

FIRST-TIER TRIBUNAL PROPERTY CHAMBER (RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY)

Case Reference

LON/00AY/LCP/2016/0005

Property

86 Ellison Road, SW16 5DD

Applicant

Assethold Limited

Representative

Scott Cohen Solicitors

Respondent

86 Ellison Road RTM Company

Representative

Urban Owners Limited

Type of Application

Right to Manage – Commonhold and Leasehold Reform Act 2002 -

Costs

Mr M Martyński (Tribunal

Tribunal

Judge)

Mr C Gowman

Date of Decision

11 July 2016

DECISION

Decision summary

1. The Applicant is to pay the Respondent's costs assessed as

follows:-

Legal Costs

£633.00 (including VAT)

Disbursements

£3.00

Managing Agent's Costs

£110.00

VAT on agent's costs

£22.00

Total

£768.00

Background

2. The Applicant's application is dated 6 May 2016 and seeks a decision as to the amount of costs payable by the RTM Company.

- 3. Directions on the application were given on 18 May 2016. The application was put on the Paper Track. Neither party requested a hearing and accordingly this application has been decided on the basis of the papers alone, those papers consisting of the tribunal's case file and the file of papers submitted by the Applicant.
- 4. It appears from the papers that the Applicant accepted the Respondent Company's Right to Manage (the Claim Notice being dated 11 March 2016) and now claims its costs in consequence of that claim.

The issues

5. The issues as to costs between the parties are set out in a Schedule completed by both parties. We set out below the issues in the Schedule and our decisions.

Decision

Amount/Time	Objection	Answer	Decision
Hourly charging	Too High	Appropriate given	We consider the hourly
rate £250	J	level of fee-earner	rate to be reasonable for this type
			of work and for this fee earner
54 minutes	Excessive given the	Appropriate	We consider this to
attendances	very simple nature		be a reasonable time
	of the building		claim for the work that
1			was required
30 minutes	15 minutes proposed	Appropriate	We consider this to be a reasonable
assessment of claim			time claim for the work that
notice	_		was required
36 minutes review of	15 minutes proposed	Appropriate	We consider this to be a reasonable
additional			time claim for the work that
documentation			was required
Managing Agent's	£110 + VAT offered	A similar fee has	We have considered the invoice
fees of £300		been upheld by	from Eagerstates, the managing agent.
		another tribunal	We consider that this work duplicates
			some of what the solicitor has done
			(for example advising

the freeholder). Further, some of the work appears to be purely
administrative, such as copying the
leases. The only real work that was
done appropriate to a managing
agent is the reviewing of contracts,
works, insurances etc. In such a
straightforward building as this,
this work will take very little time.
Accordingly we allow
£110 plus VAT.

Mark Martyński, Tribunal Judge 11 July 2016