contiguous, disponed to his vassal, unite the lands, and appoint a sasine to be taken No. 4. at one place for all the lands disponed by him; and therefore would not sustain the sasine taken, conform to that charter, at the place appointed by the charter for the taking of that sasine, seeing they found, that sasine should be taken at the ground of ilk tenement; and consequently found, that the sasine taken, at the place appointed by the charter, for the mill, was not sufficient for the mill, which, being discontiguous, required that sasine should have been specially given thereof, by deliverance of the clap and happer; and therefore found, that the sasine, by virtue of that union made by a subject, was not sufficient, except the same were confirmed by the King, who only might unite lands, and that no subject could

Clerk, Hay.
Durie, p. 42.

** This case is reported by Haddington:

Mr. Hary Aitken, Commissary of Orkney, pursued a tenant to remove from a mill. Compeared James Stewart of Grinislaw-Alleged, That the tenant could not remove, because he was his tenant, who was heritably infeft, and in possession of the mill, many years before the warning. It was replied, That his sasine was not of the mill, because it was not taken at the mill, and so could not comprehend the mill. He duplied, That he was infeft by the Earl of Orkney with an union, and having taken sasine at the place appointed by the charter, it was sufficient, and comprehended all the lands and mill. It was answered, That none might make an union but the King; if their own infeftment granted by the King contained not union. Which last answer the Lords found relevant, unless the defender would allege that his author's infeftment contained union, or that his own was confirmed by the King.

Haddington MS. v. 2. No. 2721.

LADY EDNEM against L. EDNEM. $\psi_{i}^{*}\psi_{i}^{*}$ (2.7) is the proof of the $\psi_{i}^{*}\psi_{i}^{*}$ (2.1) in $\psi_{i}^{*}\psi_{i}^{*}$

No. 5.

Sasine taken at a different place than that appointed by the charter, will be effectual only for the lands contiguous to the place where taken.

Durie.

- * This case is No. 33. p. 1301. voce BASE INFEFTMENT.
- The like found, 19th March, 1636, Lauriston against Dunipace, No. 24. p. 14330. voce Sasine.