



**FIRST-TIER TRIBUNAL  
PROPERTY CHAMBER (RESIDENTIAL  
PROPERTY)**

**Case reference** : **LON/00AF/LRM/2022/0001  
:PAPERREMOTE**

**Property** : **141 Croydon Road, London, SE20 7TT**

**Applicant** : **141 Croydon Road RTM Company  
Limited**

**Representative** : **Bartletts; Solicitors**

**Respondent** : **Assethold Limited**

**Representative** : **Eagerstates Limited**

**Type of application** : **Right to manage**

**Tribunal member** : **Judge Professor Robert Abbey  
Mr T W Sennett MA FCIEH  
(Professional Member)**

**Date of paper  
based decision** : **6 June 2022**

---

**DECISION**

---

**Decisions of the tribunal**

- (1) The tribunal determines that the Applicant was on the relevant date entitled to acquire the right to manage the property pursuant to section 84(5)(a) of the Act, and the Applicant will acquire such right within three months after this determination becomes final.

**The application**

1. This was an application to acquire the right to manage **141 Croydon Road, London, SE20 7TT** (“the premises”) under Part 2 of Chapter 1 of the Commonhold and Leasehold Reform Act 2002 (“the Act”). The Respondent freeholder has served a counter-notice disputing the claim alleging that the applicant had failed to establish compliance with various sections of the Act.
2. This has been a remote hearing which has been consented to by the parties. The form of remote hearing was coded as PAPERREMOTE - used for a hearing that is decided entirely on the papers submitted to the Tribunal. A face-to-face hearing was not held because it was not possible due to the Covid -19 pandemic and because all issues could be determined in a remote paper-based hearing. The documents that were referred to are in a bundle of many pages, the contents of which we have recorded and which were accessible by all the parties. Therefore, the tribunal had before it an electronic/digital trial bundle of documents prepared/agreed by the parties, in accordance with previous directions
3. The property is a self-contained building and contains four flats held by qualifying tenants. Of the four flats all leases are for terms of at least 99 years from various commencement dates but one flat (Flat 2) has a term of 126 years with the commencement date of 25 March 1986 and one (flat 4) having a commencement date of 25 March 2014.

### **The law**

4. The relevant provisions of the Act in particular mentioned by the respondent are set out in the appendix to this decision. Rights of appeal are set out in the annex to this decision.

### **The counter-notice**

5. In its counter-notice, the Respondent raised alleged issues or breaches in regard to sections 80(8) and 80(9) of the Act. Having considered the copy deeds, letters, emails and documents in the trial bundle the tribunal has made the following decisions.

### **The issues or alleged breaches**

6. With regard to s.80 (8) and 80(9), the respondent asserts that there may be errors within the notice as the respondent says it is on an old form and by being so breaches sections 80 (8) and 80 (9) of the Act. The respondent specifically asserts in its statement of case dated 30 March 2022 –

*“4 The claim notice must be in the prescribed form and this includes all relevant notes. It is considered the Claim Notice in this instance fails to comply with the particulars and*

*requirements of a claim notice and has not been validly served in the prescribed form of notice – s.80(8), s.80(9).*

*5. The Applicant has utilised the old form of prescribed claim notice – the attached notes depart from the prescribed notice by referencing the leasehold valuation tribunal (LVT). However, as of 1 July 2013, the LVT in England became a First-tier Tribunal (Property Chamber) and a claim notice served should reflect this change and references to the Leasehold Valuation Tribunal should be removed and amended accordingly.*

*6. Given the automatic transfer of management functions, both under the Leases and under statute, the Respondent has taken steps to ascertain whether the Right to Manage process has been undertaken in accordance with the provisions of the 2002 Act to ensure that whilst the intentions of the Applicant are to take over the Right to Manage, that same is executed in a valid process in accordance with the 2002 Act so the Respondent may have certainty in its position and release of its statutory obligations”*

7. In response the applicant observed that the claim notice had been correctly prepared and lawfully served on the landlord and consequently the applicant is entitled to acquire the right to manage. More specifically and in response to the points raised by the respondent set out above, the applicant asserts that-

*“the notice served on the Respondent is the Right to Manage (Prescribed Particulars and Forms) (England) Regulations 2010/825. The Tribunal is referred to the case of Assethold Ltd v 14 Stansfield Road RTM Company Ltd [2012] UKUT 262 (LC), the landlord argued that there was a failure to comply with section 80(8) and (9) of the CLRA 2002 because the claim notice was in the form prescribed by the Right to Manage (Prescribed Particulars and Forms) (England) Regulations 2003, which, at the time when the notice was served, had been replaced by the Right to Manage (Prescribed Particulars and Forms) (England) Regulations 2010. The Lands Chamber held that, as there was no material difference between these two sets of regulations, the errors were to be regarded inaccuracies for the purposes of section 81(1) of the CLRA 2002.*

*The Tribunal is referred to section 81 (1) of Commonhold and Leasehold Reform Act 2002 where, verbatim ‘A claim notice is not invalidated by any inaccuracy in any of the particulars required by or by virtue of section 80’... If by deeming the words ‘leasehold valuation’ inaccurate the words are simply that, an inaccuracy and protected by section 81 (1) which does not invalidate the notice. Neither the Applicant or their legal representative has the power to substitute in words “First-tier*

*Tribunal (Property Chamber)” in the notice or notes as the Respondent suggests.”*

### **The Tribunal's decision**

8. The Tribunal is mindful of the following decisions. First in the Upper Tribunal *Assethold Limited V 110 Boulevard RTM Company Limited* [2017] UKUT 316 (LC) and secondly in the Court of Appeal *Elim Court RTM Company Ltd v Avon Freeholds Limited* [2017] EWCA Civ 89. These cases were concerned with issues not very dissimilar to those raised in this case. In the *Assethold* case a quote is included from *Elim* stating that: -

*“In para 57 and 58 he (Lewison LJ) approved the following passage:*

*Finally, it may be that even non-compliance with a requirement is not fatal. In all such cases, it is necessary to consider the words of the statute or contract, in the light of its subject matter, the background, the purpose of the requirement, if that is known or determined, and the actual or possible effect of non-compliance on the parties.”*

9. In *Elim* Lewison LJ observed: -

*“I have drawn attention to the Government's policy that the procedures should be as simple as possible to reduce the potential for challenge by an obstructive landlord. That policy has not been implemented by the current procedures which still contain traps for the unwary. This is, we were told, the third attempt by the RTM company to acquire the right to manage Elim Court. The Government may wish to consider simplifying the procedure further, or to grant the FTT a power to relieve against a failure to comply with the requirements if it is just and equitable to do so. Otherwise I fear that objections based on technical points which are of no significant consequence to the objector will continue to bedevil the acquisition of the right to manage.”*

10. Taking into account all these factors the Tribunal determines the notice is valid. It does so as it is persuaded by the submissions and evidence of the applicant more particularly set out in the paragraphs above. The Tribunal accepts that all the issues raised by the respondent fall by the wayside in the light of the submissions evidence and documentation issued by the applicant.
11. More specifically, In the case of *Assethold Ltd v 14 Stansfield Road RTM Company Ltd* [2012] UKUT 262 (LC), the landlord argued that there was a failure to comply with section 80(8) and (9) of the CLRA 2002 because

the claim notice was in the form prescribed by the Right to Manage (Prescribed Particulars and Forms) (England) Regulations 2003, which, at the time when the notice was served, had been replaced by the Right to Manage (Prescribed Particulars and Forms) (England) Regulations 2010. The Lands Chamber held that, as there was no material difference between these two sets of regulations, the errors were to be regarded as inaccuracies for the purposes of section 81(1) of the CLRA 2002. This Tribunal determines that this Lands Tribunal decision will apply to the facts as encountered in this dispute.

### **Summary**

12. Overall, the Tribunal determines that the Applicant was on the relevant date entitled to acquire the right to manage the premises pursuant to section 84(5)(a) of the Act.
13. Therefore, in accordance with section 90(4) within three months after this determination becomes final the Applicant will acquire the right to manage these premises. According to section 84(7):

“(7) A determination on an application under subsection (3) becomes final—

(a) if not appealed against, at the end of the period for bringing an appeal, or

(b) if appealed against, at the time when the appeal (or any further appeal) is disposed of.”

### **Costs**

14. Section 88(3) of the Act states:

“(3) A RTM company is liable for any costs which such a person incurs as party to any proceedings under this Chapter before a leasehold valuation tribunal only if the tribunal dismisses an application by the company for a determination that it is entitled to acquire the right to manage the premises.”

15. In the light of the Tribunal’s decision, there is no question of awarding any costs of the proceedings to the Respondent because the application for the right to acquire has not been dismissed.

**Name:** Judge Professor Robert Abbey

**Date:** 6<sup>th</sup> June 2022

## ANNEX

### **Rights of appeal**

By rule 36(2) of the Tribunal Procedure (First-tier Tribunal) (Property Chamber) Rules 2013, the tribunal is required to notify the parties about any right of appeal they may have.

If a party wishes to appeal this decision to the Upper Tribunal (Lands Chamber), then a written application for permission must be made to the First-tier Tribunal at the regional office which has been dealing with the case.

The application for permission to appeal must arrive at the regional office within 28 days after the tribunal sends written reasons for the decision to the person making the application.

If the application is not made within the 28 day time limit, such application must include a request for an extension of time and the reason for not complying with the 28 day time limit; the tribunal will then look at such reason(s) and decide whether to allow the application for permission to appeal to proceed, despite not being within the time limit.

The application for permission to appeal must identify the decision of the tribunal to which it relates (i.e. give the date, the property and the case number), state the grounds of appeal and state the result the party making the application is seeking.

If the tribunal refuses to grant permission to appeal, a further application for permission may be made to the Upper Tribunal (Lands Chamber).

## APPENDIX

### Commonhold and Leasehold Reform Act 2002

#### **78 Notice inviting participation**

(1) Before making a claim to acquire the right to manage any premises, a RTM company must give notice to each person who at the time when the notice is given—

(a) is the qualifying tenant of a flat contained in the premises, but

(b) neither is nor has agreed to become a member of the RTM company.

(2) A notice given under this section (referred to in this Chapter as a “notice of invitation to participate”) must—

(a) state that the RTM company intends to acquire the right to manage the premises,

(b) state the names of the members of the RTM company,

(c) invite the recipients of the notice to become members of the company, and

(d) contain such other particulars (if any) as may be required to be contained in notices of invitation to participate by regulations made by the appropriate national authority.

(3) A notice of invitation to participate must also comply with such requirements (if any) about the form of notices of invitation to participate as may be prescribed by regulations so made.

(4) A notice of invitation to participate must either—

(a) be accompanied by a copy of the articles of association of the RTM company, or

(b) include a statement about inspection and copying of the articles of association of the RTM company.

(5) A statement under subsection (4)(b) must—

(a) specify a place (in England or Wales) at which the articles of association may be inspected,

(b) specify as the times at which they may be inspected periods of at least two hours on each of at least three days (including a Saturday or Sunday or both) within the seven days beginning with the day following that on which the notice is given,

(c) specify a place (in England or Wales) at which, at any time within those seven days, a copy of the articles of association may be ordered, and

(d) specify a fee for the provision of an ordered copy, not exceeding the reasonable cost of providing it.

(6) Where a notice given to a person includes a statement under subsection (4)(b), the notice is to be treated as not having been given to him if he is not allowed to undertake an inspection, or is not provided with a copy, in accordance with the statement.

(7) A notice of invitation to participate is not invalidated by any inaccuracy in any of the particulars required by or by virtue of this section.

### **79 Notice of claim to acquire right**

(1) A claim to acquire the right to manage any premises is made by giving notice of the claim (referred to in this Chapter as a “claim notice”); and in this Chapter the “relevant date”, in relation to any claim to acquire the right to manage, means the date on which notice of the claim is given.

(2) The claim notice may not be given unless each person required to be given a notice of invitation to participate has been given such a notice at least 14 days before.

(3) The claim notice must be given by a RTM company which complies with subsection (4) or (5).

(4) If on the relevant date there are only two qualifying tenants of flats contained in the premises, both must be members of the RTM company.

(5) In any other case, the membership of the RTM company must on the relevant date include a number of qualifying tenants of flats contained in the premises which is not less than one-half of the total number of flats so contained.

(6) The claim notice must be given to each person who on the relevant date is—

(a) landlord under a lease of the whole or any part of the premises,

(b) party to such a lease otherwise than as landlord or tenant, or

(c) a manager appointed under Part 2 of the Landlord and Tenant Act 1987 (c. 31) (referred to in this Part as “the 1987 Act”) to act in relation to the premises, or any premises containing or contained in the premises.

(7) Subsection (6) does not require the claim notice to be given to a person who cannot be found or whose identity cannot be ascertained; but if this subsection means that the claim notice is not required to be given to anyone at all, section 85 applies.

(8) A copy of the claim notice must be given to each person who on the relevant date is the qualifying tenant of a flat contained in the premises.

(9) Where a manager has been appointed under Part 2 of the 1987 Act to act in relation to the premises, or any premises containing or contained in the premises, a copy of the claim notice must also be given to the tribunal or court by which he was appointed.

### **80 Contents of claim notice**

(1) The claim notice must comply with the following requirements.

(2) It must specify the premises and contain a statement of the grounds on which it is claimed that they are premises to which this Chapter applies.

(3) It must state the full name of each person who is both—

(a) the qualifying tenant of a flat contained in the premises, and

(b) a member of the RTM company,

and the address of his flat.



- (4) And it must contain, in relation to each such person, such particulars of his lease as are sufficient to identify it, including—
- (a) the date on which it was entered into,
  - (b) the term for which it was granted, and
  - (c) the date of the commencement of the term.
- (5) It must state the name and registered office of the RTM company.
- (6) It must specify a date, not earlier than one month after the relevant date, by which each person who was given the notice under section 79(6) may respond to it by giving a counter-notice under section 84.
- (7) It must specify a date, at least three months after that specified under subsection (6), on which the RTM company intends to acquire the right to manage the premises.
- (8) It must also contain such other particulars (if any) as may be required to be contained in claim notices by regulations made by the appropriate national authority.
- (9) And it must comply with such requirements (if any) about the form of claim notices as may be prescribed by regulations so made.