

FIRST-TIER TRIBUNAL PROPERTY CHAMBER (RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY)

Case reference : LON/00AN/LDC/2021/0093

HMCTS code

(paper, video,

audio)

P: PAPER REMOTE

Flats A, 2, C, 12 Adelaide Grove, London, **Property** :

W12 0JJ

12 Adelaide Grove, RTM Company **Applicant**

Limited

Jessica Clough (Warwick Estates) Representative :

The leaseholders of Flats A, 2 and C, 12 Respondents

Adelaide Grove

Dispensation with Consultation Type of application **Requirements under section 20ZA**

Landlord and Tenant Act 1985

Tribunal member **Judge Robert Latham**

Venue 10 Alfred Place, London WC1E 7LR

Date of decision 16 August 2021 :

DECISION

The Tribunal grants this application to dispense with the consultation requirements imposed by section 20 of the Landlord and Tenant Act 1985 without condition in respect of works to repair a broken waste pipe.

Covid-19 pandemic: description of hearing

This has been a remote hearing which has not been objected to by the parties. The form of remote hearing was P:PAPER REMOTE. The Directions provided for the application to be determined on the papers unless any party requested a hearing. No party has requested a hearing. The applicant has filed a bundle in in support of the application.

The Application

- 1. The Tribunal has received an application from 12 Adelaide Grove, RTM Company Limited ("the applicant"), dated 17 March 2021, seeking retrospective dispensation from the consultation requirements of section 20 of the Landlord and Tenant Act 1985 ("the Act"). The application relates to the three flats in a converted house at 12 Adelaide Grove, London, W12 oJJ. The application is made by the RTM Company which manages the property.
- 2. On 12 February 2021, the applicant received a report that an exterior waste pipe had burst onto the flat roof. As a result, there was raw sewage on the roof which posed a health and safety risk. A contractor, London Flood Prevention Limited, was instructed who attended the property on the same day. On 19 February, scaffolding was erected. On 22 February, the contractor attended to refit the broken float line and deep clean the roof area. The applicant has provided estimates dated 17 and 22 February which total £2,886.
- 3. On 4 June, the Tribunal issued Directions. The Tribunal stated that it would determine the application on the papers, unless any party requested an oral hearing. No party has done so.
- 4. By 14 June, the applicant was directed to send to each of the leaseholders by email, hand delivery or first-class post, copies of the application form (excluding any list of respondents' names and addresses) and a copy of the directions. On 7 June, the applicant confirmed that it had complied with this direction.
- 5. By 2 July, any leaseholder who opposed the application was directed to complete a Reply Form which was attached to the Directions and email it both to the Tribunal and to the applicant. The leaseholder was further directed to send the applicant a statement in response to the application. No leaseholder has returned a completed Reply Form.
- 6. On 15 July, the applicant emailed the tribunal a bundle of documents in support of its application. The bundle includes a copy of the lease for Flat 12a Adelaide Grove. The bundle also included the invoices from London Flood Prevention Limited.

7. Section 20ZA (1) of the Act provides:

"Where an application is made to the appropriate tribunal for a determination to dispense with all or any of the consultation requirements in relation to any qualifying works or qualifying long term agreement, the tribunal may make the determination if satisfied that it is reasonable to dispense with the requirements."

- 8. The only issue which this Tribunal has been required to determine is whether or not it is reasonable to dispense with the statutory consultation requirements. This application does not concern the issue of whether any service charge costs will be reasonable or payable.
- 9. The Tribunal is satisfied that it is reasonable to grant dispensation from the statutory consultation requirements. This is justified by the urgent need for the works. There is no suggestion that any prejudice has arisen. In the circumstances, it is appropriate to grant dispensation without any conditions.
- 10. The Directions made provision for the service of the Tribunal's decision. The Tribunal will email a copy of its decision to the applicant. The Tribunal directs the applicant to send a copy to the leaseholders.

Judge Robert Latham 16 August 2021

Rights of appeal

By rule 36(2) of the Tribunal Procedure (First-tier Tribunal) (Property Chamber) Rules 2013, the tribunal is required to notify the parties about any right of appeal they may have.

If a party wishes to appeal this decision to the Upper Tribunal (Lands Chamber), then a written application for permission must be made **by e-mail** to the First-tier Tribunal at the regional office which has been dealing with the case.

The application for permission to appeal must arrive at the regional office within 28 days after the tribunal sends written reasons for the decision to the person making the application.

If the application is not made within the 28 day time limit, such application must include a request for an extension of time and the reason for not complying with the 28 day time limit; the tribunal will then look at such reason(s) and decide whether to allow the application for permission to appeal to proceed, despite not being within the time limit.

The application for permission to appeal must identify the decision of the tribunal to which it relates (i.e. give the date, the property and the case number), state the grounds of appeal and state the result the party making the application is seeking.

If the tribunal refuses to grant permission to appeal, a further application for permission may be made to the Upper Tribunal (Lands Chamber).