

No 87.

Campbell suspends, and *alleges* compensation against Gordon, the indorser, in as far as he entered into a contract with George Clerk, one of the acceptors, for a quantity of coals to be furnished to him at a certain price, which must compensate at least for George Clerk's half of the bill.

It was *answered*, No compensation is receivable against a bill accepted, payable to the presenter, or his order; for commerce doth require that such bills should pass from hand to hand, as an effectual and unquestionable security; and, if there had been any exception, the bill ought not to have been accepted.

It was *replied*, That compensation is equivalent to payment, and competent against all obligations whatsoever; and the bill might have been lawfully accepted in contemplation of that exception, which is no prejudice to commerce; seeing it is a defence authorized by law; and, whatever might be alleged in a foreign bill, yet the law of this nation ought to regulate inland precepts.

It was *duplicated*, There is neither law nor reason to admit compensation upon the debt of an indorser, in case of an accepted bill; for such bills being drawn for ready payment, if they be not accepted without all quality and condition, it is free to take a protest, and recur upon the drawer, who will be liable to make them good; and, if the suspender had not accepted, Gordon would have recurred, and got his money; and the suspender having accepted the bill, payable to Gordon, or his order, it were against all reason to obtrude Gordon's debt to the charger, who relied upon the accepted bill. It is true, if the suspender had any ground of debt against the charger, compensation would be received; or if Gordon had pursued the suspender, as debtor to the drawer, in that case he might have compensated Gordon.

'THE LORDS repelled the reason of compensation; and found, that a bill accepted, payable to the presenter, or his order, could not be compensated upon the debt of the indorser.' See COMPENSATION.

*Dalrymple, No 13. p. 16.*

1706. February 13. GAVIN PLUMBER *against* ARCHIBALD HOUSTON.

No 88.

Between the drawer and acceptor themselves, where onerous purchasers are not concerned, all objections are relevant *hinc inde*, as in other contracts.

JAMES HOUSTON draws a bill on Archibald his brother for L. 22 Sterling, payable to Gavin Plumber. Archibald accepts the bill; but afterwards failing in payment, it is protested against him, and being registered, he is charged thereon; who suspends, on this reason, That though the ground of the charge be an accepted bill, yet he offered to prove, by the charger's oath, that the true cause of it was the price of the fourth part of a ship sold by the said Gavin to him; and that when he came to take possession of the same, he was debarred by bottomries contracted upon it before the sale; and so Mr Plumber can never crave the price till he deliver the thing sold, that being *causa data causa non secuta*. *Answered*, Whatever be the cause of the bill, there can be no inquiry after acceptance, such bills being as current as lying money beside a merchant or factor;

and to admit such allegiances would be such an interruption and destruction to trade, that it would confound all commerce; and that, by the laws anent bills, no exception, though it were compensation, is admitted against their currency. *Answered*, This may hold as to foreign bills, but this is an inland bill; and though the act of Parliament 1695 communicates to them the privilege of summary charging, yet it would be mighty inconvenient to allow them all its other privileges, seeing much debt is now transacted by bills, without any relation to trade. THE LORDS observing the bill did not bear value received, the question arose, whether that was virtually implied therein, though not mentioned? It was *contended*, such bills were no more than mandates *in rem suam*. On the contrary, it was *alleged*, That, by the constant track of decisions, bills, though wanting that, yet consequentially imported them to be onerous; as was found in the case of Meikison against Graham, and Freebairn against Guidfire\*, observed by Mr Forbes, on Bills of Exchange, p. 49. § 14. † unless the party prove *scripto vel juramento*, that value was not received for it, neither in money nor goods. THE LORDS thought it might be a stop to the currency of bills, if they were once made over and indorsed to strangers or third parties, to offer to prove their cause; but here it is betwixt the first creditor in the bill and the acceptor, and against him it seemed relevant to prove its cause by his oath; and therefore they ordained Gavin Plummer to depone, if it was for the vendition of the fourth part of a ship; and that being acknowledged, then allowed him to prove he was debarred by preferable debts affecting the same. It were desirable, that this point were so regulate by an act of Parliament, that no exception whatsoever be receiveable against bills, except falsehood and payment only. See No 93. p. 1505.

*Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 98. Fountainball, v. 2. p. 327.*

\*.\* Forbes reports the same case:

ARCHIBALD HOUSTON being charged at the instance of Gavin Plummer, for payment of an accepted bill drawn upon him by his brother Mr James, payable to the charger; he suspended, upon this reason, That he offered to prove, by the charger's oath, that the bill was granted in payment of the fourth part of a ship sold by him to the drawer, which sale is not made effectual by reason of prior bottomries affecting the ship, and therefore the charger cannot seek payment of the bill.

THE LORDS thought the allowing to prove the exception of *causa data non secuta*, against a stranger or third party, to whom a bill is indorsed, might prove a stop to the necessary course of bills. But the question here being betwixt the first creditor in the bill, and the acceptor, they found it relevant for the suspender to prove the cause of it by the charger's oath: And he acknowledging that it was granted for the vendition of the fourth part of a ship; allowed the suspender to prove he was debarred by preferable debts affecting the same.

*Forbes, p. 97.*

\* See OATH OF PARTY.

† Edition 1703.