SECT. 5.

not trust him, but made her own grandchildren by Saline fide commissarii to restore, in case he had bairns; and found, That they needed not be served heirs to have a right to it; but that hæres in sanguine and heir designative was enough here, seeing it was provided to James's heirs, who might succeed; and, in another place of the clause, they were designed children.

children. Fountginhall, v. 1. p. 670.

SECT. V.

The Husband being bound in a contract of marriage to provide the issue of the marriage, the heir or children, as creditors, may insist for implement without a service.

1665. January 13. WALLACE against WALLACE.

UMOUHILE William Wallace of Maywholme, by contract of marriage with umquhile Margaret Kennedy, is obliged to employ the sum of 5000 merks received by him in name of tocher, in favour of themselves in liferent, and to the bairns, one or more, to be procreated of the marriage in fee. William Wallace, being the only bairn of the marriage, and his tutor, pursues Hugh Wallace, brother and executor confirmed to the said umquhile William, for implement of that clause in the contract. It was excepted, No process at the bairn's and his tutor's instance for implement, because the bairn was not heir served and retoured to his father. The Lords found, That heirs or bairns mentioned in a contract of marriage, may pursue for implement of the obligement without necessity of a service.

Fol. Dic. v. 2. p. 278. Newbyth, MS. p. 18.

** Gilmour's report of this case is No 3. p. 9650, voce Passive Title.

1676. July 21. HAY against Eart of Tweeddale.

WILLIAM HAY of Drummelzier pursues the Earl of Tweeddale, as representing the late Earl his father, for implement of the contract of marriage in favour of the pursuer as heir-male to the said umquhile Earl of the second marriage. The defender alleged, No process, till the pursuer be served heir-male of the marriage. The pursuer answered, That he being the only child of the

No 19.

One became bound in his contract of marriage to lay out a certain sum to himself and spouse in liferent, and to the children of the marriage in fec. The children, without necessity of a service, were found entitled to pursue their father's

representatives for implement.

No 20.

No 21.

An heir of a marriage pursuing implement of the contract of marriage at-