No 43.

twenty-five or twenty-fix years fyne, the noblemen of Scotland use not to keep the procuratories or mandates frae the time the turn be done; for the procuratories are made in paper, and the readier to lose and consume.—The Lords found by interlocutor, the intimation made by the instrument not sufficient, because the procuratory was not produced, licet bona pars dominorum suit in contraria sententia ratione prædicta mota, et suit sactum antiquum, and procuratories and mandates that are made in paper, use not to be kept so long in paper.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 63. Colvil, MS. p. 42.

1558. July 31.

The Queen and the Abbot of Coupar, donatour, against The Laird of Duffus.

No 44. Intimations of rights and titles must be by delivering a copy, and taking instruments in the personal presence. Verbai intimation would not be sufficient.

Gir ony persoun havand be gift of the superiour, the marriage of ony air, he sould lauchfullie intimat the samin to him, viz. he, or his procurator, sould come to the personal presence of the air, and ather reid his gift, or give and deliver him ane copie thairof, and tak instrument in the handis of ane notar thairupon; for, gif he or his procurator pass to the personall presence of the heritour, and expone to him be word, that he hes the gift of the marriage disponit to him be the superiour, or that he is cessioner and assignay lauchfullie constitute be the donatour thairof, and make intimatioun to him of the samin; the samin verbal intimatioun maid nuda voce, is not sufficient; and thairsoir, albeit the air marie without consent of the said donatour, or his assignay, he sould not be compellit to pay to him the doubill avail of the marriage, bot the single avail allenarlie. And the like to be observit in all intimatiouns of ony uther rights, evidentis, or titillis.

Balfour, p. 169.

1628. July 3.

Scot against L. DRUMLANRIG.

No 45.
In a competition betwixt an arrefter and an affignee, the affignee's intimation was found null, because the same person who was procurator also acted as notary.

In a double poinding, Scot contra L. Drumlanrig, wherein the two parties contending for the preference of a fum, acclaimed by both the parties, from a debtor of their common debtors: The L. Drumlanrig feeking the fame as arrested, for satisfaction of some debt owing to him by their common debtor, and the other seeking the same as affignee made thereto; which affignation was both made and intimate before the L. Drumlanrig's arrestment: Likeas both the parties had obtained sentences upon both their rights foresaid, against the complainer:——The Lords preferred Drumlanrig, notwithstanding of the other parties prior assignation and intimation, because the intimation was found null, seeing it was made by a procurator for the assignee; which procurator was notary to the same intimation, made by himself as procurator; and the Lords found, he could not be both