

## **EMPLOYMENT TRIBUNALS**

Claimant Mr T Butler- Easton

Respondent Devonshire Homes Limited

Heard at: Exeter

**On:** 5 July 2019

Before: Employment Judge Goraj

RepresentationClaimant:did not attendThe Respondent:Mr C Neale, Commercial Director

# JUDGMENT

## The JUDGMENT of the tribunal is that: -

1. The Claimant's application for the postponement of the hearing was refused.

2. The Respondent has made unlawful deductions from the Claimant's pay in the total sum of £1,193.22 gross (as set out in the Schedule below) in breach of section 13 of the Employment Rights Act 1996.

3. The Claimant's remaining claims are dismissed.

4. The Claimant is therefore awarded and the Respondent is ordered to pay to the Claimant the sum of  $\pounds$ 1,193.22 gross.

### The Schedule

- 6 days during the period between 15 January 2018 and 1 June 2018 namely 15 January 2018 (1day), 20 – 23 April 2018 (4 days) and 1 June 2018 (1 day).
- 2. 6 days x gross daily pay of £198.87 = £1,193.22 gross.

Employment Judge Goraj Date: 13 July 2019 Judgment sent to parties: 1 August 2019

FOR THE OFFICE OF THE TRIBUNALS

As reasons for the Judgment were announced orally at the Hearing written reasons shall not be provided unless they are requested by a party within 14 days of the sending of this Judgment to the parties.

#### Online publication of judgments and reasons

The Employment Tribunal (ET) is required to maintain a register of all judgments and written reasons. The register must be accessible to the public. It has recently been moved online. All judgments and reasons since February 2017 are now available at: <u>https://www.gov.uk/employment-tribunal-decisions</u>

The ET has no power to refuse to place a judgment or reasons on the online register, or to remove a judgment or reasons from the register once they have been placed there. If you consider that these documents should be anonymised in anyway prior to publication, you will need to apply to the ET for an order to that effect under Rule 50 of the ET's Rules of Procedure. Such an application would need to be copied to all other parties for comment and it would be carefully scrutinised by a judge (where appropriate, with panel members) before deciding whether (and to what extent) anonymity should be granted to a party or a witness