

507

Residential Property TRIBUNAL SERVICE

## LONDON RENT ASSESSMENT PANEL LEASEHOLD VALUATION TRIBUNAL

## Case Reference: LON/OOAR/LSC/2010/0419

## THE LEASEHOLD VALUATION TRIBUNAL ON AN APPLICATION UNDER SECTION 27A of the Landlord and Tenant Act 1985

Applicants : S. J. Ballard and others

Respondent: Regisport Limited, represented by Pier Management

Premises: Hammond Court, Grenfell Avenue, Hornchurch, Essex RM12 4FJ

Date of Directions 24 June 2010

Date of Determination 3 September 2010

Leasehold Valuation Tribunal: Mrs B. M. Hindley LL.B Mr D. L Edge FRICS

- 1. This is an application under Section 27A of the Landlord and Tenant Act 1985 to determine the applicant leaseholders' (the tenants') liability to pay service charges in respect of insurance premiums in the years 2009 and 2010.
- 2. The application relates to the insurance of a building comprising 16 flats, the tenants of twelve of which make the application.
- 3. It has not been suggested that the leases of all the flats are not in the same form.
- 4. After Directions were issued indicating that the matter could be dealt with on the basis of written representations, neither party requested an oral hearing.
- 5. In response to the Directions the landlords provided a statement setting out the basis on which the insurance was placed. They explained that the building was insured on a block policy through Oxygen Insurance Brokers Ltd who chose Brit Insurance as the preferred insurers for the Regis Portfolio of some 18,000 units. They stated that they did not obtain commission from the subject property in isolation but because of their ability to 'bulk buy' they benefitted from commission on their portfolio as a whole. They commented, in their reply dated 30 July 2010, as set out below on the quotations (attached at Annex 1) obtained by the applicants.
- 6. 'Bluefin the premium is merely £146 lower than Brit. The landlord must show insurance is reasonably incurred and reasonable in amount. We maintain the landlord does not have to obtain the cheapest insurance available.
- 7. Intasure this cover is not 'like for like' and cannot provide any form of comparison.
- 8. Ellis David the premium is £292 lower than Brit, this equates to £37 per flat per year. Again, the landlord must show the insurance is reasonably incurred and reasonable in amount. We maintain the landlord does not have to obtain the cheapest premium available.
- 9. Lansdown we submit that we believe this to be an introductory rate, to win new business from the applicants.'
- 10. The Tribunal accepts the comments of the landlords in connection with the first three of the above quotations but the Lansdown quotation they do not accept can be so easily dismissed. The amount of cover is comparable or better in many instances and it is through a reputable company Allianz. Moreover, the Tribunal notes that on the Schedule attached at Annex 1 it would appear that cover in respect of legal expenses has been omitted since it appears to be included as part of the cover as set out in the summary of cover provided.
- 11. In coming to its determination the Tribunal is very aware of the decision of the Lands Tribunal in Focelux Ltd v. Sweetman and Another, 2001. The Lands Tribunal made it clear that there was not a license to charge a figure that was out of line with the market norm. The quotation obtained is so considerably less than the current premium and yet so very comparable in cover and provided by a highly reputable company that the Tribunal is persuaded that it would not be reasonable to disregard it.
- 12. Therefore, the Tribunal determines the cost of the insurance for the years 2009 and 2010 not to be reasonable, or reasonably incurred and, therefore payable.
- 13. Accordingly. the Tribunal determines a premium for 2009 of £1504. 61 and for 2010 a premium of £1.591.93.

? MAttalley.

3/9/10.

## Yearly Buildings Insurance

|  | BRIT - Current  | Bluefin  | Intasure  | Ellis David  | Lansdown   |
|--|---|--|---|--|--|
| Buildings Sum Insured<br>Building Declared Value<br>Contents of Communal Areas Sum Insured<br>(where applicable - included free of charge)                             | 2,409,225<br>1,853,250<br>10,000  | 2,409,225<br>1,853,250<br>not insured              | 2,223,900<br>1,853,250<br>10,000.00   | 2,501,887.50 35% uplift<br>1,853,250<br>25,000   | 2,501,888<br>1,853,250<br>25,000                       |
| Rent<br>Rent<br>Alternative Accomodation<br>Employers Liability Limit of Liability<br>Property Owners Liability Limit of Indemnity<br>Legal Expenses Insurance Section | not insured<br>up to 30% sum insured<br>not included<br>5,000,000<br>Included | not insured<br>5,000,000<br>10,000,000<br>Included | up to 25% of buildings declared value<br>up to 25% of buildings declared value<br>10,000,000<br>2,000,000 | up to 30% sum insured<br>up to 30% sum insured 36 month indmenity<br>not included<br>5,000,000 | 833,963 33%<br>833,963 33%<br>10,000,000<br>10,000,000 |
| Premium  | 2,626.20  | 2140.49  | 821.27  | 2015.91  | 1,394.59   |
| Insurance Premium Tax<br>inc Terrorism<br>Total<br>Excess  | <ul> <li>131.31</li> <li>2,757.51</li> <li>172.34 per flat</li> </ul>         | 107.03<br>194.59<br>2442.11<br>152.63 per flat     | 83.06<br>128.43<br>1,032.76<br>64.54 per flat   | 144<br>2159.91<br>134.99 per flat  | inc<br>197.34<br>1591.93<br>99.49 per flat             |
| Fire<br>Lighting<br>Earthquake<br>Aircraft<br>Explosion<br>Riot and Civil Commotion<br>Malious Damage  | NIL<br>NIL<br>NIL<br>NIL<br>NIL<br>NIL  | NIL<br>NIL<br>NIL<br>NIL<br>NIL<br>NIL             | 250.00  | NIL<br>NIL<br>NIL<br>NIL<br>NIL<br>NIL<br>250  | 250<br>250<br>250<br>250<br>250<br>250<br>250<br>250   |
| Subsidence<br>All other damage   | 1,000<br>250  | 1,000<br>100                                       | 5,000.00  | 1,000  | 1,000  |
| Property Owners Liability Excess:<br>Third Party Property Damage   | 250   |  |   |  |  |

Inc Section 3, Terrorism

,

.