No 4.

thereafter the said Lord called the woman's heirs, and that for his interest, to hear that apprising be reduced, because the decreet upon which is passed was reduced now. The said Sir John shewed how he had these lands titulo one roso et petiit diem ad vocandum warrantum suum. The Lords, by interlocutor, decerned that he ought not to have now any warrant, because there could sobody warrant such a process, and there was nothing askedibern from him that he had titule energe, for there was no question of the lands not his infeftment of these lands reducing. but allenarly of the process of appuising ; addy, iHe asked the process of reduction of apprising to be deferred until his automone be also tabled, or the reduction of the decreet given for the Lord Ogilvie, reducing the decreet upon which the said apprising was passed, weere first called signed that begause, the said decreet reductive concerned the said-Sir John, and was given he not being called thereto; or at the leasthad, am theleto, he then being in the King's special service, so that he might not competer then for his interest, and so legitima absentia. Alleged, That his summons depending, as said is for restoring of him against the said accreet reductive, and palso for retreating of the same ought to be first decided before tibe said degreet reductive were executed. and by wirtues thereofo any oprocessaled for reduction of the apprising foresaid: and to the effect foresaid produced our Seal and Letters under his signet. The Lorins, by interlocator deserned, that the allegeance foresaid was not relovant, because of the practick; petitio restitutionis in integrum vel actio pro reductione alicujus decreti intentala, non impodit executionem ejusdem; and also because our Sovereign. Lord's ilpriny lietters outgit not to be obeyed for ston. ping and bindering of justice conform to the act made thereupon; and also, in the same case, the Lords found that neither the act of Parliament, speaking of reduction of inordinate process to be called within three years, nor yet the act of apprised lands to be redeemed within seven years, could have place in this case, and stop the reduction of this apprising; quia acta loquuntur in diversis casibus ut ex corum inspectione licet cognoscere.

Sinclair, MS. p. 34.

1548. February 17.

FLEMING against Ker.

The Laird of Fleming's son called Dickson of Ormiston to hear the five pound land of Glenhome, and certain other lands, decerned in non-entry, and to pertain to him the mails and duties thereof as donatar thereto, by our Suvereign Lord that last deceased; Janet Ker, the relict of Thomas Dickson, compeared for her interest, and said the non-entry of these lands pertained to her, by reason of the gift thereof made to her by the said Sovereign Lord, upon the second year before the said Lord's son's gift, and that by virtue thereof she bruiked the same diverse years. It was replied, That her gift might not seclude the

No 5.
A gift of non-entry was made, and thereafter an heir was served and retouved. The service and retour being reduced, the gift revived.

No 5.

said Lord's son's, because the said lands were full since Thomas Dickson's decease, by reason that a brother Thomas, son to the said Thomas, elder, was served by brieves as nearest and lawful heir to him of the lands, and entered thereto and bruiked the same eight or nine years, and therethrough the said Janet's gift had taken full effect, and was expired by that entry of the heir-It was duplied by Janet's procurator, That that service and retour was thereafter reduced, and decerned by decreet of the Lords to have been of no avail, because there was no sasine of the said Thomas younger of the said lands shewn to the assize, as the Lords' decreet of reduction produced by the said Janet reported. and so that retour and sasine following thereupon were of no avail, and that there was no lawful entry of the righteous heir to the said lands. It was answered by the other party, That by the act of Parliament, there may no person, after three years, call for reduction of brieves or retours, and this retour stood unreduced eight years, she being present in the country, and not calling for reduction thereof by reason of her interest, and so she might not now call claim to that reduction, et tanta silentia præjudicat. It was answered by Janet's procurator, That albeit lapsa triennii seclusio erat a reductione dicti brevi et inde secutorum per dictum actum Parliamenti, tamen reductione facta ad instantiam alterius poterat ipsa reducere ad jus suum ratione donationis prædict. quod interea dormiebat tamdiu; The Lords of Council decreeted, the said Janet's gift to prevail over the other gift, and that because there was no lawful entry of the righteous heir to the said lands libelled since the decease of his Majesty foresaid, by whom she had the gift of non-entry of the said lands; and that the brieves and retour and sasines foresaid, now reduced, prove not any lawful entry of the lawful righteous heir, and that it was even all one as if that retour and sasine alleged had never been; and that it takes not away the gift of non-entry preceding, because it was not lawful nor righteous, as now appears clearly by the decreet of reduction.

Fol. Dic. v. 2. p. 327. Sinclair, MS. p. 86.

1582. March.

VANSE against Auchtertuile.

No 6.
A-party was found liable for spuilzie, having poinded upon a decree of the Lords, after reduction of it was raised though there was no suspension.

THE Laird of R. called Vanse, pursued the Laird of Auchtertuile, for spoliation of certain goods, oxen, and cows. It was answered by Auchtertuile, That he had committed no spuilzie, because he did the same, auctore Prætore, and by virtue of the Lords' decreet obtained coram Dominios Sessionis, et inductus fuit in possessionem illorum bonorum auctoritate judicis. To which it was answered, That the said decreet was reduced, and all that followed thereupon; and so whatsoever thing the party had done by the said decreet, it being reduced and taken away, it is alike as if it had never been in rerum natura, et sie