

# DISPUTE RESOLUTION SERVICE D00012357

Decision of Independent Expert
(Summary Decision)

Keith Willis Associates Limited

and

VistaPrint Technologies Ltd

#### 1. The Parties:

Lead Complainant: Keith Willis Associates Limited

1st Floor Gothic House Barker Gate Nottingham Nottinghamshire

**NG1 1JU** 

**United Kingdom** 

Respondent: VistaPrint Technologies Ltd

Canon's Court % % 22 Victoria Street

Hamilton HM12 Bermuda

### 2. The Domain Name(s):

<keithwillisassociates.co.uk> (the "Domain Name")

## 3. Notification of Complaint

	I hereby certify that I am satisfied that Nominet has sent the complaint to the respondent in accordance with paragraphs 2 and 4 of the Procedure.  Yes \Boxed No
4.	Rights
	The complainant has, to my reasonable satisfaction, shown Rights in respect of a name or mark which is identical or similar to the Domain Name.
	☑ Yes □ No
5.	Abusive Registration
	The complainant has, to my reasonable satisfaction, shown that the Domain Name <keithwillisassociates.co.uk> is an Abusive Registration ☐ Yes ☑ No</keithwillisassociates.co.uk>
6.	Other Factors
	I am satisfied that no other factors apply which would make a summary decision unconscionable in all the circumstances
	☑ Yes □ No
7	Comments (entional)

#### 7. Comments (optional)

There is little description in the Complaint of the nature of the Complainant's rights, but given its claimed 15 year trading history, the expert is prepared to accept that the Complainant has "unregistered trade mark rights" in the term "Keith Willis Associates" and that these rights are similar to the Domain Name.

However, so far as abuse is concerned, there is no attempt to address how the Domain Name is being used or the motives of the Respondent in relation to that registration and use. Instead, the Complainant appears to be under the impression that merely asserting a similarity to the name of its own business is sufficient. Given that the Domain Name comprises what appears to be a person's name in combination with the word "Associates" that is commonly used in business names, this is inadequate.

In the circumstances, there is insufficient evidence before the expert to justify a finding of abusive registration.

8.	Decision		
	Transfer	No action	$\checkmark$
	Cancellation	Suspension	
	Other (please state)		

Signed: Dated: 19 February 2013

Matthew Harris