

EMPLOYMENT TRIBUNALS

Claimant

Respondent

1. Ms R Tate 2. Mr M Beia

V

Neets Plug Ltd

Heard at: Watford

On: 11 February 2020

Before: Employment Judge Lang

Appearances

For the Claimant:Did not attendFor the Respondent:Did not attend

JUDGMENT

- 1. The First Claimant's complaints of unauthorised deductions from wages, holiday pay and notice pay are well founded. The respondent is ordered to pay the First Claimant (Ms R Tate) £2,657, consisting of unpaid wages of £1,194, holiday pay of £913, and notice pay of £550.
- 2. The Second Claimant's complaints of unauthorised deductions from wages, holiday pay and notice pay are well founded. The respondent is ordered to pay the Second Claimant (Mr M Beia) the sum of £1,595, consisting of wages of £557.69 and holiday pay of £479.62 and notice pay of £557.69.

REASONS

- 1. The claimants did not attend the hearing but made written representations. The respondent failed to present a response and did not attend.
- 2. The claimants were dismissed on 6 December 2018.
- 3. The claimants were asked to give details of their complaints which they did by way of letter, responding to the Employment Tribunal's letter dated 9 December 2019.

- 4. The First Claimant, Ms Tate, stated that her unpaid wages amounted to £1,194 gross. She was owed holiday pay of 7.5 days, at £913 gross, and one weeks' notice pay of £550 net.
- 5. I consider that the complaints are well founded and give judgment for a total of £2,657.
- 6. The Second Claimant, Mr Beia, provided details of his complaint also. He alleges that he is owed wages of £557.69 gross, holiday pay of £479.62 gross (based on 5 days outstanding holiday) and notice pay of £557.69 net.
- 7. I am satisfied the complaints are well founded and give judgment for £1,595.

Employment Judge Lang

Date: 12 February 2020.

Sent to the parties on: .26 February 2020

For the Tribunal Office