the bill was payable, were these gentlemen; and there was still convincing proof, that the bill had been actually presented, and payment refused.

No 103.

To the second defence, it was answered, That exchange and re-exchange were always competent to the drawer upon the dishonour of his bill, when the money was conveyed from one place to another, without the distinction of its being an inland bill or not, or whether the parties resided in the same or different countries. That, when an inhabitant of Scotland accepts a bill payable in London, it was just the same as if he himself had resided there: That exchange, in such a case, was due, and the dishonour of such a bill was attended with the same expence, as if the persons concerned were inhabitants of different countries.

'THE LORDS found the bill duly negotiated, and the drawer entitled to exchange, interest, commission, and expences upon the bill.'

A& Montgomery.

Alt. M'Queen.

Fol. Dic. v. 3. p. 81. Fac. Col. No 146. p. 348.

1776. November 26.

WILLOCKS against CALLENDER and WILSON.

No 104.

It was found, that a bill, of which the acceptance was procured by concussion, was ineffectual in the hands even of an onerous indorse. See The case, voce Vis et Metus. See No 108. p. 1521.

Fol. Dic. v. 3. p. 81.

## 1778. February 12.

JAMES BURNET against WILLIAM RITCHIE.

Andrew Gray, merchant in Aberdeen, became bankrupt 16th January 1776. A fhort time before his bankruptcy, William Ritchie, and others, in order to fupport his credit, obtained for him L. 1500. The money was advanced to Gray by Mr Dingwall Fordyce, to whom Ritchie and others gave their acceptance for the whole fum.

On this account Gray, (Jan. 10.) indorfed to Ritchie, and the others who had given their acceptance, bills amounting to L. 1531:14:9. A list of these was made up under this title: 'Inventory of bills lodged in the hands of William 'Ritchie.' And a docquet is subjoined, in which they acknowledge the receipt of these bills from Gray, 'as surety and relief to them' for their acceptance to Dingwall Fordyce, 'and oblige themselves to apply the money to the extinguishing said debt, and to return the overplus, if any be, to you, you always being obliged to indemnify us, if the money arising from said bills falls short of

' paying the forefaid debt.'
After Gray's bankruptcy, Ritchie gave a charge to Burnet, acceptor of one of the bills, for payment. In a suspension of this charge,

No 105. A perfon who had granted an obligation to account for bills indorfed, was found, notwithstanding, to be an onerous indorfee, and not obliged to allow partial payments, not marked on the bills, unless in so far as he had agreed to do