

Upper Tribunal (Immigration and Asylum Chamber)

Appeal Number: EA/09372/2016

THE IMMIGRATION ACTS

Decision & Reasons Promulgated On 05 July 2018

Before

UPPER TRIBUNAL JUDGE O'CONNOR

Between

MUDDASSAR RIAZ (ANONYMITY NOT MADE)

<u>Appellant</u>

and

THE SECRETARY OF STATE FOR THE HOME DEPARTMENT <u>Respondent</u>

DECISION AND REASONS

Introduction

1. The appellant is a citizen of Pakistan, born 08.08.1996. He made an application to the Secretary of State for an EEA family permit. The application was refused in a decision dated 14.07.2016, for reasons that I need not set out herein.

Decision of the First-tier Tribunal

2. The appellant lodged an appeal before the First-tier Tribunal. That appeal came before First-tier Tribunal Judge Swaniker, who concluded in a decision sent on 13.09.2017, that the appellant had no statutory right of appeal and that the First-tier Tribunal did not have jurisdiction to determine the appeal. The Judge's conclusion was informed by the guidance given by this Tribunal in <u>Sala</u> [2016] UKUT 00411.

3. The appellant appealed such decision to the Upper Tribunal and First-tier Tribunal Judge Alis granted permission, in a decision sent on 24.04.2018.

Directions by Upper Tribunal

- 4. In a notice of decision sent on 31.05.2018, Upper Tribunal Judge Blum indicated that the Upper Tribunal was minded to find an error of law, set aside the decision of the First-tier Tribunal and remit the case to the First-tier Tribunal. If either of the parties was opposed to this course they were directed to inform the Tribunal in writing (giving reasons), not later than 7 days from the date these Directions were sent. Following that period, the parties were informed that the Upper Tribunal would issue its decision.
- 5. The Upper Tribunal has not received any relevant correspondence from either party within the stipulated timeframe.

Discussion

- 6. The Court of Appeal has now given consideration to the very issue in play in the instant case see <u>Khan v Secretary of State for the Home Department</u> [2017] EWCA Civ 1755. The Court concluded that the Upper Tribunal had been wrong in its conclusion and rationale in <u>Sala</u>. It is not in dispute that the effect of the decision in <u>Khan</u>, if applied to this case, is that the First-tier Tribunal was wrong to conclude that it did not have jurisdiction in this appeal.
- 7. For this reason, I set aside the decision of the First-tier Tribunal and remit the appeal back to the First-tier Tribunal to be heard afresh.

Decision

The decision of the First-tier Tribunal is set aside.

The appeal is remitted to the First-tier Tribunal.

Signed:

Vento to

Dated: 04.07.2018

Upper Tribunal Judge O'Connor