



EMPLOYMENT TRIBUNALS

Claimant: Mr S Najak

Respondent: Curtis Law Solicitors Limited

Heard at: Manchester (by CVP)

On: 9 October 2024

Before: Employment Judge Phil Allen

REPRESENTATION:

Claimant: In person

Respondent: Mr A Bell, solicitor

JUDGMENT

The judgment of the Tribunal is that:

1. The claimant was a worker when engaged by the respondent within the meaning of section 230(3) of the Employment Rights Act 1996.
2. The claim for unauthorised deduction from wages is not well-founded and is dismissed.
3. The claim for breach of contract is not well-founded and is dismissed,

Employment Judge Phil Allen
9 October 2024

JUDGMENT SENT TO THE PARTIES ON
14 October 2024

FOR THE TRIBUNAL OFFICE

Notes

Reasons for this Judgment having been given orally at the hearing, written reasons will not be provided unless a request was made by either party at the hearing or a written request is presented by either party within 14 days of the sending of this written record of the decision.

Public access to employment tribunal decisions

Judgments and reasons for the judgments are published, in full, online at www.gov.uk/employment-tribunal-decisions shortly after a copy has been sent to the claimant(s) and respondent(s) in a case.

Recording and Transcription

Please note that if a Tribunal hearing has been recorded you may request a transcript of the recording, for which a charge may be payable. If a transcript is produced it will not include any oral judgment or reasons given at the hearing. The transcript will not be checked, approved or verified by a judge. There is more information in the joint Presidential Practice Direction on the Recording and Transcription of Hearings, and accompanying Guidance, which can be found here:

<https://www.judiciary.uk/guidance-and-resources/employment-rules-and-legislation-practice-directions/>