

FIRST-TIER TRIBUNAL PROPERTY CHAMBER (RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY)

| Case reference | : | LON/ooBK/MNR/2020/0038 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Property | : | Flat 69, Montagu Mansions, London W1U 6LE |
| Applicant | : | Mr G Lewis |
| Representative | : | Mr G Pack BSc FRICS |
| Respondent | : | Bromley Property Investments Ltd |
| Representative | : | None |
| Type of application | : | Market Rent under s13 \& 14 of the Housing Act 1988 |
| Tribunal member(s) | : | Mr A Harris LLM FRICS FCIArb Mr O Miller BSc |
| Date and venue of hearing | : | 15 July 2021 at 10 Alfred Place, London WC1E 7LR |
| Date of decision | : | 15 July 2021 |

## DECISION

## Decisions of the tribunal

(1) The tribunal determines that the market rent is $£ 5037.00$ per quarter.
(2) The tribunal makes the determinations as set out under the various headings in this decision.

## The application

1. The applicant seeks a determination pursuant to section $13 \& 14$ of the Housing Act 1988 following the service of a notice by the landlord dated 5 November 2019 proposing a rent increase from $£_{5850}$ per quarter to $£ 6350$ per quarter with effect from 23 March 2020.

## Background

2. On 5 March 2020 Mr Pack on behalf of the tenant, Mr Lewis, made an application to this tribunal challenging the increase. Directions were originally made on 12 March 2020 setting a hearing date of 1 June 2020. Due to the Covid pandemic this date was vacated and the case was eventually relisted for hearing with the 2021 appeal.
3. The tribunal has received no representations from the landlord.
4. An inspection was not possible on this occasion and the tribunal relied on the evidence provided and the previous decision which was in evidence. There was no appearance by the landlord. In the absence of any other evidence the tribunal relies on the description of the property provided by the tenant's expert.

## The property

5. Montague Mansions consists of approximately 150 flats in several Mansion blocks between Baker Street and Gloucester Place and dates from the early 1900s. There are no gardens or outside space within the flats.
6. The property is a two bedroom located on the 1st floor and there is no lift.
7. The flat is said to be in reasonable condition and the fittings are still the original dating from the time Mr Lewis moved into the property with his parents in 1966. At that time the property was let as a shell and all furnishings carpets and white goods have been provided by the tenant.
8. There is partial central heating with one radiator in the hall and another in the lounge
9. the flat has an area of $793 \mathrm{ft} .{ }^{2}$ of which $591 \mathrm{ft} .{ }^{2}$ relates to the living room bedrooms and kitchen area.

## The landlord's evidence

10. The landlord has supplied no evidence.

## The tenant's evidence

11. Expert evidence on behalf of the tenant was given by Mr Graham Pack BSc FRICS. Mr Pack has appeared on behalf of the tenant at the hearings for each year from 2016 to 2019. In each of those years the market rent remained at $£_{5850}$ per quarter based on a rent for the property on an Assured Shorthold Tenancy at $£ 9000$ per quarter reduced by $35 \%$ to take account of the lack of modernisation, white goods and the terms of the tenancy.
12. The Landlord has served a notice of increase proposing to raise the rent to $£ 6350$ per quarter but has provided no evidence.
13. Mr Pack has provided details of a number of comparable flats all within the same block.
14. 107 Montague Mansions
15. This flat was originally let in February 2017 at $£ 8320$ per quarter. It was renewed at the same rent in February 2018 in February 2019. In February 2020 the rent reduced to $£ 8125$ per quarter and in February 2021 to $£ 7475$ per quarter.
16. The flat has an area of $883 \mathrm{ft} .^{2}$. Mr Pack has devalued the quarterly rent to arrive at a rent per square foot which he then applies to the area of the subject property to arrive at an equivalent rent for the subject property of $£_{7482}$ per quarter at February 2019, $£_{7297}$ per quarter for February 2020 and $£ 6713$ per quarter for February 2021.
17. Mr Pack has adopted the same methodology for each of the comparables listed in the table below.

18. it can be seen from the table that rents have declined in the market between 2019 and 2020. Mr Pack takes an average of the rates per square foot to arrive at an average equivalent rent of $£ 7723$ per quarter for 2020 and deducting $35 \%$ as in the previous tribunal decisions comes to a revised market rent for 23 March 2020 of $£_{5020}$ per quarter.

## The Law

20. The tribunal must first determine that the landlord's notice under section 13(2) satisfied the requirements of that section and was validly served.
21. The Housing Act 1988, section 14 requires the tribunal to determine the rent at which it considered that the subject property might reasonably be expected to be let on the open market by a willing landlord under an assured tenancy.
22. In so doing the tribunal, is required by section 14(1), to ignore the effect on the rental value of the property of any relevant tenant's improvements as defined in section 14(2) of that Act. Any improvements made during the previous regulated tenancy are no longer disregarded.

## Valuation

23. No rental evidence had been put forward by the landlord.
24. The evidence put forward on behalf of the tenant is substantiated by previous tribunal decisions and letting details of a number of flats of different sizes as shown in column 2 of the table.
25. The tribunal has weighed this evidence and also applied its knowledge and experience and places considerable weight on it.
26. Applying a similar methodology of reaching an average rent for the equivalent floor area the tribunal arrives at a headline figure of $£ 7750$ per quarter.
27. The tribunal then considered whether the $35 \%$ deduction adopted by previous tribunal is still appropriate as argued for by Mr Pack. This figure has been adopted by successive tribunals and there is no evidence before the tribunal to suggest it is no longer valid. The tribunal therefore makes a deduction of $35 \%$.
28. This results in a net rent for the subject property of $£_{5037}$ per quarter

## Effective date

29. Under s14 (7) of the Housing Act 1988 the effective date of the decision would normally be the date shown on the application unless there is hardship to the tenant.
30. The tribunal received no evidence of hardship and therefore the effective date of the decision is 23 March 2020.

Name:
A P Harris LLM FRICS FCIArb
Valuer Chair
Date: 15 July 2021

## Rights of appeal

By rule 36(2) of the Tribunal Procedure (First-tier Tribunal) (Property Chamber) Rules 2013, the tribunal is required to notify the parties about any right of appeal they may have.

If a party wishes to appeal this decision to the Upper Tribunal (Lands Chamber), then a written application for permission must be made to the First-tier Tribunal at the regional office which has been dealing with the case.

The application for permission to appeal must arrive at the regional office within 28 days after the tribunal sends written reasons for the decision to the person making the application.

If the application is not made within the 28 day time limit, such application must include a request for an extension of time and the reason for not complying with the 28 day time limit; the tribunal will then look at such reason(s) and decide whether to allow the application for permission to appeal to proceed, despite not being within the time limit.

The application for permission to appeal must identify the decision of the tribunal to which it relates (i.e. give the date, the property and the case number), state the grounds of appeal and state the result the party making the application is seeking.

If the tribunal refuses to grant permission to appeal, a further application for permission may be made to the Upper Tribunal (Lands Chamber).

