



EMPLOYMENT TRIBUNALS

Claimant Mrs S Singh

Respondent Department for Work and Pensions

Heard at: Exeter

On: 6 September 2021

Before:
Employment Judge Goraj

Representation

The Claimant: in person.

The Respondent: Mr O James, counsel

JUDGMENT AT PRELIMINARY HEARING

The JUDGMENT of the Tribunal is that: -

1. The respondent concedes that the claimant was a disabled person for the purposes of section 6 of the Equality Act 2010 (“the 2010 Act”) in respect of the following impairments as follows: -
 - 1.1 Eye impairments (paragraphs 3.1 – 3.3 of the particulars of complaint) – from March 2015.
 - 1.2 Chronic migraines (paragraph 3.4 of the particulars of complaint)- from 11 December 2018.
 - 1.3 Musculo- skeletal condition (paragraph 3.5 of the particulars of complaint) from March 2015.
 - 1.4 Anxiety and depression (paragraph 3.6 of the particulars of complaint) from February 2019.
2. Any issues relating to the application of the statutory time limits for the purposes of section 123 of the 2010 Act will be determined at the final hearing.

3. The matter remains listed for a final hearing for 5 days on 21, 22, 23, 24 and 25 March 2022 and for a further case management preliminary hearing on 22 February 2022.

Employment Judge Goraj
Date: 06 September 2021

Judgment sent to the parties: 20 September 2021

FOR THE TRIBUNAL OFFICE

As reasons for the Judgment were announced orally at the Hearing written reasons shall not be provided unless they are requested by a party within 14 days of the sending of this Judgment to the parties.

Online publication of judgments and reasons

The Employment Tribunal (ET) is required to maintain a register of all judgments (except withdrawal judgments) and written reasons. The register must be accessible to the public. It has recently been moved online. All judgments and reasons since February 2017 are now available at: <https://www.gov.uk/employment-tribunal-decisions>

The ET has no power to refuse to place a judgment or reasons on the online register, or to remove a judgment or reasons from the register once they have been placed there. If you consider that these documents should be anonymised in anyway prior to publication, you will need to apply to the ET for an order to that effect under Rule 50 of the ET's Rules of Procedure. Such an application would need to be copied to all other parties for comment and it would be carefully scrutinised by a judge (where appropriate, with panel members) before deciding whether (and to what extent) anonymity should be granted to a party or a witness