IN THE PRIVY COUNCIL The second s

No. 12 of 1980

ON APPEAL FROM THE FULL COURT OF THE SUPREME COURT OF

SOUTH AUSTRALIA

BETWEEN

:

MERCANTILE CREDITS LIMITED

- and -

JOHN NICHOLAS COMBLAS and ARSINOI COMBLAS

Appellant

Respondents

----Record

11. 22-24

SUPPLEMENTARY CASE

- _____FOR_THE_APPELLANT______FOR_THE_APPELLANT_____
 - 15A. The Appellant further submits that there is no requirement, either under the general law or under Sec 40(1) (b) of the Consumer Credit Act for the credit contract to contain an express term entitling the lender to recover from the borrower any deficiency which remains outstanding and unpaid following realisation of the lender's security.
- 15B. Clause 9 in the credit contract held by the 10 Full Court to be void for uncertainty did no more than state, or attempt to state, in a p. 127 composite form, what was in any event the legal effect of other terms in the contract, 11. 42-46 namely the borrower's covenants to repay principal and interest, the term entitling p. 126 the borrower to a rebate of interest on 11. 45-46 early payment, and the terms authorising p. 126 the lender to realise the securities and 11. 33-34 receive the net proceeds of such 20 realisation in satisfaction, in whole or . p. 127 in part of its debt. 11. 7-9 p. 127
 - 15C Section 40(1) (a) and (b) of the Consumer Credit Act provides that:

'A credit contract ...

- (a) must be in writing and signed by or on behalf of the consumer,
- (b) must set out the terms and conditions upon which the credit is provided '
- In our submission the decisions on the 15D 30 Moneylenders Act 1927 (U.K.) are in pari materia and support the view that Sec 40 (1) (b) does not import a statutory requirement that the credit contract contain

a deficiency clause. See generally: <u>Holiday Credit Ltd</u> v <u>Erol</u> (1977) 1 WLR 704 (H.L.) <u>Hanyet Securities Ltd</u> v <u>Mallett</u> (1968) 1 WLR 1265 (C.A.)

15E.

p. 127

11. 42-46

Accordingly, even if, contrary to our earlier submissions, Clause 9 of the Truck Agreement is void, such a conclusion should not, in our submission, have resulted in the whole agreement being declared void. For these reasons, we submit that this Appeal should, in any event, be allowed.

10

K.R. HANDLEY