Commissioner calls this situation 'the derogation'.
- 8. The House of Lords in *Sugar v BBC* [2009] UKHL 9 confirmed that the Commissioner has the jurisdiction to issue a decision notice to confirm whether or not the information is caught by the derogation. The Commissioner's analysis will now focus on the derogation.
- 9. The scope of the derogation was considered by the Court of Appeal in the case Sugar v British Broadcasting Corporation and another [2010] EWCA Civ 715, and later, on appeal, by the Supreme Court (Sugar (Deceased) v British Broadcasting Corporation [2012] UKSC 4). The leading judgment in the Court of Appeal case was made by Lord Neuberger of Abbotsbury MR who stated that:
  - "..... once it is established that the information sought is held by the BBC for the purposes of journalism, it is effectively exempt from production under FOIA, even if the information is also held by the BBC for other purposes." (paragraph 44), and that "....provided there is a genuine journalistic purpose for which the information is held, it should not be subject to FOIA." (paragraph 46)
- 10. The Supreme Court endorsed this approach and concluded that if the information is held for the purpose of journalism, art or literature, it is



caught by the derogation even if that is not the predominant purpose for holding the information in question.

- 11. In order to establish whether the information is held for a derogated purpose, the Supreme Court indicated that there should be a sufficiently direct link between at least one of the purposes for which the BBC holds the information (ignoring any negligible purposes) and the fulfilment of one of the derogated purposes. This is the test that the Commissioner will apply.
- 12. If a sufficiently direct link is established between the purposes for which the BBC holds the information and any of the three derogated purposes i.e. journalism, art or literature it is not subject to FOIA.
- 13. The Supreme Court said that the Information Tribunal's definition of journalism (in *Sugar v Information Commissioner* (EA/2005/0032, 29 August 2006)) as comprising three elements, continues to be authoritative
  - "1. The first is the collecting or gathering, writing and verifying of materials for publication.
  - 2. The second is editorial. This involves the exercise of judgement on issues such as:
  - \* the selection, prioritisation and timing of matters for broadcast or publication,
  - \* the analysis of, and review of individual programmes,
  - \* the provision of context and background to such programmes.
  - 3. The third element is the maintenance and enhancement of the standards and quality of journalism (particularly with respect to accuracy, balance and completeness). This may involve the training and development of individual journalists, the mentoring of less experienced journalists by more experienced colleagues, professional supervision and guidance, and reviews of the standards and quality of particular areas of programme making."

However, the Supreme Court said this definition should be extended to include the act of broadcasting or publishing the relevant material. This extended definition should be adopted when applying the 'direct link test'.

14. The Supreme Court also explained that "journalism" primarily means the BBC's "output on news and current affairs", including sport, and that "journalism, art or literature" covers the whole of the BBC's output to the public (Lord Walker at paragraph 70). Therefore, in order for the information to be derogated and so fall outside FOIA, there should be a sufficiently direct link between the purpose(s) for which the information



is held and the production of the BBC's output and/or the BBC's journalistic or creative activities involved in producing such output.

- 15. The Commissioner adopts a similar definition for the other elements of the derogation, in that the information must be used in the production, editorial management and maintenance of standards of those art forms.
- 16. The information that has been requested in this case concerns figures for the 12 month period prior to the change in policy for download requests for Windows Media Player and BBC iPlayer download requests.
- 17. The complainant's main argument is that the information he seeks is "so fundamentally and manifestly not for journalistic purposes".
- 18. The Commissioner acknowledges that the information relevant in this request is not journalistic, artistic or literary material itself. However as explained above all that needs to be established is that the requested information is held to any significant extent for one or more of the derogated purposes of art, literature or journalism.
- 19. The information under consideration would determine how many individuals downloaded a specific programme and therefore it would contribute towards the overall audience number for that particular programme. This therefore has a direct relationship to the BBC's output.
- 20. Further to this, the information the BBC gathers from the 12 month download figures prior to the change in policy would have a consequence on its future output. The figures would be used by programme content producers to inform editorial decisions about the commissioning, scheduling and content of BBC broadcasts and similarly has a direct link to journalism, art and literature.
- 21. Overall, the Commissioner considers that the BBC has provided evidence that it holds the information for the purposes of journalism. He is content that the information is held for the purposes outlined in the second and third points of the definition namely editorial purposes and for the maintenance and enhancement of the standards and quality of journalism. Therefore he considers that the information falls within the derogation.
- 22. For all of the reasons above, the Commissioner is therefore satisfied that the information requested is derogated. Therefore, the Commissioner has found that the request is for information held for the purposes of journalism and that the BBC was not obliged to comply with Parts I to V of FOIA.



## Right of appeal

23. Either party has the right to appeal against this decision notice to the First-Tier Tribunal (Information Rights). Information about the appeals process may be obtained from:

First-Tier Tribunal (Information Rights)
GRC & GRP Tribunals,
PO Box 9300,
LEICESTER,
LE1 8DJ

Tel: 0300 1234504 Fax: 0116 249 4253

Email: GRC@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

Website: www.justice.gov.uk/tribunals/general-regulatory-chamber

- 24. If you wish to appeal against a Decision Notice, you can obtain information on how to appeal along with the relevant forms from the Information Tribunal website.
- 25. Any Notice of Appeal should be served on the Tribunal within 28 (calendar) days of the date on which this Decision Notice is sent.

| Signed  |       | ••••• | ••••• | <br> |
|---------|-------|-------|-------|------|
| Rachael | Cragg |       |       |      |

Group Manager – Complaints Resolution
Information Commissioner's Office
Wycliffe House
Water Lane
Wilmslow
Cheshire
SK9 5AF