



## EMPLOYMENT TRIBUNALS (SCOTLAND)

Case Number: 121206/2008 & 105194/2006

**Mrs M Paterson**

**Claimant**

[Redacted]

**Glasgow City Council**

**Respondents**

[Redacted]

**Cordia (Services) LLP**

[Redacted]

## JUDGMENT

The claims are struck out under rule 37 of the Rules contained in Schedule 1 of the Employment Tribunals (Constitution and Rules of Procedure) Regulations 2013 on the grounds that the claims have not been actively pursued in terms of rule 37(1)(d).

# REASONS

1. The claimant's solicitors having withdrawn from acting on her/his behalf, a letter was sent to her on the direction of an Employment Judge asking for confirmation of her intention with regard to the claims.
2. The current resident has contacted the Tribunal to advise that the claimant no longer resides at the address provided on the ET1.
3. No steps have been taken by the claimant to advise the Tribunal of her new address. In the absence of this information the tribunal cannot communicate with the claimant. The Tribunal have been unable to give the claimant notice under rule 37(2) of the Employment Tribunals (Constitution and Rules of Procedure) Regulations 2013.
4. The Tribunal assumes in these circumstances that she no longer wishes to pursue her claims, which are struck out under rule 37(1)(d) of the Employment Tribunals (Constitution and Rules of Procedure) Regulations 2013.

<b>Employment Judge:</b>	<b>F Eccles</b>
<b>Date of Judgment:</b>	<b>02 September 2024</b>
<b>Entered in register:</b>	<b>02 September 2024</b>
<b>and copied to parties</b>	