

Leasehold Reform Act 1967

Housing Act 1980

LEASEHOLD VALUATION TRIBUNAL FOR THE LONDON RENT ASSESSMENT PANEL

DECISION OF THE LEASEHOLD VALUATION TRIBUNAL ON AN APPLICATION UNDER SECTION OF THE LEASEHOLD REFORM ACT 1967

Applicants:

Mr and Mrs R Russell (tenants)

DEGEOVED

08 APR 1998

Respondent:

The Trustees of the Eyre Estate

Re 42 Queen's Grove, London NW8

Applicants' notice of claim and valuation date: 14 April 1997

Application to the tribunal: 5 September 1977

Hearing date: 12 February 1998

Inspection: 25 March 1998

Appearances:

Mr E Johnson (counsel)

Mr D Conway (David Conway & Co, solicitors)

Mr K G Buchanan BSc ARICS (Conrad Ritblat, chartered surveyors)

for the tenants

Mr J E C Briant BA ARICS (Cluttons Daniel Smith, chartered surveyors)

for the freeholder

Members of the Leasehold Valuation Tribunal:

Lady Wilson

Mr C J Bradley JP BSc FRICS

Mr D Myer-Smith

Date of the Tribunal's decision: -6 APR 1998

The facts

- 1. 42 Queen's Grove was built in the 1930s on lower ground, ground, first and second floors. It has an agreed effective floor "A" area of 227m² and a gross internal area of 395m². It is held under a lease dated 20 September 1938 for a term of 99 years expiring in June 2034 of which 37.22 years remained unexpired on the valuation date, 14 April 1977. The ground rent is £90 per annum. A number of improvements have been carried out by the tenants' predecessors in title following a licence dated 27 October 1977.
- 2. Mr Buchanan, for the tenants, proposes a premium of £382,390, and Mr Briant, for the freeholder, proposes a premium of £569,637. Their valuations are attached to this decision as appendices A and B respectively. An agreed schedule of comparables is attached as appendix C.
- 3. It is agreed that the marriage value should be shared equally, and the issues are:
- (i) the value of the lease,
- (ii) the value of the freehold,
- (iii) yield, and
- (iv) whether the transfer (the other terms of which are agreed) should permit off street parking, in front of the garage, for one or two cars.
- 4. On 25 March 1998 we internally inspected the property, we externally inspected all the freehold comparables relied on by either of the valuers. We also inspected 14 Grove End Road NW8, 6 Grove End Road and 22B Elm Tree Road, relied on by Mr Buchanan on the issue of yield, and, at the request of the parties, and with the permission of its owner, we on 27 March inspected the garden of 123 Hamilton Terrace

in order to compare its location to that of the subject.

Decision

(i) The value of the lease

Mr Buchanan proposes £1,400,000 and Mr Briant £1,425,000. Both agree that the difference between them is very well within valuation tolerance. We have concluded that the difference is so minimal that we should split it, and we therefore value the lease at £1,412,500.

(ii) The value of the freehold

Mr Buchanan's valuation is £2,000,000. He relies on the comparable evidence of the transactions relating to 37 Queen's Grove, 33 Elsworthy Road and 16 Avenue Road which are listed in appendix C. These he adjusts for factors such as size, style, tenure, condition, location, garden, parking space and market movement. He accepts that the differential of 70% between the value of the freehold and the value of the lease which his figures show is greater than the average shown on a graph prepared by Mr Briant's firm, but says that we should arrive at values by market evidence rather than statistics, and says that in any event the graph is unreliable because a number of the settlements from which the graph is derived are based on the landlords' estimates of value rather than on market transactions. He says that Mr Briant should have adjusted for location when comparing 123 Hamilton Terrace to the subject, which he regards as in a worse location than the comparable.

Mr Briant's figure is £2,300,000. He relies on 25 Queen's Grove and 123 Hamilton

Terrace. In his written proof he had also relied on an offer of £2,300,000 made for the purchase of 29 Acacia Road, but, that offer having been withdrawn, he no longer relied on it. He adjusted his comparables for size, location, parking space and condition. In his opinion, Mr Buchanan had made insufficient allowance for market movement in adjusting his comparable sales, which took place in July 1996 (37 Queen's Grove), September 1995 (33 Elsworthy Road), and August 1995 (16 Avenue Road) and said that if Mr Buchanan had made a greater and more accurate adjustment for that factor, their respective freehold values would be very close. He says that the location of 123 Hamilton Terrace is similar to that of 42 Queen's Grove.

We accept Mr Briant's evidence that upward market movement in the general location of Mr Buchanan's comparables has been greater than he suggests, and in the light of our inspection of subject and all the comparables we accept Mr Briant's figure on this aspect of the valuation and we accordingly conclude that the unimproved freehold value at the valuation date was £2,300,000.

(iii) Yield

Mr Buchanan proposes a yield of 7%. He says that leasehold valuation tribunals have determined rates of between 6% and 7½% for properties in St John's Wood, and in most of the cases where a yield greater than 6% has been determined, the landlord has appealed to the Lands Tribunal on the issue of yield. In one such appeal (43 Hamilton Terrace), the Lands Tribunal had determined a yield of 6% instead of the 7% determined by the leasehold valuation tribunal, but the tenant had not appeared to oppose the landlord's case and the Lands Tribunal Member had said that the decision was not to be treated as a precedent. In other appeals the tenants had settled at a higher price without reference to the Lands Tribunal to avoid the delay, risk and costs

of an appeal, and such settlements did not support a yield of 6%. In the case of 41 Queen's Grove (LON/LVT/618), the adjoining house, with only a slightly longer (40 years) unexpired lease and a similar low fixed ground rent, the leasehold valuation tribunal had determined a yield of 7%. The determination had been appealed to the Lands Tribunal and compromised at a higher figure, but this, like the other settlements, was an example of the Delaforce effect. In 43 Queen's Grove (LON/LVT/607), also subject to a pending appeal to the Lands Tribunal, the leasehold valuation tribunal had determined a yield of 6½% because of a very onerous (to the tenant) rent review clause. In a number of enfranchisement claims in respect of substantial houses on the neighbouring MCC Estate, the landlord, represented by Knight Frank had agreed to a yield of 7%.

Mr Briant proposes a yield of 6%. He says that factors relevant to yield are location (the most important), the capital value of the property, and the length of the unexpired term (shorter leases tending to attract lower yields). He relies on settlements and on leasehold valuation tribunal decisions other than those which are subject to appeal. He does not accept that settlements should be disregarded as products of the *Delaforce* effect and considers that not only tenants but landlords are prejudiced by delay, the landlords because of the fixed valuation dates in cases under the 1967 Act, since, in the event of an appeal, premiums do not carry interest until 60 days after the appeal is determined.

This narrow issue occupied much of the hearing. We accept that there is an element of inconsistency on yield rates which have been applied by leasehold valuation tribunals in respect of properties in St John's Wood, and we are glad that some guidance may shortly be forthcoming from the Lands Tribunal in the case of 139 Hamilton Terrace, in which, we understand, the only issue was yield and both parties were represented. We consider that the principal factors relevant to yield are location, the value of the property, the length of the unexpired term and lease terms, including ground rent and any provision

for its increase. We accept that all settlements are, as a matter of common sense, likely to be governed by questions of cost, risk and delay, and we also consider that the landlord's interest in establishing a yield rate which may influence other settlements is greater than that of the tenant, who is naturally most interested in his own case. In all the circumstances, including in particular the location of the property in Camden, in a fairly busy road and overlooked from the rear by tower blocks, and the low fixed ground rent, we have concluded that the yield should be 7%.

(iv) Parking Space

The tenant wishes to have the right to park two small cars, one in front of the other, on the drive in front of the garage. Mr Briant says that while the Estate has in practice no objection to her doing so, provided the cars do not obtrude on to the pavement, there is really only space for one car. Having seen the property, we conclude that Mr Briant is correct.

Determination

We therefore conclude that the transfer should contain permission for the tenant to park one car, and that the price for the freehold should be £537,000, in accordance with our valuation which is attached to this decision as appendix D.

CHAIRMAN handuls m

(-6 APR 1998

£382,390

42 QUEEN'S GROVE, LONDON NW8

Valuation as at 14th April 1994/7 Under the provisions of the Leasehold Reform Act 1967 Section 9(1)c as amended by the Leasehold Reform Housing and Urban Development Act 1993

1.	Value of Freeholders Interest Term I		
	Ground Rent	£90 pa	
	YP 37 yrs @ 7%	13.11	£1,180
	Reversion		
	Unimproved Open market	£2.0m	
	Freehold value		
	PV £1 37 yrs @ 7%	.0818	£163,600 £164,780
	Marriage Value		
	Open market Freehold Value	£2.0m	
Less	(i) Freeholders Interest	£164,780	
	(ii) Unimproved Open market Leasehold Value	£1.4m	
	Marriage Value	£435,220	
	Freeholders share at 50%		£217,610

42 Queens G	irove	S 9 (1c)		Append	
Valuation Date	e :		14/04/97		
LEASE TERM					
Lease comme			24/06/35		
Lease to expired Te			24/06/34 37.22		
Ground rent (£90		
Oreand rem ()	,,		200		
FHVP			£2,300,000		
Value of Unde	erlease:		£1,425,000	61.96%	
I AND ODDS	INTEREST				
LANDLORDS Term:	INTEREST.				
Ground Rent:	27.00	00/	£90		7
YP	37.22 @	6%	<u>14.76</u>	C4 220	
Reversion:				£1,329	
FHVP Less im	provements		£2,300,000		
PV £1	37.22 @	6%	0.11		
				£262,945	
Landlords pre	esent interes	t			£264,27
MARRIAGE V	ALUE:				
FHVP (less im	provements).		£2,300,000		
Less			0004.070		
Landlords pres Leasehold Inte		rovements):	£264,273 £1,425,000		
LEASEHOW HILE	1621 (1622 HIID	iovernents):	£1,420,000		
Total Marriage	Value:			£610,727	
Take 50% of M		1			£305,36
	nterest:				

William Andrew Co.

42 QUEEN'S GROVE, LONDON NW8

Schedule of Comparables

ADDRESS	TYPE OF	APPROX.	ACCOMMODATION/AMENITIES	TENURE/	GROUND	PRICE	DISPOSAL
	PROPERTY	A' AREA		LEASE LENGTH	RENT	•	DATE
					Edinth in a		
SUBJECT PROPER	TY						
	1930's 3 storey	227 m²	INTERNAL: 6 bedrooms, dressing room, 4	99 years from	£90 fixed for		Valuation date:
42 QUEEN'S	detached double		bathrooms (2 en suite), sauna/shower room,	24/6/35, expiring	the duration of		14/4/97
GROVE	fronted house	2443 sq. ft	kitchen, study, drawing room, dining room,	24/6/2034	the term.		
	under a tiled		playroom, cloakroom, , utility room.	40 years unexpired.			
	mansard roof		EXTERNAL : Double garage, OSP 1, rear		1		
	 		garden.				
FREEHOLD COM	PARABLES						
	Detached 1930's	358 m ²	INTERNAL: 7 bedrooms, 2 bathrooms, 2 WC's, 4	41 % years	£90ра	£2,200,000 for	April 1997
25 QUEEN'S	low-built house		reception rooms, kitchen, pantry, boiler room.	Unexpired and		L/II interest.	
GROVE	situated on corner of Ordnance Hill.		EXTERNAL: Small garden, OSP 4	FREEHOLD SALE.	Fixed	£450,000 extra	
	Ordnance Hill.		EXTERIVAL: Shian galden, OSF 4			for F/II interest.	
	4 storcy linked	295 m ²	INTERNAL: study, family room, kitchen/ breakfast	PREEHOLD	N/A	£2,600,000	April 1997
123 HAMILTON	detached 1930's	293 III	room, dining room, reception room, utility room, 7	THE BRIGHT	1		
TERRACE	house.	3175 sq ft	bedrooms, 2 bathrooms (1 en suite)				
	Good condition	·	EXTERNAL :Rear garden OSP 4 cors				
	Detached Tudor style	372 m ² Gross	Accommodation currently arranged in three flats. To	FREEHOLD	N/A	£2,500,000	August 1997
2J AVENUE ROAD	house.	Internal Floor	be converted into a single dwelling by the purchasers.				
	l'oor condition.	Area.					
and the second s	4 storey detached	207 m ²	INTERNAL: Entrance half, guest cloakroom, living	FREEHOLD	N/A	£2,300,000	Under Offer
29 ACACIA ROAD	house in need of	į	room, dining room, kitchen, 8 bedrooms, 2		1	İ	October 1997
	internal	2228 sq ft	bathrooms, self contained lower ground floor flat				
	modernisation.		comprising 5 rooms. EXTERNAL · Garage, OSP for 3 cars.				
			EXTERMAL Garage, OSF 107 5 Cars.				
	Double fronted	400 m ²	INTERNAL: 3 reception rooms, kitchen/breakfast	FREEHOLD	N/A	£2,400,000	March 1995
16 AVENUE ROAD	detached house. Poor		room, 8 bedrooms, 4 bathrooms, kitchenette				
	condition.		Separate wing: laundry, bathroom, staff bedroom 8;	-			•
			EXTERNAL : Large carriage driveway providing		1		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	5.11.11	002	ample off-street parking, extensive rear garden. INTERNAL: Reception hall, 3 reception rooms,	FREEHOLD	N/A	£2,100,000	March 1995
33 ELSWORTHY	Detached double fronted house.	287 m ²	kitchen/breakfast room, master suite, 3 further	PRECIOLD	NIM	22,100,000	17101011 1993
ROAD	Required		bedrooms, second bathroom, WC.				
WATER .	modernisation.		Composing normal name of the second				

NITHOUT PRETURNE

42 QUEEN'S GROVE, LONDON NW8

Schedule of Comparables

ADDRESS	TYPE OF PROPERTY	APPROX. A' AREA		TENURE/ LEASE LENGTH	GROUND RENT	PRICE	DISPOSA L DATE
SUBJECT PROPER	TY						
^	1930's 3 storey	227 m ²	INTERNAL: 6 bedrooms, dressing room, 4 bathrooms (2	99 years from	£90 fixed for the		Valuation
42 QUEEN'S	detached double		en suite), sauna/shower room, kitchen, study, drawing	24/6/35,	duration of the term.		date:
GROVE	fronted house	3089 sq. ft	room, dining room, playroom, cloakroom, , utility room.	expiring			14/4/97
	under a tiled		EXTERNAL: Double garage, OSP 1, rear garden.	24/6/2034			
	mansard roof			40 years		}	
,		ĺ		unexpired.			
LEASEHOLD COM	IPARABLES						
	4 storey stucco	326 m ²	INTERNAL: Entrance hall, drawing room and gallery,	46.5 year lease	£600 p.a. subject to	£1,950,000	November
39 QUEENS	fronted detached		kitchen/ breakfast room, family room, study, 6 bedrooms,	from the	review every 21		1996
GROVE	house	3455 sq. ft	dressing room, 5 bathrooms (3 cn suite), steam	25/3/88,	years.		
			room/shower room, utility room, wine store, cloakroom	expiring			
·			EXTERNAL: Single garage, OSP	25/9/2034			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1930's 4 storey	287 m ²	INTERNAL: 6 bedrooms, dressing room, 6 bathrooms (5	99 years from	£90 fixed for the	£1,730,000	October
41 QUEEN'S	detached house		en suite), shower room, kitchen, study, drawing room,	24/6/35_	duration of the term.		1996
GROVE	under a tiled	3089 sq. ft	dining room, bar area, billiard room, family room,	38.75 years			
	mansard roof	•	cloakroom, , utility room.	unexpired.]	
			EXTERNAL: Double garage, OSP 1, rear garden.	j		<u></u> .	

42 QUEEN'S GROVE, LONDON NW8

SCHEDULE OF FREEHOLD COMPARABLES

ADDRESS	TYPE AREA M² "A" / GIA		ACCOMMODATION	TENURE	GROUND RENT	PRICE	DATE OF SALE	
33 Elsworthy Road	Low built detached 3 storey house built circa. 1900	287	444	4 beds, 3 bath, 4 receps, 2nd floor used as Doctors Surgery. Carriage drive, garage, rear garden overlooking Primrose Hill.	F/H		£2.1m	September 1995
37 Queen's Grove	4 storey semi- detached Victorian house	178 187		5 beds, 3 bath, 4 receps, kitchen, 2 cloak/WC. Garage, rear garden, unmodernised.	New 99 year lease	£1,200 pa subject to review in 2\$ yrs to 0.5% of F/H value	£1.385m	July 1996
16 Avenue Road	Large detached house on 3 floors	400	661	8 beds, 4 bath, 5 receps, kitchen/breakfast room, utility, 2 cloak/WC. Double garage, large garden, unmodernised.	F/H		£2.4m	August 1995

42 QUEEN'S GROVE, LONDON NWS

SCHEDULE OF LEASEHOLD COMPARABLES

ADDRESS	TYPE	AREA		ACCOMMODATION	TENURE	GROUND RENT	PRICE	DATE OF SALE
42 Queen's Grove	3 storey detached brick built, 1930's style	227	395	6/7 beds, 2 dressing rooms, 4 bath, 3 receps, kitchen/breakfast room. Garage, o/s parking, rear garden.	37 yrs	£90 pa fixed		Date of valuation 14.4.1997
41 Queen's Grove	3 storey detached brick built house, 1930's style.	288	464	5 beds, 2 dressing rooms, 4 bath, 5 receps, kitchen/ breakfast room, utility room, staff accommodation. Double garage, o/s parking, landscaped rear garden.	37.75 yrs	£90 pa fixed	£1.75m + Benefit of Notice	September 1996
25 Queen's Grove	2 storey detached brick built, 1930's style.	250	446	7 beds, dressing room, 3 bath, 4 receps, kitchen/breakfast room, staff room. Double garage, carriage driveway, small rear garden.	42 yrs	£90 pa fixed	£2.2m + Benefit of Notice	May 1997
39 Queen's Grove	Reconstructed 4 storey detached Victorian style house	337	566	5 beds, 4 bath, 5 receps, staff accommodation. Garage, rear garden.	38 yrs	£600 pa subject to review in 2009 to 1/60th site value	£1.95m	January 1997

42 Queens Grove S9(1c)

Valuation Date: 14/04/97

LEASE TERMS:

Lease commenced: 24/06/35 Lease to expire: 24/06/34 Unexpired Term: 37.22 Ground rent(pa): £90

FHVP 2,300,000 Value of Underlease: 1,412,500

<u>LANDLORDS INTEREST:</u>

<u>Term:</u>

Ground Rent: £90 YP 37. 22 @ 7% 13.134

1,182

Reversion:

FHVP Less improvements: £2,300,000 PV £1 37.22 @ 7% .08063

PV LI 3/,22 & /8 .00003

Landlords Present interest

185,452

MARRIAGE VALUE:

FHVP (less improvements): £2,300,000

Less

Landlords present interest: 186,634

Leasehold Interest

(less improvements): £1,412,500

Total Marriage Value: 700,866

Take 50% of Marriage Value 350,433

Freeholders interest: £537,067

Say £537,000

186,634