No. 39. the by-gones contained in the suspension, and therefore that it was in the charger's option to poind the ground, or to sue the suspender personally.

Fol. Dic. v. 2. p. 416. Spottiswood.

* * This case is No. 11. p. 10546 voce Poinding the Ground.

1735. February 12. Gordon of Ardoch against LADY NEWHALL.

No. 40.

A liferentrix having obtained decreet for certain quantities of victual, as the by-gones of her annuity payable in victual, and having discussed a suspension of the same, the question occurred as to the expenses. The suspender pleaded, That the victual ought to have been liquidated in the decreet, and converted into money, and therefore he had good reason to suspend in order for a liquidation. Answered, It was the defender's part to have applied for a liquidation, upon this medium, that loco facti imprestabilis succedit damnum et interesse: The pursuer could not insist for such a liquidation, her claim was the ipsa corpora; and had the suspender thought proper to implement the charge by delivering over the ipsa corpora, she could not have refused the same, nor insisted for money. The Lords found expenses due. See Appendix.

Fol. Dic. v. 2. p. 416.

SECT. VII.

Execution of Decree of Suspension.

1681. January 18.

SIR JAMES DICK, and other Creditors of BAILIE MARJORIBANKS, against ALEXANDER CHAPELAND.

No. 41. When the letters are found orderly proceeded, the decree of suspension must be extracted before the first de-

Alexander Chapeland having obtained a decreet against umquhile Bailie Marjoribanks, he gave in a bill of suspension, and the Lords ordained the cause to be discussed upon the bill; whereupon the Ordinary having heard the cause, found the letters orderly proceeded; but before extracting, Chapeland denounced Marjoribanks, being then a dying, and now dead. His creditors supplicated the Lords, showing that Chapeland had unwarrantably put the letters of the first decreet to execution, and denounced the common debtor, whereby his escheat would fall;

and therefore he ought to bring back the horning, and cancel it, as unwarrantable; because after suspensions is past, execution of the first decreet is thereby suspended, till by an extracted decreet of suspension the letters be found orderly proceeded, and be ordained to be put to further execution; after which the charger may either put the letters upon the first decreet to further execution, or take new letters upon the decreet of suspension; but before extracting, the suspender is still in tuto, and may apply to the Lords. It was answered, That after pronouncing of the decreet, albeit not extracted, the charger might warrantably poind or denounce upon the first letters, especially seeing the decreet was warrantably extracted, without any stop, or application for one. 2do, By the denunciation, right is acquired to the King of the defunct's escheat, which cannot be taken away summarily, without calling the King's officers. 3tio, Whatever may be pretended in a suspension past the signet, yet this was but a bill with a deliverance to discuss thereon. It was replied, That the Lords have declared, that warrants to discuss upon bills of suspensions, are in all points equivalent to bills past the signet.

The Lords found that the warrant to discuss the bill was equivalent to a signet suspension, and that the letters upon the first decreet could not be put to execution till a decreet of suspension were extracted, and therefore granted suspension to the creditors without caution or consignation, but would not call back the horning till the King's officers were called.

Fol. Dic. v. 2. p. 417. Stair, v. 2. p. 834.

1687. November. John Hamilton against Colonel Borthwick.

In the reduction of a horning upon these reasons; 1st, That the executions as registrated did not bear that they were stamped; 2d, The Lords having found in a suspension of the charge, that the charger, whose title was an assignation not intimated before the cedent's death, ought to confirm before extracting, which is in effect a turning the decreet of registration into a libel, the debtor ought to have charged de novo upon the decreet of suspension, whereas he was denounced upon the old charge;

Answered: The registration of horning is principally designed for discovering the casualties of escheat due to superiors, and not like that of inhibition for publication to the lieges; and the principal executions appear to be stamped. 2d, Custom requires no new charge upon a decreet of suspension.

Replied: All writs ought to be registrated as they are conceived; and though the stamp itself be the subject only of sense, the words, "And I have affixed my stamp," ought to have been registrated as a principal part of the execution. 2d, Though when a reason of suspension tends only to take off the charge in part, as when a partial discharge is produced, it is reasonable that the old charge go to execution pro reliquo; yet it is otherwise, when a reason of suspension enervates

No. 41, cree can be put in execution.

No. 42. When the state of the case is altered during the suspension, the letters ought not to be found orderly proceeded, but the decerniture ought to run in the terms of a new decree.