No 2. not upon the property thereof, and so the Abbot and convent could not get bure nor yet profit of this cause, however it go; and so the witnesses were admitted, albeit the other party's procurator, Wr Hew Rig, alleged, that they should have been repelled, quia evant tenentes dicti Abbotis, et agebatur super partes, et pertinen, predictarum terrarum-ad eundem, ut prædictum est speciatim.

Sinclair, MS. p. 15, 20, 24.

1542.

LIVINGSTON against Wood.

The Laird of Livingston intented action of reduction of a decreet given against his father, at the instance of David Wood, comptroller, which decreet was given by virtue of an obligation produced by the said David, which obligation the pursuer in this reduction craved to be produced, that he might improve the same. The Lords would not sustain the reason of reduction, quia procurator actoris in prima instantia non excipiendo de falsitate, imo proponendo aliam exceptionem, tacite visus est illam approbare: And as the pursuer's father, if he were alive, would not be heard to improve the same which he has once approved, as said is, no more could his son and heir, at least his successor who is in his place and right. Et de jure, exceptio falsitatis post sententiam non potest opponi.

Spottiswood, (REDUCTION.) p. 269.

1542. June 20.

Lord OGILVIE against John CAMPBELL.

ALBEIT ane man intent summoundis for reduction of ony decrete or sentence gevin and pronouncit aganis him, the dependance of the said summoundis, or cause of reductioun, sould not stop or delay the executioun of the decrete.

Fol. Dic. v. 2. p. 326. Balfour, (OF REDUCTION OF SENTENCE.) No. 4. p. 406.

*** Sinclair reports this case:

In the cause of the Ld Ogilvie against Sir John Campbell of Lundie, there were certain lands of the said Lord's as pledge and surety for the Laird of Dunoon comprised to a woman called M; and these lands being apprised to her, she caused assign to the said Sir John for money, who, by reason thereof, obtained inteffment thereof by the Earl of Crawford, superior of the same. Thereafter the said Lord got a decreet of the Lords of Council, upon which these lands were apprised, reduced, the said Sir John not called thereto; and

No 4.

thereafter the said Lord called the woman's heirs, and that for his interest, to hear that apprising be reduced, because the decreet upon which is passed was reduced now. The said Sir John shewed how he had these lands titulo one roso et petiit diem ad vocandum warrantum suum. The Lords, by interlocutor, decerned that he ought not to have now any warrant, because there could sobody warrant such a process, and there was nothing askedibern from him that he had titule energe, for there was no question of the lands not his infeftment of these lands reducing. but allenarly of the process of appuising ; addy, iHe asked the process of reduction of apprising to be deferred until his automone be also tabled, or the reduction of the decreet given for the Lord Ogilvie, reducing the decreet upon which the said apprising was passed, weere first called signed that begause, the said decreet reductive concerned the said-Sir John, and was given he not being called thereto; or at the leasthad, am theleto, he then being in the King's special service, so that he might not competer then for his interest, and so legitima absentia. Alleged, That his summons depending, as said is for restoring of him against the said accreet reductive, and palso for retreating of the same ought to be first decided before tibe said degreet reductive were executed. and by wirtues thereofo any oprocessaled for reduction of the apprising foresaid: and to the effect foresaid produced our Seal and Letters under his signet. The Lorins, by interlocator deserned, that the allegeance foresaid was not relovant, because of the practick; petitio restitutionis in integrum vel actio pro reductione alicujus decreti intentala, non impodit executionem ejusdem; and also because our Sovereign. Lord's ilpriny lietters outgit not to be obeyed for ston. ping and bindering of justice conform to the act made thereupon; and also, in the same case, the Lords found that neither the act of Parliament, speaking of reduction of inordinate process to be called within three years, nor yet the act of apprised lands to be redeemed within seven years, could have place in this case, and stop the reduction of this apprising; quia acta loquuntur in diversis casibus ut ex corum inspectione licet cognoscere.

Sinclair, MS. p. 34.

1548. February 17.

FLEMING against Ker.

The Laird of Fleming's son called Dickson of Ormiston to hear the five pound land of Glenhome, and certain other lands, decerned in non-entry, and to pertain to him the mails and duties thereof as donatar thereto, by our Suvereign Lord that last deceased; Janet Ker, the relict of Thomas Dickson, compeared for her interest, and said the non-entry of these lands pertained to her, by reason of the gift thereof made to her by the said Sovereign Lord, upon the second year before the said Lord's son's gift, and that by virtue thereof she bruiked the same diverse years. It was replied, That her gift might not seclude the

No 5.
A gift of non-entry was made, and thereafter an heir was served and retouved. The service and retour being reduced, the gift revived.