

Freedom of Information Act 2000 (Section 50)

Decision Notice

Date: 26 April 2011

Public Authority: Intellectual Property Office

Address: Concept House

Cardiff Road Newport South Wales NP10 8QQ

Summary

The complainant asked the Intellectual Property Office (the "public authority") to provide information relating to a trade mark it had considered. The public authority advised the complainant that some information was already available to him but that the remainder was exempt under the exemption at section 44 (prohibitions on disclosure) of the Freedom of Information Act 2000 (the "Act").

The Commissioner's decision is that the exemption at section 44 is engaged and that the information is therefore exempt from disclosure. The complaint is not upheld.

The public authority's handling of the request also resulted in breaches of certain procedural requirements of the Act as identified in this Notice.

The Commissioner's role

1. The Commissioner's duty is to decide whether a request for information made to a public authority has been dealt with in accordance with the requirements of Part 1 of the Freedom of Information Act 2000 (the "Act"). This Notice sets out his decision.



Background

2. According to its website¹: "The Intellectual Property Office can help you get the right type of protection for your creation or invention". This request relates to trade marks, which it describes as: "... signs (like words and logos) that distinguish goods and services in the marketplace". It provides this further information:

"What is a trade mark?

A trade mark is a sign which can distinguish your goods and services from those of your competitors. It can be for example words, logos or a combination of both.

You can use your trade mark as a marketing tool so that customers can recognise your products or services.

A trade mark must be:

• distinctive for the goods and services you provide. In other words it can be recognised as a sign that differentiates your goods or service as different from someone else's".

"Trade marks are not registrable if they:

- describe your goods or services or any characteristics of them, for example, marks which show the quality, quantity, purpose, value or geographical origin of your goods or services;
- have become customary in your line of trade;
- are not distinctive;
- are three dimensional shapes, if the shape is typical of the goods you are interested in (or part of them), has a function or adds value to the goods;
- are specially protected emblems;
- are offensive;
- are against the law, for example, promoting illegal drugs; or;
- are deceptive. There should be nothing in the mark which would lead the public to think that your goods and services have a quality which they do not.

A registered trade mark must be renewed every 10 years to keep it in force".

3. The complainant requested the same information about several trade mark applications, this particular one being his own. Five related

2

¹ http://www.ipo.gov.uk/?toggle=true



requests have also resulted in Decision Notices which are all issued at the same time as this Notice. Their reference numbers are: FS50321698, FS50359455, FS50359467, FS50359480 and FS50359512.

4. At the time of the request, the other five related trade mark files were considered to be 'open' to the public. However, the complainant's trade mark had not been published and was to be subject to a further hearing.

The request

5. On 20 May 2010 the complainant made the following information request:

"I write in order to request, under the Freedom of Information Act, the release copies of the following documents to me:

- 1) Copies of all internal process manuals used by Trade Mark Examiner [name removed] in connection with the initial assessment of proposed trademarks specifically those applicable to trade mark number [number removed];
- 2) All internal check sheets, notes, and procedural logs associated with the abovementioned trademark application;
- 3) A copy of the reference copy (or copies) of the Trade Mark Act 1994 used by [name removed] during the period 2nd Feb 2010 to date:
- 4) Information, as described in (1), (2) and (3) above used in connection with subsequent requests for further review of the abovementioned mark.
- 5) Information, as described in (1), (2), (3) and (4) used by other IPO personnel in connection with application [number removed].

Please note that, since trade mark application [number removed] is still progressing, we view references to this specific number (in this letter) and our company name as commercially sensitive and therefore request that you omit references to these in any disclosure log published".

6. On 9 June 2010 the public authority sent its response. The complainant was directed to an online manual and also advised that some of the requests he had made:



"... will have been examined using earlier versions of the Trade Marks Manual and I will send you four PDF files of the 2004 and 2007 Examination and Practice chapters from the manual which are still available, each in two parts. (I will send them separately because they are large files which you may have trouble opening if attached to one email.) You may view old Practice Amendment Circulars relevant to the old manuals on the website at: http://www.ipo.gov.uk/pro-types/pro-tm/t-law/t-pan/t-pan-previous.htm

You have also requested all internal check sheets, notes, and procedural logs associated with the abovementioned trademark applications. The only such information held would be on the case files and as all these marks are either registered or advertised they are all open to public inspection.

However, the information held on the files is exempt under section 21 of the Freedom of Information Act, as it is readily available by other means. What this means is we are not obliged to provide it freely, but we must levy a handling charge in accordance with the requirements of the Trade Marks Act and Rules.

Each file may be inspected or copies requested for £5 per file (or possibly more in the case of very large files). You can find further information on file inspection at http://www.ipo.gov.uk/t-status.htm. Please note that some papers will not be available as their release is prohibited by Rule 50 of the Trade Mark Rules, and that prohibition cannot be circumvented by the FOI Act".

- 7. It also advised the complainant that any internal check sheets or logs were exempt under section 44 of the Act by virtue of a prohibition under the Trade Marks Act. It provided links to this legislation and the associated Trade Mark Rules.
- 8. Furthermore, the public authority advised him:

 "The Trade Marks Act 1994 bars the release of information held
 on a trade mark file until the mark concerned is advertised for
 opposition purposes, even from the applicant of the mark. If a
 mark is accepted and advertised, most of the file becomes open
 to public inspection".
- 9. On 9 June 2010 the complainant sought an internal review. He included the following arguments in support of his case to seek disclosure:



"Rule 50 (3) [of the Trade Mark Rules] removes the right of inspection for certain documents, but does not actually prohibit disclosure of documents detailed therein. The difference is subtle but important insofar as the IPO, at its option, can elect to release documents otherwise covered by Rule 50... after all, it would be farcical for statute to prohibit the subsequent release of all documentation initially intended for internal IPO consumption".

"Since Rule 50 does not describe an outright prohibition (due to it permitting discretionary disclosure), Section 44 of FOIA cannot apply in this case, and the information requested remains subject to full disclosure. I therefore request you review this FOI request and release to me the withheld documentation forthwith (other documentation, available through the standard inspection process, I shall request in due course via the relevant channel as outlined by your FOI Records Officer)".

10. On 6 July the public authority provided its response. It upheld its previous position and advised the complainant that:

"Section 44 is an absolute exemption. The right of access to information contained within a Trade Marks file is set out in the Trade Mark Rules 2008. You argue that we can elect to release the internal documentation. However, the Freedom of Information Act cannot be used to circumvent the statutory provisions set out in the Trade Marks Rules 2008. I refer you to paragraph 58(3a) which states that the right of inspection does not apply to 'any document prepared in the Office solely for its own use'".

The investigation

Scope of the case

- 11. On 7 July 2010 the complainant contacted the Commissioner to complain about the way his request for information had been handled. The complainant specifically asked the Commissioner to consider the public authority's interpretation of the aforementioned legislation as providing a prohibition on disclosure.
- 12. During the opening stages of the investigation the complainant confirmed with the Commissioner that he only seeking access to those documents which were not otherwise available to him, i.e. those check



sheets, notes, and procedural logs being withheld by virtue of section 44. He also wished the Commissioner to consider whether there was any other information concerning any 'further review' for any of the cases.

13. To clarify, the complainant did not dispute his alternative access to any 'open' material held on the files and this will not therefore be considered.

Chronology

14. In correspondence to the Commissioner dated 1 September 2010 the public authority advised as follows:

"In our opinion if s.44 were not available as an exemption, file notes of this type would qualify for exemption under s.36, being in many cases examples of free and frank exchanges of views for the purposes of deliberation".

- 15. On 2 November 2010 the Commissioner wrote to the complainant to advise him that he was commencing his investigation. He asked whether the complainant wanted all six of his complaints investigating. The complainant confirmed that he did.
- 16. On 15 November 2010 the Commissioner raised his initial enquiries with the public authority.
- 17. On 22 November 2010 the Commissioner clarified the scope of his investigation with the complainant, as outlined above. The complainant submitted more arguments to support his belief that there was no statutory bar on disclosure of the requested information.

Findings of fact

18. The two pieces of legislation that have been relied on by the public authority are The Trade Marks Act 1994² (the "TMA") and The Trade Marks Rules 2008³ (the "TMR"). The relevant sections are cited below:

"The Trade Marks Act 1994 67 Information about applications and registered trade marks.

(1) After publication of an application for registration of a trade mark, the registrar shall on request provide a person with such information and permit him to inspect such documents

³ http://www.legislation.gov.uk/uksi/2008/1797/contents/made

² http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1994/26/contents



relating to the application, or to any registered trade mark resulting from it, as may be specified in the request, subject, however, to any prescribed restrictions.

Any request must be made in the prescribed manner and be accompanied by the appropriate fee (if any).

- (2) Before publication of an application for registration of a trade mark, documents or information constituting or relating to the application shall not be published by the registrar or communicated by him to any person except—
 - (a) in such cases and to such extent as may be prescribed, or (b) with the consent of the applicant;

but subject as follows.

(3) Where a person has been notified that an application for registration of a trade mark has been made, and that the applicant will if the application is granted bring proceedings against him in respect of acts done after publication of the application, he may make a request under subsection (1) notwithstanding that the application has not been published and that subsection shall apply accordingly."

"The Trade Marks Rules 2008 Request for information; section 67(1) (Form TM31C)

56. A request for information relating to an application for registration or to a registered trade mark shall be made on Form TM31C.

. . .

Inspection of documents; sections 67 & 76(1)

- 58.—(1) Subject to paragraphs (2) and (3), the registrar shall permit all documents filed or kept at the Office in relation to a registered mark or, where an application for the registration of a trade mark has been published, in relation to that application, to be inspected.
- (2) The registrar shall not be obliged to permit the inspection of any such document as is mentioned in paragraph (1) until the completion of any procedure, or the stage in the procedure which is relevant to the document in question, which the registrar is required or permitted to carry out under the Act or these Rules.
- (3) The right of inspection under paragraph (1) does not apply to—
- (a) any document prepared in the Office solely for its own use."



Analysis

- 19. The request in this particular case is different to that for the other trade marks. The Commissioner here notes that not only does the request relate to the complainant's own application for a trade mark but it is also the only one of the requests which relates to a trade mark which was unpublished at the time of the request.
- 20. As can be read in the legal text above, there is a right of inspection for some information once a trade mark has been published. However, the legislation deals differently with those trade marks which are not published, as is the position in this case. Therefore, the Commissioner has approached this request differently to the other similar complaints he has dealt with. Notably, he has proceeded straight to his analysis of section 44 in this case because, unlike in the other cases, if information about an unpublished trade mark is found to be exempt by virtue of the TMA then this would cover all information held and no search for further information would be required.

Exemptions

Section 36 - prejudice to effective conduct of public affairs

21. The public authority referred to this exemption in correspondence with the Commissioner. However, it did not include a Qualified Person's opinion, or any other reasoning relevant to the section 36 exemption. Since no Qualified Person's opinion was obtained, the exemption cannot be engaged at this time. The Commissioner has therefore not considered this exemption further.

Section 44 - prohibitions of disclosure

22. Section 44(1) states that:

"Information is exempt information if its disclosure (otherwise than under this Act) by the public authority holding it —

- (a) is prohibited by or under any enactment,
- (b) is incompatible with any Community obligation, or
- (c) would constitute or be punishable as a contempt of court."
- 23. If engaged, this exemption is absolute and there is no need to consider the public interest in disclosure against the public interest in withholding the information.



- 24. The public authority has not cited the subsection of this exemption upon which it seeks to rely. However, based on the wording of its responses, the Commissioner has assumed that this is subsection (1)(a).
- 25. In this case the public authority has stated that the relevant statutory prohibition is section 67 of the TMA, along with section 58(3)(a) of the TMR (Statutory Instrument 1797 of 2008), as cited in 'Findings of fact' above. It advised: "The right of access to information contained within a Trade Marks file is set out in the Trade Mark Rules 2008". This right states that the registrar shall permit inspection of all documents relating to a registered or published trade mark except for "any document prepared in the Office solely for its own use".
- 26. The public authority has confirmed that information under consideration in this case relates to an unpublished trade mark and the complainant is aware of this fact.
- 27. The TMA clearly specifies that before publication of a trade mark, access to related documentation can only be provided in very limited circumstances. The Commissioner accepts that section 67(2) of the TMA clearly provides a statutory bar on general disclosure under the Act.
- 28. To conclude, the TMA imposes an obligation upon the public authority to make certain information about published trade marks available to public inspection. This particular case does not relate to a published trade mark so none of it need to made available under the provisions of the TMA. Were it a published trade mark then the Commissioner is likely to have reached the same conclusion as in the other five cases he has considered.
- 29. The Commissioner upholds the public authority's position that section 44 of the Act is engaged in respect of the withheld information and it is therefore exempt from disclosure.

Procedural requirements

Section 17 – refusal of request

- 30. Section 17(1) of the Act requires that, where a public authority is relying on a claim that an exemption in Part II of the Act is applicable to the information requested, it should in its refusal notice:
 - (a) state that fact,



- (b) specify the exemption in question,
- (c) state why the exemption applies.
- 31. In this case, the public authority stated that it was relying on section 44 but failed, by the time of the completion of the internal review, to specify which sub-section of the exemption it was relying on. It therefore breached section 17(1)(b).

The Decision

- 32. The Commissioner's decision is that the public authority dealt with the following elements of the request in accordance with the requirements of the Act:
 - it correctly withheld the information under the exemption at section 44(1)(a).
- 33. However, the Commissioner has also decided that the following elements of the request were not dealt with in accordance with the Act:
 - in failing to specify which subsection of section 44 it was relying on it breached section 17(1)(b).

Steps required

34. The Commissioner requires no steps to be taken.



Right of Appeal

35. Either party has the right to appeal against this Decision Notice to the First-tier Tribunal (Information Rights). Information about the appeals process may be obtained from:

First-tier Tribunal (Information Rights) GRC & GRP Tribunals, PO Box 9300, Arnhem House, 31, Waterloo Way, LEICESTER, LE1 8DJ

Tel: 0845 600 0877 Fax: 0116 249 4253

Email: <u>informationtribunal@tribunals.gsi.gov.uk</u>.

Website: www.informationtribunal.gov.uk

If you wish to appeal against a decision notice, you can obtain information on how to appeal along with the relevant forms from the Information Tribunal website.

Any Notice of Appeal should be served on the Tribunal within 28 calendar days of the date on which this Decision Notice is sent.

Dated the 26th day of April 2011

Signed	• • • • • • • • • •	•••••	• • • • •	• • • • •	•••••	••••	• • • • •	 ••••
Jon Mar	nners							

Information Commissioner's Office Wycliffe House Water Lane Wilmslow Cheshire

SK9 5AF

Group Manager