REF LON 00AZ/LSC/2007/0378

IN THE LEASEHOLD VALUATION TRIBUNAL

IN THE-MATTER OF THE LANDLORD AND TENANT ACT 1985 SECTIONS 27A, 20ZA AND 20C

And In The Matter of 169-199 Bromley Road London SE6 2PG

Applicant

Marrionette Limited

Represented by

Ms M C Bleasdale counsel instructed by Bude Nathan

Iwanier solicitors

Respondent

Various Long Leaseholders of

169-199 Bromley Road London

SE6 2PG

Appearance

Colin Thatcher Flat 179

represented by Emmanuel Adu

Baah, Tania Dosoruth and

Oliver Williamson of the College

of Law

K West Flat 189

The Tribunal
Mr P Leighton LLB (Hons)
Mr L Jarero FRICS
Mrs G Barrett JP

Hearing Date

21st January 2008

Date of Decision

25th March 2008

A Introduction

- By an application dated 12th September 2007 the applicant applied to the Tribunal for a declaration under Section 27A of the Landlord and Tenant Act 1985 ("the Act") that the cost of works of repair and maintenance to be carried out to the premises at 169-199 Bromley Road Catford London SE6 2PG ("the premises" were reasonably incurred
- Directions were given for the conduct of the hearing on 30th October 2007at which it was indicated that the Applicants be at liberty if so advised to issue a further application under Section 20ZA of the Act and that if such application was issued by no later than 26th November 2007 that it be joined with the original application and be heard together on 21st January 2008 the date fixed for the hearing.
- On16th November 2007 the Applicant issued an application under Section 20ZA for dispensation from any or all of the provisions of the Service charge (Consultation Requirements)(England) Regulations 2003 in so far as they had not been complied with
- Directions were given in respect of the second application on 23rd November 2007and it was directed that both applications be heard together on 21st and 22nd January 2008

Inspection:

The Tribunal inspected the premises on 21st January 2008. They consist of a purpose built block of 20 flats built in about the 1920s and situated on a busy road in Catford .the property appeared to be in a poor state of repair and was in serious need of work being carried out to the block.

The Hearing

The hearing took place on 22nd January 2008 and following some discussion with the Tribunal and between the parties, an agreement was reached with those leaseholders present that the works should be carried out and that payment should be made. The Tribunal also considered on the basis of the material before it that if the work were carried out at the price tendered the expenditure would be reasonably incurred.

- The agreement with Mr Thatcher has been duly signed with the appendix attached. In the case of Mr West the front page of the agreement has been signed but the other pages have not been included and it is not certain whether Mr West received them. He went to Jamaica sometime after 8th February and may not have returned. In his email of 8th February he made it clear that he had received the main document but was not sure whether he had received all of the Appendix as his system was not working. An email in reply was sent on 8th February 2008 by Ms Bleasdale setting out the contents of the last page but there has been no reply
- The Tribunal concludes on the balance of probabilities that Mr West did receive the later email as there is no complaint from him to the contrary He then went off to Jamaica content that he had signed the front of the agreement and did not ask for it to be held up until he returned.
- The agreement with Messrs West and Thatcher is slightly more favourable than that relating to the other flats simply because they attended, were represented and negotiated concessions from the landlord. In the circumstances the Tribunal is prepared to approve the draft order of Ms Bleasdale in relation to all the flats except Flats 179 and Flats 189 as appendix A save that the times in paragraph 6.1 and 6.2 of the agreement be extended to 10th April 2008 and the time in 6.2 extended to 24th April 2008. Copies of the order as revised should be served on each of the leaseholders as soon as possible and in any event by 1st April 2008.A copy of the order is annexed at Appendix A
- The consent orders signed by Mr Thatcher and Mr West are also approved in the form annexed at Appendices B and C save that the time in Paragraph 4 is extended to April 24th 2008 A copy of the amended agreements should be served on Mr West and Mr Thatcher

Section 20C costs

The application was brought by the landlord and the counter application arose only in respect of the tenants who appeared. Written submissions were addressed to the Tribunal by the representatives of Mr Thatcher from the College of Law on 22nd February 2008 and for Ms Bleasdale on behalf of the landlord. on 7th February 2008

- Ms Bleasdale reminded the Tribunal that there are two different leases for the block. Lease A and Lease B. Lease A provides as follows\\;-Clause 1(1) defines the "service obligations" which are those matters which the landlord covenants to carry out and other things undertaken hereunder Clause 3(b) contains details of what the landlord ahs covenanted for but 3(b) (ii) provides "to take such proceedings as the Lessor deems necessary against any defaulting lessee in the building to ensure compliance with any lessee's obligations in respect of payment of ground rent and/or due proportion of service charges hereunder."
- Lease B provides in the Fourth schedule for the payment of the annual maintenance charge for the purposes of Clause 6 of the lease. Clause 1M defines the maintenance contribution as "the relevant percentage of the aggregate annual maintenance provision"
- 14 Clause 6 contains the services to be provided and in particular 6(ix) which provides:-
 - "the entitlement to be reimbursed for the payment of all legal and other costs incurred by the maintenance trustee or by the lessor in the (a) in the running and management of the building and in the enforcement of the covenants , conditions and regulations relating therein contained in the leases granted of the flats of the building"
- 15 .Ms Bleasdale submits that each of the clauses is wide enough to cover the recovery of legal fees and the representatives of Mr Thatcher in their submission do not disagree but merely submit that it would be unjust and inequitable for Mr Thatcher to pay towards the costs
- It should be noted that the Tribunal has not been asked to rule on the clauses nor has the quantum of costs been discussed The sole issue is whether the LVT should exercise its discretion to disallow any of the costs in relation to Mr West, Mr Thatcher or any of the other lessees.
- The criticism of the landlord is that it failed to enter into discussions with Mr
 Thatcher and Mr West and keep them informed of the nature of the works, If
 they had done so the costs of the hearing would have been averted...
- Ms Bleasdale comments that in this case 10 of the 14 lessees had not paid their service charges and it was both necessary and reasonable for the landlord to bring the proceedings so that it should not be deprived of its costs

- The Tribunal is conscious of the decision of the Lands Tribunal where it was stated that it must be shown to bejust and equitable for the landlord to be deprived of costs for which he has an entitlement under the lease.
- Although there was some correspondence in the papers in which the landlord appeared to express some frustration about complaints raised by Mr Thatcher the Tribunal is of the opinion that the landlord was justified in brining these proceedings and that essentially there was no real defence shown on the papers. Regarding the tendering process and the process. Most of the tenants had not seen fit to appear or make any representations and the Tribunal considers that it would be unjust to deprive the landlord of its costs and accordingly makes no order under Section 20C and orders that the fees be reimbursed by each of the lessees in accordance with the proportion for which they are liable for service charge. Costs are not payable until the service of the next service charge demand and they are payable as part of the service charge liability
- If it is considered that the costs claimed are excessive they can be challenged in next year's service charge demand.

Chairman

Peter Leighton

Date

25th March 2008

Appendix A

LONDON RENT ASSESSMENT PANEL

IN THE LEASEHOLD VALUATION TRIBUNAL

Case Reference: LON 00AZ/LDC/2007/0378 & 0069

Draft arde Met Appliemt arks LNT to nake asap.

Applicant:

Marionette Limited

Respondent:

Various long lessees of 169-199 Bromley Road London

SE6 2PG

UPON HEARING Counsel for the Applicant,

AND UPON HEARING Emmanuel Abu-Baah, Tania Dosoruth and Oliver Williamson representing Mr Thatcher and Mr West in person who do not oppose the making of this order

IT IS DETERMINED THAT

- A budget figure of £143,165.22, based on the tender of Barry Dodd Maintenance Limited dated 9th January 2008, in respect of works of maintenance and repair to the exterior and interior of the buildings ("the Proposed Works") known as 169-199 Bromley Road London SE6 2PG ("the Buildings") is reasonable in amount.
- 2. The total budget figure of £143,165.22 shall be paid by the Lessees of the Buildings in the proportions set out in the Schedule to this order.
- 3. The Applicant is entitled under the Leases in Form A (as described in the Statement of Case filed by the Applicant) to seek payment on account of the Proposed Works from the Lessees in two instalments on 25th March 2008 and 29th September 2008.
- 4. The Applicant is entitled under the Leases in Form B (as described in the Statement of Case filed by the Applicant) to seek payment on account of the Proposed Works from the Lessees in four instalments on 25th March 2008, 24th June 2008, 29th September 2008 and 24th December 2008.

- 5. That the Applicant having complied with the consultation procedure in respect of the works set out in the tender of Barry Dodd Maintenance Limited no further consultation with the Lessees of 169-199 Bromley Road is necessary.
- 6. . The parties shall file written submissions on the Applicant's costs, any claim for reimbursement of fees, and the Respondents section 20C application as follows:
 - 6.1 The Applicant by 4th February 2008,
 - The Respondents by 18th February 2008. 6.2

Dated this day of 2008

- $\frac{\text{SCHEDULE}}{\text{Flat 169:}}_{140}/_{3701} \text{ ths amounting to £5,415.60}$ 1.
- Flat 169a: $\frac{140}{3701}$ ths amounting to £5,415.60 2.
- Flat 171: $^{140}/_{3701}$ ths amounting to £5,415.60 3.
- Flat 173: $\frac{270}{3701}$ ths amounting to £10,444.37 4.
- Flat 175: $^{186}/_{3701}$ ths amounting to £7,195.01 5.
- Flat 175a: $\frac{157}{3701}$ ths amounting to £6,073.21 6.
- Flat 177: $^{202}/_{3701}$ ths amounting to £7.813.94 7.
- Flat 177a: $^{123}/_{3701}$ ths amounting to £4,757.99 8.
- Flat 179: $\frac{186}{3701}$ ths amounting to £7,195.01 9.
- Flat 181: $\frac{202}{3701}$ ths amounting to £7,813.94
- Flat 189: $^{227}/_{3701}$ ths amounting to £8,781.01 11.
- Flat 193: $^{227}/_{3701}$ ths amounting to £8,781.01 12.
- Flat 195a: $\frac{107}{3701}$ ths amounting to £4,139.06 13.
- Flat 199: $\frac{202}{3701}$ ths amounting to £7,813.94

图图作"" "完"的" 图 D

AGREEMENT DATED 21st JANUARY 2008

BETWEEN

- (1) MARIONETTE LIMITED c/o Ord Carmell and Kritzler, Holbern House. 219 Golders Green Road, London NW11 9DD ("the Applicant") and
- MR COLIN THATCHER of 175A Bromley Road London SE6 2PG ("the Respondent")

IN THIS AGREEMENT

- (1) "The Buildings" are the buildings known as 169-199 Bromley Road London SE6 2PG
- (2) "The Proposed Works" are proposed works of maintenance and repair to the exterior and Interior of the Buildings as set out in the tender of Barry Dodd Maintenance Limited dated 9th January 2008
- (3) "The Budget Cost" is a budget figure of £143,165.22, in respect of anticipated costs and fees to be incurred in carrying out the Proposed Works

IT IS HEREBY AGREED THAT

- 1. In consideration of the Respondent agreeing not to oppose the making of an order in the form of the attached draft order in the proceedings before the Leasehold Valuation Tribunal case numbers LON/00AZ/LDC/2007/0378 & LON/00AZ/LDC/2007/0069 the Applicant agrees to allow you to pay your share of the Budget Cost of the Proposed Works which is £6,073.21 in the monthly instalments of £253.05 (two hundred and fifty three pounds and five pence) commencing on 28th March 2008 and ending with a final payment of £253.06 on 28th February 2010.
- 2. This agreement is reached only in respect of the Respondent's payments on account and does not affect (i) the Applicant's entitlement to claim from the Respondent his proportion of the full costs actually incurred by the Applicant in carrying out the Proposed Works (ii) the Respondent's entitlement to challenge the amount of the actual costs of the Proposed Works, the reasonableness of the

MC Bleasdale

Counsel on behalf of Marionette Limited

costs and the standard of the actual works carried out; and the Respondent's entitlement to seek any other set off to which he is legally entitled.

- 3. In the event that the Proposed Works are commenced with a contractor for a contract sum which is less than £109,276.00 (excluding VAT) the Applicant will adjust the instalments due from the Respondent pro rata and (i) inform the Respondent of the new instalment sum and (ii) give credit against the next instalment(s) due for any payment collected which would not have been payable had it been calculated on the lower contract sum in the first place.
- 4. If the Respondent nominates a suitable contractor to tender for the Proposed Works by 20th February 2008 the Applicant will give the contractor an opportunity to tender for the proposed works.
- 5. The Applicant to use best endeavours to get Cranescot Limited to tender for the proposed works.

MC Bleasdale

Counsel on behalf of Marionette Limited

Mr C Thatcher

MC Bleasdale

Counsel on behalf of Marionette Limited

Mr C Thatcher

LONDON RENT ASSESSMENT PANEL

IN THE LEASEHOLD VALUATION TRIBUNAL

Case Reference: LON 00AZ/LDC/2007/0378 & 0069

Applicant:

Marionette Limited

Respondent:

Various long lessees of 169-199 Bromley Road London

SE6 2PG

UPON HEARING Counsel for the Applicant,

AND UPON HEARING Emmanuel Abu-Baah, Tania Dosoruth and Oliver Williamson representing Mr Thatcher and Mr West in person who do not oppose the making of this order

IT IS DETERMINED THAT

- A budget figure of £143,165.22, based on the tender of Barry Dodd Maintenance Limited dated 9th January 2008, in respect of works of maintenance and repair to the exterior and interior of the buildings ("the Proposed Works") known as 169-199 Bromley Road London SE6 2PG ("the Buildings") is reasonable in amount.
- 2. The total budget figure of £143,165.22 shall be paid by the Lessees of the Buildings in the proportions set out in the Schedule to this order.
- 3. The Applicant is entitled under the Leases in Form A (as described in the Statement of Case filed by the Applicant) to seek payment on account of the Proposed Works from the Lessees in two instalments on 25th March 2008 and 29th September 2008.
- 4. The Applicant is entitled under the Loases in Form B (as described in the Statement of Case filed by the Applicant) to seek payment on account of the Proposed Works from the Lessees in four instalments on 25th March 2008, 24th June 2008, 29th September 2008 and 24th December 2008.

MC Bleasdale

Counsel on behalf of Marionette Limited

C Toh

Mr C Thatcher

- 6. The parties shall file written submissions on the Applicants costs, any claim for reimbursement of fees, and the Respondents section 20C application as follows:
 - 6.1 The Applicant by 4th February 2008,
 - 6.2 The Respondents by 18th February 2008.

2008

Dated this day of

COURN

- 1. Flat 169: 140/3701 the amounting to £5,415.60
- 2. Flat 169a: $^{140}/_{3701}$ ths amounting to £5,415.60
- 3. Flat 171: $^{140}/_{3701}$ ths amounting to £5,415.60
- 4. Flat $173: \frac{270}{3701}$ ths amounting to £10,444.37
- 5. Flat 175: $\frac{186}{3701}$ ths amounting to £7,195.01
- 6. Flat 175a: $\frac{157}{3701}$ the amounting to £6,073.21
- 7. Flat 177: $^{202}/_{3701}$ the amounting to £7,813.94
- 8. Flat 177a: $\frac{123}{3701}$ ths amounting to £4,757.99
- 9. Flat 179: ¹⁸⁶/₃₇₀₁ the amounting to £7,195.01
- 10. Flat 181: $^{202}/_{3701}$ ths amounting to £7,813.94
- 11. Flat 189: ²²⁷/₃₇₀₁ the amounting to £8,781.01
- 12. Flat 193: $\frac{227}{3701}$ ths amounting to £8,781.01
- 13. Flat 195a: $\frac{107}{3701}$ ths amounting to £4,139.06
- 14. Flat 199: ²⁰²/₃₇₀₁ the amounting to £7,813.94

MC Bleasdale

Counsel on behalf of Marionette Limited

Mr C Thatcher

Marie-daire Bleasdale

From: Keith West.

AGREEMENT DATED 21st JANUARY 2008 BETWEEN

1) Agreement Signed by Mr Jest 2) Agreement Sent To Mr West (1) MARIONETTE LIMITED c/o Ord Carmell and Kritzler, Holborn House, 219 Golders Green Road, London NW11 9DD ("the Applicant") and

(2) MR KEITH BALENTINE WEST of 3 Grenville Place, London SW7 4RU ("the Respondent")

IN THIS AGREEMENT

- (1) "The Buildings" are the buildings known as 169-199 Bromley Road London SE6 2PG
- (2) "The Proposed Works" are proposed works of maintenance and repair to the exterior and interior of the Buildings as set out in the tender of Barry Dodd Maintenance Limited dated 9th January 2008
- (3) "The Budget Cost" is a budget figure of £143,165.22, in respect of anticipated costs and fees to be incurred in carrying out the Proposed Works

IT IS HEREBY AGREED THAT

- In consideration of the Respondent agreeing not to oppose the making of an order 1. in the form of the attached draft order in the proceedings before the Leasehold Valuation Tribunal case numbers LON/00AZ/LDC/2007/0378 & LON/00AZ/LDC/2007/0069 the Applicant agrees to allow you to pay your share of the Budget Cost of the Proposed Works which is £8,781 in the following instalments (i) by an instalment of £2,195.25 on 25th March 2008, (ii) a second instalment of £2,195.25 on 29th September 2008 and all further sums in respect of the Proposed Works upon the production of the final service charge accounts thr the year ending Merch 2009.
- 2. This agreement is reached only in respect of the Respondent's payments on account and does not affect (i) the Applicant's entitlement to claim from the Respondent his proportion of the full costs actually incurred by the Applicant in carrying out the Proposed Works (ii) the Respondent's entitlement to challenge

Counsel on Schalf of Maxignette Limited

RECEIVED 2 4 JAN 2008

To:02273738911

P 3

the amount of the actual costs of the Proposed Works, the reasonableness of the costs and the standard of the actual works carried out; and the Respondent's entitlement to seek any other set off to which he is legally entitled.

- 3. In the event that the Proposed Works are commenced with a contractor for a contract sum which is less than £109,276,00 (excluding VAT) the Applicant will adjust the instalments due from the Respondent pro rate and (i) inform the Respondent of the new instalment sum and (ii) give credit against the next instalment due for any payment collected which would not have been payable had it been calculated on the lower contract sum in the first place.
- 4. If the Respondent nominates a suitable contractor to tender for the Proposed Works by 20th February 2008 the Applicant will give the contractor an opportunity to tender for the proposed works.
- 5. The Applicant to use best endeavours to get Cranescot Limited to tender for the proposed works.

McDewold

Mr K B West

Counsel on behalf of Marionette Limited

MC Mardale

Countries in hebili of Marionette Limited

Mr K B West

2/4

LONDON RENT ASSESSMENT PANEL

IN THE LEASTHOED VALUATION TRIBUNAL

Case Reference: LON 60AZ/LDC/2007/0378 & 0069

Applicant:

Marionette Limited

Rappondent

Various long lessoes of 169-199 Bromley Road London

SE6 2PG

LEON HEARING Comisel for the Applicant,

AND UPON HEARING Emmanuel Abu-Baah, Tania Dosoruth and Oliver Williamson representing Mr Thatefor and Mr West in person who do not oppose the making of this order

IT IS DETERMINED THAT

- A budget figure of £143,165.22, as set out in the tender of Barry Dodd

 Maintenance Limited dated 9th January 2008, in respect of works of maintenance
 and repair to the exterior and interior of the buildings ("the Proposed Works")

 known as 169-199 Bromley Road London SE6 2PO ("the Buildings") is
 reasonable in amount.
- 2. The total budger figure of £143,165.22 shall be paid by the Lesses of the Buildings in the proportions set out in the Schedule to this order.
- The Applicant is entitled under the Leases in Form A (as described in the Statement of Case filed by the Applicant) to seek payment on account of the Proposed Works from the Lessees in two instalments on 25th March 2008 and 25th September 2008.
- The Applicant is satisfied under the Leases in Form B (as described in the Blattement of Case filled by the Applicant) to seek payment on account of the Proposed Works from the Leasess in four instalments on 25th March 2008, 24 June 2008, 25th September 2008 and 24th December 2008.

McGlerdale

Countries benefit of Marionette Limited

Mr K B West