# DISPUTE RESOLUTION SERVICE

### D00012195

# Decision of Independent Expert (Summary Decision)

Electrolux AB

and

Hartnoll

#### 1. The Parties

Complainant: Electrolux AB

Sankt Göransgatan 143

Stockholm Stockholm 112 17 Sweden

Respondent: Hartnoll

Unit 15 The Empire Centre

Watford WD24 4YH United Kingdom

## 2. The Domain Name

electroluxcleaningproducts.co.uk

## 3. Notification of Complaint

I hereby certify that I am satisfied that Nominet has sent the complaint to the Respondent in accordance with paragraphs 2 and 4 of the Procedure.

Yes**√** No

## 4. Rights

The Complainant has, to my reasonable satisfaction, shown rights in respect of a name or mark which is identical or similar to the domain name.

Yes**√** No

## 5. Abusive Registration

The Complainant has, to my reasonable satisfaction, shown that the domain name electroluxcleaningproducts.co.uk is an abusive registration.

Yes No**√** 

#### 6. Other Factors

I am satisfied that no other factors apply which would make a summary decision unconscionable in all the circumstances.

Yes**√** No

#### 7. Comments

The appeal panel in *Toshiba Corporation v Power Battery Inc* (DRS 07991) analysed the principles to be applied when assessing the legitimacy of a reseller's incorporation, within a domain name, of the trademark owner's mark. I agree that analysis. The key factors relevant here seem to me to be that

- (i) the registration does not falsely imply a commercial connection with Electrolux: the domain name simply describes the products on offer through the website
- (ii) the likelihood of 'initial interest confusion' is low
- (iii) the website sells only Electrolux products and there is no suggestion that these are other than genuine. (The complaint says:

The Respondent is today not using the Domain Name in connection with a bona fide offering of goods or services. The Domain Name is currently connected to a web site

offering Electrolux products for sale. By doing this, the Respondent is misleading Internet users to a commercial web site and consequently, the Respondent is tarnishing the trademark ELECTROLUX.

This is a confused passage, but I take it to mean that what is available through the website at the domain name is in fact a bona fide offering of Electrolux products - even though the Complainant believes it is not legitimate to attract customers for those products using the domain name at issue.)

### 8. Decision

I refuse the Complainant's application for a summary decision. The Domain Name registration will therefore remain undisturbed.

Mark de Brunner

31 December 2012