1976

London Leasehold Valuation Tribunal File Ref No.

LON/00AY/OCE/2010/0052

Leasehold Valuation Tribunal: Full reasons for decision.

Leasehold Reform, Housing and Urban Development Act 1993 section 24

Address of Premises

The Committee members were

84 Palace Road,

Mr Adrian Jack

Fulham,

Mr Colin White FRICS

London SW2 3JY

The landlord:

Maqbool Ahman

Nominee purchaser: Compton Arlington Davis

Background and procedural

1. The property is a large detached Victorian house converted into three flats:

Flat A, a three bedroom flat on the first and second floor, held on a 99 year lease from 19th April 1982;

Flat B, a two bedroom flat on the ground floor, held on a 99 year lease from 30th October 1981; and

Flat C, a studio apartment on the mezzanine, held on a 99 year lease from 30th October 1981.

There is also a cellar area.

2. Between March and September 2008 there was correspondence between England Palmer, the tenants' solicitors, and Duffield Harrison LLP, who were instructed by the freeholder, regarding a purchase of the freehold by the tenants. By letter of 15th September 2008 Duffield Harrison LLP informed England Palmer that "despite numerous letters seeking instructions from Ms Khan on behalf of Mr Maqbool Ahman, we have not received any further instructions... We suggest that you write to Mr Ahmad at the address on the title."

- 3. Despite there being no evidence beyond that to show that the landlord could not be found, the County Court on 30th October 2009 made a vesting order pursuant to section 26 of the Leasehold Reform, Housing and Urban Development Act 1993 on the application of the tenants of Flats A and C, so that those tenants could (via a nominee purchaser) acquire the freehold on terms to be settled by this Tribunal.
- 4. By application dated 15th March 2010 Mr Davis, one of the tenants nominated to be the nominee purchaser, applied to the Tribunal to fix the terms of the acquisition at a price of £9,200. That valuation was supported by reports from Mr S O Nkenmena MRICS dated 18th January 2008 and 24th October 2009.
- 5. The Tribunal gave directions for this matter to be determined on paper without a hearing and the matter came before the Tribunal on 28th April 2010. After inspecting the property externally, the Tribunal considered that there were matters which needed to be addressed, and had the following letter sent to England Palmer, Duffield Harrison LLP and the last known address of Mr Ahmad at 46 Coopersale Road, Hackney:

"Dear Sirs.

The Tribunal (Mr Jack and Mr White FRICS) has inspected the property externally today. I am directed to inform you of the following.

The valuation reports which you have obtained from S O Nkemena MRICS are defective.

Firstly, the report does not set out Mr Nkemena's qualifications and experience. Nor are they addressed to the Tribunal. Nor do they include a declaration modelled on CPR Part 35 setting out his duties and that Mr Nkemena understands his duties to the Tribunal. Secondly, the valuation date is stated to be 16th January 2008. It is unclear why the valuation date is not the date of the vesting order, which was made on 30th October 2009.

Thirdly, the report does not address the value of the reversion to Flat B. It is true that the lessee of Flat B does not wish to take part in the enfranchisement and that no marriage value will be payable. However, the reversion to that flat and the capitalised ground rents will still have a value.

Fourthly, Mr Nkemena cites no comparables for any of the flats. Fifthly, he takes the discount rate on the freehold reversion as 7 per cent. This is much higher than <u>Sportelli</u>, which fixed a discount rate of 5 per cent and which the Tribunal would ordinarily follow. He needs to explain his reasoning for taking a higher rate of discount and adduce appropriate evidence.

Sixthly, he does not address the issue of development value. In particular, it may be that the cellar area can be converted. Seventhly, he does not explain why he takes 97 per cent as the relativity. Given the length of the leases a lower figure would be normal.

In addition, you have included only the lease of Flat A in the bundle. The Tribunal requires sight of all the leases. The Tribunal intends to reconvene on Wednesday 16th June 2010 to determine this matter finally. The parties need not attend on that date unless they give notice of their intention to do so prior to the

You should provide the further materials by Wednesday 9th June 2010.

Yours etc"

reconvene.

6. In the event on the morning of 16th June 2010 a further report was received from Mr Nkemena, which we consider below.

Sportelli, hope value, deferment rates and capitalisation rates

- 7. In general the aggregate of the value of the landlord's interest in a property and the value of the tenants' interest in a property is less than that of the two interests combined, as occurs when the tenants enfranchise. The increased value generated is called the "marriage value". In the current case, the leases on the property had less than 80 years to run when the vesting order was made. By statute we have to take the marriage value of Flats A and C into account.
- 8. The marriage value in respect of Flat B is to be ignored, save insofar there is some prospect of an extra-statutory lease extension being granted to the lessee of Flat B: see the House of Lords decision in *Earl Cadogan v Sportelli* [2009] 2 WLR 12. In the current case there is no evidence that there is any prospect of flat B seeking to enfranchise otherwise than under the statute, so we ignore any hope value in respect of Flat B.
- 9. The price in this case therefore comprises four elements. Firstly, there is the value of the ground rents which the landlord is entitled to receive. These need to be capitalised using "the capitalisation rate" to give the current value of the ground rents. Mr Nkemena proposes 7 per cent for this rate. We agree.
- 10. Secondly, there is the value of the landlord's interest at the termination of the existing leases, discounted by "the deferment rate" for the length of the term remaining. Mr Nkemena in his new report proposes 5 per cent for this rate. This is the rate fixed by the Lands Tribunal in *Sportelli* (as

- upheld by the Court of Appeal and the House of Lords) and we agree that it is appropriate.
- 11. Thirdly, there is the marriage value on Flats A and C. Fourthly there is the value of the parts not demised to the tenants, in practice the cellar.

Valuation

- 12. Mr Nkemena now accepts that the relevant valuation date is 30th October 2009, the date of the vesting order.
- 13. His valuation misstates the starting dates of the three leases and in consequence misstates the length of the outstanding lease as at the valuation date. The relevant figures are as follows:

Flat A: 99 years from 19th April 1981, so 71½ years remaining;

Flat B: 99 years from 30th October 1981, so 71 years remaining;

Flat C: 99 years from 30th October 1981, so 71 years remaining.

- 14. In each case the rent payable in respect of each flat is £50 per annum for the first 33 years, £100 for the next 33 years and £150 for the last 33 years. The calculation of the value of the ground rents is attached.
- 15. The value of Flat A with vacant possession Mr Nkemena says should be £250,000. This does not accord with the comparables which he has obtained. A two bedroom flat at 60-62 Palace Road sold for £275,000 in November 2009. In his earlier reports, Mr Nkemena (who says he is very familiar with the locality) suggested Flat A would be worth £295,000 as at January 2008. (In fact, he said Flat C would be worth that, but he has clearly confused the two flats, since his value for Flat A was only £140,000. The studio flat is obviously the lower figure.)
- 16. In our judgment Mr Nkemena's original valuation as at January 2008 is more in accordance with the comparables which he has produced. However, it stands to be adjusted to reflect the drop in property values between January 2008 and October 2009.
- 17. The property market peaked in the summer of 2007 and went into a decline. The market made a modest recovery in 2009. In our judgment the appropriate figure for October 2009 is £285,000.
- 18. For Flat B, Mr Nkemena suggests £220,000. Again this is lower than the comparables he has produced, which have a range from £223,000 to £275,000. It is also very much lower than the value we have put on Flat 3. In our judgment a value of £230,000 should be put on Flat B.

- 19. Flat C was sold in November 2007 for £125,000. We agree with Mr Nkemena that this is the best comparable. He suggests that a 15 per cent adjustment should be made to reflect "plummeting capital values" and the fact that "the price paid in 2007 was high and at the peak of [the] Housing Market." We agree that some adjustment stands to be made, but we disagree that November 2007 was at the peak of the market. On the contrary, the peak of the market was in the summer; by November 2007 the decline was well established. There is simply no evidence that the price paid for Flat C was "high". On the contrary there is strong demand in London for small pieds-à-terre, such as this flat. Mr Nkemena makes no allowance for the recovery in the market which took place in 2009. In our judgment a valuation of £120,000 is appropriate for Flat C.
- 20. On relativity (the difference in value between a flat with vacant possession and the flat with the existing lease) Mr Nkemena now proposes a figure of 92 per cent. We agree that for flats of this type with this length of lease that is an appropriate figure for relativity.
- 21. The cellar has a footprint of 210 square feet. Mr Nkemena says that it has a head room of less than 3.0 metres. That, however, is sufficient headroom for a flat. He says that there have been two floods in the past which would impact on the development value. He says the current cost of basement conversions of £1,200 per square foot would make this uneconomic. He also points to issues with easements which the lessees might have. He suggests that the value of the cellar for storage should be assessed at £10 per square foot, or £2,100 per annum.
- 22. We do not agree that the cellar has no development value whatsoever. A headroom of 3 metres or ten feet is ample for a flat, so there might well be no need to dig out the basement. We did not accept his figure of £1,200 per square feet for any development, which is far too high for a modest development as this would be. The other matters would be an obstacle, but not necessarily an insuperable obstacle.
- 23. The approach we consider appropriate is to consider the value of the cellar as storage and then make adjustments. A return of 7 per cent equates to 14 years purchase, which might be appropriate for a pure financial investment. In fact however some discount from that would be given to reflect the cost of management and the problem of voids. An adjustment in the other direction would be made by a prospective purchaser to take into account the development value.
- 24. This exercise cannot be simply a mathematical one. In our judgment weighing the competing considerations, an adjustment to give 12 years purchase is right. That gives a value for the cellar of £25,200.

Conclusion

- 25. Our workings are shown in the schedule attached to this decision.
- 26. The TR1 attached to this decision is approved, subject to the insertion of £57,341.00 as the consideration for the transfer.

DECISION

The Tribunal accordingly:

(a) determines that the purchase price payable is £57,341.00 divided as follows:

Flat 84A	£16,379.00
Flat 84B	£8,436.00
Flat 84C	£7,306.00
Cellar	£25,200.00

(b) approves the Form TR1 attached hereto.

Adrian Jack, chairman

22nd June 2010

VALUATION FOR THE LEASEHOLD VALUATION TRIBUNAL

of

THE FREEHOLD of 84 PALACE ROAD, SW2 3JY

under

Section 48 of The Leasehold Reform, Housing and Urban Development Act 1993

COMPONENTS

Existing leases:

84A

Unexpired

71.5 years

Unexpired 84B & 84C

71 years

Ground rents

£50 for 33 yrs £100 for 33 yrs

£150 for 33 yrs

Deferment rate

5.0%

Ground rent rate:

7%

Relativity

Freehold to short lease – 92%

Agreed by tribunal

Values used

Long lease -

£285,000 84A

Fixed by tribunal

84B £230,000 84C £120,000 Fixed by tribunal Fixed by tribunal

Current lease -

84A £262,000

84C £110,400 92% relativity 92% relativity

Rental income used: Cellar

£2,100 per year

Agreed by tribunal

<u>84A</u>

1.	VALUE OF FREEHOLDERS INTEREST			
i) ii)	Current. Reversion.	Ground rent: £50 for 5.5 years @ 7% 50 x 4.63 £100 for 33 yrs def'd 5.5 yrs 100 x 12.754 x 0.0689 £150 for 33 yrs def'd 38.5 yr 150 x 12.754 x 0.073 £285,000 def'd 71.5 years @ 285,000 x 0.03055	<u>140</u> 1,250	
Total	current value of freehole	9,958		
2.	MARRIAGE VALUE			
	Future value		285,000	
		Freehold 9,958 Leaseholder <u>262,200</u>	272,158 	
	50% of the marriage v	ralue	<u>6,421</u>	-
3.	AMOUNT PAYABLE	E	£16,379	9
 <u>84B</u>		······································	······	-
84B 1.	VALUE OF FREEHO	DLDERS INTEREST		
	VALUE OF FREEHO	£50 for 5 yrs @ 7% 50 x 4.1002 £100 for 33yrs def'd 5 yrs 100 x 12.5318 x 0.7131 £150 for 33 yrs def'd 38 yrs 150 x 12.538 x 0.07646	205 909 <u>143</u> 1,257	<u>-</u>
1.		£50 for 5 yrs @ 7% 50 x 4.1002 £100 for 33yrs def'd 5 yrs 100 x 12.5318 x 0.7131 £150 for 33 yrs def'd 38 yrs	909 143 1,257	2

0	4	
0	4	L

1. VALUE OF FREEHOLDERS I	NTEREST	
---------------------------	---------	--

AS 84B Ground rent: 1,257 i)

ii) Reversion £120,000 def'd 71 yrs @ 5%

120,000 x 0.0313 3,756 5.013

2. MARRIAGE VALUE

> 120,000 Future value i)

> ii) Current values. Freehold 5,013 110,400 Leaseholder 115,413

> Total marriage value 4,587

50% of marriage value £2,293

AMOUNT PAYABLE £7,306

VALUE OF CELLAR

£2,100 1. Rental value

> Years purchase 12 yp Allowing for management, letting costs and voids.

£2,100 x 12 =£25,200

2. **AMOUNT PAYABLE** £25,200

TOTAL AMOUNT TO BE PAID FOR THE FREEHOLD

£16,379 84A 84B £ 8,456 84C £ 7,306 £25,200 Cellar **TOTAL** £57,341



TR1

need more room than is provided for in a panel, and your software allows, you can expand any panel in the formatively use continuation sheet CS and attach it to this form.

atively use continuation sheet CS	and attach it to this form.		
blank if not yet registered.	1 Title number(s) of the property:		
	LN205594		
ddress including postcode (if any) description of the property, for	2 Property:		
le 'land adjoining 2 Acacia '	84 Palace Road, London SW2 3JY		
	3 Date:		
ll name(s).	4 Transferor:		
and the second s	Maqbool Ahmad		
te as appropriate where the or is a company.	For UK incorporated companies/LLPs Registered number of company or limited liability partnership including any prefix:		
	For overseas companies (a) Territory of incorporation:		
	(b) Registered number in England and Wales including any prefix:		
*			
name(s).	5 Transferee for entry in the register:		
	Compton Arlington Davis		
te as appropriate where the see is a company. Also, for an s company, unless an ment with Land Registry exists,	For UK incorporated companies/LLPs Registered number of company or limited liability partnership including any prefix:		
ther a certificate in Form 7 in le 3 to the Land Registration 003 or a certified copy of the tion in English or Welsh, or other	For overseas companies (a) Territory of incorporation:		
e permitted by rule 183 of the gistration Rules 2003.	(b) Registered number in England and Wales including any prefix:		
Insferee may give up to three les for service, one of which must tal address whether or not in the	6 Transferee's intended address(es) for service for entry in the register:		
uding the postcode, if any). The an be any combination of a postal a UK DX box number or an address.	22 Rommany Road, London SE27 9PX		
	7 The transferor transfers the property to the transferee		
in the appropriate box. State the unit if other than sterling. If none	8 Consideration		
kes apply, insert an appropriate ndum in panel 11.	The transferor has received from the transferee for the property the following sum (in words and figures): £		
	(
	Insert other receipt as appropriate:		

_{in any} box that applies.	9	The transferor transfe	rs with
modifications.			· •
		☐ limited title guara	ntee
e transferee is more than one tace 'X' in the appropriate box.	10	Declaration of trust. T	he transferee is more than one person and
Nacco		they are to hold t	he property on trust for themselves as joint
		they are to hold to in common in eq	he property on trust for themselves as tenants ual shares
g _B s necessary.		they are to hold t	he property on trust:
e any required or permitted it certificate or application and	11	Additional provisions	
d covenants, declarations and		section 6(2) of the L 1994, excluding from either within the actu consequence of fact buyer but also all ma public are to be d	with full title guarantee but for the purposes of aw of Property (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act that guarantee not only, any matter which was al knowledge of the buyer or was a necessary that were within the actual knowledge of the ters now recorded in the registers open to the eemed within the actual knowledge of the parties apply to the District Land Registrar to ordingly.
			executed for the purposes of Chapter 1 of the ousing and Urban Development Act 1993.
feror must execute this transfer fusing the space opposite. If one than one transferor, all must forms of execution are given in 9 to the Land Registration	12	Execution Signed as a Deed by	
3. If the transfer contains es covenants or declarations or		In the presence of	
an application by the transferee for a restriction), it must also be by the transferee.		Witness signature	
		Witness Name	
		Witness Address	
		Signed as a Deed by	
		Compton Arlington	n Davis
		In the presence of	
		Witness signature	
		Witness Name	
		Witness Address	
69 8 4			

nestly enter information or make a statement that you know is, or might be, untrue or misleading, and intend by doing so to for yourself or another person, or to cause loss or the risk of loss to another person, you may commit the offence of fraud on 1 of the Fraud Act 2006, the maximum penalty for which is 10 years' imprisonment or an unlimited fine, or both.

tion 66 of the Land Registration Act 2002 most documents (including this form) kept by the registrar relating to an to the registrar or referred to in the register are open to public inspection and copying. If you believe a document contains information, you may apply for that part of the document to be made exempt using Form EX1, under rule 136 of the Land Rules 2003.