R (on the application of Majera (formerly SM (Rwanda)) (AP) (Appellant) v Secretary of State for the Home Department (Respondent)

Case ID: UKSC 2020/0008

Case summary

Issue

Was the Appellant's purported grant of immigration bail by the First-tier Tribunal invalidated from the outset by defects in the Tribunal's order, and if not what are the consequences?

Facts

In this case SM, a Rwandan national, is challenging the Court of Appeal's decision that the purported grant of bail by the Tribunal was void from the outset.

SM served a prison sentence for robbery between 17 December 2005 and 30 March 2015. The Parole Board recommended his release on licence and he was moved into immigration detention. On 30 July 2015 First-tier Tribunal Judge Narayan decided SM could be released on immigration bail. Although no one noticed this at the time, Judge Narayan's order did not comply with the Immigration Act 1971 because it did not require SM to surrender to an immigration officer at a specified time and place or at all.

The Home Secretary imposed restrictions on SM. On a judicial review by SM, Judge Peter Lane held that SM remained on bail granted by Judge Narayan and therefore the Home Secretary's restrictions (save for the restriction prohibiting SM from entering employment paid or unpaid) had no legal effect. On appeal, however, the Court of Appeal decided the defects in Judge Narayan's order made it unlawful from the outset, so SM was never validly bailed and the Home Secretary was free to impose her own restrictions.

Judgment appealed

[2018] EWCA Civ 2770

Parties

Appellant(s)

Sofiane Majera

Respondent(s)

Secretary of State for the Home Department

Appeal

Justices

Lord Reed, Lord Sales, Lord Leggatt, Lord Burrows, Lady Rose

Hearing start date

10 May 2021

Hearing finish date

10 May 2021

Watch hearing

10 May 2021 Morning session Afternoon session

Judgment details

Judgment date

20 October 2021

Neutral citation

[2021] UKSC 46