(Due by Tutors and Curators.)

No 43. employ what is over the pupil's aliment upon annualrent, so as to leave it bearing annualrent; there is no similar obligation on a curator.

1688. July 10. The case of Thomas Wilson's wise against Foulis of Ratho, mentioned 20th November 1683, and 23d February 1688, was decided.—The Lords found, What of the pupil's means was in the tutor's hands, he was bound yearly to employ it in annualrent; and though an accumulation of annualrents into a stock, so as to bear interest finita tutela, was required, yet there was no law nor custom obliging a curator to do the like finita curatela, though there seems to be the same parity; and that there was no ground to crave 100 merks yearly for the tutor's incident charges, they not being condescended on; and eo nomine, they added 50 merks of yearly augmentation to the aliment. (See Turor and Pupil. See p. 354. of this Dictionary.)

Fal. Dic. v. 1. p. 39. Fount. v. 1. p. 499. 510.

1628. March 18.

NASMITH against NASMITH.

No 44. A tutor found liable for annualrent of his pupil's money uplifted, whether heritable or moveable, not only until the expiry of his office, but until payment; although he had raifed an action to have his accounts settled.

In an action of tutor compts between Nasmith and Nasmith, the Lords found, That the tutor should pay annualrents to the minors, of all sums pertaining to them uplifted by him, as well sums which were heritable as moveable, not only to the time of the expiring of his tutory, but also of all years continually, to the time that he should make real payment to them of their said principal sums, or else should consign them; notwithstanding that the tutor alteged, That he could not in law be subject to pay annualrent for the same, since the time that he intented his action contraria tutela, for taking off his compts off his hands, and exonering of him, at which time he was content to make payment of what he should be found owing, and since that time he could not be found in mora; but thereby the course of running of annualrents was sisted, and he cannot be subject therein, seeing he durst not put their money out for profit, but behoved ever to have it ready, as it ever sincesyne was, to be delivered at the ending of his compts for his exoneration; which exception was repelled, and the tutor found debtor in annualrent, ever until payment were made, or confignation.

Act. Hope & Stuart.

Alt. Nicolfon & Burnet.

Clerk, Scot.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 39. Durie, p. 363.

1634. February 22.

DAVIDSON against Jack.

No 45. A tutor uplifted his pupil's money, and died foon after, before laying it out on interest.

ONE Davidson conveened one Jack in Dundee, as heir to his father, another Jack, who was one of the tutors to the said pursuer, to make payment of 600 pounds, intromitted with by the said tutor, with the annualrent ever since, conform to the said umquhile tutor's discharge, upon the receipt of the said sum from the pursuer's debtor, viz. By the space of 25 years bypast, since the date of