would adjoin to the Baron-Bailie some of their number, or some others of skill and knowledge, the Lords, in respect the matter was criminal, thought that they could not judge thereon, but they assigned a day to the defender to supplicate the Lords of Secret Council in the matter; and in the mean time ordained the Baron-Bailie to cease, while the Council's pleasure were therein known; and if betwixt and the said day nothing should be shown by the defender to the Lords, concerning the Secret Council's proceeding therein, then they would return to the process, and do justice anent the remitting to the Justice-General, or to the Baron, the matter as appertains, and as any of the parties should crave process that day. See Jurisdiction.

Act. Primrose.

Alt. Craig

Clerk, Gibson.

Durie, p. 413.

1630. March 3.

Lo. Lorn against L. Panholls.

A pursuit being made by the donatar to the Earl of Argyle his liferent escheat against Graham of Panholls, vassal to the Earl of Argyle, before the Earl of Argyle and Lord Lorn's Baron-Bailie, for payment of the feu-duties contained in his charter of the lands of Panholls, holden of the Earl of Argyle divers years by-past; which being desired to be advocated upon this reason, because he was infeft in his lands cum curiis, &c. whereby he was not obliged to compear in his superior's court, being by that clause exempted therefrom; This reason was not sustained, for the Lords found, that the vassal, albeit infeft cum curiis, was not thereby exempted from his superior's courts; but that notwithstanding thereof he was subject to his courts; and that by that clause he had only power to hold courts upon his own tenants of that ground for his farms, or for wrong done amongst themselves, which also was not privative of the over-Lord's jurisdiction; and if the vassal himself should do wrong, or commit blood. he might be convened therefor in his over-Lord's court, notwithstanding that he was infeft cum curiis; but the cause was advocated, because the pursuit was at the instance of the donatar to the Earl of Argyle his liferent, which could not be disputed before the Lord Lorn his Baron-Bailie. See JURISDICTION.

Act. Miller.

Alt. Fletcher.

Clerk, Gibson.

Durie, p. 498.

1630. July 7.

LANDES against Dick.

No 8.

An action at Landes' instance being pursued before the Bailies of the Canongate, being the Bailies of the regality of Brughton, against Dick, for deforcing of 27 D 2 No 6.

No 7.

A vassal al-

though infeft

amenable to the superior's