

Upper Tribunal (Immigration and Asylum Chamber) HU/10961/2016

# **Appeal Number:**

## THE IMMIGRATION ACTS

Heard at Field House
On 9<sup>th</sup> August 2017

Decision & Reasons Promulgated On 15<sup>th</sup> August 2017

## **Before**

# **DEPUTY UPPER TRIBUNAL JUDGE GRIMES**

#### **Between**

# MR YOUNES FECIH

(ANONYMITY DIRECTION NOT MADE)

**Appellant** 

#### and

## **ENTRY CLEARANCE OFFICER - PARIS (ALGIERS)**

Respondent

# **Representation:**

For the Appellant: Mrs Susan Fecih, the Sponsor

For the Respondent: Mr S Whitwell, Home Office Presenting Officer

## **DECISION AND REASONS**

- 1. The Appellant, a national of Algeria, appealed to the First-tier Tribunal against the decision of the Entry Clearance Officer dated 24<sup>th</sup> March 2016 to refuse his application for entry clearance to the UK under Appendix FM of the Immigration Rules as the partner of Susan Fecih. First-tier Tribunal Judge Graves considered the appeal on the papers and dismissed the appeal in a decision dated 11<sup>th</sup> January 2017. The Appellant now appeals with permission to this Tribunal.
- 2. The Grounds of Appeal contend that the Appellant sent documents to the Tribunal for consideration by the judge and that these documents were

received by the Tribunal on  $20^{\text{th}}$  December 2016. However it is clear from the judge's decision that these papers were not before the judge when she reached her decision on  $11^{\text{th}}$  January 2017. It is contended that this administrative error led to procedural unfairness.

- 3. In granting permission First-tier Tribunal Judge Grimmett considered it arguable that there was a procedural unfairness in light of the fact that it was likely that the original bundle went astray. In the Rule 24 notice dated 13<sup>th</sup> July 2017 the Respondent indicated that she does not oppose the Appellant's application for permission to appeal and invites the Tribunal to remit the appeal for a fresh hearing before the First-tier Tribunal.
- **4.** At the hearing before me Mr Whitwell indicated that he had nothing to add to the Rule 24 notice. He accepted that there was a procedural irregularity in this case which means that the decision has to be re-made.
- **5.** A procedural irregularity had occurred and the Appellant has not yet had a proper hearing in the First-tier Tribunal. In these circumstances I considered it appropriate, and the parties agreed, that the matter be remitted to the First-tier Tribunal for a fresh hearing. Mrs Fecih advised that she would prefer this to be undertaken by way of an oral hearing.

### **Notice of Decision**

- **6.** The decision of the First-tier Tribunal contains a material error of law. I set it aside. The appeal is remitted to the First-tier Tribunal.
- **7.** No anonymity direction is made.

Signed Date: 14<sup>th</sup> August 2017

Deputy Upper Tribunal Judge Grimes