(Due by Tutors and Curators)

minor, and so not obliged thereto.—The Lords found, That she was no less obliged in payment of annualrent than her father, if he had been alive.

Spottifwood, (Tutors and Curators.) p. 344.

1669. Fuly 9.

MR WILLIAM KINTOR against The Heirs and Successors of Logan of Coat-field.

LOGAN of Coat-field having become cautioner for the tutor of Burncastle, and Inhibition used upon the act of caution, Mr William Kintor having right by progress from Burncastle, obtained decreet against the Representatives of the tutor, and of Coat-field the cautioner, for payment of the annualrent of 10,000 pounds, due to the pupil by the Marquis of Hamilton, and the like fum due by the Earl of Buccleugh, in respect that the tutor was obliged to have uplifted these annualrents, and employed them for annualrent; and thereupon pursues a reduction of the rights granted by the tutor's cautioner, as being granted after the cautioner was inhibited. These acquirers raise a reduction of Mr William's decreet, and repeat the reasons by way of defence, alleging, That the tutor nor his cautioner were not obliged for the annualrents due by the Marquis of Hamilton and Earl of Buccleugh, because they were in responsal hands, and the pupil had no damage; for it was free for the tutor to uplift the annualrents of pupils' money, when fecure, at any time during the pupilarity; but here they offer to prove the tutor died durante tutela, and so was not liable when he died, to uplift these secure annualrents, or to have employed them.—The purfuer answered, That the Lords had already found, at the same pursuer's instance against John Boyd, No 40.* that the tutor was liable for annualrent, not only pro intromission, but pro omission, and for the annualrent of the pupil's annuals a finita tutela, which is finished, either by ending the pupilarity, or the death or removal of the tutor.—It was answered, That the Lords' interlocutor was only in the case that the tutory had been finished in the ordinary way, by the age of the pupil; for that way of ending thereof, could only have been foreknown by the tutor, that within the fame he might lift the pupil's annuals, and give them out on annualrent; but he could not foresee his own death, but might justly think he had time before the expiring of his tutory, to lift and employ; and so the tutor not having failed in his duty, his cautioner is free.—It was answered, 1 mo, That by the Lords' daily practice, tutors are liable for the annualrents of rents, of and within a year after the rents are due; and there being so much parity of reason in annualrents, it cannot be thought just that the tutor was not obliged to lift them till the end of his tutory; for albeit he might have kept them in his hands unemployed, and only to leave them employed at the ish of his tutory, yet he was obliged to uplift them; and if by any

No 47-A tutor not bound to uplift the annualrent from: the debtors, if responsal, more than once during the tutory. If he died before the end of his charge, he was not liable. If he did uplift it. his heir is liable, not from his death, but from the end of the pupilla.

No 46.

(Due by Tutors and Curators.)

No 47.

accident, as being prevented by death, he did not employ them, that accident should be on his peril, not the innocent pupil's. 2do, If need be, the pursuer offers to prove, the annualrents were uplifted by the tutor; and so those that represent him, and his cautioners, are liable for the annualrent therefor, at least from the death of the tutor.

The Lords found, That the tutor was neither obliged to uplift, nor give out on annual, the annualrents of his pupil, if the debtors were responsal, but only once betwixt and the end of the pupilarity; and if he died betwixt and the end of the tutory, he was free both of the annual and annualrents thereof; but if he did actually uplift the annualrents, they found, That it was sufficient to employ them any time before the tutory ended; and found, That his heir was liable for annualrent, not from the tutor's death, but from the end of the pupilarity; and that he could be no farther liable than the tutuor, if he had lived, in respect that subsequent tutors were obliged to lift these annualrents from the former tutor's heirs, and employ them.

This was stopped to be farther heard.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 40. Stair, v. 1. p. 632.

*** Gosford reports the same case thus:

THE faid Mr William having recovered decreet against the heir of the cautioners for the tutor to Logan of Burnfide, against whom inhibition was served. pursuing a reduction of the disposition of the lands made by the curator, ex capite inhibitionis: Blackatter, and others, to whom the lands were disponed, did likewise intent a reduction of the decreet, gotten against the tutor and his cautioner; upon this reason, That it was gotten by collusion, it being against law, so far as they were decerned to pay annualrent, for the annualrents of principal fums due by the Marquis of Hamilton and Earl of Buccleugh, which were never uplifted by the tutor; but, on the contrary, he died durante tutela, and they were thereafter uplifted by the pupil himself, and those having right from him.— This case was much argued amongst the Lords, as being of general concernment; and at last they did find and decide, That tutors uplifting annualrents of principal fums, they are only liable to pay annualrent therefor, as being a principal fum, after the expiring of the years of pupillarity, after which time they found them liable to pay annualrent; and herein they did find a clear difference betwixt tutors' intromission with the annualrent of principal sums due upon bond, and with the rents of lands: For, as to these they found tutors are liable-to employ the rents of lands, within a term or a year at farthest, after they have made in money and fold; but, for the annualrent of money, they found them only liable after the expiring of the tutory, they having no benefit for their pains in discharging their office. 2do, The Lords found, That a tutor not intromitting during the tutory, but leaving annualrents unuplifted in a responsal debtor's

(Due by Turors and Curators.)

hands, he could not be liable for the annualrent of that annualrent, after the expiring of the tutory, feeing the curators might immediately uplift and employ the fame; and the tutor was not obliged to uplift, feeing the pupil was not thereby prejudged, who could have gotten no annualrent if they had been upatio. They found, that a tutor dying within the years of the tutory, and uplifting, his heirs or executors were only liable to pay annualrent for those annualrents uplifted after the expiring of the years of pupillarity of the minor, and not after the death of the tutor; notwithstanding it was agreed, that the reason of tutors not paying annualrent during the tutory did cease in this case, viz. The taking pains and administrating without any other recompence; for they thought it was hard to make the heirs liable farther than their predecessor; and that the heirs of the tutor being liable to the furviving or fucceeding tutors, immediately to make payment of their intromission; if they should do no diligence, they ought not to pay annual rents for annual rents intromitted with, usura usurarum not being favourable in law, especially against the heir of a tutor who did faithfully adminifirste during his lifetime: Yet the last case seems to have its own difficulties, and to be very disputable in utranque partem; seeing it may be alleged, that morte tutoris finitur tutela, et nummi pupilares non debent effe otiofi. But all heing confidered; the Loros found and decided ut fupra.

Gosford, MS. No 161. p. 63.

1625. July 1. Goldman against Goldman.

GOLDMAN, daughter to umquhile William Goldman in Dundee, and her curators, purfue Charles Goldman, who was her tutor, for payment to her of the fum of 1400 merks; which fum Margaret Jack, good-dame to the purfuer, by her bond, wherein this same desender was bound as cautioner to the minor, for the faid Margaret, was obliged to pay to the minor, after the decease of the faid Margaret; and in respect the said defender paid not the principal sum to the purfuer, after the decease of the principal party obliged in the bond, the defender being cautioner for payment thereof, as faid is; and being her tutor; who ought to have done diligence to feek her fums from any of her debtors; and of the law, who is obliged to have put the fame to profit, cum nummi pupillares non debeant effe otiof; and confequently, who was far more obliged, being her tutor, and her debtor, as cautioner forefaid; and therefore she pursues the faid defender for payment of the faid principal fum, together with the yearly annualrent thereof fince the time of the defunct's decease, who was principal party obliged; which annuals were acclaimed from the defender for his ceffation to pay the fum at the term appointed by the bond, he being her tutor, who of the law ought to have No 47.

No 48. A tutor, who was liable, as cautioner, for a fum due to his pupil, was found accountable for annualrent, from the term of payment, in respect of his negli-gence in not making due payment; although, by the principal's infolvency, he could not have had relief; but it was foun d, that, from the expiry of the