GENERAL DISCHARGES AND RENUNCIATIONS. SECT. 2. 5030

failed therein, they would decern the said heritable bond to be comprehended No 8. under the discharge.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 341. Haddington, MS. No 2414.

LAIRD of Ardblair against JAMES HUSBAND 1678. July 24.

Alleged against an apprising, Imo, The appriser had given a general discharge. THE LORDS found it could not extend to the apprising. 2do, He had got a bond just for the same sum in the comprising, which must be presumed in satisfaction. The Lords repelled this, unless they would positively offer to prove it was for the comprising.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 341. Fountainhall, MS.

DALGARNO against The LAIRD of TOLQUHOUN. 1680. November 19.

No 10.

THE LORDS found a general discharge containing an exception of one particular, which confirms the generality in casibus non exceptis, could not extend to take away an obligement to procure a right to a comprising, because general discharges are never extended to heritable rights.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 341. Fountainball, MS.

*** Stair reports the same case : ____

BEATRIX DALGARNO pursues the Laird of Tolquhoun for the annualrent of 1000 merks, which he was obliged to pay her yearly for her aliment, by a contract betwixt him and William Johnston. Tolquhoun suspends upon this reason, that his obligement is in a mutual contract betwixt him and the charger's husband, whereby he is obliged ' to dispone the lands of Balhosse, and to pro-' cure right to an apprising thereof, led at the instance of John Johnston,' which being the mutual cause, and not performed, this obligement is causa data non secuta. The charger answered, That upon this minute Tolquhoun entered in possession, and therefore cannot refuse the annualrent of the 1000 merks, which was the price, for in so far the mutual cause is performed. 2do, There is produced a general discharge by Tolquhoun, in which he acknowledges.* there were several transactions betwixt him and William Johnston, and • that he had been his factor, and had intromitted with his girnels and farms. · whereof he was satisfied, and discharges all debts, sums of money, bonds, ob-· ligations, clags, claims, and contracts, for whatsomever cause, with an ex-· ception of a particular obligement;' which therefore being a general discharge, must exoner Johnston the charger's husband. It was replied, That ge.

No g.

Found that a general dis

charge could

not extend to an apprising.