1688. June 28.

GARDINER against LAIRD OF LAG.

ONE Kirkpatrick having difponed his lands irredeemably to Lag, who obliged himfelf, by a backbond, to denude upon payment of fums advanced and to be advanced by him, and of debts he fhould acquire; a creditor of the difponer inhibited him, and comprifed the backbond; Lag, in a purfuit for mails and duties, craving to be preferred for fome debts he had acquired, it was alleged for the other, That the acquifition was a gratification, being pofterior to his inhibition.

Alleged for Lag:—That he being impowered by the backbond to acquire debt, was not concerned in the inhibition, it not being execute againit him, or intimated to him before the acquisition; nor yet being duly registrate, but was registrate by a clerk that was deprived.

Answered: An execution of the inhibition against the debtor was fufficient to hinder gratification by him, or by any interposed perfon; and in fo far as the backbond was for the debtor's behoof, Lag was a confident interposed perfon; and if it were otherwise, the act might be eluded by backbonds requiring no registration. 2do, Gratification may fometimes be hindered by diligence that cannot formally affect the subject; and it may be debated, if the registration of inhibition quoad the point of gratification, be more necessfary than the registration of horning; but here Lag was put in mala fide, to prefer, by registration of the inhibition; and esto the clerk was deprived, yet he was habite and repute clerk, and had the books in his custody.

Replied: The inconveniency of backbonds might indeed be confiderable in perfonal or redeemable rights; but Lag's right was irredeemable, as it flood in the register. 2do, Not only was the clerk deposed, but another did actually exerce the office for fome years before the registration.

THE LORDS fultained the inhibition relevant to hinder gratification made by Lag, after the inhibition execute against Kirkpatrick.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 80. Harcarse, (ALIENATION.) No 154. p. 34.

No 166. A party difpored to an interpofed perfon, who gave a back-bond. The interpofed perion found interpelled from giving preferences, by an inhibition, not againft himfelf, but againft his author.

ς.