

# **EMPLOYMENT TRIBUNALS**

Claimant: Mr I Wilson

**Respondent:** Fircroft Engineering Services Limited

**Heard at:** Manchester (remotely, by CVP) **On:** 24 September 2021

Before: Employment Judge Robinson

(sitting alone)

#### **REPRESENTATION:**

Claimant: In person

Respondent: Mr O'Neill, Solicitor

## **JUDGMENT**

The judgment of the Tribunal is as follows:

- 1. The claimant has acted otherwise unreasonably and his claim had no reasonable prospect of success, and consequently under rule 76 of the Employment Tribunal (Constitution and Rules of Procedure) Regulations 2013 he is ordered to pay a proportion of the costs of the respondent in defending these proceedings.
- 2. Having taken into consideration the fact that the claimant is acting in person and the financial information he gave on oath today, the reasonable sum due to the respondent solicitors in terms of costs is the sum of £6000.
- 3. That sum must be paid to the respondent solicitors forthwith.

**Employment Judge Robinson** 

Date: 25 September 2021

#### JUDGMENT SENT TO THE PARTIES ON

7 October 2021

#### FOR THE TRIBUNAL OFFICE

#### **Note**

Reasons for the judgment having been given orally at the hearing, written reasons will not be provided unless a request was made by either party at the hearing or a written request is presented by either party within 14 days of the sending of this written record of the decision.

### Public access to employment tribunal decisions

Judgments and reasons for the judgments are published, in full, online at www.gov.uk/employment-tribunal-decisions shortly after a copy has been sent to the claimant(s) and respondent(s) in a case.