1715. February 17.

Sinclair of Freswick against Sir James Sinclair of Dumbeth.

No 36.
A bond wanting statutable solemnities, was found capable of homologation, by partial payments.

In the action at the instance of Sinclair of Freswick, against Sir James Sinclair, for payment of a debt owing to David Sinclair, from whom Freswick had right, the defender *pleaded* compensation for 500 merks, as yet resting of a bond of 1000 merks granted by the said David Sinclair to him:

Answered for the pursuer; That the bond founded on was null by act 5th, Parliament 1681, one of the witnesses not being designed.

Replied for the defender; That David Sinclair had homologated the bond, by making payment of the one half of the principal sum, and all the annualrents, and raising a suspension of the bond, in so far as concerned the other half; where his reasons of suspension were the payments above-mentioned, and an offer to prove compensation or payment of the other half by Sir James's oath.

Duplied for the pursuer; That when deeds are null ipso jure, and by positive statute, there can be no place for homologation; which can only take place where the deed is not null ipso jure but only reducible and quarrellable; such as a deed on death-bed, or a deed after publication of an inhibition, &c. But in the present case and others like it, it is even pars judicis not to sustain process upon such a writ, though no objection were made by the party; and the form of the writs being juris publici, private parties cannot by an express, far less by a tacit consent, make that a formal deed, which law has declared informal and null.

THE LORDS sustained the acts of homologation by payment of the annualrents, and the half of the principal sum, and by raising a suspension for the rest, and founding upon reasons for further payment, to support the bond as a valid and probative writ.

Act. Ro. Dundas.

Alt. Dun. Forbes.

Clerk, Alexander.

Bruce, v. 1. No 71. p. 86.

1715. July 20. BENJAMIN ALLAN against Hamilton of Little Earnock.

No 37. The procurator for a minor granted a discharge to which the minor himself subscribed as witness. Found that the minor did not thereby consent to the discharge.

Benjamin Allan having right by progress to 500 merks, as a part of 1000 merks contained in a bond granted by the deceased Little Earnock to Alexander Orr, and his tutors and curators, in his name and for his behoof, pursues the present Little Earnock upon the passive titles; who at calling produced a discharge granted by James Henderson step-father to Orr, granting the receipt of 500 merks, with L 20 as a year's annualrent in part payment of a greater sum borrowed by Little Earnock from him, conform to the bond, and discharges him pro tanto, to which discharge Orr is a subscribing witness. And this