



IN THE PRIVY COUNCIL

No.43 of 1963

## ON APPEAL

FROM THE BRITISH CARIBBEAN COURT OF APPEAL

BETWEEN:

BRITISH GUIANA CREDIT CORPORATION, a body corporate, incorporated by Ordinance, No.13 of 1954 whose Office is situate at Lots 20 and 21 Water Street, Georgetown, Demerara (Defendants) <u>Appellants</u>

- and -

CLEMENT HUGH Da SILVA (Plaintiff) Respondent

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

- 78732

CHARLES RUSSELL & CO., 37 Norfolk Street, London W.C.2. Solicitors for the Appellants. GOODMAN, DERRICK & CO., 30 Bouverie Street, Fleet Street, London E.C.4.

Solicitors for the Respondent.

## ON APPEAL

## FROM THE BRITISH CARIBBEAN COURT OF APPEAL

## BETWEEN:

BRITISH CUIANA CREDIT CORPORATION, a body corporate, incorporated by Ordinance, No.13 of 1954 whose Office is situate at Lots 20 and 21 Water Street, Georgetown Demerara (Defendants)

Appellants

- and -

CLEMENT HUGH Da SILVA (Plaintiff)

Respondent

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

## INDEX OF REFERENCE

No.	Description	Date	Page
	IN THE SUPREME COURT OF BRITISH GUIANA		
1	Writ of Summons	13th December 1960	l
2	Statement of Claim	30th December 1960	3
3	Defence	13th January 1961	10
4	Particulars of Defence	26th January 1961	14
5	Reply	27th January 1961	15
6	Answers of Chairman of Corporation to Inter- rogatories by Plaintiff	26th October 1961	19
7	Judges Notes	28th February 1962	29
8	Agreed statement of facts and documents	lst March 1962	34

No.	Description	Date	Page
9	PLAINTIFF'S EVIDENCE Clement H. Da Silva	lst,2nd,6th and 8th March 1962	36
10	Louis Emile Kranenburg	8th March 1962	61
11	DEFENDANT'S EVIDENCE Jaisar Girdhar	8th March 1962	67
12	Judges Notes of Counsel's Addresses	8th & 12th and 19th March 1962	68
13	Judgment	19th March 1962	75
14	Formal Judgment	19th March 1962	97
15	IN THE FEDERAL SUPREME COURT Notice of Appeal IN THE BRITISH CARIBBEAN COURT OF APPEAL	28th April 1962	98
16	Notice of leave to Respondents to argue grounds of appeal	18th June 1963	105
17	Judgment	19th July 1963	105
18	Order	19th July 1963	132
19	Order granting Conditional leave to appeal to Her Majesty in Council	6th September 1963	133
20	Order granting Final Leave to Appeal to Her Majesty in Council	22nd November 1963	135

EXHIBITS

Exhibit Mark	Description	Date	Page
В	PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBITS Circular of B.G. Credit Corporation for vacancy of General Manager		137

i	i	i	_
_	_	_	•

Exhibit Mark	Description	Date	Page
C	Application by C.H.Da Silva for appointment as General Manager	24th August 1960	150
D	Extract from Minutes of B.G. Credit Corporation	22nd September 1960	155
E	Letter Secretary B.G. Credit Corporation to C.H. Da Silva	26th September 1960	157
F	Letter C.H. Da Silva to B.G. Credit Corporation	3rd October 1960	157
G1	Extract from Minutes of B.G. Credit Corporation	27th October 1960	162
G2	Extract from Minutes of B.G. Credit Corporation	llth November 1960	164
G3	Extract from Minutes of B.G. Credit Corporation	18th November 1960	166
G 4	Extract from Minutes of B.G. Credit Corporation	9th December 1960	172
H	Letter Defendants Solicitors to Chair- man B.G. Credit Corporation	7th December 1960	168
J	Letter Secretary B.G. Credit Corporation to C.H. Da Silva	l4th September 1960	154
K	Letter Financial Secre- tary to Chairman B.G. Credit Corporation	18th October 1960	161
М	Extract from Minutes of B.G.Credit Corporation.	25th November 1960	168
N	Extract from Minutes of B.G.Credit Corporation	26th June 1959	142
0	Certificate Finance Secretariat (Treasury) fixing Salary of General Manager	22nd May 1957	140

Exhibit Mark	Description	Date	Page
P	Letter Financial Secre- tary to C.H. Da Silva	24th March 1961	177
ୟ	Letter Financial Secre- tary to C.H. Da Silva	2nd March 1961	175
R	Letter C.H. Da Silva to Financial Secretary	9th March 1961	176
Sl	Letter C.H. Da Silva to Secretary Public Service Commission	8th December 1960	172
S2	Letter Financial Secre- tary to C.H. Da Silva.	12th January 1961	174
т	Extract from letter Colonial Secretary to B.G. Civil Service Association	7th November 1945	136
υ	Extract from records of New Widows & Orphans' Fund	2nd March 1962	178
Vl	Extract from Minutes of B.G.Credit Corporation.	26th April 1960	145
₩2	Extract from Minutes of B.G.Credit Corporation	loth June 1960	145
٧3	Extract from Minutes of B.G.Credit Corporation	lst July 1960	147
V4	Extract from Minutes of B.G. Credit Corpor- ation	6th September 1960	153
W	Extract from Minutes of B.G.Credit Corporation	29th July 1960	148
x	Letter B.G. Credit Corporation to Manager, Barclays Bank, D.C.O.	22nd April 1960	144
Y	Letter B.G. Credit Corporation to Barclays Bank, D.C.O.	26th February 1958	141
Zl	Order for Payment of Gratuity	7th April 1960	143

Exhibit Mark	Description	Date	Page
<b>Z</b> 2	Receipt for Gratuity	7th April 1960	143
AA	Letter Financial Secretary to Secretary B.G.Credit Corporation	6th April 1961	178
	DEFENDANT'S EXHIBIT		
BB	Extract from Minutes of B.G.Credit Corporation	26th March 1957	139

# DOCUMENTS NOT TRANSMITTED TO THE PRIVY COUNCIL

Description	Date
Exhibit "A" Daily Chronicle Newspaper	6th August 1961
Exhibit "L" Daily Chronicle Newspaper	llth December 1961
Letter Plaintiffs Solicitors to Defendants Solicitors	19th January 1961
Order for Interrogatories	21st March 1961
Order granting leave to Respondents to argue Grounds of Appeal	-
Notice of Intention to make Applica- tion to admit Appeal	_
Petition for Conditional leave to Appeal to Her Majesty in Council and Affidavit in support	-
Notice of Change of Solicitor	-
Notice of Taxation	

# EXHIBITS TRANSMITTED TO THE PRIVY COUNCIL

# BUT NOT REPRODUCED

Exhibit Mark	Description	Date
D	Part of Minutes of meeting of B.G. Credit Corporation confirmed on 27.10.60	22nd September 1960
Gl	Part of Minutes of meeting of B.G. Credit Corporation confirmed on 4.11.60	27th October 1960
G2	Part of Minutes of meeting of B.G. Credit Corporation confirmed on 18.11.60	llth November 1960
G3	Part of Minutes of meeting of B.G. Credit Corporation	18th November 1960
G4	Part of Minutes of meeting of B.G. Credit Corporation confirmed on 6.1.61	20th December 1960
V4	Extract from Minutes of meeting of B.G. Credit Corporation para. 4 sub-sec. (a)	6th September 1960.

IN THE PRIVY COUNCIL

## No.43 of 1963

#### ON APPEAL

FROM THE BRITISH CARIBBEAN COURT OF APPEAL

BETWEEN:

BRITISH GUIANA CREDIT CORPORATION, a body corporate, incorporated by Ordinance, No. 13 of 1954 whose office is situate at lots 20 and 21 Water Street, Georgetown, Demerara (Defendants) <u>Appellants</u>

- and -

CLEMENT HUGH DaSILVA (Plaintiff) Respondent

#### RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

NO. 1

WRIT OF SUMMONS.

1960 No.2079 DEMERARA

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF BRITISH GUIANA

CIVIL JURISDICTION

BETWEEN: CLEMENT HUGH DASILVA ... Plaintiff

- and -

BRITISH GUIANA CREDIT CORPORATION, a body corporate, incorporated by Ordinance, No.13 of 1954 whose office is situate at lots 20 and 21 Water Street, Georgetown, Demerara ... Defendants

ELIZABETH THE SECOND, by the grace of God, of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and of her other realms and territories, Queen, Head of the Commonwealth, Defender of the Faith.

To: British Guiana Credit Corporation, a body

In the Supreme Court of British Guiana

No.l

Writ of Summons 13th December 1960

10

20

No.1

13th December

Writ of

Summons

continued

1960

corporate, incorporated by Ordinance, No. 13 of 1954, whose office is situate at lots 20 and 21 Water Street, Georgetown, in the County of Demerara.

WE COMMAND YOU, that within (10) days after the service of this Writ on you, inclusive of the day of such service, you do cause an appearance to be entered for you in an action at the suit of the abovenamed Plaintiff; AND TAKE NOTICE that in default of your so doing the Plaintiff may proceed therein and judgment may be given in your absence.

Witness the Honourable Joseph Alexander Luckhoo, Chief Justice of the Colony of British Guiana, the 13th day of December, in the year of Our Lord, one thousand nine hundred and sixty.

. . . . . .

N.B. The Defendants may appear hereto by entering an appearance either personally or by Solicitor at the Registry at Georgetown.

## INDORSEMENT OF CLAIM.

The Plaintiffs' claim against the Defendant is for -

(a) a declaration that he is the General Manager of the defendant Corporation and that he is entitled to occupy that post and perform the duties and functions attached to that office by virtue of his appointment made by the Defendants on the 22nd day of September, 1960, and communicated in writing by the Defendants to him on the 26th day of September 1960, and accepted by him in writing on the 3rd day of October, 1960, all at Georgetown, in the county of Demerara and colony of British Guiana.

(b) in the alternative the Plaintiff claims the sum of \$100,000.00 (one hundred thousand dollars) as damages for breach of contract to employ the Plaintiff as the General Manager of the Defendant Corporation, after he was duly appointed and after he accepted such appointment.

(c) such further or other relief as may be just.

20

10

30

(d) Costs.

Evelyn A. Luckhoo Solicitor for the Plaintiff.

This Writ was issued by Evelyn Ada Luckhoo, of and whose address for service and place of business is at the Office of Luckhoo and Luckhoo, Legal Practitioners, of 2, Croal Street, Georgetown, Demerara, Solicitor for the Plaintiff herein, who resides at 64 Brickdam, Georgetown, Demerara, in the Colony of British Guiana.

> Evelyn A. Luckhoo Solicitor for the Plaintiff.

Dated at Georgetown, Demerara, this 13th day of December, 1960.

> AUTHORITY TO ACT AS SOLICITOR IS HEREWITH FILED.

> > <u>No.2</u>

(Title as No.1)

STATEMENT OF CLAIM.

No.2

Statement of Claim 30th December 1960

1. The Plaintiff is the Deputy Financial Secretary of the Colony of British Guiana.

- (a) He has obtained the following certificates, namely, Cambridge School Certificate (Hons.), (Distinction and 6 Credits), Associate Member of the Chartered Institute of Secretaries, Intermediate Certificate of the Association of Certified and Corporated Accountants.
- 30 (b) He received the following training, namely, a residential course of one year at Oxford University, which forms part of the course for the B. Litt. degree in Public Finance and the techniques of loan-capital and fixed capital development.
  - (c) He has the following experience: Public

() e ert e

In the Supreme Court of British Guiana

No.l

Writ of Summons 13th December 1960 continued

20

No.2

Statement of Claim 30th December 1960 continued administration including service in senior administrative posts such as Private Secretary to the Governor, Clerk to the Legislative Council, Clerk to the Executive Council, District Commissioner, Chief Establishment Officer.

- (d) His present duties include the assessing of industrial proposals for tax and customs duties, concessions and the pre- 10 paration of the Colony's 1960 - 1964 Development Programme.
- (e) He is also familiar with the economic development's proposals of the country and the Government's policy, and has dealt successfully with overseas bodies such as the Colonial Office, the United Nations Social Fund and the International Bank for Reconstruction and Development.
- (f) He has also been responsible for appraising the effects of the various Government Development Schemes on the economic development of British Guiana and has done this in respect of very large drainage and irrigation and land development projects.

2. The Defendants are a body corporate incorporated by Ordinance No.13 of 1954, with registered office at lots 20-21 Water Street, Georgetown, in the county of Demerara and colony of British Guiana.

3. By notice appearing in the daily newspapers of this Colony and in the West Indies in the month of August, 1960, the Defendants announced the vacancy of the post of General Manager for their Corporation, invited applications to fill the said vacancy and intimated that a copy of particulars could be obtained on application. The Plaintiff verbally requested and obtained from the Defendants' secretary a copy of the particulars which contained the terms and conditions of employment, and which inter alia stated:

(a) that the post carried a salary of

20

30

\$11,280.00 per annum, a free partly furnished house and leave facilities in accordance with Government's General Order.

- (b) that leave passages would be paid to a maximum of \$2,500.00;
- (c) that motor car allowance would be provided;
- (d) that the appointment will normally be for three years in the first instance.

4. The Plaintiff accordingly submitted an application dated 24th August, 1960, to the Defendants addressed to the Defendants' Chairman in which he offered himself for appointment to fill the said vacancy as Defendants' General Manager supplying his qualifications, training and experience.

5. On Tuesday the 22nd September, 1960, at a properly constituted meeting of the Defendants' Board under item "appointment of General Manager vice W.G. Carmichael" all applications which had been received as a result of the advertisements published locally and in the West Indies as aforesaid were carefully considered and the Plaintiff was unanimously selected for the said post as their General Manager. The Defendants further decided that the Plaintiff be notified of his said appointment and that all unsuccessful applicants be notified that the vacancy had been filled.

6. By letter dated the 26th September, 1960, addressed to the Plaintiff and signed by the Secretary of the Defendants' Board the Defendants communicated to the Plaintiff the fact that he was appointed their General Manager on the terms and conditions as advertised.

7. The Plaintiff duly acknowledged and confirmed the said appointment by letter to the Defendants dated 3rd October, 1960, that he was appointed their General Manager on the terms and conditions as advertised.

8. At a properly constituted meeting of the Defendants' Board held on 27th October, 1960,

In the Supreme Court of British Guiana

No.2

Statement of Claim 30th December 1960 continued

20

10

30

No.2

Statement of Claim 30th December 1960 continued under item "appointment of General Manager" the Plaintiff's aforesaid letter dated 3rd October, 1960, was read and noted at the said meeting. The minutes of the previous meeting held on the 22nd September, 1960, were read by the Secretary of the Board and confirmed by the Chairman.

9. The Plaintiff has received no further communication from the Defendants but by virtue of his being a member of the Defendants' Board he received later in November, 1960, a copy of the minutes of the meeting of the Defendants' Board held on the 11th November, 1960, and then for the first time he became aware of efforts to replace him by another person for the post of General Manager.

10

20

30

40

10. The Plaintiff is a member of Defendants' Corporation having been appointed by the Governor as the Official member of the Board by virtue of being the holder of the Office of Deputy Financial Secretary. The Plaintiff as a member of the said Corporation never participated in the consideration of any matters relating to the appointment of the General Manager and never voted or took part in any ' discussions relating to the said appointment, but withdrew from the meeting whenever there was any consideration of the said post of General Manager.

11. By letter dated 7th December, 1960, written by Solicitors on behalf of the Plaintiff to the Defendants, the Plaintiff claimed that he was the duly appointed General Manager of the British Guiana Credit Corporation, that he was ready and willing to take over and assume the responsibilities of his post within a reasonably short time, and that he was treating and regarding himself as the duly appointed General Manager. To this letter the Plaintiff has received no reply.

12. Following upon the Defendants' aforesaid letter of appointment of the 26th September, 1960, the Plaintiff did the following to his detriment:-

(a) on the 16th October, 1960, he made the usual application for the permission of

6.

the Government of British Guiana to retire from the Public Service with knowledge that such permission would follow automatically upon the application by any Senior Administrative Officer who had reach the age of 50 years as he had done;

- (b) the Plaintiff rented his home at Lama Avenue, Bel Air, Park, for an indefinite period, since under the terms of his appointment as Defendants' General Manager, the Defendants provided a free partly furnished house which the Plaintiff accepted;
- (c) the Plaintiff arranged his business and domestic affairs to fit in with his retirement from the Government and the taking up of his new appointment as Defendants' General Manager;
- (d) the Plaintiff formally sought permission to retire from the Civil Service of British Guiana only because of his appointment as Defendants' General Manager. In so doing, he would lose the benefits which would have accrued to him if his retirement instead had been effected at a later age, namely, 55 years of age. The said benefits consist of increased pension, gratuity and the like.

13. The Defendants in breach of their agreement as aforestated to employ the Plaintiff as their General Manager, purported to appoint someone else to the said post in or about November, 1960.

14. The Plaintiff's appointment was already known in and out of this Colony. The Defendants permitted and/or acquiesced in announcements in the Press and over the Radio in or about December ..... 1960 that the said vacancy had been filled and the further announcement of a name to fill the said vacancy which was not the name of the Plaintiff.

15. The Plaintiff has suffered much humiliation embarrassment and loss of reputation as a

7.

In the Supreme Court of British Guiana

No.2

Statement of Claim 30th December 1960 continued

20

10

30

Statement of Claim 30th December 1960 continued result of the aforesaid announcements, and would be adversely affected if someone else is allowed to perform the functions and duties of the General Manager of the Defendants, after he the Plaintiff had been appointed by the Defendants so to do and after the establishment, as aforesaid, of a contract between himself and the Defendants so to do.

16. The Plaintiff will suffer substantial damages if he is deprived of the benefits of his contract with the Defendants to serve as the General Manager of their Corporation.

17. The Plaintiff therefore claims against the Defendants:

- (a) a declaration that he is the General Manager of the Defendants' Corporation and that he is entitled to occupy that post and perform the duties and functions attached to that office by virtue of his appointment made by the Defendants on the 22nd day of September, 1960, and communicated in writing by the Defendants to him on the 26th day of September, 1960, and confirmed by him in writing on the 3rd day of October, 1960, all at Georgetown, in the County of Demerara and colony of British Guiana;
- (b) in the alternative the Plaintiff claims the sum of \$100,000.00 (One hundred thousand dollars) as damages for breach of contract to employ the Plaintiff as the General Manager of the Defendants' Corporation, after his offer so to serve, his appointment by the Defendants as such and his subsequent confirmation thereof;
- (c) an injunction restraining the Defendants their servants and/or agents from filling the said vacancy by means of a person other than the Plaintiff;
- (d) such further or other relief as may be just;
- (e) Costs.
- 18. Particulars of special damages which the

20

10

30

No.2

	Plaintiff will suffer and which is being claimed in the alternative under paragraph 17(b):	In the Supreme Court of British Guiana
	To loss of contract for minimum period of 3 years at \$11,280.00 per annum \$33,840.00	No.2 Statement of
10	To loss of free house partly furnished house provided by Defendants and presently rented at \$225.00 per month, for a period of 3 years 8,100.00	Claim 30th December 1960 continued
	To loss of leave passages 2,500.00	
	To loss consequent on premature 13,550.00	
	\$57,990.00	
	General damages (claimed under paragraph 17(b) 42,010.00	
20	Total damages claimed under paragraph 17(b) if Plaintiff is denied his appointment as General Manager \$ <u>100,000.00</u>	
	Evelyn A. Luckhoo Solicitor for the Plaintiff.	
	Edward V. Luckhoo Lionel Luckhoo Of Counsel Of Counsel	
	To: The abovenamed Defendants	
	and	
30	To: H.W. DeFreitas, Esq. their Solicitor, of High Street, Georgetown, Demerara.	
	Dated at Georgetown, Demerara, this 30th day of December, 1960.	

No.3

Defence 13th January, 1961 (1) Save as is hereinafter expressly admitted, the Defendants deny each and every allegation of fact in the Statement of Claim as if the same were set forth herein seriatim and specifically traversed.

(2) Paragraph 2 of the Statement of Claim is admitted.

(3) Except that they say that the notices in the newspapers stated that the Defendants wished to consider applications by suitably qualified persons for appointment as General Manager, the Defendants admit the allegations contained in paragraph 3 of the Statement of Claim.

(4) As regards paragraph 4 of the Statement of Claim, the Defendants admit that the Plaintiff applied for appointment as General Manager of the Corporation.

(5) So far as paragraph 5 of the Statement of Claim is concerned, the Defendants deny that the meeting of 22nd September, 1960, was properly constituted or that the Plaintiff was unanimously selected as General Manager. No Secretary was present when the said appointment was being discussed. The voting procedure was irregular. The Defendants will contend that the decisions taken at the said meeting were invalid.

(6) As regards paragraph 6 of the Statement of Claim, the Defendants say that by letter dated 26th September, 1960, the Defendants' secretary informed the Plaintiff that he was selected for appointment on the terms and conditions as advertised and requested to be informed as early as possible how soon he would be able to take up the appointment.

(7) The Defendants expressly deny the allegations of paragraph 7 of the Statement of Claim. The Plaintiff wrote to the Defendants on 3rd October, 1960, as follows: 20

10

No.3

(Title as No.1)

DEFENCE

"I enclose a draft agreement of service which I shall enter in with the Corporation. I accept the appointment."

The Plaintiff enclosed a draft agreement with and attached schedule that introduced the following provisions that either differed substantially from the terms and conditions of the Corporation's advertisement or were absent from the said advertisement:-

- (a) The engagement of the Plaintiff for a period of six years' resident service comprising two tours of three years each.
  - (b) an allowance in lieu of a free, partlyfurnished house;
  - (c) determination of the Plaintiff's employment by twelve months' notice in writing by the Defendants or the payment to him of six months' salary;
- (d) determination of the Plaintiff's employment by the Plaintiff, at any time after the expiration of three months' residential service, by the giving of three months' notice in writing by the Plaintiff or on payment by the Plaintiff of one month's salary to the Defendants:
  - (e) provisions as to further employment; and
  - (f) provisions in case of ill-health. The Plaintiff also intimated that he intended to apply for release from the Government.

(8) In reply to paragraph 8 of the Statement of Claim, the Defendants say that the attempted confirmation on 27th October, 1960, of the minutes of the meeting of 22nd September, 1960, was a nullity as all the members present at the later meeting, except the Chairman, opposed their confirmation.

(9) The Defendants deny that the Plaintiff
 40 learnt only late in November, 1960, that another person was being appointed General Manager. The

In the Supreme Court of British Guiana

No.3

Defence 13th January, 1961 continued

20

10

No.3

Defence 13th January, 1961 continued Plaintiff was aware since 27th October, 1960, that the recommendation of his name as General Manager had been considered by the Governor-in-Council and that as a result the Defendants had been requested by the Financial Secretary to re-examine the said recommendations as the Government was anxious that the best person available should be obtained for the post of General Manager.

(10) The Corporation with the approval of the Governor-in-Council made an appointment of a well qualified person to the post of General Manager on 16th December, 1960.

(11) As regards paragraph 10 of the Statement of Claim, the Defendants say that the Plaintiff assisted in the drafting of the advertisement for the said post.

(12)With reference to paragraph 11 of the Statement of Claim, the Defendants admit that they received a letter dated 7th December, 1960, written by Messrs. Luckhoo & Luckhoo on behalf of the Plaintiff. That letter stated inter alia that on the 3rd October, 1960, the Plaintiff had by letter accepted the appointment of General Manager and that on the basis of the offer which had been made by the Defendants on the 26th September, 1960, and following his acceptance the Plaintiff treated his appointment The Plainas being truly made and effected. tiff's solicitors never stated that the Plaintiff was ready and willing to serve as General Manager in accordance with the terms and conditions of the Defendants' advertisement,

(13) The Defendants deny the allegation in paragraph 12 of the Statement of Claim that permission for retirement from the Public Service follows automatically upon the application by any Senior Administrative Officer. The Plaintiff stated in his application that he was 48 years of age.

(14) Further in reply to paragraph 12 of the Statement of Claim, the Defendants say that the Plaintiff rented his house in anticipation of and not in consequence of his alleged appointment. In May, 1960, the Plaintiff began 20

10

30

negotiations through the Ministry of Communications and Works for the letting of his house at Lama Avenue and his house was occupied by tenants from 11th July, 1960. Moreover the Plaintiff is in a position to withdraw his request for permission for release or retirement from the Civil Service.

As regards paragraph 13 of the Statement (15)of Claim, the Defendants say that there was no consensus ad idem between the Plaintiff and them, 10 and will submit that the Plaintiff's letter to them dated 3rd October, 1960, amounted to a refusal of the terms and conditions comprised in the advertisement and a counter-offer of his own which was never accepted by the Defendants. Alternatively, the Plaintiff repudiated theterms and conditions on which he was selected for appointment as General Manager by introducing an addition and variation of terms contrary 20 to the wishes of the Defendants.

(16) As regards paragraph 14 of the Statement of Claim, the Defendants say that they did not make any announcement that the Plaintiff was appointed General Manager.

(17) The Defendants deny paragraph 15 of the Statement of Claim, and say that they did not cause the Plaintiff any humiliation, embarrassment or loss of reputation and that such an allegation is unnecessary and misleading and is not a permissible head of damage in this type of action.

(18) The particulars of special damage pleaded in paragraph 18 of the Statement of Claim are not admitted.

(19) The Defendants will contend that any purported selection of the Plaintiff for appointment as General Manager of the Plaintiff's Corporation was invalid and bad in law because:-

- (a) The advertisements for appointments were inserted in the newspapers in August, 1960, without the prior approval of the Governor-in-Council.
- (b) The Secretary of the Corporation was not

In the Supreme Court of British Guiana

No.3

Defence 13th January, 1961 continued

30

No.3

Defence 13th January, 1961 continued legally entitled to write the letter of 26th September, 1960, to the Plaintiff.

- (c) No approval of the purported appointment of the Plaintiff as a Civil Servant by the Governor-in-Council was ever obtained by the Defendants; and
- (d) The Plaintiff's alleged appointment was ultra vires the provisions of the British Guiana Credit Corporation Ordinance, No.13 of 1954.

Dated the 13th day of January, 1961.

David	đe	Caires	В.О	. Adams
SOI	'ICI	TOR.	OF	COUNSEL.

No.4

Particulars of Defence 26th January 1961

## <u>NO.4</u>

### (Title as No.1)

PARTICULARS OF DEFENCE

## PARTICULARS REQUESTED BY PLAINTIFF'S SOLICITOR BY LETTER DATED 19TH JANUARY, 1961.

### UNDER PARAGRAPH 5

(1) Meeting of 22nd September, 1960, was not properly constituted as no Secretary to the meeting was present. 20

10

(2) The voting procedure was irregular because although voting was by secret ballot, there was no returning officer and no check was made of the voting.

(3) The decisions taken at the said meeting of the 22nd September, 1960, were invalid because the meeting was not properly constituted and the voting procedure was irregular and because of the other allegations in the defence.

(4) The Defendants are not in a position to say how many votes the Plaintiff received.

## UNDER PARAGRAPH 6

Letter of 26th September, 1960, was written after the invalid decisions of the meeting of 22nd September, 1960.

## UNDER PARAGRAPH 8

10

Name of person present at the meeting of 22nd September, 1960 who opposed the confirmation of the minutes of the meeting of 22nd September, 1960, was Gobin Biragie. All other persons present at the meeting of 27th October, 1960, except the Chairman had been appointed as members of the Board subsequent to 22nd September, 1960. The said opposition was not recorded in writing in the minutes of 27th October, 1960.

UNDER PARAGRAPH 11

It is alleged that the Plaintiff prepared the first draft of the advertisement for the said post.

20 Georgetown, Demerara,

Dated this 26th January, 1961.

B.O. Adams OF COUNSEL.

S. Narain SOLICITOR TO DEFENDANTS.

> NO.5 (Title as No.1)

> > REPLY

REPLY

30

1. The Plaintiff joins issue with the Defendants on their defence.

2. The Plaintiff will contend the Defendants' statement of defence does not provide any excuse or reason legally or otherwise for In the Supreme Court of British Guiana

No.4

Particulars of Defence 26th January 1961 continued

No.5

Reply 27th January, 1961

No.5

Reply 27th January, 1961 continued a denial of the Plaintiff's claim.

3. The Plaintiff expressly denies that he repudiated any terms and conditions on which he was selected and appointed as General Manager of the Defendants' Corporation and/or that he introduced any addition and/or variation of any terms contrary to the wishes of the Defendants.

The Plaintiff did "enclose" a draft 4. agreement of service which was submitted to the Defendants for their consideration in as much as all senior appointments of this class carry a written agreement of service, which draft was based on the standard Colonial Office and Crown Agents form. The same was intended to be and was understood to be no more than an aid submitted for the benefit of the Defendants in assisting them to draw up a formal contract of service, which the Plaintiff considered that the Defendants would wish to do having regard to what was done in the past. The Defendants knew and understood that they could utilise so much of Plaintiff's suggestions in the said draft as they might wish to use. For example (a) under paragraph 6 of the published terms it is stated "The appointment is non-pensionable and will normally be for 3 years in the first instance but the duration of the initial contract is subject to a variation to meet individual circumstances." The Plaintiff in consequence of the said provision merely suggested in his draft that the contract might be for 2 tours of 3 years each; (b) Paragraph 5 of the published terms, states: - "That the post carried a free, partly furnished house and leave facilities in accordance with the Government General Orders and Regulations in force at the time." According to the Government's General Order 209, "A house allowance is an allowance granted to an Officer who is entitled by virtue of the appointment he holds to free quarters but for whom quarters are not avail-The Plaintiff in consequence suggested able". an allowance in lieu of a free partly furnished house, intending this to be an alternative if the house was not available. At no time did the Defendants ever treat or consider the Plaintiff's suggestion as being a counter offer,

10

20

30

and at no time did the Defendants ever inform the Plaintiff that his suggestion amounted to a repudication or variation of the complete and binding contract of service.

5. The Plaintiff will object that the Defendants are estopped from challenging or impugning the validity of the Plaintiff's appointment as General Manager, having regard to the fact that the same was made by them, duly recorded in their minutes, duly communicated to the Plaintiff and duly accepted and/or confirmed by the Plaintiff.

6. The Plaintiff will object that the Defendants are estopped from saying and/or ought not to be admitted to say what they have said paragraphs 5, 8, 15 and 19 of the statement of defence, having regard to the conduct of the Defendants as set out in the Plaintiff's Statement of Claim and having regard to Defendants' own defence.

7. At all material times the Defendant well knew and understood that the Flaintiff was ready and willing and able to take up his appointment as General Manager within a reasonable time and/or as soon as he was released by the Government, to which release he was entitled.

The Plaintiff will contend that the 8. Defendants are incorporated under law and are 30 charged to perform their functions independently of political influences; that it is their duty and function to appoint a General Manager without the necessity of approval of the Governor-in-Council; that it is not a condition precedent to the appointment of a General Manager that the Governor-in-Council should approve prior thereto; that it would be contrary to the express provision of section 6 of Ordinance No.13 of 1954, to treat an act of 40 appointment of General Manager by the Defendants as a recommendation to the Governor-inthat in the instant case political Council; influences and pressure have been brought to seek to upset the Plaintiff's firm appointment and instead to seek to secure a substituted appointment by one who had been rejected by

In the Supreme Court of British Guiana

No.5

Reply 27th January, 1961 continued

20

No.5

Reply 27th January, 1961 continued the Defendants when the Plaintiff was selected.

9. The Plaintiff's experience, qualification and suitability was fully considered on the 22nd September, 1960, by the Defendants, constituted by a Board of the following Members, Mr. J.H. McB. Moore, O.B.Z., Chairman, Mr. W.M. Green; Deputy Chairman, Mr. Vincent Roth, O.B.E., Mr. C.P.B. Melbourne, M.B.E., Mr. Hamid Rahaman, Mr. E.C. Rodrigues, 10 Mr. Gobin Biragie, who after deliberation selected and appointed the Plaintiff as General Manager. Subsequent to the 22nd September, 1960, that is to say on or about the 26th September, 1960, the constitution of Defendants' Board was altered, the following Members were not re-appointed, W.M. Green, Vincent Roth, O.B.E., C.P.B. Melbourne, M.B.E., Hamid Rahaman, E.G. Rodrigues. The following new Members were appointed, Peter Anderson, 20 Joseph Jardim, Ivan Remington, Oswald Fisher, Jacob Bowman, Montaz Ali. The Defendants' Board with the newly appointed Members without legal excuse or justification were encouraged and influenced by the Governor-in-Council to interfere and did interfere with the valid appointment made by their predecessors in Office in breach of contract with the Plaintiff and to his detriment.

> Evelyn A. Luckhoo SCLICITOR FOR THE PLAINTIFF.

> > Edward V. Luckhoo OF COUNSEL.

Lionel Luckhoo S. Rahaman OF COUNSEL OF COUNSEL

Dated this 27th day of January, 1961.

To: The above named Defendants,

– and –

To: Sase Narain, Esq., 217 South Street, Lacytown, Georgetown.

40

## <u>No. 6</u>

## (Title as No.1)

## ANSWERS OF CHAIRMAN OF CORPORATION TO INTERROGATORIES BY PLAINTIFF.

I. JOHN HILTON MCBEAN MOORE of 274 Peter Rose Street, Queenstown, Georgetown, British Guiana, being duly sworn make oath and say:-Question 1 - Was a notice sent out dated 14th 1961 September, 1960, inviting members to attend a meeting of the 10 British Guiana Credit Corporation to be held at Head Office at 9.30 a.m. on Thursday 22nd September, 1960, to consider the applications received for the vacant post of General Manager of the Corporation? Answer: Yes. Question 2 - Was the Notice in (1) above 20 signed by the Secretary? Yes. Answer: Question 3 - Was the meeting held on the said 22nd September, 1960? Answer: Yes. Question 4 - Were you Chairman of the Meeting? How many members were present? Answer: - I was Chairman of the Meeting. Seven Members were present. Question 5 - Was the Meeting properly con-30 ducted? Answer: - Yes. Question 6 - Were there any irregularities at the Meeting? Did you consider that there were any irregularities? If so what were they?

In the Supreme Court of British Guiana

### No.6

Answers of Chairman of Corporation to Interrogatories by Flaintiff. 26th October 1961

In the Supreme Court of British Guiana				To whose attention were they brought? Is there any record made of any such irregularities? If so where?	
No.6 Answers of Chairman of Corporation to	Answer:			There were no irregularities at the meeting. I do not consider that there were any irregulari- ties.	
Interrogatories by Plaintiff 26th October	Question	7		How many applicants were there for the post of General Manager?	10
1961 continued	Answer:			Twenty-six.	
	Question	8	-	Were all of these placed before the Board?	
	Answer:			Yes.	
	Question	9		Was the Secretary of the Corpora- tion an applicant?	
	Answer:			Yes.	
	Question	10	-	Was he (the Secretary) present when the Meeting commenced?	
	Answer:			Yes.	20
	Question	11	-	Did he leave and/or was he asked to withdraw from the Meeting when the item of General Manager's appointment was being considered? If the Secretary left, why did he leave? Who asked him to do so? Did any member of the Board object to the withdrawal of the Secretary from the Meeting? If there was any objection was this recorded? If so where?	30
	Answer:			I arranged with the Secretary previous to the Meeting that when the item of the appointment of General Manager was to be consid- ered he would withdraw from the meeting. As arranged he withdrew when the item was reached. There was no objection to the Secretary's withdrawal.	40

- Question 12 Were all the applications carefully considered? Were they by elimination reduced to three or if they were reduced, then to what number?
- Answer: All of the applications were carefully considered. They were by elimination reduced to three.
- Question 13 How was the selection made? If by ballot was each member given a 10 paper on which to write the name of his choice?
  - Answer: After elimination of all but three the final selection was made by ballot. Each member was given a paper on which to write the name of his choice.
  - Question 14 How many ballot papers were distributed to the members? How many ballot papers were returned? Did each member record his selection?
    - Answer: Seven ballot papers were distributed to the members and seven were returned. Each member recorded his selection.
    - Question 15 Did you receive the papers from members?

Answer: Yes.

- Question 16 Did you count them? 30 Was the number in order when compared with the number of members present?
  - Answer: I counted them and the number was in order when compared with the number of members present.
  - Question 17 Did you open the ballot papers? Did the Deputy Chairman, Mr.W.M. Green assist you in checking the popers and the votes received by each of the persons whose names

In the Supreme Court of British Guiana

## No.6

Answers of Chairman of Corporation to Interrogatories by Plaintiff 26th October 1961 continued

20

## No.6

Answer of Chairman of Corporation to Interrogatories by Plaintiff 26th October 1961 continued

- were put forward for final consideration?
- Answer: I opened the ballot papers and Mr. W.M. Green, Deputy Chairman, assisted me in checking the papers and the votes for each of the persons whose names were put forward for final consideration.
- Question 18 What were the results? How many votes did each applicant re- 10 ceive, that is, of the final names of applicants considered by the Board?
- Answer: Mr. I. Persaud received two votes and Mr. C.H. DaSilva five votes.
- Question 19 If there were three applicants finally put forward for selection for the post of General Manager, were they not the Plaintiff, Mr. G.E. Luck and Mr. I. Persaud? If so, how many votes did each receive?
- Answer: Yes, the Plaintiff, Mr. G.E. Luck and Mr. I. Persaud. The Plaintiff received five votes and Mr. Persaud two votes. Mr. Luck did not receive a vote.
- Question 20 Did you announce the results of the ballot? Did the Board then acquiesce that the Plaintiff was selected? Was there any opposition to this? If so by whom and is the same recorded? If so, where?
- Answer: I announced the result of the ballot and the Board acquiesced that the Plaintiff was selected. There was no opposition.
- Question 21 Was the Secretary called in? Was he notified as to the person selected for the post of General Manager?

20

30

- In the Supreme Answer: Yes. He was notified of the Plaintiff's selection after the Court of British Guiana meeting was concluded. Question 22 - Did you make notes of what had No.6 transpired? Answer of Answer: I made no notes. Chairman of Question 23 - Did you prepare the minutes relating to the record of what had by Plaintiff transpired? If you did not, did 26th October you convey the information to the Secretary? Did you see the draft 1961 Did you see the draft continued minutes before they were cyclo-Did you approve that styled? they should be so circulated? If not, did you make any changes and if any changes were made, what changes? Answer: I saw the draft minutes of the
- meeting of the 22nd September, 1960, prepared by the Secretary but there was no reference to what transpired regarding the selection of a General Manager. At the Secretary's request I drafted the paragraph relating to what transpired and gave it to him for inclusion in the minutes. The Minutes as cyclostyled were not circulated.
- Question 24 Were the minutes of the Meeting of 30 the 22nd September, 1960, as circulated, true and correct?
  - Answer: The minutes of the meeting of the 22nd September, 1960, were not circulated as the term of office of all members had expired. The minutes confirmed at the meeting of the 27th October, 1960, are a true and correct record.
- Question 25 Did anyone at the Meeting of the 40 22nd September make any complaint and/or objection and/or offer any opposition to anything which had

Corporation to Interrogatories

20

In the Supreme been done? If so was any such Court of objection or complaint recorded, British Guiana if recorded - where? Answer: There was neither complaint, No.6 objection or opposition by any member. Answer of Chairman of Question 26 - Was the selection of the Plain-Corporation to tiff on the 22nd September, 1960, Interrogatories for the post of General Manager, by Plaintiff properly made by the members of 26th October the Board on that date? 1961 this a decision of the Board? continued Answer: Yes and it was a decision of the Board. Question 27 - Did you subsequent to the meeting of the 22nd September, 1960, telephone the Plaintiff and inform him that his application was successful? Did you congratulate him verbally? Answer: I do not remember telephoning the Plaintiff but I did inform him verbally in the afternoon of the 22nd September, 1960, that his application was successful and I might have congratulated him. Question 28 - Did you on the said 22nd September, 1960 inform the Financial Secretary or cause him to be informed that the British Guiana Credit Corporation had appointed the Plaintiff as General Manager, and/or selected the Plaintiff for appointment as General Manager? I informed the Financial Secre-Answer: tary on the 22nd September, 1960, that the British Gulana Credit Corporation had selected the Plaintiff as General Manager.

Question 29 - Did you instruct the Secretary

24.

20

10

Was

30

to write the letter of 26th September, 1960, to the Plaintiff informing him that he was selected for appointment?

#### No.6

Corporation to

Interrogatories

Answer of

Chairman of

by Plaintiff

26th October

continued

1961

Question 30 - Mid you see the said letter of 26th September, 1960, written to the Plaintiff, in draft, before it was written by the Secretary and/ or did you approve of the said letter which was written by the said Secretary? Did you instruct the Secretary to write the Financial Secretary informing him of the Plaintiff's selection as General Manager?

Yes.

Answer: I did not see the letter of the 26th September, 1960, addressed to the Plaintiff in draft, but approved of it before issue. I also instructed the Secretary to inform the Financial Secretary of the Plaintiff's selection.

Question 31 - Did the said meeting of the 22nd September, 1960, after selection of the Plaintiff for appointment, decide to inform all other applicants that the post of General Manager was filled? If there was such a decision, was it minuted? If so where? Were all of the other applicants so informed by letter? Was one Eton Luck the present alleged holder of the Office of General Manager one of the unsuccessful candidates? Was he so informed?

Answer: The meeting of the 22nd September, 1960, decided that all Unsuccessful applicants be notified that the position had been filled. It was recorded in the minutes of the said meeting. Twenty three of the unsuccessful applicants were informed by letter dated 27th September,

20

10

Answer:

30

In the Supreme Court of British Guiana

#### No.6

Answer of Chairman of Corporation to Interrogatories by Plaintiff 26th October 1961 continued

The other two, employees of 1960. the Corporation were informed orally. Mr. Luck was one of the unsuccessful candidates who was informed by letter.

- Question 32 Was the next Meeting of the British Guiana Credit Corporation after the 22nd September, 1960, held on the 27th October, 1960? At this Meeting were the minutes of 22nd Septembar, 1960, read by the Secretary?
  - Yes. Answer: Yes.
  - Question 33 Was there any objection to these said minutes of 22nd September, 1960? If so by whom? How was the objection made orally or in writing? If in writing identify the writing. Did you note them? Did the Secretary note them? If not, why not?
  - Answer: There was no objection to the minutes of the meeting of the 22nd September, 1960, by anyone either orally or in writing. Of those present at the meeting of the 27th October, 1960, only Biragie and myself were present at the meeting of the 22nd September, 1960.
  - Question 34 Did you confirm the minutes of the 30 22nd September, 1960, and sign them Were you satisfied as Chairman? that the minutes of the said meeting represented a true and accurate record of the said meeting of the 22nd September, 1960?

Answer: Yes. Yes.

Question 35 - At the Meeting of the 27th October, 1960, was the Plaintiff's letter dated 3rd October, 1960, read. 40 accepting the Board's offer to him of the post of General Manager? Was a letter dated 18th October, 1960,

20

Board re-examine the matter of

in the Plaintiff's letter dated

3rd October, 1960, ever consider-

where? If there was a considera-

tion of the same was it minuted?

If so, when?

If so,

the appointment?

Question 36 - Was the draft agreement enclosed

ed by the Board?

If so, when?

Was any record made?

In the Supreme Court of British Guiana

#### No.6

Corporation to

by Plaintiff

26th October

continued

1961

Interrogatories

Answer of Chairman of

Answer: Yes. Yes.

10

20

30

40

Answer: No.

Question 37 - Was there any fresh advertisement for General Manager? Did Mr.Luck renew his application? Were there by writing or orally any instructions and/or suggestions given the Board that the formerly rejected applicant should be appointed? When was the alleged decision to appoint Mr. Luck made by the British Guiana Credit Corporation? Was this decision communicated to him by the Board, if so, when and in what terms?

Answer: There were no fresh advertisements for General Manager. Mr.Luck did not renew his application. There were no instructions either by writing or orally and/or suggestions given the Board, as to who should be appointed. The decision that Mr. Luck should be appointed was taken by the British Guiana Credit Corporation at its meeting on the 11th November, 1960. The decision was not communicated to Mr. Luck by the Board until the 16th December, 1960, and in accordance with the terms of the Financial Secretary's letter of the 12th December, 1960.

In the Supreme Court of British Guiana No.6	Question 38	8 –	Prior to the commencement of this action, did the British Guiana Credit Corporation ever give writt- en or oral notice to the Plaintiff that he was no longer the appointed General Manager?	
Answer of Chairman of Corporation to Interrogatories by Plaintiff 26th October 1961 continued	Answer:		No	
	Question 39	9 -	Did the British Guiana Credit Corporation ever withdraw and/or revoke and/or amend the letter of 26th September, 1960? If so, when? Was this communicated to the Plaintiff? If so was this done in writing or orally? If in writing, identify the document.	10
	Answer:		No.	
	Question 40	0 -	Has any excuse and/or explanation ever been made by the British Guiana Credit Corporation to the Plaintiff for purporting to appoint someone else whilst he was the duly selected and appointed General Manager.	20
	Answer:		No.	
	J.H. McB. Moore.			
	Sworn to at Georgetown, Demerara, this 26th day of October, 1961.			
	BEFORE ME			
F.I. Dias				
		A (	COMMISSIONER OF OATHS TO AFFIDAVITS.	30

28.

36¢ Stamp cancelled.

## 29.

## <u>No.7</u>

#### JUDGES NOTES

CLEMENT H. Da SILVA

- V -

BRITISH GUIANA CREDIT CORPORATION

Counsel and/or Solicitor for Plaintiff - L.A. Luckhoo, Q.C. with C. Lloyd Luckhoo, Q.C., E.V.Luckhoo, John Carter and S. Rahaman, instructed by Miss Ena Luckhoo.

10 Counsel and/or Solicitor for Defendants: Dr. F.W.H. Ramsahoye/Sase Narain.

Ramsohoye says that he desires to raise an objection to the order made by Mr. Justice Bollers on 21.3.1961.

The object of the objection is to raise the point is a nullity and the interrogatories answered do not form part of the record in this place.

The order took the form of an order on 20 Mr. Moore to answer interrogatories filed and served on behalf of the Plaintiff.

Submits that the order cannot be made in this form and the order should have been to grant leave to file and serve interrogatories and further to order some person to answer the interrogatories when filed and served.

Submits that the correct form of the Order was made by Fraser, J. on 15.5.61 and entered on 26.5.61. And relies on the form of Order as my authority. Quite recently it came to his knowledge that Mr. Moore resigned from the Corporation on 18.3.1961 and that the interrogatories in any event could not be answered by him and that when he answered he was not a member of the Corporation.

Submits that the order cannot bind the

In the Supreme Court of British Guiana

### No.7

Judges Notes 28th February 1962. In the Supreme Corporati Court of by a pers British Guiana answered.

 Corporation if the interrogatories are answered by a person who was not a member when he a answered.

No.7

Judges Notes

continued

1962

28th February

Says objection is made under Order 23 Rule 4 (See Order 25 of Annual Practice).

Luckhoc, L.A.

Says that he was not made aware of the Preliminary objection taken. Says that the Plaintiff's counsel is asking this Court to sit as an appellate Court against a Court in interlocutory proceedings.

Says that the Plaintiff had done so in other proceedings.

When papers were served and application made the Chairman was a member of the Corporation. When the order was made there followed a series of applications by the Defendant for extensions of time with accompanying affidavits to the effect that the Chairman was out of the Colony and that time was required for the Chairman to When the Chairman eventually returned answer. to B.G. the answers were given in the appropriate form sworn on 26.10.61. Up to that stage no objection was made to the order or to the form of order. Says that the Defendant is precluded at this stage to challenge the order and seeks to appeal against the order.

Order 23 Rule 4 does not seem to contemplate an objection of this kind. There are Rules for appeal against an Order made in an Interlocutory application.

Submits that the Defendant by answering the interrogatories has taken a fresh step and is therefore precluded from taking the present objection.

Ramsohoye in reply:

Says a nullity is no less a nullity merely because it has not been declared to be a nullity by an appellate tribunal.

There can be no acquiescence in a nullity

10

30

because it would amount as in this case to a change of the law. A man cannot be estopped if the result will be to affect a change in the law. An irregularity may be waived but a nullity cannot be waived.

Decision on this point reserved until the final determination of the action.

PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL'S OPENING

Luckhoo, Q.C.

10 Says the Plaintiff filed action on 13.12.1960. At that time he was holding office as Deputy Financial Secretary of British Guiana.

Had wide experience and high qualifications.

Was a member of the B.G. Credit Corporation as Deputy Financial Secretary. Credit Corporation incorporated by Ordinance 13 of 1954, as amended by 13 of 1955.

Powers of Corporation included in the Ordinance.

20 Under Section 6(1) of 13 of 1954 power to employ.

August, 1960, advertisement in local and foreign Press for application for post of General Manager of the B.G. Credit Corporation.

Applications to be made not later than 15.9.60.

Salary B.W.I. \$11,280: or £2,350: Free Partly Furnished House.

Not pensionable. For 3 years in first 30 instance. Plaintiff obtained a copy of the further particulars from Secretary of the Corporation, Mr. L.E. Kranenburg.

By letter dated 24.8.1960 the Plaintiff offered his services to fill the vacancy of General Manager.

Board met on Tuesday 22.9.1960.

In the Supreme Court of British Guiana

No.7

Judges Notes 28th February 1962 continued

Properly constituted meeting of the Board. Item on the Agenda - was for appointment of the General Manager vice Mr. W.G. Carmichael.

No.7

Judges Notes

continued

1962

28th February

Plaintiff was not present.

Kranenburg was one of the applicants and was present up to when discussion was to take place on this item. He left the meeting.

Quorum: Section 8(4) requires Chairman and 3 members.

26 applications for the post. All plac- 10 ed before the Boarà.

Selection was by means of ballot:

G.E. Luck I. Persaud C.H. Da Silva

7 Ballots distributed. Chairman assisted by the Deputy Chairman checked the papers and the votes received. G.E. Luck received no votes. I. Persaud 2 votes. C.H. Da Silva received 5 votes. Chairman then announced the result and the Board declared that the Plaintiff was selected to the post of General Manager. No opposition.

Chairman drafted the paragraph for the minutes and gave it to the Secretary. No objection to the procedure.

Chairman on 22.9.60 then informed the Financial Secretary that the Board had selected the Plaintiff as General Manager. Chairman instructed the Secretary to inform the Plaintiff.

All unsuccessful applicants were sent letters.

Minutes of 22.9.60 have been confirmed. Refers to Section 46 of Ordinance 13 of 1954.

Letter dated 26.9.1960 was taken by Kranenburg who signed the letter as Secretary to the Plaintiff. 20

Letter of 26.9.1960 constituted an acceptance of the offer.

Upon verbal enquiry by Kranenburg the Plaintiff said that he would take over duty on 16.12.60.

Plaintiff intimated that he was retiring from the service. Was 49 years at the time. In application age was stated as 48 years.

Draft agreements were prepared and sent by 10 letter on 3.10.60 by the Plaintiff.

On 27.10.60 there was another meeting of the Board. Minutes of meeting of the 22.9.60 were read and confirmed. Only Moore, Biragie were the only two persons present at the previous meeting because the constitution of the Board had been substantially altered.

Never been a reply to the Plaintiff's letter of 3.10.60.

Meeting on 11.11.60 - Financial Secretary's 20 letter of 18.10.60 considered.

No other advertisement.

No new application.

Decision communicated to Mr. Luck - decision on 16.12.60.

Plaintiff received copy of the minutes of ll.ll.60.

Letter written on 7.12.60 to the Chairman of the B.G. Credit Corporation.

Never has there been a reply to the letter 30 of 7.12.60.

Deals with Defence:

Refers to Minutes of 9.12.60.

Plaintiff made application to be retired from the Public Service.

Government released the Plaintiff.

In the Supreme Court of British Guiana

No.7

Judges Notes 28th February 1962 continued

In the Supreme Court of British Guiana	Plaintiff ceased working prior to 14.1.61.	
	Plaintiff had a tenant who was to deliver up possession on 30.9.60.	
No.7	Referred questions:	
Judges Notes 28th February 1962 continued	(1) Validity of the appointment.	
	(2) Letter of 3.10.60 a refusal of the terms of appointment.	
	(3) Advertisements not put in with the approval of the Governorin-Council.	
	Adjourned to 1.15 p.m.	10
	Adjourned to Thursday 1st March, 1962 at 9.00 a.m.	
lst March 1962.	Appearances as before:	
	L.A. Luckhoo, Q.C. says that the parties had agreed on a statement of facts and documents.	
	Those matters not in the document are in dispute.	
	Luckhoo requests that the agreed statement has been signed by Solicitors on both sides.	
	Dr. Ramsahoye says that the position is as stated by L.A. Luckhoo.	20
	Documents marked in the order listed Exhibits "A" - "H" - with Exhibit "G" being marked "Gl" to "G4".	
No.8 Agreed Statement of facts and documents lst March, 1962.	$\frac{No.8}{(m+1)}$	
	( <u>Title as No.l</u> )	
	AGREED STATEMENT OF FACTS AND DOCUMENTS:	
	FACTS	
	Plaintiff's Statement of Claim.	

Plaintiff's Statement of Claim. Para. l .... admitted except for (d) (e) (f). 30

Para. 2, 3, 4 admitted In	th Co
Para. 5 admitted except Bri for word "Un <b>a</b> nimously".	
Para. 8, 11 admitted. Agr	ree
Defence Sta	
Para. 2, 3, 4, 6 admitted. Doc	
DOCUMENTS ADMITTED AND TENDERED 196	
1. Daily Chronicle Saturday 6th August, 1960.	
2. Document of particulars issued by Defendants re vacancy of General Manager.	
3. Application of Plaintiff dated 24th August, 1960, in response to advertise- ment.	
4. Minutes of Defendants' meeting held on 22nd September, 1960.	
5. Letter from Defendants to Plaintiff dated 26th September, 1960	
6. Letter from Plaintiff to Defendants dated 3rd October, 1960 with draft agreement of service.	
7. Minutes of Defendants' meetings held 27th October, 1960, 11th November, 1960, 18th November, 1960, 9th December, 1960.	
8. Luckhoo & Luckhoo's letter written on behalf of Plaintiff and dated 7th December, 1960.	
S. Narain Evelyn A. Luckhoo Solicitor to Defendants. Solicitor to Plaintiff.	
Georgetown,	

Georgetown, Dated 1st March, 1962.

10

20

30

he Supreme ourt of ish Guiana

No.8

ed ement of s and ments March, inued

## PLAINTIFF'S EVIDENCE

# No.9

### EVIDENCE OF CLEMENT HUGH DA SILVA

Plaintiff's Evidence

No.9

In the Supreme Court of British Guiana

Thursday 1st March, 1962, at 9.00 a.m.

Evidence of the 1st Witness - Clement Hugh Da Silva.

C.H. Da Silva Examination

CLEMENT HUGH Da SILVA on his oath says:

I am the Plaintiff. I was the Deputy Financial Secretary of the Colony of British Guiana up to 14.1.61. As Deputy Financial Secretary my duties included the assessment of industrial proposals for tax and the preparation of the Colony's 1960 - 1964 Development Programme. I an familiar with economic development proposals for B.G. I have dealt with such overseas bodies as the United Nations Special Fund; The International Bank for Reconstruction, Washington; and the Secretary of State for the Colonies, Colonial Office. I was also responsible for appraising the effects of various development schemes on the economic development of British Guiana.

In the Daily Chronicle of Saturday, 6.8.60 there appeared an advertisement -Exhibit "A". As a result I obtained Exhibit "B" from the Secretary of the B.G. Credit Corporation. In response to paragraph 3 of the notice I obtained Exhibit "B". As a result on 24.8.60 I sent in the application Exhibit "C". In Exhibit "C" I stated that I was applying for the appointment as General Manager. I gave particulars of my qualifications and training. As Deputy Financial Secretary I was a member of the Defendant's There was a meeting of the Board on Board. 22.9.60 and I received this letter requesting me to attend the meeting.

Letter dated 14.9.60. /Tendered. Objected to by Dr. Ramsahoye.

Objection on the ground that the document is not signed by the persons stated in subsection 3 of section 7 of the B.G. Credit Corp. Ord. No.13 of 19547. 10

20

Mr. L.A. Luckhoo says that this is a document signed by the secretary of the Defendant Corporation. Says that the section says the document may be signified in a certain manner.

Dr. Ramsahoye says he withdraws his objection to the admissibility. Objection considered. Overruled. Letter dated 14.9.60 admitted and marked "J".

I did not attend the meeting on 22.9.60. 10 As a member of the Board I subsequently received a copy of the minutes of a meeting of 22.9.60. These are the minutes Exhibit "D". In these minutes it is stated that I was, at that meeting, appointed General Manager (witness reads extract from the minutes as to the appointment of Mr.C.H. Da Silva as General Manager).

I received Exhibit "D" but I do not recall the exact day. They usually reached me about one week after. I read the minutes and I treated them as correct.

On 26.9.60 I received Exhibit "E". (Letter read in Court by the witness), This letter Exhibit "E" was handed to me by the Secretary, Mr. L.E. Kranenburg, at the office of the Financial Secretary at the desk of the Financial Secretary. The Financial Secretary and I were speaking. Mr. Kranenburg came in with two envelopes. He handed one to the Financial Secretary saying this is Clem's appointment. (Dr. Ramsahoye objects). He handed me this letter. Exhibit "E" which I opened and read and the secretary asked me how soon could I assume duty as General Manager of the Corporation. I told the Secretary around the middle of December after the Budget. The secretary left.

About a week later while speaking to the Secretary over the telephone, he again asked me how soon would I be going over. I was waiting on the Financial Secretary and I told the Secretary that I was waiting on the Financial Secretary and suggested to him that in the meanwhile he should prepare the usual agreement of service. The Secretary informed me that he did not have the agreement of service of the previous General Manager and asked me to get out one of the standard Crown Agents and Colonial Office forms of agreement for his use as a draft. In the Supreme Court of British Guiana

Plaintiff's Evidence

No.9

C.H. Da Silva Examination continued

30

40

Plaintiff's Evidence

No.9

C.H. Da Silva Examinarion continued I I wrote the letter Exhibit "F". I got one of the Crown Agents and Colonial Office forms and I attempted to modify it and sent it across to the Secretary for his use. Exhibit "F" is dated 3.10.60 I was at that time treating myself as being appointed from the time I received the Corporation's letter. I considered myself appointed as General Manager from the time I received the corporation's letter. I considered myself appointed as General Manager from the time I received the letter of 26.9.60.

Since I received the letter of 26.9.60 I received no written or verbal communications from the Credit Corporation relative to my appointment. As a member of the Board I continued to receive minutes.

I see minutes of a meeting of the Defendant Corporation held on 27.10.60, Exhibit "G". There is a reference made to the previous meet-There is a confirmation of the minutes ing. of the meeting of 22.9.60. The personnel of the Board had changed since the meeting of the 22.9.60. At item 8 in Exhibit "G" there is reference to the appointment of a General Manager. (Witness reads extract of minutes). I was not present when the item was discussed. I had received leave to be out of attendance for the item. I had never seen the letter which was referred to as a letter from the Financial Secretary.

(Luckhoo says notice has been served to produce the letter No. F.S.G. 283/55 II dated 18.10.60.

Dr. Ramsahoye says that he is sending for the letter).

I see here the minutes of 11.11.60 - Exhibit "G2". I see reference to the Post-General Manager. I was permitted to leave the meeting when this was discussed. (Extract of minutes read by witness). This is a letter F.S.G. 283/55 II dated 18.10.60. (Letter produced from custody of Defendant's Solicitor). Letter tendered, admitted and marked "K".

So far as I know there was never a readvertisement for the post. I know Mr. G.E. Luck

20

10

30

was an applicant for the post when it was first advertised. I received a copy of the minutes towards the latter part of November, 1960. I consulted Luckhoo and Luckhoo. Exhibit "H" dated 7.12.60 was written on my behalf to the B.G. Credit Corporation. So far as I am aware there has never been a reply to this letter.

I see the minutes of 18.11.60, Exhibit "G3". There is reference to the post of 10 General Manager. I see the minutes of the meeting of 9.12.60 - Exhibit "G4". I was Item 1 is on the absent from this meeting. appointment of a General Manager. (Extract of minutes read). In the Sunday Graphic of 11.12.60 there was a photograph and statement to the effect that Mr. G.E. Luck had been appointed General Manager of the Credit Corpora-Newspaper tendered, no objection, tion. admitted and marked "L". Dr. Jagan was then 20 Minister of Trade and Industry. Mr. G.E.Luck was then the acting Permanent Secretary to the Ministry of Natural Resources; he was also acting Permanent Secretary of Trade and Industry.

These are the minutes of a meeting which I received. They are for a meeting held on 25.11.60. Minutes tendered, no objection, admitted and marked "M". These are the minutes of the meeting of 25.11.60. A list of the staff and particulars were prepared by the Corporation's staff. (Extract read by witness). Copies were distributed in the form attached to the minutes.

I know as a member of the Board that the salary of the post of General Manager was 211,280: per annum fixed. On several occasions I saw the Executive Council decision and a letter sent by the Financial Secretariat to the General Manager informing him of the salary of \$11,280: for the post. I saw the letter for the original salary and I saw letters whenever the Governor-in-Council had varied the salary.

(Mr. Luckhoo says that notice to produce letters from Financial Secretary during 1958/ 1959. In the Supreme Court of British Guiana

Plaintiff's Evidence

No.9

C.H. Da Silva Examination continued

30

Plaintiff's Evidence

No.9

C.H. Da Silva Examination continued Dr. Ramsahoye says that there are not letters from 1958/1959 received by the Credit Corporation from the Financial Secretary for those years dealing with the question of the emoluments for the post of General Manager either the approval of the emoluments or approval of further increases).

#### Da Silva continues

I see these minutes. They are for the meeting 26/6/1959 of the Defendant Corporation. 10 (Dr. Ramsahoye objects to the admissibility of the minutes of the meeting of 26/6/1959). Objection overruled. Admitted and marked "N").

(Extract of minutes read by the witness as to Draft Service Agreements).

On 26.9.1960 I received a letter from the Defendant Corporation. On that date I was 49 years and some months. I was born on 10.3.1911. I was employed in the Public Service. Normallv I would have continued in the Public Service 20 until age 55. As a result of the letter of 26.9.1960 on 16.10.1960 I addressed a minute to the Financial Secretary enclosing the Board's letter of appointment and asking for either a secondment under the law or asking that I be allowed to retire. Under the Regulations I could retire at age 50 voluntarily. I came under the 1944 Pensions Ordinance. I had understood that the procedure for voluntary retirement at age 50 would be just a formality 30 and would not be refused. At that time I had leave due to me about 10 or 11 months leave. It was possible to have this done by December. The formality of obtaining the approval of the Secretary of State for the Colonies would not have impeded an officer going into retirement. Sometimes 3 or 4 months after the officer goes off on leave, sometimes 6 months the formal approval would come.

I received no answer to the application of 16.10.60. On 8.12.60 I addressed a formal letter repeating my application to retire. Sometime in January, 1961, I got a letter saying that I may have my leave; the question of retirement has been sent to the Colonial

Office. I went off duty on 14.1.61. About early in February 1961 I got the formal approval of the Secretary of State and I had in fact retired.

From the time I received the letter of 26.9.60 scores of friends congratulated me on my appointment as General Manager. I also heard a broadcast over the radio in which the reference to No.1 or No.2 in the Financial Secretariat left no doubt as to who was referred to. Among the persons who congratulated me were influential men, personal friends. When I heard of Mr. Luck's appointment I felt very badly and I felt very disgusted at the way I was treated by apparent colleagues.

10

I had first been promoted to the post of Deputy Financial Secretary, the top of the ladder after 28<sup>±</sup>/<sub>2</sub> years service and I would certainly not have retired at the age of 50. I intended to 20 remain in the Public Service.

If I had remained in the public service I would have gone on leave to the United Kingdom to Oxford University and would have contemplated the course for the degree of B. Litt. degree. A valuable degree in the subjects of the Technique of Loan Finance and the formation of a country's capital resources through the use of credit funds. I had already done one year's residence at Oxford University when I commenced the course. I was more than half way through the course. 30 The authorities at Oxford had permitted me to leave after one year and to return to finish the course. I do not have a basic degree without which you cannot obtain a B. Litt. but the University authorities admitted my experience and service in British Guiana as the equivalent of a basic Upon my retirement my plans in this degree. connection fell through.

As General Manager one of the conditions of 40 service was a free partly furnished house. I had my own house in the month of September, 1960. That house is at B 19/20 Lama Avenue, Bel Air Park. It was at the time rented to Dr.Diephuis. I rented the house to him for three or four months from about the middle of July, 1960. After I received the letter of appointment I told In the Supreme Court of British Guiana

Plaintiff's Evidence

No.9

C.H. Da Silva Examination continued

Plaintiff's Evidence

No.9

C.H. Da Silva Examination continued Dr. Diephuis that I would remove into the Corporation's house in Queenstown and he asked me to allow him to remain in my house until he left the country. I agreed. He remained in my house until August, 1961.

I intended to take up the Corporation's post immediately. I had to live with relatives and eventually moved into a small flat.

Adjourned to 9 a.m. on 2.3.62.

Friday 2nd March, 1962 at 9.00 a.m.

#### Appearances as before:

Clement Hugh Da Silva on his oath says: Contd.

I say I was appointed General Manager of the Defendant's corporation. I considered myself so appointed as soon as I received the Corporation's letter of 26.9.60 on the 26.9.60. In my letter of 3.10.60 I said that I accept the appointment in order to confirm the agreement of employment reached between the Corporation and myself concluded in or by their letter of 26.9.60. I enclosed a draft agreement in my letter of 3.10.62 in order to assist the Secretary who asked me to send him a draft Crown Agent's standard form. When in my letter I stated that I was enclosing a draft agreement I was not laying down any conditions of my employment. I could only sign the agreement if the corporation had agreed to it. I knew the terms and conditions on which I was I saw them in the newspaper and I employed. also got a full statement from the Secretary. Those two documents contained the terms of my employment. It was not necessary for me to have an agreement before assuming duty. The agreement was a formality.

In my draft agreement I made certain additions which were clauses in the standard Crown Agents agreement of service which I had used as a draft at the invitation of the Secretary to help him. I have a copy of the Crown Agents form. I was satisfied with the terms and conditions advertised. I applied on those terms and conditions. (Witness reads from para.6 of 20

10

30

the conditions of service). I put 2 terms of 3 I interpreted the wording of the condiyears. tions to mean that I could ask questions or make suggestions as to my terms of service. My suggestion of 6 years divided into 2 tours of 3 years each seemed to me to fit in with this minimum three years and still allowed at the end of that period for a further 3 years if the Corporation wanted me and I wanted them. My insertion of 2 tours was merely a suggestion. Т was satisfied to accept 3 years. I consider that the newspaper or the document I got from the Secretary as containing the full particulars of my conditions of employment.

10

20

30

I would have taken up my duties as General The Manager without signing any agreement. formality of signing the agreement sometimes took place months after the officer assumed duty. I know that that was the case of the accountant Mr. P.C. Yansen and Mr. L.E. Kranenburg, the Secretary, who signed their written agreement about 1 year after they assumed their appoint-I was always ready and willing to take ment. up my appointment as General Manager on the conditions as advertised. I an still willing to take up the appointment as advertised. I shall continue to be willing. Since I retired in January, 1961, I have not been employed anywhere. My vacation leave expired on 22.1.62. While on pre-retirement leave I obtained the Government's

permission to accept paid employment. Leave commenced in January 14, 1961 and ended on 22.1.62. I had endeavoured to obtain suitable I started straight away to obtain employment. employment, e.g. The Demerara Company Limited for a Finance Officer; The Crown Life Insurance Company for a Director of Finance; The Chief Elections Officer during the last elections for appointment as a Returning Officer. 40 I was not so appointed. I have applied as business and commercial executive in Water Street. I also applied for employment in Trinidad and in Grenada.

I am claiming against the Defendant the relief set out in paragraph 17 of the statement of claim more particularly 17(a) and (b) and (c).

I claim special damages as shown in

In the Supreme Court of British Guiana

Plaintiff's Evidence

No.9

C.H. Da Silva Examination continued

In the Supreme Court of British Guiana Plaintiff's Evidence No.9	paragraph 18 of the statement of claim. I have lost salary of \$11,280: per annum for 3 years - \$33,840. There is also loss of free house for the said period - \$8,100. I have lost my leave passages - \$2,500. I now say that the loss consequent on premature retirement is \$13,550: instead of \$5,700: I claim General Damages in addition to the Special Damages making a total claim of \$100,000. (Luckhoo seeks amendment of Statement of Claim by :	10
C.H. Da Silva Examination continued	(a) deletion of \$5,700 for loss consequent on premature retire- ment and substitution therefor of \$13,550:	
	(b) for consequential changes viz:	
	of Special Damages - delete \$50,140 insert \$57,990	
	General Damages - delete \$49,860 insert \$42,010	20
	Dr. Ramsahoye says he has no objection to application to amend.	

Amendment granted as prayed.)

Crossexamination

#### Cross-examined by Ramsahoye:

When I instituted these proceedings I was myself a member of the Board when I sued. Ι cannot remember when I became a member of the Board. My termination date was 14.1.61. The commencement date was probably April, 1960. When I became a member Mr. Carmichael was the General Manager. I am aware of the emoluments of Mr. Carmichael. He received a salary of \$880: plus a gratuity of \$60: per month. That was \$940: per month or \$11,280 per year. His gratuity was settled by the Governor-in-Council when he was first employed. I do not know that Mr. Carmichael's original salary was fixed by the Governor-in-Council at \$800: per month. I know that the Governor-in-Council approved a salary of \$880: for the General Manager of the B.G. Credit Corporation. This is a certificate from the Treasury dated 22.5.1957 showing the salary of the General Manager as £2,200:

40

Certificate tendered, no objection, admitted and marked "O".

I am aware of the provisions of section 6 of Ordinance 13 of 1954. I know that at the commencement of Mr. Carmichael's appointment he was granted a gratuity of £37.10s. per quarter by the Governor-in-Council.

From 22.5.1957 to the 6.8.60 I know that the Governor-in-Council did not reconsider the salary of the post of General Manager. So far as I know there was no reconsideration up to the date of the writ on 13.12.1960. My membership of the Corporation was ex officio - Deputy Financial Secretary. The Minister was the charge officer to whom matters from the B.G. Credit Corporation would go. As an official member of the Corporation I sat at meetings at which applications for loans were considered and the general running of the Corporation. This would include appointment of staff. On establishment matters I would have been the most knowledgeable member of the Board. I gave advice at meetings of the Board as a member on matters of salary, leave, etc. relating to the staff of the Corporation.

I must admit that I took some part in the preparation of the advertisement for the office of General Manager. I do not agree that the full particulars of the advertisement were settled in the Finance Secretariat. I would say that suggestions in draft were made by the Financial Secretary. I saw a draft submitted to the Corporation. I saw the draft before it was submitted to the corporation. I am referring to Exhibit "B".

The draft of the particulars which I saw as sent by the Financial Secretary is identical with Exhibit "B". The originals of Exhibit "B" may have been prepared in the Treasury at the request of the Corporation after being approved by the Board. I do not know for certain if the particulars of which Exhibit "B" is a copy were prepared in the Treasury. The particulars of the vacancy did not have to be submitted to the Chief Secretary. I remember on 4.8.1960 I wrote a letter to the Chief Secretary enclosing copies of

In the Supreme Court of British Guiana

Plaintiff's Evidence

No.9

C.H. Da Silva Crossexamination continued

40

Plaintiff's Evidence

No.9

C.H. Da Silva Crossexamination continued full particulars of the vacancy requesting that the advertisement be published in the newspapers in Jamaica, Trinidad and Barbados. The letter had as enclosures a copy of Exhibit "B" being the full particulars and also a copy of the advertisement. On 4.8.1960 I made a request by letter to the Controller of Government Printing that Exhibit "A" be advertised in the local press. The advertisement first appeared in the local press in a newspaper of 6.8.1960.

I was first aware that there was going to be a vacancy for the post of General Manager of the Corporation at the time of the farewell meeting for Mr. Carmichael. It was probably about June, When I learned of the impending vacancy 1960. I thought of myself as a probable candidate. would say that a short time after Carmichael's party I decided that I would wish to be a candidate for the appointment. I would say that this may have been about June, 1960. I did not resolve to apply until I saw the vacancy notice. It was after reading or studying the vacancy notice that I resolved to apply. It was after reading the notice in the newspapers. When I sent the notices to the Chief Secretary and to the Printing Officer I had not yet resolved to apply.

I resolved to apply because I found myself as a deputy under a younger man. This post suited me. Apart from the consideration that I wanted to be a head there were other considerations, e.g. the free partly furnished house, the emoluments and I liked the work. Those were the considerations which interested me. I would not say that those considerations were present in my mind before the advertisement appeared.

I had dealings with the International Bank. I do not know if copies of Exhibit "B" were sent to the International Bank. The officers who dealt with correspondence with the International Bank were 4 in the Finance Secretariat and about 2 or 3 in the Treasury. I did participate in the discussions on the Board when it was decided to issue an advertisement. I did not participate when the applications were being dealt with.

When I applied for the post I did not inform

20

30

40

the Public Service Commission that I had applied for another position. I informed the Head of my Department that I had applied for another position. I informed him by sending him a copy of the application in accordance with civil service procedure for making applications.

I applied on 24.8.60. I had already completed 1 year's work for the B. Litt. degree. Ι wanted to return to Oxford to finish my degree. I had 10 months leave to get as a member of the 10 Public Service. When I applied on 24.8.60 I had not any feeling as to whether I would be successful. I did not know whether there was a likelihood that I might be successful. I did not know if I would be successful. I thought I had a good chance and looked forward to the re-I hoped I would be successful. sult. I do not remember the date upon which I did so but I did inform the Head of my Department that I would 20 wish my leave to complete the B. Litt. I do not remember the time. It could have been after I applied. I would say that I have informed the Head of my Department that I would wish my leave to complete the B. Litt. degree before and after I had applied for the post.

I remained Deputy Financial Secretary of B.G. until January, 1962. I served actively until 14.1.61 and thereafter I was on leave. Following my application I received a letter dat-30 ed 26.9.1960 - Exhibit "E". In my reply on 3.10.60 I stated that I was reporting the position to Government with a view to release from Government as early as possible. When I received the letter of 3.10.60 I applied to Government to be seconded or to be permitted to retire at On 3.10.60 I was in no position to say 50. whether Government would grant me a secondment. I knew that Government would allow me to retire at 50. I say I knew this because of the assur-40 ance given by the Government to the staff associations that voluntary retirement at 50 is a formality. I had no personal assurance given to me that I would be able to go at 50. It was open to the Government to refuse my request to retire at 50 on the ground of strong public interest. I do not know if the Governor was entitled to refuse my application to retire without assigning a reason. The Governor could

In the Supreme Court of British Guiana

Plaintiff's Evidence No.9

C.H. Da Silva Crossexamination continued

Plaintiff's Evidence

No.9

C.H. Da Silva Crossexamination continued have delayed my leave as had been done previously. I was in a position to take up other employment with the permission of the Government or if I had The Government may have refused my resigned. application to resign but I consider that a remote possibility. My intention was not to resign but to be permitted to retire. I had applied for permission to work during my leave. I applied in writing and I got my reply in writing. This is a letter dated 24.3.61 from the Financial Secretary to myself - granting me permission to accept paid employment during pre-retirement leave. Letter tendered, admitted and marked "P" The Governor (from custody of the Plaintiff). is the authority to grant permission to work during pre-retirement leave. The circumstances referred to in "P" I had stated in my letter that a directorship of a company needed disclosure and specific approval in writing and I had assured Government that a directorship was not involved. I have not since 24.3.61 when permission was given taken up actual paid employment. I did not say in my letter seeking approval to work that I intended to go abroad. I went abroad on I returned on 18.1.62. The last 18.10.61. day I was a public servant was 21.1.62.

I never received any specific reply from Government on my application for a secondment. I could not leave that appointment of Deputy Financial Secretary to work with the Defendant Corporation on secondment unless the Government released me. When I entered the Public Service I do not know what was the age limit for entry. When I applied for the post I knew that my age I used a staff list in the Financial was 49. Secretariat which had a mistake in that my birth date was stated as 10.3.1912. Whereas it is That is the reason for stating 48 12.3.1911. years in my application. I followed the list inadvertently. When I stated my age as 48 I gave no thought to the procedure which might have to be adopted for me to be released to take up the job as General Manager of the Credit Corporation. I have never looked at my name in the Colonial Office Hist. The Colonial Office List has never come to me for correction. Ι have seen the B.G. Civil Service List. -- I have seen my name in that list. I thought the age was 1911 given in that list. I do not know

10

20

if when I entered the Public Service I gave my age as 1912. I see the 1935 B.G. Staff List of the B.G. Civil Service. The date of birth is given as 10.3.1912. I would say that in every official document with which I have had to deal concerning my date of birth, including my application for the post of General Manager, my date of birth has been stated as 10.3.1912.

On 16.10.1960 was the first time I became aware that the date of birth previously stated 10 to be 10.3.1912 was inaccurate. I discovered the mistake when I applied to the Financial Secretary about my release. I showed him the I discovered the mistake when I was error. considering secondment or retirement. I thought secondment was the difficulty and I wanted to retire. I produced a birth certificate, found the mistake and I reported it to the Financial Secretary. When I wrote the letter cn 3.10.60 I was not conscious of the mistake. 20 I knew all along that my birth date was 1911. When in the official documents I stated 10.3.1912 I was aware that the real date was 10.3.1911. was never mistaken about it. I had always known the facts.

> Adjourned to Tuesday 6.3.1962 at 9 a.m.

Tuesday 6th March, 1962 at 9 a.m.

# Clement Hugh Da Silva under cross-examination says further on his oath:

I am aware of General Order No.15. I was not aware of that order in 1938. In the early days of service I was not aware of it because I did not have General Orders. I was the Principal Establishment Officer in 1955 or 1956. Ι was also the Chief Establishment Officer acting. It would have been my duty to know of the provi-sions relating to the Public Service. It would have been my duty to know of the whole process of appointment to the Public Service. My first appointment in the Public Service was not my first appointment after leaving school. T worked at one place. I did not consider the conditions on which I could enter the Public Service when I applied for my first appointment

In the Supreme Court of British Guiana

Plaintiff's Evidence

No.9

C.H. Da Silva Crossexamination continued

Crossexamination continued

Plaintiff's Evidence

No.9

C.H. Da Silva Crossexamination continued in the Public Service. I was not at the time I joined the service aware of any regulations relating to appointments in the Public Service. From my point of view there was no question about the age at which I entered the Public Service.

After I entered I did not learn that the age limit was 21 except where the Governor gave permission. I admit that if the age limit at that time was 21 I should never have been appointed unless the Governor gave special permission. In my career as a public servant I have not read the whole of the first edition of the General Orders issued in 1938. I never had occasion to apply to the Governor for special permission. I never applied for entry into the Public Service. Τ was just taken on. My age on admission to the Public Service was 22 years. I joined the ser-I was born on 12th March, 1911. vice on 2.9.33.

I would say that I got general permission to accept paid employment except a directorship of a company. I would say that the permission I got gave me the right to accept employment as General Manager of a corporation. When I got permission to retire I did not at the same time get permission to accept paid employment. When I got permission to retire it was permission to retire at the expiration of my leave which was approximate-After I got permission to retire ly 11 months. I wrote the Governor again asking for permission to take up paid employment. The Governor considered that request. I was notified on 2.3.1961 that the Governor had refused permission in the absence of a statement of specific employment. Letter dated 2.3.1961 (from custody of Tendered, admitted and marked "Q". Plaintiff).

In reply to this letter dated 2.3.61 I wrote on 9.3.61. This is a copy of the letter I wrote. (No objection). Tendered, admitted and marked "R". In that letter I represented that I wanted to do secretarial work. I stated that I wanted to set up my own practice as a secretary. I did not do the costing of a catering business which I mentioned in the letter. I did not also do the work of valuing securities. I do not fully accept the suggestion that the permission I got was to set up a Secretary's practice. I 10

20

-30

would say that I got permission to accept my employment except the directorship of a company.

I got the permission on 24.3.61. Until then I was in a position to take up any employment other than as Deputy Financial Secretary from the 14.1.61. I mean paid employment. Officially I was not in a position to accept paid employment until 24.3.61. Assuming that the permission related only to employment as a secretary in the answer set out in para.2 of my letter of the 9.3.61 I agree that I could not accept paid employment generally before 22.1.62.

At the time I applied for the post of General Manager in August, 1960, I was aware and it was upper-most in my mind that at the time I was serving in an established position under the I also reflected on the fact that I had Crown. years of service and pension rights preserved. I realised that if my pension rights were to be preserved I would have to be subject to Government on the matter of my release or otherwise. When I wrote that application I was not in a position to commit myself to be available for employment on a definite date. When I wrote the application I did not consider myself committed to take on the job at a particular date. In the circumstances I would not have thought it fair for the Corporation to commit me to take on the job on a particular date.

30

20

10

(Short adjournment for 10 minutes on application of Dr. Ramsahoye).

#### C.H. Da Silva continues:

If I had been requested by the Corporation to turn on the job on a certain date my reply would have depended on whether the Government released me or whether I resigned. It would mean if I resigned that I would have lost my pension and gratuity. My gratuity was approximately \$15,000: and an annual pension of approximately \$3,400: - \$3,500: per annum. Because of that fact I decided to seek secondment or retirement.

I was Deputy Financial Secretary. There is nothing in the General Orders about officers resigning and giving notice. So far as I know

In the Supreme Court of British Guiana

Plaintiff's Evidence

No.9

C.H. Da Silva Crossexamination continued

Plaintiff's Evidence

No.9

C.H. Da Silva Crossexamination continued

there is no requirement for notice in the case of a public officer resigning. When I received the letter from the Corporation notifying me of my appointment I did consider the date of my release. The letter was received on 26.9.60. On that day I was not in a position to commit myself. I do not know if the Corporation was aware of this. I wrote the Corporation a letter on 3.10.60. When I said that I would enter into agreement with the Corporation on the draft I meant that I would have entered into the terms of my draft or alternatively if the Corporation had amended the draft to comply with the terms of the advertisement I would have entered into such agreement as modified by the Corporation. Ι would say that the date of my assumption of duty depended on the date I was released. That date was unknown to me on 3.10.60. T was asked in the letter of 26.9.60 of the time I could take up the appointment. That question was not answered by the letter of 3.10.60. I would say that it was answered verbally. Ι had informed the Secretary that I would be released on 16.12.60. I was not in a position to take up the appointment on 16.12.60. Ι was not released. My letter of 3.10.60 was not an acceptance of an officer of appointment in my mind. I used the word "accept" to record and confirm what had happened. What had happened was my appointment on receipt of the Board's notification of 26.9.60. I considered myself appointed on 26.9.60 and everything was concluded. There was no need for I also believed that any acceptance by me. on 26.9.60 all the terms of my agreement had been fully settled even though I would not commit myself to the time for the assumption of duty.

Sometime after 3.10.60 I gave instructions to my Solicitors to bring proceedings. A letter was written on 7.12.60. Letter is Exhibit "H". This letter reflected the position as I explained it to my lawyers: I would say that the letters of 3.10.60 and 7.12.60 reflected truthfully the position in my mind.

In the application of 24.8.60 mention was

10

20

30

made that I had done work for a degree at Oxford. It also mentioned the work I had done at the Also work with B.G. Airways and Treasury. International Bank.

I see paragraph 7 of Exhibit "B". That paragraph calls for qualifications similar to the work I did. I gave the draft particulars of the vacancy notice to Mr. Moore, Chairman of the Corporation on 27.7.60. That was 2 days before 29.7.60 when they were considered. I had read 10 over the draft particulars before it was handed The original draft was typeto Mr. Moore. written, it was not in handwriting. It had gaps I think filled in in ink. I did not fill in The typewritten draft was not dicthose gaps. tated. It was typed directly by the draftsman. It was after that that I read it. The draftsma The draftsman typed it after information had been given to him I would not say that what he typed was by me. what he was told to type. The draftsman did not 20 know what figure to put in in the first figure in paragraph 5 of the particulars. I did not give the draftsman any of the particulars contained in document. The assistance I gave was to furnish an ordinance and the two files were to get information for paragraph 5 of the vacancy notice. I do not know if the files were to provide information for paragraphs 2, 5, 6, 7 of the notice. I had glanced through the files before. I do not know whether they had information other than paragraph 5. The draftsman was an officer superior to me in status. He was an officer who knew fully my qualifications.

> Adjourned to 1.15 p.m. on 6.3.62.

Resumed at 1.15 p.m.

Clement Hugh Da Silva further on his oath says:

If someone prepared a draft for the vacancy notice I would be the person to correct it for typographical errors. If there were typographical errors I would have corrected the draft of the vacancy notice.

I was present at a meeting of the Board on 29.7.60 when the Board considered and accepted

In the Supreme Court of British Guiana

Plaintiff's Evidence

No.9

C.H. Da Silva Crossexamination continued

40

Plaintiff's Evidence

No.9

C.H. Da Silva Crossexamination continued the draft. At the time I had not yet resolved to apply but I had thought myself a probable candidate. I did not then disclose to the Board that I thought myself a probable candidate. The Board discussed the whole notice including paragraph 7. I do not remember if the Board discussed paragraph 7 dealing with qualifica-I remember that discussion did take tions. place about age. I do not remember the Board discussing any other subject. No reference to There was a age was made in the advertisement. suggestion by some that there should be an age limit. I was in favour of an age limit. Τ did not feel that I had any interest in the matter other than as a member of the Board at the time of the discussion. I agree that if I had an interest I ought to have disclosed it. I know that there is a provision in the Ordinance No.13 of 1954 whereby such disclosures are necessary. I know of the provisions of subsection 4 of section 10 of Ordinance 13 of 1954. I went to Mr. Kranenburg because the notice in the newspapers required that further particulars be obtained from the secretary. I wanted to study the conditions fully that is why I went to Mr. Kranenburg.

I did not think that from the time I applied for the job as manager I ought to have resigned my appointment as a member of the Board. I did not think that it would have appeared to the public that my duty and interest conflicted. As Deputy Financial Secretary I continued as a member. I never discussed with other members of the Board that I intended to make myself available for consideration. It is correct that no one on the Board knew that I was interested until the Chairman received my application.

In the draft I asked to be engaged for 6 years resident service. If I could have been 40 released the period would have taken me to around 55 years. In December I heard that someone else had been appointed to the position of General Manager. I did not consider whether in the circumstances I ought to have withdrawn my application to retire at 50. I was aware that if I had not asked to retire at 50 I could have remained until I was 55. To retire at 50 it

10

20

was purely voluntary from the point of view of the officer. If I had remained as Deputy Financial Secretary I would have received a salary of \$9,360: per annum. It was previously \$8,160: Revised it would be a salary of \$780: per month. That would be separate from my pension rights. If I continued under the Guillebaud recommendations for a period of 3 years my gratuity would have been \$18,329: and my pension then would have been worth \$4,399: per

- 10 sion then would have been worth \$4,399: per annum. Having retired at 50 my gratuity is \$15,625: and my pension now is \$3,750: The difference in gratuity of \$2,704: and difference of pension \$649: per year, over 12½ years which is the longevity factor. As against that I would have received a salary of \$11,280: per annum which is \$940: per month, i.e. \$140: per month more. I would also have received a partly furnished house, also a travelling allowance of \$25.
- 20 In my application dated 24.8.60 I stated that my salary allowing for increases proposed but not implemented is not much less than the post of General Manager. I meant that the Deputy Financial Secretary's salary plus his pension rights were stilla bit smaller than the salary alone of the General Manager's post.

With reference to para.12(b) of the Statement of Claim it is true that my home was rented before 26.9.60. It was rented in July, 1960. I had 30 not then resolved to apply for the job as General Manager. I rented it for 4 months in July, 1960, because I had applied for leave. The application was turn down. Uncertain of the position I let Dr. Dephuis have the house for a short time. The application was turned down before I let the house. I was told that it may be considered in a short time. At first my renting of my house had nothing to do with the Corporation nor with my leave because I did not know whether I would get leave. I rented the house for \$325: per month. 40 It was not very profitable for me to rent the house at \$325: It was only profitable. After the 4 month period I continued to let to Dr. Dephuis at a rate which was profitable to me. I did not continue to live with relative. I ceased to live with relatives in December, 1960. After December 1960 I rented a small flat. I held the tenancy until Dr. Dephuis left the country in August, 1961.

In the Supreme Court of British Guiana

Plaintiff's Evidence

No.9

C.H. Da Silva Crossexamination continued

> Plaintiff's Evidence

> > No.9

C.H. Da Silva Crossexamination continued Between August - October, 1961, I went back to my own home. When Dr. Dephuis left I do not remember if I negotiated for another tenant. I know Frank Biddeck. I made negotiations with him for renting my house. I asked him to pay \$375: per month if some additional work was done, or \$325: as it stood. A contract of tenancy was not concluded. I have rented my house again. I have rented from October, 1961. It is rented to March, 1962. It is rented at the rate of \$325: per month.

The house which is provided for the General Manager was rented. It was rented about the same time Dr. Dephuis rented my house. It was rented to colleague of Dr. Dephuis. I think it was rented to Captain Hayes. It was rented for \$225. The Ministry of Communications and Works asked me to find out whether the Corporation would rent the house which was vacant at the I asked the Corporation and as the house time. was closed for sometime and as it was a means of revenue the Corporation agreed to rent the house to Captain Hayes until a General Manager was appointed.

It is correct to say that the Corporation's house was rented for 1 year to Captain Hayes expiring in August, 1961 at a rental of \$225: per month. I had known since June that serious efforts were being made to recruit a General I now say that the house was rented Manager. to Captain Hayes from month to month for \$225: until a General Manager was appointed. I was not in charge of the rental of the Corporation's house. I merely passed on the information. It is not correct to suggest that I made arrangements with Captain Hayes. I made arrangements through the Ministry of Communications and Works for the letting of my house to the United Nations Special Fund Commission carrying out the Harbour Siltation and Erosion investigation. The Ministry of Communications and Works approached me about the letting of the Corporation's house. I would not know if the negotiations took place in Ι May, 1960, with Captain Hayes.

I think the Corporation first asked me to find out from the Government if anyone wanted to rent the house which was closed for about 2 or 20

10

30

3 months. Almost immediately after the Ministry of Communications and Works asked me the same question. I was asked because lots of people offered houses through the Finance Secre-I told the Ministry of Communications tariat. and Works that the house of the Corporation was I do not remember whether I told available. Hayes that he could have the house for one year at \$225: per month. I do not know how Hayes remained there for one year. In January when I resigned from the Corporation Hayes was still there.

Upon my selection as General Manager I made no enquiry about the Corporation's house. In my draft agreement I mentioned that an allowance in lieu should be paid because I interpreted the vacancy notice which reads "A free partly furnished house, and leave facilities in accordance with the Government's General Orders and Regulations" to mean that the house would be available to the General Manager in accordance with the Government's General Orders, No. 209 of which provides the alternative either the house or an allowance I thought that wording suggested that in lieu. if the Corporation wanted to keep Captain Hayes in the house to give me an allowance instead. Τ do not think that it was that I had arranged with Captain Hayes for a year and for that reason I put in the term.

30 I said that I was willing to forego my leave to take up the appointment. It is not correct to suggest that I did not intend to take up my appointment until after the expiration of my 10 months leave. I did not feel confident that from the time the vacancy occurred that I would be appointed General Manager and that my subsequent conduct was based on that assumption.

I was a Government Officer in 1949 when the Government purchased the B.G. Airways.

40 In the draft there was a suggestion that I would be able to leave the service of the Corporation by giving 3 months notice in writing or by paying one month's salary. I also suggested that if I left the Corporation otherwise than by agreement that I pay the Corporation 3 months' salary I admit that these as liquidation damages.

In the Supreme Court of British Guiana

Plaintiff's Evidence

No.9

C.H. Da Silva Crossexamination continued

20

Plaintiff's Evidence

No.9

C.H. Da Silva Crossexamination continued considerations were not present in the particulars of the vacancy.

I was aware that the sum of \$11,280: was arrived at by adding \$10,560:, Carmichael's salary or the salary of the post, plus \$720: the gratuity of the post.

I am aware that gratuity and salary are treated differently in the Ordinance No.13 of 1954. I say section 6(1) deals with salary and 6(2) deals with pensions and gratuities. The position was that separate approval had to be given for salary and for pension and gratuity. When the advertisement was prepared the salary and the gratuity were merged. I do not know that Mr. Carmichael was not paid gratuity while he was on leave.

I saw the terms of Carmichael's appointment in a contract approved by the Governor-in-Council. I did not know that Mr. Luck was an applicant before I saw the picture in the newspaper. I knew that the Board which made the appointment was going out of existence on 25th September, 1960. Applications were considered on 22.9.60 I do not know why the Board decided to select a General Manager instead of waiting I do not until the new Board was appointed. know whether my chances for selection were better with the old Board than with the new Board.

The old Board did not interview me.

#### Re-examination:

Re-examination

The members of the old Board were eligible for re-appointment. The members of the old Board were in operation for many years. I would say that the old Board were outstanding men in the community. The salary \$11,280: was approved by the Governor-in-Council in two parts. The first part \$10,560: by letter Exhibit "O" dated 22.5.57 and \$720: approved by the Governorin-Council originally in 1954 as Gratuity. It was the gratuity and salary which were combined.

On 16.10.60 I wrote the Financial Secretary. I also wrote on 8.12.60. This is a copy of the letter which I wrote. This is the reply dated 30

10

20

Letter dated 8.12.60 tendered, admitt- In the Supreme 12.1.61 Letter dated 12.1.61 ed and marked "Sl". British Guiana tendered admitted and marked "S2". I had acted as Chief Establishment Officer. I have known of no case where an officer aged 50 had sought leave to retire and Government refused the request. Memorandum dated 7.11.45 tendered, admitted and marked "T".

> Adjourned at 3.30 p.m. on Thursday 8.3.62 at 9 a.m.

Thursday 8th March, 1962 at 9 a.m.

#### Appearances as before:

# Clement Hugh Da Silva re-examined by L.A. Luckhoo says :

The new Board of the B.G. Credit Corporation was appointed towards the end of October, I could not have resigned from the 1960. Under Section 4(7) of the Ordinance Board. provided for resignation of non-official members only. In 1933 I was not aware of any age limit at that time. I had to produce my birth certificate in 1942 when Government checked the ages of all persons in the service. We each had to produce our birth certificates. I produced my birth certificate. There is an official document with my age on it. My registration with the New Widows' and Orphans' Fund. This is a certified copy of my registration. Tendered, admitted and marked Exhibit "U".

There was no requirement in the advertisement 30 In order to retire at 50 the officer for age. His head of department would say would apply. The officer would go off. The applicayes. tion would then be sent forward to the Colonial Office for formal approval. The answer would come back and the Government would sometimes 2, 3 or 4 months after send the formal letter telling the applicant of his formal approval. The vacancy notice was drafted by W. D'Andrade who was the Financial Secretary. He used the files 40 On 27.7.60 I handed a to draft the notice. copy of the draft notice to Mr. Moore on the request of the Financial Secretary.

The details of the rental of the General

Plaintiff's Evidence No.9 C.H. Da Silva Reexamination

continued

Court of

59.

Manager's house were in the minutes of the Cor-In the minutes of the meeting of poration. 26.4.60 mention is made of the rental of the General Manager's house in Peter Rose Street. These are the minutes of the meeting of 26.4.60-Tendered admitted and marked "Vl". item 7. (Item 7 read by witness). These are minutes of Tendered, admitted and marked "V2". 10.6.60. (Witness reads items 6 of the minutes of 10:6.60). These are the minutes of 1.7.60. Tendered. 10 admitted and marked "V3". (Witness reads item 4). These are the minutes of 6.9.60 tendered, admitted and marked "V4". (Witness reads item 3(e)),

By the Court

In the Supreme

Court of

British Guiana

Plaintiff's

No.9

C.H. Da Silva

Re-examination

Evidence

continued

#### By the Court:

A gratuity is the pensionable part of a salary which can be expressed in two ways. Firstly by a pensionable salary of "X" dollars. Secondly they could amalgamate gratuity and salary into one sum showing the total as nonpensionable which means that the officer draws the salary but at the end of his service he gets I now say that a gratuity is the nothing more. pension of salary and is in lieu of pension.

A pension is the sum an officer receives at the end of service calculated on the basis of length of service in months along with other factors. Notwithstanding the salary of \$11,280: attached to the post of General Manager the Governor-in-Council could under the provisions of section 6(2) attach a gravity to the All gratuities are payable quarterly and post. are based upon completed quarters of service subject to the right of the officer to elect to wait until the end of the contract to draw the The £37.10.-. gratuity might have whole sum. been quarterly payments. I do not know whether approval had ever been given for the amalgamation of the salary of \$10,560 - £2,200 per annum with the gratuity of £37.10s. per quarter by the 40 Governor-in-Council. Minutes of meeting of 29.7.60 tendered, by consent, admitted and marked "W" (from custody of Defendants). I now say that it was discussed by the Board and it was understood that the sum of \$11,280: represented an amalgamation of a salary of \$10,560: per annum and a gratuity of £37.10s. per quarter.

20

## Dr. Ramsahoye:

#### By Leave of Court:

I did not know that the Financial Secretary had stated to the B.G. Credit Corporation on 11.1.61 that his impression was that I had prepared the draft and that he had revised it. I am aware that a Mr. Colin Ridley was refused permission to retire at age 50 when I was acting Chief Establishment Officer. I would say that the refusal was temporary. I was aware that notwithstanding secondment for a definite period the Government was still entitled to recall an officer on strong grounds of public interest.

## L.A. Luckhoo by leave:

Mr. Ridley was in the middle of drafting a new complicated Drainage and Irrigation Ordinance. He applied to go at age 50. At an interview the Chief Secretary directed that the Ordinance should be finished by Mr. Ridley.

20

10

# <u>NO. 10</u>

#### EVIDENCE OF LOUIS EMILE KRANENBURG

#### LOUIS EMILE KRANENBURG on his Oath says:

I am a retired civil servant. I spent 30 years in the Public Service. I retired as Permanent Secretary in the Ministry of Communications and Works. Prior to that I was Assistant Colonial Secretary (Establishment). I was also attached to the Local Government Board in my early years.

30

I began to work with the B.G. Credit Corporation on 8.8.1954. I was appointed Secretary on 11.12.1956. I remained as Secretary until 8.4.1961. I was under contract and I served my period until 8.8.61 immediately prior to which I was on 4 months leave. While I was Secretary Exhibit "A" appeared in the newspapers. This was done with the approval of the Board. Exhibit "B" is the vacancy notice. In the Supreme Court of British Guiana

Plaintiff's Evidence

No.9

C.H. Da Silva Further Crossexamination

Further Reexamination

No.10

L.E.Kranenburg Examination

Plaintiff's Evidence

No.10

L.E.Kranenburg Examination continued

This notice was approved by the Board. I gave copies of Exhibit "B" to several persons including the Plaintiff. I was also an applicant for the post of General Manager. On 22.9.60 a meeting was held in connection with the appointment. The minutes Exhibit "D" are correct. When I returned to the meeting the Chairman informed me that Mr. Da Silva had been selected for the appointment. This was done in the presence of the whole Board. In the presence of the whole Board I was instructed to inform Mr. Da Silva accordingly and all applicants that the appointment had been filled. No member of the Board objected to these instructions. I carried out these instructions. Ι see Exhibit "E" dated 26.9.60. I signed this I showed it to the letter. I wrote it. Chairman before I despatched it. I carried the letter and I handed it to Mr. Da Silva on At the same time I took another 26.9.60. letter to Mr. D'Andrade, Financial Secretary, informing him of Mr. Da Silva's appointment. Ι handed Mr. D'Andrade the letter personally. When I gave Da Silva the letter Exhibit "E" I asked him how soon he thought he could assume Da Silva said around the middle of Decemduty. ber when he was finished with the Budget. D'Andrade was in the office at the time. This conversation took place in D'Andrade's office. I think I said that would be alright.

About one week later Da Silva telephoned me. In the course of the conversation he said that he hoped that I would prepare the service agreement for his appointment early. My recollection is that I told him that I did not have a copy of the agreement signed by Carmichael but that I knew it was in the form used by the Crown Agents. I told him that he could get a copy of the form from the Colonial Secretariat. I asked him to get a copy and put up a rought draft of the terms of his appointment for my consideration.

I received Exhibit "F" dated 3.10.60 from the Plaintiff. There was a letter and a draft agreement. I never considered the draft which Da Silva sent. The Board never considered the draft. As Secretary of the Corporation I considered the Plaintiff definitely appointed. 40

20

30

The Board never revoked the appointment. The letter of 26.9.60 is the usual way in which letters are signed by the Secretary of the Board. British Guiana I knew of no cases where Mr. Moore and I sign letters. The draft was never considered as a counter offer.

A letter from the Financial Secretary requested the Board to reconsider the appointment. Exhibit "K" is the letter. The Board considered the letter. The Board, as a result of the request, considered the application again. αU to 15.12.1960 no person other than Da Silva was On 15.12.60 I went into office a appointed. little after 9 o'clock. I was told that Mr. Luck of the Ministry of Natural Resources was in the General Manager's office. I went into him and after the usual formality Luck told me that he had come to assume duty as General Manager and that he had assumed duty and that he had already reported in writing to the Chairman. Ι told him that we had had no notification of his appointment and that I shall have to report the matter to the Chairman. I reported to the I returned to the office. Chairman. Mr.Luck was still there.

On 16.12.60 I went to the office. I found that the Board Room was locked. There was no After making enquiries I reported to the key. Chairman who suggested that I asked Mr. Luck. Ι telephoned Mr. Luck's house. He was not there. I reached him about 11.30 - 12 midday at home and he said that he would let me have the key. I got the key when Luck came about 2.55, p.m. There was a Board meeting fixed for 3 p.m. that afternoon. The Chairman was there. He was accompanied by Mr. Humphrys of Messrs. Cameron and Shepherd. They went into the Board Room. When the meeting commenced certain members enquired who was the gentleman who was not a member of the Board. The Chairman explained that he was Humphrys of Cameron and Shepherd, Solicitors of the Corporation, whom he had invited to explain certain aspects of the case which Da Silva was bringing against the Corporation. The members then objected as they had not invited Humphrys and they asked that he be asked to leave. Humphrys withdrew and he was asked to wait. The members said that they were not there to discuss

In the Supreme Court of

Plaintiff's Evidence

No.10

L.E.Kranenburg Examination continued

10

30

Plaintiff's Evidence

No.10

L.E.Kranenburg Examination continued

Crossexamination

#### Mr. Da Silva's case or to listen to advice about it but that they had come specifically to appoint a General Manager. Mr. Luck was then invited into the meeting and was asked by certain members of the Board to prepare his letter of appointment which he did. It was typed read over and I was asked by Mr. Andrew James, who was Deputy Chairman, to sign the I refused to sign the letter explainletter. ing that the letter as typed, which I was asked to sign, was not in accordance with the terms set out in a letter received by the Financial Secretary that morning notifying the conditions under which Mr. Luck was being seconded to the Corporation as General Manager. That letter was torn up by Mr. Luck. A new letter in the terms of the letter from the Financial Secretary was redrafted and actually typed by Mr. Luck and it was presented to me again with a request that I said that I would sign it it be signed. under protest which I did. I explained that I had already signed a letter appointing a General That was the letter of 26.9.60 Manager. Exhibit "E". That letter which I signed on 16.12.60 under protest was a letter appointing Mr. Luck. I alone signed that letter. I was

#### Cross-examined by Ramsahoye:

then Secretary of the Corporation.

I do not remember whether the age limit for entering the service in 1933 was 21. I know of the 1938 General Orders. I do not remember what existed in 1933. I am aware of section 7 (3) of Ordinance 13 of 1954. I would not know whether a service agreement should be signed in the manner set out in 7(3). I remember a Service Contract so signed. I have applied section 7(3) only when advised. I signed an authority with a Mr. Yerakadoo.

Mr. Carmichael received a salary of £2200: p.a. or \$840: per month. In addition he received £37.10s. as gratuity for each period of 3 months completed service. I do not know when the gratuity of Mr. Carmichael was fixed. I see Exhibit "0" I have never seen Exhibit "0" before today. This is a signed copy of letter which I wrote on the 22.4.1960 to the Manager, Barclays Bank. Tendered, admitted and marked "X". 10

20

I remember writing a letter 26.2.1958 -This is it. Tendered, admitted and marked "Y". This is an order on the Corporation on the Bank requesting the payment of Mr. Carmichael's gratuity dated 7.4.1960, Order tendered, admitted and marked "Zl", and "Z2", i.e. Order with receipt.

The advertisement for the appointment was prepared at a place other than the Corporation's place of business. I was not consulted on its terms. I see Exhibit "B". I first saw 10 Exhibit "B" at the meeting of the Board when it was discussed and approved. The Chairman pro-duced it, i.e. Mr. Moore, I do not remember if Da Silva was present. If the minutes state that Da Silva was present then the minutes must be correct. I would say that the draft was just put, read and approved. I do not remember any dissenting voice. I do not remember anyone 20 criticising the draft. I did apply for the I do not know how the draft had appointment. been prepared elsewhere. It did not worry me. I did not ask why it was done that way. Normally posts were not advertised.

Adjourned to 1.15 p.m.

Resumed at 1.15 p.m. on 8.3.62.

# LOUIS EMILE KRANENBURG on his oath says under cross-examination:

Mr. Da Silva did say he could assume duty 30 about the middle of December. I do not think that this appears in the minutes. He told me so on 26.9.60. I was present at the Board meetings subsequent to 26.9.60. I cannot say whether there was a meeting on 27.10.60. I never reported what Da Silva said to a meeting of the Board. I asked Da Silva to prepare a rough draft of the agreement. There was no reason why I could not get the form and prepare the agreement except that he was on the spot and could easily get it.

3.10.60 which I showed to the Chairman. The draft agreement was with the letter. The Chairman read it, noted it and gave it back to me.

The letter of 3.10.60 was put before ameeting

In the Supreme Court of British Guiana

Plaintiff's Evidence

No.10

L.E.Kranenburg Crossexamination continued

Plaintiff's Evidence

No.10

L.E.Kranenburg Crossexamination continued

of the Board. It was put up with a letter from Da Silva's lawyers. The letter and the draft agreement were put before the Board. The minutes of 27.10.60 are correct. I now say that Da Silva's letter and the draft agreement were submitted with a letter from the Financial Secre-I know that in December, 1960 until tary. January 1962 Da Silva held the office of Deputy Financial Secretary. Mr. Moore resigned as Chairman on 18.3.61. Mr. Fisher resigned on 24.3.61. Letter dated 6.4.61 from Financial Secretary to Secretary, Credit Corporation, tendered, admitted and marked "AA". The duties of the Official member were the same as those of There is provision for memevery other member. bers other than official members to resign. The Governor could have revoked the appointment of a member. I was a contract officer.

The paragraph about pension scheme clause 6 means that the Corporation was considering a contributory pension scheme. It was likely that the General Manager would have been eligible for contribution to a Pension Scheme if ever it was introduced. In November I was told that the Board sat and selected Mr. Luck for appointment. I was not present.

Re-examination. Re-examination:

Mr. Luck was never informed. The selection was to be reported to Government for approval in the first instance before he was informed. When 30 I was appointed in 1954 I had no agreement. Ι was appointed Secretary on 11.12.56. In July, 1959, I signed a service agreement. It was a considerable time after the appointment. It had retrospective effect. I signed the service agreement and the General Manager signed. Nobody else signed.

# By the Court:

I presume that the sum \$11,280: was made up of the salary of £2,200: per annum and the gratuity of £37.10s. per quarter. I have no recollection of the Board considering the salary in relation to the gratuity. I was ware that the Governor-in-Council had to approve of a salary above \$480: I do not know whether the

Board asked for the approval of the sum of \$11,280: I was instructed to ask the Governorin-Council for approval of Mr. Luck's appointment. I would agree that the Board could have recommended a gratuity to the holder of the post of General Manager quite apart from the salary of \$11,280: offered. The Corporation did not consider provision of section 6(1) of Ordinance 13 of 1954 in relation to this appointment. I would say that the Governor-in-Council had already assigned a salary in excess of four thousand eight hundred dollars a year.

# By Mr. Luckhoo:

The previous General Manager received \$880: per month and \$180: every three months. It would be worth \$940: each month to the General Manager. That had the sanction of the Governorin-Council.

## CASE FOR THE PLAINTIFF CLOSED.

## DEFENDANT'S EVIDENCE

# NO.11

# EVIDENCE OF JAISAY GIRDHAR

# JAISAR GIRDHAR on his oath says:

I am acting Chief Accountant of the B.G. Credit Corporation. I have been employed since November, 1955. I dealt with staff salaries including the salary of Mr.Carmichael. When Mr. Carmichael was General Manager I wrote up These are Minutes of a Meeting the cash book. of the Corporation of 26.3.57. Tendered and 30 marked "BB". It deals with a recommendation in Mr. Carmichael's salary to increase from £2,000 to £2,200: In May 1957 the approval was given by letter Exhibit "O". Mr. Carmichael received \$880: per month up to July, 1960. The last payment was \$3,420: for 4 months, April, May, June and July, 1960. Mr. Carmichael received gratuity up to March, 1960. Mr. Carmichael worked in April. He received 40 none. During May, June and July he was on

In the Supreme Court of British Guiana

Plaintiff's Evidence

No.10

L.E.Kranenburg Re-examination continued

Defendant's Evidence

No.11

Jaisar Girdhar Examination

20

leave. The gratuity was payable for every completed quarter of service. As he had only worked for April Mr. Carmichael would not get the gratuity.

from the Government on the question of salary

after Exhibit "O", i.e. in May, 1957.

I know there was an advertisement for a

ther communication was received after May, 1957.

There was no communication

Defendant's Evidence

No.11

Jaisar Girdhar Examination continued

Cross-

examination

In June - July 1960, I was a Grade A Clerk until September, 1961. After that I was made accountants (acting). In 1960 the Accountant was R. Yerrakadoo. In 1960 Mr. Yansen was Chief Accountant. They would be better acquainted with what happened in 1960 than I would.

In March, 1957, a gratuity of £37.10s. was being paid for every completed 3 months service.

Re-examination:

General Manager.

Cross-examined by Luckhoo:

Declined.

# CASE FOR THE DEFENCE CLOSED.

No.12

# JUDGES NOTES OF COUNSEL'S ADDRESSES

NO.12

Judges Notes of Counsel's Addresses 8th March 1962.

Dr. F.W.H. Ramsahoye:

Contract ultra vires.

Even if there was a concluded contract the contract was ultra vires.

Figure of \$11,280: was unauthorised merger of gratuity and salary or unauthorised figure.

Refers to section 6 of Ordinance 13 of 1954.

Advertisement shows not only payment of salary but also of pension.

20

30

10

No fur-

Submits that there was no concluded contract because there was no intention on the parties to create legal relationships.

Plaintiff was not capable of committing himself to a time of assuming duty.

In mind of plaintiff the Defendant's offer was in their letter of 26.9.60.

Submits that the Plaintiff made a counter offer in terms different from those offered.

Court of British Guiana

In the Supreme

No.12

Judges Notes of Counsel's Addresses 8th March 1962. continued

10

20

Submits that the counter offer destroyed the offer and the Plaintiff has not accepted the offer to this day.

Crossley v. Maycock (1374) 18 Ex. C.180.

Jones v. Daniel (1894) 2 Ch. 332.

Cheshire & Fifoot, Low of Contract 4th Edition p.31.

Question of Mutuality:

Plaintiff was not in a position to bind himself to the Corporation.

Refers to Halsbury - 3rd Edition Vol.8 p.69 para. 118 note (b).

Booker v. Palmer (1942) 2 All E.R. 674 p.677.

L.A. Luckhoo:

Asks for an adjournment to Monday 12.3.62. at 9 a.m.

Monday 12th March, 1962 at 9 a.m.

12th March, 1962.

L.A. Luckhoo, Q.C. replies for Plaintiff:

Case of an individual against the Credit 30 Corporation which is autonomous. Not a case of Clement Da Silva and the Government.

(1) Is Plaintiff's story to be accepted.

(2) Was there a valid contract.

No.12

Judges Notes of Counsel's Addresses 12th March 1962 continued

- (3) If there was, who is responsible for the breach.
- (4) The Defendant had the power to appoint a General Manager and if they did was the salary assigned shown to be <u>ultra</u> <u>vires</u>
- (5) Rule of Estoppel and Conditions Precedent.
- (6) Consideration of the Defence.
- (7) Question of Damages.

10

Were the terms of a valid contract in existence.

Anson's Law of Contract.

Exhibit "A" shows an invitation to the public.

In Exhibit "B" all the details of the contract. Refers to section 4 of Exhibit "B".

Appointment under section 6 of Ordinance 13 of 1954.

The Plaintiff in Exhibit "C" says that in response to Exhibit "B" he applied for appoint- 20 ment.

Refers to para.5 of Statement of Claim.

The Plaintiff's offer was accepted in the clearest possible manner.

Only communication was required to make the contract complete.

Test of acceptance is objective. It is not a subjective test.

Upton-on-Severn Rural Council v. Powell (1942) 1 All E.R. 220.

Letter of 26.9.60 is the communication. 30

Powell v. Lee (1908) 99 L.T. 284.

If no time is stated then, a reasonable

time is to be inferred. In the Supreme Court of Helsbury, 3rd Edition Vol.8, 163, para.278. British Guiana If the law principles are established then No.12 the contract is complete. Judges Notes Hillas & Co. v. Arcos (1932) 147 L.T. 503 of Counsel's at p.514. Addresses 12th March 1962 No suggestions that the Defendants required continued the Plaintiff to turn on immediately. Time not of the essence of the contract. Rossiter v. Miller (1878) 3 A.C. 1124. Never treated Refers to letter of 3.10.60. as a counter offer or a repudiation of the offer. P.1150 Branker v. Cabarra (1947) 2 All E.R. 101. Refers to Exhibit "B" para.6. Repudiation is operative only if it comes from the one side and is communicated to the other. Morrison on Repudiation of Contracts. Ch. 4 p.34 p.37 Byrne v. Van Tienhoven (1880) 5 C.P.D. 344. There was a good and binding contract. Point (3): New Board met on 27.10.60. Refers to Exhibit "K". Refers to letter of 18.10.60. Refers to Exhibit "Gl". Refers to Exhibit "G2" of 11.11.60. Point (4) Operation of Omnia Praesumuntar.

10

In the Supreme Court of Refers:

British Guiana

Broom's Legal Maxims - 9th Edition 611.

It cannot be questioned whether the Govern-or-in-Council.

Adjourned to 1.15 p.m.

Judges Notes of Counsel's Addresses 12th March 1962 continued

No.12

Resumed at 1.15 p.m.

Luckhoo, Q.C. continues:

Presumption is that the issue of the particulars had the full sanction of the Law.

The vacancy note was typed and prepared by 10 a member of the Governor-in-Council.

The letter of Appointment was in terms of the advertisement.

Subsequently the Governor-in-Council considered the whole of the matter. The letter does not raise the question of the right to appoint but only raises the question of the suitability of the appointee. Only conclusion is that the salary was approved by the Governor-in-Council.

Minutes of 25.11.60.

Financial Secretary not called.

Chairman of the Corporation not called.

Refers to Order 17 Rule 14.

The letter of the Financial Secretary was by implication approval of the salary of \$11,280:

<u>R. v. Reynolds</u> (1893) 2 Q.B.

Refers to para.19 of the Defence. Salary and Gratuity.

The gross amount of the salary advertised was approved by the Governor-in-Council in two different parts.

72.

30

Attorney General v. Great Eastern Railway (1880) 5 A.C. 473, p.478.

Says that the combination of the salary and gratuity should be considered as intra vires. Royal British Bank v. Turquand, 119 E.R. 886.

The Claim of Br. of warranty of authority could have been raised by the Plaintiff is the question of ultra vires.

Stankie v. Bank of England (1903) A.C. 114.

Br. Russian Gazette v. Associated Newspapers (1933) 2 K.B. 616.

The Defendants by their conduct are precluded from denying the existence of a valid contract between the Plaintiff and the Defendants.

Refers to the several minutes of the Defendant Corporation.

Plaintiff does not have to plead a condition precedent.

There could have been oral approval given by 20 the Governor-in-Council to the Chairman through the Financial Secretary.

Mayne on Damages.

Contract of Employment p.322.

Lindsey Queen's Hotel Limited (1919) 1 K.B. 212.

Monk v. Redwing Aircraft (1942) 1 K.B.D. 182, 186.

Hayward v. Pullinger (1950) 1 All. E.R.581.

Refers to statement of claim.

Loss of salary.

Loss of House Rent.

Loss of Leave Passage.

In the Supreme Court of British Guiana

No.12

Judges Notes of Counsel's Addresses 12th March 1962 continued

Powell v. Lee

Guiana Refers to Independence of Corporation.

No.12 Ramsahoye: In reply:

On question of damages.

Judges Notes of Counsel's Addresses 12th March 1962 continued

Plaintiff was holding employment as Deputy Financial Secretary.

Plaintiff suffered no loss.

Adjourned to Monday 19th March, 1962 for Decision.

19th March 1962 Monday 19th March, 1962.

Appearances as before.

Written Judgment delivered.

The Action is dismissed. The Plaintiff is ordered to bear his own costs and to pay one half of the taxed costs of the Defendant Corporation certified fit for counsel.

Luckhoo applies for stay of execution for 6 weeks.

No objection by Ramsahoye.

Stay of execution granted for 6 weeks. 20

H.A. FRASER 19.3.62.

# (Title as No.1)

#### JUDGMENT

"The law of Contract," Chitty said, "is "concerned with those undertakings between man "and man which the law will, if necessary, en-"force in case either of the parties fails to "carry out his bargain in order that the legiti-"mate expectation of the other in the realiza-"tion of his object may not be defeated." 10 That precise statement of the law accurately describes both the ancient and the modern concept of the right of each individual to be his own legislator; but the growth of society and the development of commerce have created an artificial juristic person in the form of a corporation which, although inanimate, has perpetual succession and is invested with the capacity of acting in several respects as an individual according to the powers conferred upon it by the 20 instrument of its creation. The principles of law upon which the liability of a corporation is to be decided, as far as it is necessary for the decision of this case, are very clear and well settled, although, perhaps, in practice, not always steadily kept in view.

The Defendant is a statutory corporation established by the British Guiana Credit Corporation Ordinance, 1954 - No.13 of 1954. The Plaintiff, at the time the Writ was filed, was an official member of the Defendant Corporation. He then held the office of Deputy Financial Secretary in the public service of this country and continued to hold that office until 21st January, 1962, when he retired after 28 years service. He now receives a pension and is not He is 51 years old. employed.

The Plaintiff seeks a declaration that he is the General Manager of the Defendant Corporation by virtue of his appointment to that post 40 by the Board of the Corporation. Alternatively. the Plaintiff claims from the Defendant Corporation the sum of \$100,000: as damages for breach of a contract to employ him as its General

In the Supreme Court of British Guiana

#### No.13

Judgment 19th March 1962

No.13

Judgment 19th March 1962 continued Manager. There is no claim for specific performance because an ordinary contract for personal services is not so enforceable; but it seems that the claim for a declaration in the terms sought by the Plaintiff is intended to achieve the purpose of a decree of specific performance and I should say at once that the Court will not make a declaratory order in those circumstances.

When the hearing commenced on 28th 10 February, Dr. Ramsahoye submitted that the interrogatories answered by Mr. J.H. McB.Moore, a former Chairman of the Defendant Corporation, should be removed from the record on two grounds. Firstly, he submitted, the order directing the interrogatories made by Mr. Justice Bollers, was bad for want of form and was therefore a nullity; and, secondly, Mr. Moore had ceased to be a member of the Defendant Corporation for several months be-20 fore he actually answered the interrogatories. I do not agree with the submission. Mr. Moore had resigned from the Board on 18th March, 1961. The interrogatories were answered on 26th October, 1961. Assuming, without deciding, that the Order made by Mr. Justice Bollers was defective in form objection could have been taken on summons at any time under the provisions of Order 26 Rule 11 of the Rules of the Supreme Court, 1955. 30 With regard to the second limb of the submission the position is that the Court has jurisdiction to make the order if the person ordered is an officer of the corporation at the time of the making of the Order - see The Madrid Bank -v- Bayley (1866) 2 Q.B. 37. Once that order is made it must be complied with unless varied and I have seen no authority that allows the exemption of an officer 40 who retires before compliance. Quite apart from this however, the solicitor for the Defendant Corporation, subsequently to Mr. Moore's retirement, made two applications on the ground of illness for an extension of time for Mr. Moore to answer the interrogatories. Both applications were granted. Decision on this submission was reserved and there being no merit I made no order; but it is not without interest to recall that no

reference whatsoever was made to the interrogatories by either counsel throughout the trial.

I now turn to the case. The issues are not involved. They fit narrowly into two propositions and I cannot but comment on the length of time devoted to evidence in a situation that fully justified an agreement on the facts except proof of damage. The two propositions are these: (1) Whether there was mutuality in the contract; and (2) Whether the contract was ultra vires the Defendant Corporation.

On the question of mutuality it was submitted that the Plaintiff's acceptance was not final in that he made a counter offer to the Defendant Corporation and that in any event there was no intention between the parties to create legal relations by the correspondence exchange. On this issue the facts are as follows :- pursuant to an advertisement appearing in the Daily Chronicle on 6th August, 1960, the Plaintiff obtained from the secretary of the Defendant-Corporation particulars of the vacancy of the post of General Manager. In the Statement of particulars the salary and allowances as well as the conditions and duration of service were set out. By letter dated 24th August, 1960, the Plaintiff applied for the post. In all there were 26 applicants for the post. At a properly constituted meeting of the Board held on 22nd September, 1960, the Plaintiff who was not in attendance was selected for appointment. It is important to reproduce the record of what occurred. The minutes read :-

> "(iii) Appointment of a General Manager, vice Mr. W.G. Carmichael: As the secretary was one of the applicants for the position, he withdrew from the meeting while this item was being considered.

> > All applications which had been received as a result of the advertisement published locally and in the West Indies were then carefully considered, and Mr. Clement H. Da Silva, now Deputy Financial Secretary and Official Member of the

In the Supreme Court of British Guiana

No.13

Judgment 19th March 1962 continued

20

10

30

No.13

Judgment 19th March 1962 continued Board, was chosen for the appointment. It was decided that Mr. Da Silva be notified and Government be advised of the appointment; all the unsuccessful applicants to be notified that the position had been filled.

On 26th September, 1960, Mr. L.E. Kranenburg, the secretary of the corporation handed the Plaintiff personally a letter in these terms:

"26th September, 1960.

Mr.C.H. Da Silva etc.

Dear Sir,

With reference to your letter of 24th August, 1960, applying for the vacant post of General Manager of this Corporation I am pleased to inform you that a meeting of the Corporation held on Thursday, 22nd September, 1960, you were selected for the appointment on the terms and conditions as advertised; and I shall be glad to be informed as early as possible, how soon you would be able to take up the appointment.

Yours faithfully,

L.E. Kranenburg Secretary"

On 3rd October, 1960, the Plaintiff replied in these terms:

30

"3rd October, 1960.

Dear Sir,

## Appointment as General Manager

I thank you for your letter of 26th September informing me of my selection for appointment as General Manager. I enclose a draft agreement of service which I shall enter in with the Corporation. I accept 20

the appointment.

I am reporting the position to the Government with a view to release as early Meanwhile I would ask that as possible. no official announcement be made by the Corporation.

Yours Sincerely,

# C.H.Da Silva".

Attached to this letter there was a draft agreement of service which included some terms which 10 had not appeared in the statement of particulars of the vacancy supplied to the plaintiff by the secretary, and others which varied some of the terms in the statement. The Plaintiff explained the circumstances of his sending the draft agreement. I believe him; but those circumstances have no relevance to the legal effect of the documents sent by the Plaintiff on 3rd October.

Dr. Ramsahoye cited two cases which are 20 precisely on the point and prima facie might appear to resolve the issue. In the case of Jones v. Daniel (1894) 70 L.T. (n.s.) 588 the letter of acceptance by the vendor's solicitor stated that the vendor had accepted the offer and that a contract was enclosed for signature by the purchaser. The contract carried terms which were not in the offer made. In an action for specific performance it was held that there was no contract between the parties. - Similarly 30 in the case of <u>Crossley v. Maycock</u> (1874) L.R. 18 Eq. C 180, the vendors wrote to the intending purchasers as follows:

> "......which offer we accept and now hand you two copies of conditions of sale and therewith enclosed a formal agreement with conditions of a special character."

It was held that the acceptance was conditional and therefore there was no contract.

In considering this aspect of the matter I wish to say that in my view a contract between parties is substantially a matter of intention

In the Supreme Court of British Guiana

No.13

Judgment 19th March 1962 continued

No.13

Judgment 19th March 1962 continued

and behaviour and while judicial authority prescribes the principles to be applied it is unsafe to adopt and apply a conclusion drawn from a set of facts in one case to those of another case even though apparently similar. In this case there is a feature which I consider relevant and which in my mind creates a difference. The Plaintiff's letter of 3rd October was read at a meeting of the Board on 27th October, 1960. According to Mr. Kranenburg the draft agreement was attached to it at the time but it does not appear from the minutes that the terms of the draft were considered. The reason for this was, it seems, that immediately after the Plaintiff's letter was read the Chairman read another letter dated 18th October, 1960, from the Financial Secretary to the Chairman of the That letter was as follows: Corporation.

"18th October, 1960.

Sir,

With reference to the Secretary's letter of 26th September and our subsequent conversations on the subject of filling the vacant post of General Manager of the Corporation, I am directed to inform you that the matter was considered by the Governor-in-Council.

2. I am to ask the Board of the Corporation to re-examine the recommendation made as the Government is anxious that the best person available be obtained for If the Board wishes to have the post. the qualifications of any of the candidates residing in the West Indies further investigated, the Chief Secretary would be glad to enlist the aid of the Government of the territory in which the candi-If the Board is not date is residing. satisfied that any of the persons who have so far applied is suitable, the vacancy should be re-advertised over a wide field.

3. I should be grateful if you would

20

10

30

put the matter to your Board accordingly.

I have etc.

W.A. D'Andrade Financial Secretary.

After the two letters were read it was decided to consider the matter at a special meeting to be held on 4th November. It is not clear whether the matter was considered at that meeting but in the minutes of a meeting held on llth November it is recorded as follows:

"10. Appointment of a General Manager:

The matter was considered in terms of the Financial Secretary letter No. F.S.G. 283/55 II dated 18th October 1960, (see paragraph 8 of the minutes of Members Meeting, 27th October 1960.)

The qualifications training and experience of all the candidates were reviewed exhaustively by the Board who unanimously agreed that Mr. G.E. Luck, Permanent Secretary, Ministry of Natural Resources, British Guiana, was suitable for the post and should be appointed.

It was decided, however, not to offer Mr. Luck the appointment until the Governor-in-Council had been informed of the decision and had approved the selection."

It seems clear that the Plaintiff's letter of 30 3rd October had made no impact on the Board either as an acceptance of an offer or as a conditional acceptance. By 27th October, except for the Chairman and a single member, a new crew had manned the decks of the Board, a sight was taken on a new tangent and the ship had altered its course. The Plaintiff's appointment was not a matter of moment at the meeting of 27th October and I am satisfied that the form of his acceptance was not consid-40 ered by the Board then or at any time afterwards

In the Supreme Court of British Guiana

No.13

Judgment 19th March 1962 continued

20

No.13

Judgment 19th March 1962 continued

The Plaintiff said that the revised terms included in the draft were not intended as a counter-offer. He conceded however that he wished that the hopes he expressed in that form might be fulfilled. He said he was quite prepared to take the appointment on the terms set out in the statement of particulars. Mr. Da Silva had hoped to retire. He expected a gratuity of \$15,625: and an annual pension of \$3,750. In addition, his own house was let for \$325: and by virtue of his appointment as General Manager he was likely to obtain the facility of a free partly furnished house of a rental value of \$225: Above all this he was to receive an annual salary \$11,280: as General Manager. In these circumstances can it be said that he was making a counter-offer? Т think not. I believe his evidence on this The whole web of the defence on this point. aspect arose ex post facto and had no factual bearing on the behaviour and intentions of the Board on 27th October or subsequently.

Dr. Ramsahoye also urged that the Plaintiff was in no position to accept the post of General Manager because he did not intend to resign and his secondment or retirement depended upon a number of factors over which he had no control. I do not think there is much weight in this submission. If there was a contract which the Plaintiff could not perform 30 he may have been liable for a breach but the probability or the inevitability of a breach of contract has no causal influence on an intenif it did, it tion to create legal relations; will be impossible to establish a breach of contract unless it can be shown that the party in breach was able to perform at the time the contract was made. The whole fabric of the law of contract would become weakened and a wide door would be left open for dishonourable 40 In the absence of a stipulation as dealing. to time of performance a reasonable time must be presumed and the circumstances of each case must determine what is a reasonable time.

In paragraph 19(b) of the defence it is pleaded that the Secretary was not legally entitled to write the letter of 26th September, 1960. I construe this to mean that if the

20

letter of 26th September 1960 is considered to be the Defendant Corporation's offer then the secretary had no authority to sign it or alternatively that the purported offer of the Defendant Corporation was not made in accordance with the Ordinance. If this is so, the Defendent Corporation would be entitled to contend that there was an absence of mutuality. A veiled suggestion of this was made in the crossexamination of Mr. L.E. Kranenburg and copies of two letters were tendered to show the manner in which documents are executed by the corporation on a special authority. Dr. Ramsahoye did not address on this aspect of the defence but it must nonetheless be considered.

At common law a corporation could only bind itself by contract under the common seal except in some slight matters of service, e.g. the employment of a cook or gardener. It is however 20 not necessary to use the common seal if the incorporating statute specifically provides for an alternative method of signifying assent. As I understand the position the common seal can always be used on the proper authority being given but the absence of the seal will not affect the element of mutuality if the contract is executed strictly in accordance with the formalities prescribed in the Ordinance - see The Queen v. The Justices of Cumberland, (1847) 17 Q.B. 102. In the case of Ernest v. Nicholls, (1857) 6 H.L.C. 30 401, Lord Wensleydale said at p.419:

> "All persons, therefore, must take notice of the deed and the provisions of the Act. If they do not choose to acquaint themselves with the powers of the directors, it is their own fault, and if they give credit to any unauthorised persons they must be contented to look to them only, and not to the company at large. The stipulations of the deed, which restrict and regulate their authority, are obligatory on those who deal with the company; and the directors can make no contract so as to bind the whole body of shareholders for whose protection the rules are made, unless they are strictly complied with."

In the case of Fountaine v. Carmarthen Railway

In the Supreme Court of British Guiana

No.13

Judgment 19th March 1962 continued

10

No.13

Judgment 19th March 1962 continued <u>Co.</u>, (1868) 5 L.R. 316 Sir W. Page Wood, V.C. said at pp.321 - 332:

"..... where there is merely a power vested in directors to act for the company in certain special emergencies, the Court is obliged to consider all acts of directors by which it is sought to bind the company as being the acts of agents ..... and in the case of companies where directors are the special agents of the company, and do not possess the power of affixing the corporate seal except under certain prescribed rules, a person who deals with the directors is taken to have notice of the rules ..... and if there be anything to be done which can only be done by the directors under certain limited powers, the person who deals with the directors must see that those limited powers are not being exceeded."

In Chitty on Contracts, 21st Edition, page 35 it is stated:

"The last requisite to the agreement of the parties is that the assent should be mutual. This may mean one of at least two things; either (a) that the parties must be agreed on the same thing in the same sense; or (b) that there must be, in a simple contract at lease, obligations on both sides and not on one side only. There must be reciprocity of obligation."

And at page 36:

"Lack of Mutuality: Whenever it appears that, if the contract were not binding on both parties at the time it was made, this want of mutuality would leave one party without a valid and available consideration for his promise, then the contract will be void."

It has long been settled that in order to bind a corporation the agreement must be under seal or executed in the prescribed manner. 20

10

30

Section 7 of the British Guiana Credit Corporation Ordinance reads as follows:-

> "7(1) The seal of the Corporation shall be kept in the custody of the Chairman or the Deputy Chairman or the Secretary of the Corporation and may be affixed to instruments pursuant to a resolution of the Corporation in the presence of the Chairman or Deputy Chairman and the Secretary.

> (2) The seal of the Corporation shall be authenticated by the signature of the Chairman, or Deputy Chairman and the Secretary.

(3) All documents, other than those required by law to be under seal made by, and all decisions of, the Corporation may be signified under the hand of the Chairman or Deputy Chairman or General Manager and the Secretary.

Section 13 of the Ordinance as repealed and reenacted by section 2 of the Eritish Guiana Credit Corporation (Amendment) Ordinance, 1955-No.13 of 1955 - provides as follows for the execution of documents:

> 13. Any transport, mortgage, lease, assignment, transfer, agreement, or other document requiring to be executed by the Corporation, or any cheque, bill of exchange or order for the payment of money requiring to be executed by the Corporation shall be deemed to be duly executed if signed by a person or persons specially or generally authorised by resolution of the Corporation so to sign."

Having considered those two sections the position seems to me to be this: In order to bind the Defendant Corporation the letter of 26th September should bear the common seal in manner provided by section 7 or should be signed by some person or persons specially or generally authorised by a resolution of the corporation. There is nothing to indicate In the Supreme Court of British Guiana

Judgment 19th March 1962 continued

10

20

30

No.13

No.13

Judgment 19th March 1962 continued

that Mr. L.E. Kranenburg as secretary was ever alone specially authorised to sign for the corporation. On the contrary the copies of the two letters tendered by the Defendant Corporation show that the Secretary and the Chief Accountant were given special authority. In the case of A.R.Wright and Son Ltd. v. Romford Corporation (1956) 3 All B.R. 785 there was an agreement in writing but not under seal, signed by the borough engineer on behalf of the corporation and being made in accordance with the corporation's standing orders, it was contended that it was valid by virtue of section 266(2) of the Local Government Act, 1933, which required a contract to be so made, and because the corporation could appoint and had validly appointed the borough surveyor to execute the written contract on their behalf under section 74(2) of the Law of Property Act, 1925. In giving judgment Lord Goddard said at p. 788:

> ..... "but section 74(2) of the Act of 1925 cannot, in my opinion, in any way validate an agreement which is not under seal and does not fall within the recognised exceptions, unless, indeed, it be made under some authority conferred by statute on the particular corporation. Although it may be distasteful to give effect to a technical defence of this description, it is a valid defence in law, and it accordingly follows that the action cannot be maintained."

This principle was also considered in the case of Cope v. The Thames Haven Dock and Railway Co. (1849) 3 Ex. R. 841. The head-note reads as follows:

A Railway Company was incorporated by an Act of Parliament, one section of which enacted, that the directors should have power to use the common seal on behalf of the Company, and that all contract relating to the affairs of the Company, signed by three directors in pursuance of a resolution of a court of directors, should be binding on the Company. The following section enacted, that the 10

20

directors should have full power to employ all such managers, officers, agents, clerks, workmen, and servants as they should think proper.

By resolution of the board of directors, signed by their chairman, the plaintiff was appointed agent to negotiate with another railway for the lease of the line :-

10

Held, that the contract was not binding on the Company, it not having been sealed, or executed with the required formalities.

For the reasons given I must find that there was a lack of mutuality between the parties on the sole ground that no enforceable offer or acceptance was made by the Defendant corporation for the reason that the document dated 26th September, 1960 was not executed by the corporation in the manner required by section 7 or section 13 of the Ordinance.

I now turn to the second proposition and that is whether the contract was <u>ultra vires</u> the Defendant Corporation. I propose to deal with this matter on the assumption that my finding on the first proposition is wrong and that therefore the contract is in other respects validly enforceable. This proposition is pleaded in paragraph 19(d) of the statement of defence.

30 Sub-sections 1 and 2 of section 6 of the Ordinance reads as follows:

Appointment 6(1) The Corporation shall of General appoint and employ at such re-Manager, muneration and on such terms and Secretary, conditions as they think fit a Officers General Manager, a Secretary and such other officers and such and servants. servants as they deem necessary for the proper carrying out of the provisions of this Ordinance:

Provided	that no	salary	in
excess of the	ne rate	of four	
thousand ei	ght hund	lred doll	ars

In the Supreme Court of British Guiana

No.13

Judgment 19th March 1962 continued

20

No.13

Judgment 19th March 1962 continued

		shall					
		c this					
the	prior	approv	ral	of	the	Gove	rnor-
in-C	ouncil	- •					

.

(2) No provisions shall be made for the payment of any pensions, gratuities or other like benefits to the General Manager, the Secretary, other officers, servants or to other persons by reference to their service without the prior approval of the Governor-in-Council.

On behalf of the Plaintiff it is contended that the Defendant Corporation has the power to appoint and that the stipulation regarding assignment of the salary does not affect the In the light of the power to appoint. authorities that contention is untenable. The appointing process necessarily involves the assignment of a salary. Subsection (1) does not permit an appointment to be made without remuneration and it has long been established that the powers of a corporation are only such as are conferred by the statute. It cannot therefore be contended that a corporation can do anything which is not specifically prohibited by the statute. It is true that by virtue of section 6 of the Defendant Corporation has unfettered power to contract for matters like a free furnished house, for travelling allowance and for leave; but how effectively can the corporation exercise that power if the power to fix the salary is fettered by a condition precedent? The power cannot be exercised unless the condition precedent is fulfilled. In the case of Attorney General v. Lord Mayor of City of Sheffield (1912) 106 L.T. 367 Eve, J. said at p.370:

> "One must look at the transaction as a whole, and the fact, if indeed it be a fact that the Defendants have power to do a part of the act will not legalise the act if its completion involves at any stage a step ultra vires of the corporation."

I wish to adopt what was said in Hattersley v.

20

10

30

The Earl of Shellburne (1862) 31 L.J.R. 873. Vice Chancellor Kindersley said at p. 878:

> "But it is clear, that all the matters that are specifically agreed to be done by this agreement are all component parts of one design, and for the purpose of accomplishing one single end. They are different wheels and levers and springs of one complete machine, by which the object of that machine is to be worked; and if it were not for accomplishing that one object none of these details would have been agreed upon or made the subject of any stipulations."

Before dealing with the facts in the case before me there are two other cases to which I wish to The first is the case of Davis v. Correfer. poration of Leicester (1893) 2 Ch. D.208. The facts as set out in the headnote were these:

subject to the conditions.

In March, 1888, a municipal corpora-

The proper

and

The

tion offered for sale by auction, in lots, some of their corporate land, subject to special conditions restricting the right of each purchaser to build on his lot. At the auction none of the lots were sold. In June, 1888, the Plaintiff entered into a contract to purchase two of the lots,

steps were taken to obtain the approval of

the treasury, which is required by sects. 108 109, of the Municipal Corporations Act, 1882, to enable a municipal corpora-

that approval was given in the ordinary way by two Lords of the Treasury joining

those conditions, or to the previous abortive sale. There was no covenant by the corporation binding them by the conditions as regarded the unsold lots, and the Treasury were not, before they gave their approval, informed that the corporation would be liable to any such restriction.

tion to sell their corporate land;

in the conveyance to the Plaintiff. conveyance contained a covenant by the Plaintiff in the terms of the restrictive conditions, but there was no reference to

20

10

30

40

In the Supreme Court of British Guiana

No.13

Judgment 19th March 1962 continued

#### No.13

Judgment 19th March 1962 continued The Corporation afterwards contracted with the trustees of a church to sell to them two others of the lots, and authorised them to build on those lots in a manner inconsistent with the conditions:-

Held, by North, J., and by the Court of Appeal, that, if the corporation had been ordinary individuals, they would have been bound by the original building scheme, and that they, and the trustees, who had purchased with notice of the scheme, must have been restrained from building or permitting building on the lots purchased by the trustees, in a manner inconsistent with the conditions:

But held, that the Treasury had only given their approval to what was to be found in the conveyance, and that they had not sanctioned the disposition by the corporation in favour of the Plaintiff of any right over other land than that which was conveyed to him, and consequently that neither the corporation nor the trustees could be restrained from violating the conditions.

In delivering judgment Kay, L.J., said at p. 235:

"Therefore the case is reduced to this, that the Plaintiff is now seeking to bind the corporation and the other Defendants who have purchased other lands from the corporation by an implied agreement from the building scheme, which he says was a term and condition of the disposition of the land to him which he bought, which alleged implied agreement and which terms and conditions have never been submitted to the Treasury, and, of course, have not been approved by them. The answer is that such a thing would be ultra vires of the corporation, because, as I read sect. 109, such terms and conditions attached to the sale of the particular lands which the Plaintiff bought could not be made valid and binding upon the corporation without the approval of the Treasury."

20

10

30

The other case is Pacific Coast Coal Mines, Lim. v. Arbuthnot (1917) 86 L.J.P.C. 172. In that case a company incorporated under the Companies Acts of British Columbia entered into an agreement which was admitted to be ultra vires, and obtained a private Act of the provincial Legislature to validate it. The Act "validated, ratified, and confirmed" the agreement, "subject to the same being adopted by a resolution passed by 75 per cent of the shareholders of 10 the Company present personally or by proxy, at any meeting of the shareholders of the said Company called for that purpose, and for the purpose of authorizing the issue of the said debentures, after the 14th day of February, 1911", which was the date of the petition for the passing of the private Act:- The Privy Council held that the condition imposed by the statute was not one of internal management only, the non-observance of which could be cured by the acquiescence of the shareholders, but was a condition of the agreement becoming inter vires; and unless it was literally and in reality fulfilled the agreement remained ultra vires of the company, and incapable of being carried out. In delivering the judgment of the Court Viscount Haldane said at p.176:-

> "But the case stands guite otherwise when the act is one which has not, by the constitution of the corporation, been put within its power excepting on the fulfilment of a condition. In that event the persons dealing with the corporation are bound to ascertain whether the condition has been fulfilled. The question which alternatively applies is, of course, one of construction of the statute authoris-Their Lordships are coming the act. pelled to dissent from the view taken by the Judges of the Court of Appeal on this point, and to hold, with Mr. Justice Clement, who tried the action, that unless the condition prescribed by the words --cited from the private Act was literally and in reality fulfilled the agreement remained, what it undoubtedly was apart from the Act, ultra vires of the appellant company."

In the Supreme Court of British Guiana

Judgment 19th March 1962 continued

20

30

40

I might perhaps also mention an Irish Case the

No.13

Judgment 19th March 1962 continued report of which I have not seen but it is referred to in The Doctrine of Ultra Vires by Street. It is the case of Holmes v. Trench, (1898) 1 Ir. 319 in which an Asylum Board entered into a contract for the purchase of land without first obtaining an Order in Council. It was held that the whole transaction was a nullity and not capable of ratification.

The proviso to subsection 1 of section 6 10 of the Ordinance states:

"Provided that no salary in excess of the rate of \$4,800 per annum shall be assigned to any person under this subsection without the prior approval of the Governor in Council."

I construe this provision as a condition precedent to the exercise of the power conferred by subsection (1) to fix remuneration and appoint. It is not permissive it is imperative. It prohibits the assignment of a salary until approval is given by the Governor-in-Council and it indicates, in my judgment, that the Governor-in-Council was to have the means of satisfying himself as to the conduct of the Board, and, if he thought proper, of contesting the intended provision of salary.

There is no doubt whatever that the Governor-in-Council never approved of the salary of \$11,280: which the Defendant Corporation assigned to the post of General Manager in the advertisement of 6th August, 1960, and in the statement of particulars. Mr. Luckhoo submitted that the salary of \$11,280: was an amalgamation of the approved salary \$10,560: per annum and the approved gratuity of \$180: per completed quarter of resident service. Alternatively, he submitted that the Court should presume that approval was given because the documents of the Defendant Corporation refer to the salary of the post as \$11,280: per annum. These submissions bear little relation to the meat of the Plaintiff's case and it seemed to me that up to the time when his examination-in-chief was finished the

20

30

No.13

Plaintiff genuinely believed that specific approval had been given by the Governor-in-Council for a salary of \$11,280: (quite apart from gratuity) during Mr. Carmichael's tour of office. In examination-in-chief on the morning of 1st March the Plaintiff said in reply to Mr. Luckhoo:

10

20

Early in his cross-examination on the following day 2nd March, the Plaintiff said:

"I am aware of the emoluments of Mr.Carmichael. He received a salary of \$880: plus a gratuity of \$60: per month. This was \$940: per month or \$11,280 per year. His gratuity was settled by the Governorin-Council when he was first employed. Ι do not know that Mr. Carmichael's original salary was fixed by the Governor-in-Council at \$300: per month. I know that the Governor-in-Council approved a salary of \$880: for the General Manager of the British Guiana Credit Corporation. This is a certificate from the Treasury dated 22nd May, 1957 showing the salary of the General Manager as £2200: (\$10,560).

I am aware of the provision of section 6 of Ordinance 13 of 1954. I know that at the commencement of Carmichaël's appointment he was granted a gratuity of £37.10s. (\$180:) per quarter by the Governor-in-Council.

From 22nd May, 1957 to the 6th August, 1960 I know that the Governor-in-Council did not reconsider the salary of the post of General Manager. So far as I know there was no reconsideration up to the date of the writ on 13th December, 1960

In the Supreme Court of British Guiana

No.13

Judgment 19th March 1962 continued

No.13

Judgment 19th March 1962 continued Towards the end of his cross-examination the Plaintiff said:

"I was aware that gratuity and salary are treated differently in the Ordinance, No.13 of 1954. I say section 6(1) deals with salary and 6(2) deals with pensions and gratuities. The position was that separate approval had to be given for salary and for pension and gratuity. When the advertisement was prepared the salary and the gratuity were merged."

In re-examination the Plaintiff said:

"The salary \$11,280: was approved by the Governor-in-Council in two parts. The first part \$10,560: by letter Exhibit "O" dated 22nd May, 1957 and \$720: approved by the Governor-in-Council originally in 1954 as gratuity. It was the gratuity and salary which were combined."

In answer to the Court the Plaintiff later said that he did not know if the Governor-in-Council had given approval for the amalgamation of the salary of £2,200 per annum (\$10,560) and the gratuity of £37.10s. per quarter (\$180). His evidence as to the absence of approval by the Governor-in-Council is supported by Jaisar Girdhar, the acting-Chief Accountant of the Defendant Corporation. He said that no communication had been received by the Defendant Corporation from the Governor-in-Council on the question of salary since 22nd May, 1957. Mr. Kranenburg said that the Defendant Corporation did not consider the provision of section 6(1) in relation to the appointment of the Plaintiff.

It is easy to understand what happened. The Plaintiff mistakingly believed the salary of the post was \$11,280: and had been approved by the Governor-in-Council. At that meeting of 29th July, 1960, no one remembered the necessity to fulfill the condition precedent prescribed by the Ordinance. It was urged that the approval of a gratuity of £37.10s. per completed quarter of service and the approval of a salary of £2,200 per annum as 20

10

30

stated in the letter of 22nd May, 1957, should be treated as an approval to pay a salary of \$11,280: or at least emoluments of \$11,280: To accept this proposition is to do violence to the plain words of the Ordinance in which a salary is dealt with in a provision to sub-section (1) and a gratuity is dealt with in subsection (2). Moreover, both the Plaintiff and Mr. Kranenburg agreed that even if the salary of \$11,280: had been approved the Governor-in-Council was at liberty to approve a gratuity in I need only refer to what Brett, addition. L.J. said in R. v. Postmaster General (1878) 3 Q.B.D. 428 at p. 431:

> "That annual emolument is the value of his appointment ..... if he receives a salary and something additional by way of remuneration, the value of the appointment must be the salary and anything which he gains by the remuneration."

A clear distinction must be drawn between, remuneration, salary, gratuity, and emoluments. The emoluments are the gross value of the appointment and comprise salary, gratuity and remuneration. Salary is the reward monthly or annually for the service to be rendered, and includes reward during periods of leave. Gratuity is an acknowledgment of service and is the pensionable element of a salary which in this case can only accrue on completed quarterly periods of service. Remuneration includes free furnished quarters, travelling allowances and other benefits of a money value.

Mr. Luckhoo urged that the Defendant Corporation did not plead the failure to perform the condition precedent and therefore by virtue of rule 14 of Order 17 of the Rules of the Supreme Court, the performance of that condition must be implied. This proposition is There is a world of difference without merit. between a condition precedent to the existence of a cause of action and a condition precedent to the exercise of a right of action. The former is a matter of substantive law and the latter a matter of adjective law. The one a matter of substance in the formation of a personal right the other a matter of procedure in

In the Supreme Court of British Guiana

No.13

Judgment 19th March 1962 continued

20

10

30

Judgment 19th March 1962 continued the vindication of the right in a court. This view is supported by the Annual Practice in a note on rule 14 of Order 19 which is the same in working as rule 14 of Order 17. It is there stated:

> "But an allegation which is of the "essence of the cause of action is not "a condition precedent within the mean-"ing of this Rule, and must still be "pleaded in the statement of claim."

I must find that the contract, if it was made, is <u>ultra vires</u> the Defendant Corporation and is therefore void and wholly unenforceable for the reason that the prior approval of the Governor-in-Council was not obtained for the assignment of the salary of \$11,280 per annum to the post of General Manager as prescribed in the proviso to section 6(1) of the Ordinance. The condition precedent was not fulfilled.

On the question of costs I should have felt constrained to deprive the Defendant corporation of its costs if the Plaintiff was a person unconnected with the corporation. The facts disclose a miserable lack of courtesy on the part of the Defendant Corporation. They disclose a disregard for administrative No replies had been sent to the propriety. Plaintiff's letter nor to the letter by the Plaintiff's solicitor. Indeed, the last communication received by the Plaintiff was the letter informing him of his selection for the post of General Manager. These circumstances are, however, not meet to be considered in awarding costs unless they can be said to have contributed to the Plaintiff's The Plaintiff was a member of the position. Defendant Corporation throughout the material period and was in part responsible for its omissions. It was his duty to ascertain whether the condition had been fulfilled see Pacific Coast Coal Mines v. Arbuthnot, To say that the Plaintiff was entitlsupra. ed to assume that things were properly done is to misjudge the position. Wright, J., said in Liggett v. Barclay Bank (1928) 1 K.B. 48 at p.56:

10

20

30

"Whatever may be the exact scope of the rule in Turquand's case I think it is quite clear on principle and on the authorities I have already referred to that it can never be relied upon by a person who is put on enquiry."

Having regard however to the issues raised and to the presentation of those issues before the Court I consider that justice would be served by disallowing a portion of the Defendant's costs.

The action is therefore dismissed. The Plaintiff is ordered to bear his own costs and to pay one half of the taxed costs of the Defendant Corporation certified fit for counsel.

> H.A. Fraser Puisne Judge

Dated this 19th day of March, 1962.

SOLICITORS:

20 Evelyn A. Luckhoo for the Plaintiff Sase Narain for the Defendant.

NO.14

(Title as NO.1)

FORMAL JUDGMENT

THIS ACTION having come on for hearing on

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE FRASER. Monday the 19th day of March, 1962. Entered the 7th day of April, 1962.

30

the 28th day of February, the 1st, 2nd, 6th,8th and 12th days of March,1962 and on this day AND UPON HEARING Counsel for the Plaintiff and for the Defendant and the evidence adduced and the Court having ordered that judgment be entered for the Defendant for one half  $(\frac{1}{2})$  costs of Defence THEREFORE IT IS THIS DAY ADJUDGED that the Plaintiff recover nothing against the Defendants and that the Defendants do recover against the Plaintiff one half  $(\frac{1}{2})$  of their costs of Defence to be taxed certified fit for Counsel.

BY THE COURT ADITYA T. SINGH DEPUTY REGISTRAR. In the Supreme Court of British Guiana

No.13

Judgment 19th March 1962 continued

No.14

Formal Judgment 19th March, 1962

10

In the Federal Supreme Court	NO. 15					
	NOTICE OF APPEAL					
No. 15						

## IN THE FEDERAL SUPREME COURT

Notice of Appeal 28th April 1962

## APPELLATE JURISDICTION

Territory: BRITISH GUIANA

## CIVIL APPEAL NO.25 of 1962.

BETWEEN: CLEMENT HUGH DA SILVA (PLAINTIFF)

- and -

BRITISH GUIANA CREDIT CORPORATION

(DEFENDANTS) 10 RESPONDENTS.

30

## NOTICE OF APPEAL

TAKE NOTICE that the Appellant (Plaintiff) being dissatisfied with the whole decision in action numbered 2079 of 1960, Demerara, more fully stated in paragraph 2 hereof of the Supreme Court contained in the judgment or order of the Honourable Mr. Justice Fraser dated the 19th day of March, 1962, doth hereby appeal to the Federal Court upon the grounds set out in paragraph 3 and 20 will at the hearing of the appeal seek the relief set out in paragraph 4.

AND the Appellant further states that the names and addresses including his own of the persons directly affected by the appeal are those set out in paragraph 5.

2. Decision complained of: The whole decision, namely :--

 (a) that there was a lack of mutuality between the parties in that there was no enforceable offer or acceptance made by the Defendant Corporation;

98.

- (b) that even if there was a contract, the said contract was ultra vires the
- said contract was ultra vires the Defendant Corporation and was void and wholly unenforceable;
- (c) that the Plaintiff should pay one half of the taxed costs of the Defendant Corporation certified fit for Counsel.

# 3. GROUNDS OF APPEAL

10

20

30

40

- (a) The learned trial Judge erred in finding that there was a lack of mutuality between the parties on the ground that no enforceable offer or acceptance was made by the Defendant Corporation for the reason that the document dated 26th September, 1960 was not executed by the Corporation in the manner required by section 7 or section 13 of the Ordinance, because:
  - (i) the Respondent (Defendants) in the document of Admissions tendered by consent accepted paragraph 5 of the Plaintiff's Statement of Claim except for the word unanimously and therefore admitted and accepted that the Plaintiff was selected for the said post as their General Manager and further that it was their decision that the Plaintiff be notified of his said appointment and all unsuccessful applicants were to be notified that the said vacancy had been filled.
  - (ii)In the answers to the Interrogatories made by the Defendants' Chairman, the Defendants admitted that the Board acquiesced that the Plaintiff was selected. There was no objection by anyone. The selection of the Plaintiff was a decision of the Board. The said meeting decided that all unsuccessful applicants be notified that the position had been filled.

(iii)The appointment made by the

In the Federal Supreme Court

No. 15

Notice of Appeal 28th April 1962 continued In the Federal Supreme Court

No. 15

Notice of Appeal 28th April 1962 continued Defendants on the 22nd September, 1960, as minuted by the Defendants was not an offer to the Plaintiff but was an acceptance by the Defendants of Plaintiff's offer and the minutes of the Defendants Board reads as follows:-

"(iii) Appointment of General <u>Manager, vice Mr. W.G.</u> <u>Carmichael:</u> As the 10 <u>Secretary</u> was one of the <u>applicants</u> for the position, <u>he withdrew</u> from the meet-<u>ing while</u> this item was <u>being considered</u>.

> All applications which had been received as a result of the advertisement published locally and in the West Indies were then carefully considered, and Mr. Clement Hugh Da-Silva, now Deputy Financial Secretary and Official Member of the Board, was chosen for the appointment. It was decided that Mr. Da Silva be notified and Government be advised of the appointall the unsuccessment: ful applicants to be notified that the position had been filled."

These said Minutes were confirmed by the Defendants' Board and signed by the Chairman and the Secretary.

- (iv) In the circumstances of the case the Defendants' letter of acceptance could not be avoided because it did not bear the seal of the Defendants and the Plaintiff could not in law be prejudiced thereby. The said letter of appointment was an authorised communication authorised by the Board.
- (v) Section 7 of the Ordinance No.
   13 of 1954, does not make it

100.

20

30

obligatory for all documents issued by Defendants to carry the seal.

- (vi) The Secretary of the Defendants' Corporation gave evidence and stated that the Board meeting of the 22nd September, 1960, directed and authorised him to issue the said Such special authority letter. issued by the Board would not necessitate the placing of a seal on the letter which the Secretary wrote.
- (vii) The acceptance of the Plaintiff's services as General Manager was-an acceptance by the Board which the Defendants have not challenged or questioned and in law it is not permissble for the Defendants to set up or rely on any technical defect or omission (if there has been such an omission) such as the placing of a seal on a letter intimating the Board's acceptance.
- (viii) There was no necessity for the letter of the 26th September, 1960, to be executed in any particular manner, since this letter was not a formal contract and was a letter of acceptance or appointment duly authorised be a Meeting of the Board held on the 22nd September, 1960, with authority to the Secretary to communicate to the Plaintiff the decision reached.
- (b) The learned trial Judge erred in finding that the appointment was ultra vires the Defendant Corporation, because:
  - (i) Section 6(1) of Ordinance, No.13 of 1954, gives full and unfettered powers to the Board to appoint a General Manager. The only provision is that no salary in excess of the rate of four thousand eight hundred dollars (\$4,800:) per annum shall be assigned to any

No.15

Notice of Appeal 28th April 1962 continued

20

10

30

In the Federal Supreme Court

No.15

Notice of Appeal 28th April 1962 continued post without the prior approval of the Governor-in-Council.

- (ii) The Defendants never raised in their defence nor at any stage sought an amendment to their defence to challenge the legality of the Salary which was advertised by the Defendants, and were, and having regard to the circumstances estopped from raising any such issue of the case.
- (iii) On the pleadings and on the evidence the salary advertised by the Defendants must be presumed to be regular and intra vires and the Defendants have failed to show anything to the contrary.
  - (iv) The vacancy document which sets out particulars of the post was drafted by a Member of the Governor-in-Council and it must be presumed that such salary stated therein was duly authorised.
  - (v) The Governor-in-Council by letter dated 18th October, 1960, had received and considered the appointment of the Plaintiff to the post of General Manager and had seen and considered the terms under which the Plaintiff was appointed as General Manager and the Governor-in-Council did not object to or question the salary and must be presumed to have approved of the salary.
- (vi) The Defendants did not plead any failure to perform any condition precedent and by virtue of rule 14 Order 17 of the Rules of The Supreme Court the performance of any such condition must be implied.
- (vii) There was approval by the Governorin-Council to pay the gross amount

20

10

30

of \$11,280:per annum and this was the amount advertised as salary. The amalgamation of two amounts \$10,560: per annum approved salary, and \$180.00, per quarter approved gratuity giving the gross sum of \$11,280:- "non pensionable" did not affect a basic difference to make the gross sum offered ultra vires.

- (viii)In the absence of positive evidence to the contrary, and there is no such positive evidence, the Court must presume that approval was duly given and authorised for the payment of the gross amount of \$11,280.00 per annum as salary.
  - (ix) The Plaintiff stated that to the best of his knowledge he did not know if the Governor-in-Council had given approval for the amalgamation of the salary and gratuity, and the Defendants' witness Jaisar Girdhar was in no position to give any evidence from which the contrary could be inferred as he was not at the material times the Chief Accountant or the Chief Clerk.
- (c) The learned trial Judge on the admission on the pleadings and on the evidence led was bound in law to find that there was a legally enforceable contract between the Plaintiff and the Defendants and that the Defendants had committed a breach thereof and/or a breach of warranty of authority and that the Plaintiff was entitled to damages in respect thereof.
- (d) The learned trial Judge erred in finding that the Plaintiff was in part responsible for the Defendants' omissions and ordered that he should pay one half of Defendants' costs because :-
  - (i) the Plaintiff did not participate in any matters dealing with his appointment;

In the Federal Supreme Court

No.15

Notice of Appeal 28th April 1962 continued

20

10

30

In the Federal Supreme Court No.15 Notice of Appeal 28th April 1962 continued	absented hi any discuss appointment was not sho	iff left the meeting or imself whenever there was sion relating to the t of General Manager and own to have been blame- any way whatever.		
	4. That the Judgment of aside and Judgment be er Appellant, (Plaintiff).			
	5. Persons directly aff	fected by the Appeal.	10	
	NAMES	ADDRESSES		
	l. Clement Hugh Da Silva	196 Camp and Murray Streets, Georgetown.		
	2. The British Guiana Credit Corporation	•		
	DATED AT GEORGETOWN, DEMERARA,			
	THIS 28TH DAY OF A	PRIL, 1962.		
	Vivian C. Dias			
	SOLICITOR FOR APPELLANT (PLAINTIFF)		20	
		Lionel Luckhoo		
		OF COUNSEL.		
	To: The Respondents (Def	endants)		
	- and -			
	To: Sase Narain, Esq.,			
	Lot 217 South Street	, Lacytown,		
	Solicitor for the Re	spondents (Defendants).		

С

## 105.

# <u>No. 16.</u>

NOTICE OF LEAVE TO RESPONDENTS TO ARGUE GROUNDS OF APPEAL

Take notice that the (Defendants) Respondents have been granted leave to argue the following :-

1. That there was no concluded contract in that:-

10

- (a) There was no consensus ad idem;
- (b) The (Plaintiff) Appellant's letter of the 3rd October 1960, was a counter-offer which destroyed the offer contained in the letter of 26th September 1960; the letter of the 3rd October 1960 having introduced terms and conditions which were not part of the advertisement.

Georgetown, Demerara,

20 Dated this 18th day of June, 1963.

S. Narain

Solicitor to(Defendants) Respondents.

OF COUNSEL

C.A.F. HUGHES.

# <u>No.17.</u>

# JUDGMENT

This is an appeal from the decision of a judge of the Supreme Court of British Guiana who dismissed an action by the appellant in which he asked <u>inter alia</u> for a declaration that he is the General Manager of the respondent Corporation, and in the alternative damages in the sum of \$100,000 for breach of contract. No.17. Judgment 19th July, 1963.

Appeal No.16. Notice of Leave to Respondents to argue Grounds of

In the

British Caribbean

Court of

18th June, 1963.

Appeal

In the The grounds of appeal may be summarised thus:-British Caribbean That the learned trial judge -Court of Appeal (a) erred in finding that there was a lack of mutuality between the parties on the ground No.17. that no enforceable offer or acceptance was made by the defendant Corporation for the reason that the document dated 26th September, 1960, was not executed by the Corporation in the manner required by section  $\overline{7}$  or section 13 of the Ordinance. continued. (b) erred in finding that the appointment

was ultra vires the defendant corporation based on his conclusion that there was no doubt that the Governor-in-Council never approved the salary of \$11,280 which the defendant corporation assigned to the post of General Manager in the advertisement of 6th August, 1960.

on the admission on the pleadings and (c) on the evidence led was bound in law to find that there was a legally enforceable contract between the plaintiff and the defendant Corporation and that the Corporation had been in breach thereof.

(d) erred in finding that plaintiff was in part responsible for the defendant corporation's omissions and thereby ordered that he should pay one half of the defendant Corporation's costs.

It was urged by Counsel for the appellant that section 7 of the British Guiana Credit Corporation Ordinance, 1954, did not make it obligatory for a seal to be affixed on a document but that the section was only directory, and further that the corporation was a trading one and as such was exempted from putting a seal on contracts and other documents. He referred to section 14 which sets out the general functions and orders of the Corporation and to section 15 which deals with the general powers of the corporation to transact business.

In view of the conclusion at which I have arrived on this latter issue it is unnecessary for me to discuss the arguments advanced for and against the contention that a true interpretation of sections 14 and 15 would place the respondent corporation under the head of trading corporations and so relieve

Judgment 19th July, 1963.

20

10

30

Section 7 of the Ordinance is as follows:-

The seal of the Corporation shall be kept in the custody of the Chairman or the

Corporation in the presence of the Chair-

man or Deputy Chairman and the Secretary.

All documents, other than those required by law to be under seal made by, and all decisions of, the Corporation may be signified under the hand of the Chairman or Deputy Chairman or General Manager and

Section 13 of the Ordinance as amended

Any transport mortgage, lease, assignment, transfer, agreement or other document requiring to be executed by the Corpora-

The seal of the Corporation shall be authenticated by the signature of the Chairman, or Deputy Chairman and the

Deputy Chairman or the Secretary of the Corporation and may be affixed to instruments pursuant to a resolution of the

the Corporation of the necessity to use the seal.

tion, or any cheque, bill of exchange or order for the payment of money requiring to be executed by the Corporation shall be deemed to be duly executed if signed by a person or persons specially or generally authorised by resolution of the Corporation so to sign."

The judge having considered section 7 which deals with the affixing of the seal of the Corporation to instruments, and section 13, said:-

"Having considered those two sections the position seems to me to be this: In order to bind the defendant Corporation the letter of 26th September should bear the common seal in manner provided by section 7 or should be signed by some 40 person or persons specially or generally authorised by a resolution of the Corporation. There is nothing to indicate that Mr. L.E. Kranenburg was ever alone specially authorised to sign for the Corporation. On the contrary the copies of the

In the British Caribbean Court of Appeal

No.17.

Judgment 19th July, 1963.

Continued.

10

<sup>n</sup>7. (1)

(2)

(3)

is as follows:-

"13.

Secretary.

the Secretary."

20

In the two letters tendered by the defendant corporation British show that the Secretary and the Chief Accountant Caribbean were given special authority." Court of Appeal The reference to the two letters is irrelevant for those were letters to the Manager of No.17. Barclays Bank authorising the Bank to transfer some money from the Corporation's Account to the current account of Mr. W.G. Carmichael who was then General Judgment 19th July, Manager of the Corporation. 1963. The first case A.R. Wright and Son Limited v. Romford Corporation (1956) 3 All. E.R. 785 Continued. referred to by the learned judge is equally inappropriate; there was in that case an agreement not under seal which was executed not according to the provisions of the statute but in accordance with the corporation's standing orders. The language of Lord Goddard at p. 788 quoted by the trial judge in support of his contention does not help here:

> "..... but section 74 (2) of the Act of 1925 cannot in my opinion in any way validate an agreement which is not under seal and does not fall within the recognised exceptions, unless, indeed, it be made under some authority conferred bystatute on the particular corporation." The particular power under standing orders was not authorised by statute. The second case <u>Cope v. Thames Kaven Dock and Rail-</u> <u>way Company</u> (1849) 3 Ex. R. 841 is in no better category.

In the case now under review the statute specifically provides that a document shall be deemed 30 to be executed by the Corporation if signed by a person or persons specially or generally authorised by resolution so to sign.

The confirmed minutes of the meeting of 22nd September, 1960, record:-

"(iii) appointment of a General Manager, vice <u>Mr. W.G. Carmichael:</u> As the Secretary was one of the applicants for the position, he withdrew from the meeting while this item was being considered. All applications which had been received as a result of the advertisement published locally and in the West Indies were then carefully considered, and Mr. Clement H. Da Silva, now Deputy Financial Secretary and official Member of the Board, was

10

20

chosen for the appointment. It was decided that Mr. Da Silva be notified and Government be advised of the appointment; all the unsuccessful applicants to be notified that the position has been filled."

What better authority is required for the purpose of communicating to Mr. Da Silva the information that he was selected? Further evidence is that of Mr. Kranenburg, the Secretary who stated:-

10

20

"I was also an applicant for the post of General Manager. On 22.9.60 a meeting was held in connection with the appointment. The minutes Exhibit "D" are correct. When I returned to the meeting the Chairman informed me that Mr. Da Silva had been selected for the appointment. This was done in the presence of the whole Board. In the presence of the whole Board I was instructed to inform Mr. Da Silva accordingly and all applicants that the appointment had been filled. No member of the Board objected to these instructions. I carried out these instructions. I see Exhibit "E" dated 26.9.60. Ι signed the letter. I wrote it. I showed it to the Chairman before I despatched it."

It is my opinion that the Corporation resolutely determined that appellant had been selected for 30 the appointment, and that it was with the unanimous approval of the members of the Corporation at that meeting that the Secretary was charged with the duty of conveying the news to the appellant; this he did. This is in compliance with the statute. I have dealt with this on the assumption that the letter had to be signed in accordance with the terms of section 13 to be effective. On the other hand I am not convinced that the statute could be interpreted to mean that a letter by the Secretary 40 under proper direction at a meeting of the Corporation conveying information of a result of a ballot or intimation that someone was selected for appointment or any other such information, should be under seal or would require a resolution strictly formal. Such a letter would not in my view fall within the ambit of section 7 or 13. If it did, then as stated above the requirements of the statute have been satisfied.

British Caribbean Court of Appeal

In the

No.17.

Judgment 19th July, 1963.

110.

In the British Caribbean Court of Appeal

No.17.

The information brought an acceptance by the appellant in his letter dated 3rd October, 1960. The text is:-

> "64 Brickdam, British Guiana. 3rd October, 1960.

Judgment 19th July, 1963.

"Dear Sir.

#### Appointment as General Manager

Continued.

I thank you for your letter of 26th September, informing me of my selection for appointment 10 as General Manager. I enclose a draft agreement of service which I shall enter in with the Corporation. I accept the appointment.

I am reporting the position to the Government with a view to release as early as possible. Meanwhile I would ask that no official announcement be made by the Corporation.

#### Yours sincerely,

#### C.H. Da Silva."

A draft agreement was attached; this agreement carried a schedule of terms.

Counsel for the defendant corpora tion submitted that the appellant was selected on the terms and conditions as advertised and that the schedule contain terms which are of variance with the terms advertised. He urged that the letter having regard to the circumstances and the correspondence could only be regarded as a counter or a conditional offer; the acceptance was not unreserved.

The paragraphs attacked in the schedule of terms as derogating from the advertisement are three in number, paragraph 1, 3, and 5; the corresponding ones in the advertisement are 6 and 5.

## Advertisement

paragraph 5

"5. The post carries a salary of B.W.I.

20

10		of e: annu and i with and i (now monti to a	80 (equivalent at the current rate xchange to £2,350 sterling) per m, a free, partly-furnished house leave facilities in accordance the Government's General Orders Regulations in force at the time five days leave for each completed h of resident service, accumulative maximum of six months, with leave ages to a maximum of B.W.I. \$2500).	In the British Caribbean Court of <u>Appeal</u> No.17. Judgment 19th July, 1963.
	6.	and 1	appointment is non-pensionable will normally be for three years he first instance, but the	Continued.
20		durat subje divie Corpe a con	tion of the initial contract is ect to variation to meet in- dual circumstances. The oration has under consideration ntributory pension scheme for employees."	
			Schedule	
	paragraph 1			
30	"l.	(1)	The engagement of the person engaged is for a period of six years' resident service com- prising two tours of three years each commencing from the date of assumption of duty which term may be extended as provided for in clause 8.	
		(2)		
	3.		A free, partly-furnished house will be provided or an allowance in lieu.	
40	5.	(1)	The Corporation may at any time determine the engagement of the person engaged on giving him twelve months' notice in writing or on paying him six months' salary.	
		(2)	The person engaged may, at any time after the expiration of three months from the commence-	

No.17.

Judgment 19th July, 1963.

Continued.

ment of any residential service, determine his engagement on giving the Corporation three months' notice in writing or on paying to the Corporation one month's salary.

(3) If the person engaged terminates his engagement otherwise than in accordance with this agreement he shall be liable to pay the Corporation as liquidated damages three months' salary.

Before the merits of the submission are considered reference will be made to the circumstances which prompted the appellant to submit a draft agreement. They are found in the evidence of the appellant (Da Silva) and the Secretary of the Corporation, Mr. Kranenburg. Counsel for respondent urged that only the documentary evidence should be considered and the oral testimony of appellant and Mr.Kranenburg should be avoided. This attempt to exclude from consideration admissible evidence received at the trial and which is relevant must be rejected.

Da Silva stated:

"About a week later (i.e. after the 26th September, 1960,) while speaking to the Secretary over the telephone, he again asked me how soon would I be going over. I was waiting on the Financial Secretary and suggested to him that in the meanwhile he should prepare the usual agreement of The Secretary informed me that service. he did not have the agreement of service of the previous General Manager and asked me to get out one of the standard Crown Agents and Colonial Office forms of agreement for his use as a draft. Ι wrote the letter exhibit "F". I got one of the Crown Agents and Colonial Office Forms and I attempted to modify it and sent it across to the Secretary for his use.

In my draft agreement I made certain additions which were clauses in the standard Crown Agents agreement of 20

30

service which I used as a draft at the invitation of the Secretary to help him. I have a copy of the Crown Agents form.

#### Under cross-examination

I wrote the Corporation a letter on 3.10.60. When I said that I would enter into agreement with the Corporation on the draft I meant that I would have entered into the terms of my draft or alternatively if the Corporation had amended the draft to comply with the terms of the advertisement. I would have entered into such agreement as modified by the Corporation."

#### Kranenburg stated:

"When I gave Da Silva the letter Exhibit "E" I asked him how soon he thought he could assume duty. Da Silva said around the middle of December when he was finished with the Budget. D'Andrade was in office at the same time. This conversation took place in D'Andrade's office. I think I said that would be all right.

About one week later Da Silva telephoned me. In the course of the conversation he said that he hoped that I would prepare the service agreement for his appointment early. My recollection is that I told him that I did not have a copy of the agreement signed by Carmichael but that I knew it was in the form used by the Crown Agents. I told him he could get a copy of the form from the Colonial Secretariat. I asked him to get a copy and put up a rough draft of the terms of his appointment for my consideration.

#### Re-Examination

40

I was appointed Secretary on 11.12.56. In July, 1959, I signed a service agreement. It was a considerable time after the appointment. It had retrospective effect." Caribbean Court of <u>Appeal</u> No.17.

In the

British

Judgment 19th July, 1963.

Continued.

30

No.17.

Judgment 19th July, 1963.

Continued.

The testimony of the appellant and the Secretary of the Corporation discloses the reason for the draft which accompanied the appellant's letter of 3rd October, 1960, and that it was specially requested from him by the Secretary for use as a It is manifest that it cannot sensibly guide. be considered as containing a counter offer or as a document purporting to impose conditions alien to those in the advertisement. Again the word "shall" which is used there with the first person expresses simple futurity, whereas if "will" had been employed instead that might have tended to express a resolute determination or a fixed intention. An examination of paragraph 1 of the schedule shows that it is not repugnant to paragraph 6 of the advertisement; for the latter states that the duration of the initial contract is subject to variation to meet individual circumstances. The complaint made against paragraph 3 of the schedule is that it refers to an allowance in lieu of a free, partly furnished house, since there was not mentioned an alternative in the advertisement. Paragraph 5 of the advertisement stated that the post carries ..... "a free partly furnished house and leave facilities in accordance with the Government's General Orders and Regulations in force at the 

General Order 209 states:-

"209. A House allowance is an allowance granted to an officer who is entitled, by virtue of the appointment he holds, to free quarters, but for whom quarters are not available."

This objection is therefore untenable. It is not disputed that at that time the General Manager's house was occupied by a tenant.

The last complaint is about paragraph 5 of the schedule which refers to determination of the engagement. It is not suggested that a termination of engagement clause is not required nor that such a term is not in the Crown Agents<sup>t</sup> model.

I have taken pains to refer to the objections and to compare the terms in the advertisement with the terms in the schedule. 10

20

30

The objection in my opinion cannot find support in a comparison of the two documents. In the light however of the evidence my conviction is that the letter did not contain a counter offer but is in effect an unqualified acceptance. Several cases were cited on the question of conditional acceptance of an offer and on a counter offer in a letter of acceptance by an cfferee but the facts in those cases are fundamentally dissimilar to those giving rise to this case.

10

20

30

40

Counsel for respondent corporation asked leave to submit that the proviso to section 6(1) of the Ordinance was not complied with by the Corporation and therefore any contract entered into, if at all, between the Corporation and the appellant would be <u>ultra vires</u>.

Appellant's counsel submitted that the first time this question was raised was by the respondent's counsel during his final address at the trial; that the respondent did not plead it and that should preclude any argument on that basis as there was no compliance with Order 17 rule 14 of the Rules of the Supreme Court, 1955. The leave sought was granted.

The respondent corporation in the defence pleaded that the plaintiff's appointment was ultra vires the provisions of the British Guiana Credit Corporation Ordinance, 1954, and before us counsel endeavoured to sustain that plea on the basis of section 6(1) of the Ordinance which reads as follows:-

"6(1) The Corporation shall appoint and employ at such remuneration and on such terms and conditions as they think fit a General Manager, a Secretary and such other officers and such servants as they deem necessary for the proper carrying out of the provisions of this Ordinance:

> Provided that no salary in excess of the rate of four thousand eight hundred dollars per annum shall be assigned to any post under this subsection without the approval of the Governor-in-Council."

This plea found favour in the Court below and the

115.

In the British

Caribbean

Court of

No.17.

Judgment

1963.

19th July,

Appeal

trial judge expressed his acquiescence in these In the terms: "I must find that the contract, if it was made, is ultra vires the defendant corporation and is therefore void and wholly unenforceable for the reason that the prior approval of the Governor-in-Council was not obtained for the assignment of the salary of \$11280 per annum to the post of General Manager as prescribed in the proviso to section 6(1) of the Ordinance. The condition precedent was not fulfilled." The approach to this question may be better appreciated if a chronological account of events pertaining thereto is shown. The Financial Secretary in a letter under date 22nd May, 1957, to the General Manager of the

salaries as follows:-

Corporation said, "With reference to your letter dated 4th April, 1957, in connection with increases in the salaries of the General Manager, the Secretary and the Chief Accountant, I have to inform you that the Governor in Council has approved the revised

> (a) General Manager - £2200 per annum with effect from 29th January, 1957.

> (b) Secretary - ....

(c) Chief Accountant ....."

In addition, from March, 1957, a gratuity of £37.10s. was paid to the General Manager for every completed 3 months' service, thus making the total annual emoluments (£2200 + £150) £2350 i.e. \$11280.

On 4th August, 1960, a letter was written by the Deputy Financial Secretary to the Chief Secretary, who was a member of the Governor-in-Council, asking him to cause to be published in newspapers in Jamaica, Trinidad and Barbados, advertisement for the post of General Manager of the Corporation. This advertisement had previously been drafted by the Financial Secretary, a member of the Governor-in-Council.

On 6th August, 1960, an identical advertise-

British Caribbean Court of Appeal

No.17.

Judgment 19th July, 1963.

Continued.

20

10

30

ment appeared in the local press for the post of General Manager. The text of this advertisement was drafted by the Financial Secretary who was a member of the Executive Council.

Paragraph 5 of the advertisement stated that the post "carries a salary of B.W.I. \$11280 (equivalent at the current rate of exchange to £2350 sterling) per annum, a free, partlyfurnished house Leave facilities in accordance 10 with the Government's General Orders and Regulations in force at the time (now five days leave for each completed month of resident service, accumulative to a maximum of six months, with leave passages to a maximum of B.W.I. \$2,500). A motor car allowance of \$25 a month for official journeys within the limits of Georgetown and of 28 cents a mile for official journeys outside of Georgetown will be paid. An overseas candidate will be provided with not more than five free sea passages to British Guiana for himself and his 20 wife and children (under 18 years) if any, and on satisfactory completion of service return passages to his country of recruitment."

Paragraph 6 stated. "The appointment is non-pensionable and will normally be for three years in the first instance, but the duration of the initial contract is subject to variation to meet individual circumstances."

Paragraph 7 dealt with qualification: 30 "Candidates for the post must have experience in business administration, banking or public administration, preferably on the industrial side. They must be capable of appraising the effects of the Corporation's policies on the economic feasibility and general loan worthiness of projects for which loan proposals are made."

On 24th August, 1960, the appellant as an applicant offered his services to the Corporation in the capacity of General Manager. At a 40 duly constituted meeting of the Corporation on 22nd September, 1960, the appellant's offer was approved and he was chosen for the appointment as General Manager. It was decided that appellant should be notified and the Government be advised of the appointment. This was done. The unsuccessful candidates were also notified. It is interesting to note that there were 26 applicants; 23 were eliminated and three remained from whom the choice was made - Messrs. Da Silva, Persaud In the British Caribbean Court of Appeal

No.17.

Judgment 19th July, 1963.

No.17.

1963.

and Luck. Da Silva received 5 votes, Persaud 2 and Luck none.

Da Silva was later notified by the following letter bearing date 26th September, 1960, signed by the Secretary -

> "With reference to your letter of 24th August, 1960, applying for the vacant post of General Manager of this Corporation, I am pleased to inform you that a meeting of the Corporation held on Thursday, 22nd September, 1960, you were selected for the appointment on the terms and conditions as advertised: and I shall be glad to be informed as early as possible how soon you would be able to take up the appointment."

The Secretary delivered the letter in person. On 3rd October, 1960, appellant signified his acceptance of the appointment by letter of that date already set out above.

By letter dated 12th October, 1960, from the Financial Secretary, the Chairman was informed that the membership of the Corporation had been re-constructed. The period of office of the previous members expired on 25th September, 1960; the members were eligible for re-appointment. Only three of the old members, the Chairman, the Deputy Financial Secretary and Mr. Gobin Biragie were re-appointed and Messrs. Andrew H. James, Peter Anderson, Joseph Jardim, Ivan Remington, Oswald H. Fisher, Jacob Bowman, and Muntaz Ali were the new members. Mr. Andrew James was to be Deputy Chairman of the Corporation.

The Financial Secretary wrote to the Chairman of the Corporation on 18th October, 1960, the following letter -

> "With reference to the Secretary's letter of 26th September and our subsequent conversation on the subject of filling the vacant post of General Manager of the Corporation, I am directed to inform you that the matter was considered by the Governor in Council.

10

20

30

Judgment 19th July,

I am to ask the Board of the Corporation to re-examine the <u>recommendation</u> made as the Government is anxious that the best person available be obtained for the post. If the Board wishes to have the qualifications of any of the candidates residing in the West Indies further investigated, the Chief Secretary would be glad to enlist the aid of the Government of the territory in which the candidate is residing. If the Board is not satisfied that any of the persons who have so far applied is suitable, the vacancy should be re-advertised over a wider field.

I should be grateful if you would put the matter to your Board accordingly."

Appellant's letter of 3rd October was read at a meeting of the Corporation on 27th October, 1960. It was the first meeting attended by the new members. At the same meeting the Financial Secretary's letter of 18th October, was read to the newly constituted body when it was unanimously decided to reconsider the matter at a later date. Mr. James asked and it was agreed that members be furnished with (a) an up to date statement of the Corporation's financial position; and (b) particulars of the staff including pay and conditions of service.

10

30 The information requested by Mr. James was supplied and recorded in the minutes of the meeting of 25th November, 1960 as follows:-

Α.

"British Guiana Credit Corporation

## Authorised Establishment

<u>Head Office Staff</u>	Salary Scale
General Manager	\$11280 p.a.(fixed)
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

The delay in supplying the information was due to the illness of the Secretary.

At a meeting on 11th November, 1960, Mr. Luck who had received no votes at the previous ballot when the appellant was selected, was considered suitIn the British Caribbean Court of Appeal

No.17.

Judgment 19th July, 1963.

No.17.

Judgment 19th July, 1963.

Continued.

able. The minutes record:-

"The qualifications, training and experience of all the candidates were reviewed exhaustively by the Board who unanimously agreed that Mr. G.E. Luck, Permanent Secretary, Ministry of Natural Resources, British Guiana, was suitable for the post and should be appointed.

It was decided, however, not to offer Mr. Luck the appointment until the <u>Governor-in-Council had been informed of</u> <u>the decision</u> and had approved the selection."

By letter to the Corporation under date 7th December, 1960, appellant's solicitors wrote inter alia:-

> "On the 3rd October, 1960, our client by letter of that date accepted the appointment. He intimated that he was seeking a release as early as possible from Government to take up the appointment which he had accepted.

> On the basis of the offer which had been made by the Corporation and following upon his acceptance our client treated his appointment as being truly made and effected and proceeded to make the necessary arrangements for his early take over as General Manager of the Corporation ...... Since the letter of offer and the acceptance by our client for the post of General Manager, our client has received no further communication or intimation from the Corporation and it was not until late in November when he received a copy of the minutes of the meeting held on the 11th November, 1960, that for the first time he became aware of efforts to replace him by another person for the post of General Manager.

20

10

30

No acknowledgement or reply to this letter was ever made.

At a meeting of the Corporation on 9th December, 1960, the Chairman informed the members of the receipt and the contents of the letter from the solicitors; the meeting decided after discussion that the letter be sent to the Financial Secretary for his information.

At the same meeting members were informed 10 by the Chairman of the text of a statement "regarding the appointment of Mr. G.E. Luck as General Manager of the Corporation, which the Minister of Trade and Industry intended to release on 10th December, 1960, at his usual Saturday morning Press Conference."

On 11th December, 1960, there appeared in a newspaper, the Sunday Graphic, a picture of the Minister of Trade and Industry and Mr. Luck in a handshake, with comments indicating that the Minister was congratulating Mr. Luck on his new appointment.

The writ in this action followed on 13th December, 1960. On 16th December, 1960, the Secretary signed Mr. Luck's letter of appointment on the direction of the Corporation.

I revert to the subject of the objection raised by appellant's counsel.

Paragraph 19 of the defence is as follows:-

"(19) The defendants will contend that any purported selection of the plaintiff for appointment as General Manager of the Defendant corporation was invalid and bad in law because:-

- (a) The advertisements for appointments were inserted in the newspapers in August, 1960, without the prior approval of the Governorin-Council.
  - (b) The Secretary of the Corporation was not legally entitled to write the letter of 26th September, 1960, to the plaintiff.

30

40

20

British Caribbean Court of <u>Appeal</u>

In the

No.17.

Judgment 19th July, 1963.

No.17.

Judgment 19th July, 1963.

Continued.

- (c) No approval of the purported appointment of the plaintiff as a Civil Servant by the Governor-in-Council was ever obtained by the defendants; and
- (d) The plaintiff's alleged appointment was <u>ultra vires</u> the provisions of the British Guiana Credit Corporation Ordinance No. 13 of 1954"

It is to be observed that it was not pleaded that 10 ed. no-approval of the salary was given by the Governorin-Council. The gravamen of the complaints related to other aspects (a) (b) (c) and summed up in (d).

Order 17 rule 14 of the Rules of the Supreme Court states:

"Any condition precedent, the performance or occurrence of which is intended to be contested, shall be distinctly specified in his pleading by the plaintiff or defendant, as the case may be, and subject thereto, an averment of the performance necessary for the case of the plaintiff or the defendant shall be implied in his pleading."

The note of the trial judge of the address by respondent's (defendant's) counsel is as follows -

> "Contract <u>ultra vires</u>. Even if there was a concluded contract the contract was <u>ultra vires</u>.

Figures of \$11280 was unauthorised merger of gratuity and salary or unauthorsed figure.

Refers to section 6 of Ordinance 13 of 1954. Advertisement shows not only payment of salary but also of pension."

The judge found for the respondent on this issue and pronounced the contention for the appellant that on failure to comply with order 17 rule 14 the performance of the condition precedent must be implied, to be without merit. He purported to fortify this view thus:-

20

30

"There is a world of difference between a condition precedent to the existence of cause of action and a condition precedent to the exercise of a right of action. The former is a matter of substantive law and the latter a matter of adjective law. The one a matter of substance in the formation of a personal right, the other a matter of procedure in the vindication of the right in a court"

He referred to the note to rule 14 of Order 19 in the Annual Practice (English R.S.C.).

I do understand that "an allegation which is of the essence of a cause of action is not a condition precedent within the meaning of the rule and must still be pleaded." In this instance I am of opinion that even if there was want of approval of the sum of \$11280 that would not be the essence of the cause of action: the Corporation is empowered to make a contract without reference to the Governor-in-Council and does not need any approval for an appointment; it is only in respect of an excess in remuneration over \$4800 that approval from the Governor-in-Council is needed. A sum exceeding \$4800 had already been approved since 1957.

Moreover I do not understand counsel for respondent to say in the court below or 30 before us that the appellant had failed to plead that prior approval had been obtained but on the contrary appeared to rest respondent's case on this, that the evidence established that the approval had not been obtained; that there was no authority for merging the salary \$10560 with the gratuity \$720, that was the burden of his contention and was supposedly grounded on the evidence he sought to elicit from the appellant and to submit through the defence witness at the trial. 40 Respondent tacitly accepted that the onus was on the defence to prove absence of authority and essayed to do just that.

That was the course the trial took and that fact is evidenced by the following observations by the judge: "There is no doubt whatever that the Governor-in-Council never approved of the salary of \$11280, which the defendant corporation assigned to the post of General Manager in the

20

10

In the British Caribbean Court of Appeal

No.17.

Judgment 19th July, 1963.

No.17.

Judgment 19th July, 1963.

Continued.

I think it was right for respondent's counsel to be permitted by this Court to address on the question as to whether the approval was not given by the Governor-in-Council especially as there was some evidence on that subject admitted in the Court below without objection by appellant's counsel. I am however of opinion that in the circumstances as there was no averment by the appellant and in the absence of any allegation by the respondent that the approval was not obtained, the burden was on the respondent to establish that approval was not given. I regret that I do not share the certainty of the learned judge that it was proved that the Governor-in-Council never approved of the salary. The sum total of the appellant's evidence viewed from any angle was that he did not know whether a salary of \$11280 was approved by the Governorin-Council for the post of General Manager; he knew that hitherto a salary of \$10560 and a gratuity of \$720 had been approved; in his view the two sums were "merged" but that was This guess was no doubt based on the fact all. that the two sums aggregate \$11280. The learned judge misunderstood the appellant's evidence to be that there was absence of approval by the Governor-in-Council, and found that fact to be supported by Jaisar Girdhar, the acting Chief Accountant of the Corporation. A reference to Girdhar's evidence discloses that he said in examination-in-chief: "I know there was an advertisement for a General Manager. There was no communication from the Government on the question of salary after exhibit "O" i.e. in May, 1957".

Under Cross-examination he said:-

"In June - July 1960, I was a Grade A Clerk until September, 1961. After that 20

10

30

I was made accountant (acting). In 1960 the accountant was R. Yerrakadoo. In 1960, Mr. Yansen was Chief Accountant. They would be better acquainted with what happened in 1960 than I would.

In March, 1957, a gratuity of £37.10s. was being paid for every completed 3 months of service."

10 I would unhesitatingly say the evidence shows with irresistible clearness that the view of the learned judge in that respect is mistaken and his finding cannot be supported.

The question whether approval had been obtained or not is one purely of fact. The knowledge on that score was peculiarly with the Corporation and the Governor-in-Council; the former, a party in the action, could easily have called the Financial Secretary, the Chairman of

- 20 the Corporation or the Chief Accountant to depone positively that no approval was given; the Corporation avoided this but elected to present one who was only a grad A Clerk, a junior officer at the material time. He had perforce to confess he could not speak with any certainty or authority and to admit that the persons more qualified by knowledge on that score would have been the Chief Accountant or the Accountant. This attitude of the defence is in character with the flexible
- 30 integrity mirrored by the Corporation and may be others actively concerned with the shaping of its administration. Why was the Financial Secretary not called? Could this be due to an unfortunate timidity? He was the Government's Financial adviser. He was a member of the Governor-in-Council and used as the channel of communication or liaison officer between the Governor-in-Council and the Corporation. He personally drew up the vacancy notice for advertisement with the aid of 40 files at his disposal, perhaps secret ones for it is common and certain knowledge the Governor-in-Council's decisions are secret until revealed by words or action.

It is to be remarked that in 1957 the General Manager wrote to the Financial Secretary about his salary and that of other officers; he received a reply stating that the Governor-in-Council approved certain sums; but in 1960, action moved from the Governor-in-Council through the In the British Caribbean Court of <u>Appeal</u> No.17.

Judgment 19th July, 1963.

No.17.

Judgment 19th July, 1963.

Continued.

Financial Secretary to the Corporation and the result was reflected in the draft advertisement. The Financial Secretary sent the draft advertisement for the appreciation of the Corporation. He was the person who inserted the salary to be paid, \$11280 per annum; he stated in paragraph 4 of the advertisement, "the General Manager is the chief executive officer of the Corporation appointed under section 6 of the Ordinance". He must have been acutely aware of the proviso. It was from his department a request with a copy of the vacancy notice was sent to the Chief Secretary, another member of the Governor-in-Council, to The Financial Secretary sent advertise abroad. the copy of the advertisement with salary inserted to the Corporation for acceptance; the Corporation approved. In essence that was the way the prior approval of the Governor-in-Council was signified. It was the fons et crigo of the whole affair. It was the same Financial Secretary who after receiving the letter of the Corporation's Secretary advising the Government of appellant's selection for appointment wrote to the Chairman "I am directed to inform you that the matter was considered by the Governor-in-Council. I am to ask the Board of the Corporation to re-examine the recommendation as the Government is anxious that the best person available be obtained for the post," and also stated that if the persons who had so far applied had not been suitably qualified the vacancy should be re-advertised over a wider field. It is significant the readvertisement was to be on the same terms including Why had the word "recommendation" found salary. a place in the Financial Secretary's letter? Was it by inadvertence or by design? The evidence is that intimation of the selection was sent and not a "recommendation". It was a necessary courtesy by the Corporation to intimate and nothing else. At whose direction was this letter sent? The obvious conclusion is that it expressed the view of the Governor-in-Council.

Between the 22nd September, 1960, when the Corporation at a meeting selected the appellant by a majority of votes as the most suitable candidate, and the 27th October the next meeting of the Corporation several events had occurred. All the members of the Corporation went out of office as stated earlier, three were re-appointed and seven new ones were appointed. 10

20

30

The Financial Secretary's letter of 12th October, 1960, was followed by another on 18th October, 1960, to which reference has already been made. The members were informed of both of these letters at the meeting of 27th October. At this meeting it was decided that a special meeting should be fixed for a reconsideration of the appointment in terms of the Financial Secretary's letter.

It is noteworthy that the post of General 10 Manager was not re-advertised nor were any new applications solicited. At a meeting of the 11th November it was unanimously agreed that Mr. Luck was suitable for the post and should be appointed. "It was decided however, not to offer Mr. Luck the appointment until the Governor-in-Council had been informed of the decision and had approved the selection." The Corporation has full power to appoint a General Manager without any approval of the Governor-in-Council and one looks in vain in 20 the Ordinance to find anything which says the Governor-in-Council must approve the selection.

The appellant was never at any stage told that his appointment was cancelled or that steps were being taken or had been taken to review the question of the appointment of a General Manager. Added to this curious attitude by the Corporation no reply was afforded to the letter of the appellant's solicitors. In these times, sparse though the occasions may be when moral 30 standards seem to be honoured, one immutable principle one would expect to prevail in the dealings of a corporation of such connexions is the observance of strict ethical conduct by which only, in my view, confidence can be maintained. This principle the Corporation has honoured in the breach.

The pleadings did not raise the question of approval of the salary by the Governor-in-Council as stated in the proviso to section 6(1). That seemed to be a closed chapter, in other words it was already settled and within the knowledge of the Financial Secretary, the mouthpiece of the Governor-in-Council for he was the author of the text of the advertisement which included the salary. The raising of the question at the hearing in the course of the address by counsel or in the late stages of the case seems only an afterthought.

40

Further the evidence is clear that a salary

In the British Caribbean Court of Appeal

No.17.

Judgment 19th July, 1963.

No.17.

Judgment 19th July, 1963.

Continued.

of \$10560, a sum in excess of \$4800, had already been approved for the post of General Manager; the statutory requirements had therefore been complied with; the salary is attached to the post and not the officer. The respondent never sought to suggest that the approval had been revoked but the effect of the complaint was, that authority to call the \$720 salary was not approved by the Governor-in-Council. It seems idle to contend that that is the essence of a cause of action; this contention is more like a frantic search by an ill fated passenger in a dark and dismal night in stormy seas for a plank in a shipwreck. On an analysis of the established and admitted facts the conclusion that the Governor-in-Council did approve of the salary is inescapable.

On the question of damages it was submitted on behalf of the appellant that he must be placed in the same position as if the contract had been performed as far as money can do it; counsel amplified this by saying, that was equivalent to the amount appellant would have earned had the contract been observed, subject to a deduction in respect of any amount accruing from any other employment which the appellant in minimising damages could reasonably have obtained.

The claim, he submitted, should be calculated on the basis of the normal period of first employment, 3 years, as stated in the advertisement, in respect of the following items:-

- (a) Salary for that period at \$11280 per annum
- (b) Free partly-furnished house estimated at the rental value proved, \$2700 per annum
- (c) Leave passages as advertised and in accordance with the General Orders - \$2500
- (d) Injury done to the appellant's reputation which had resulted and may result in pecuniary loss by difficulty in getting employment.

20

10

30

It is convenient first to deal with the last item. This claim is in the teeth of a principle which is inveterate and has been hallowed by authority. The principle was clearly enunciated in <u>Addis v.</u> Gramophone Co. 1909 A.C. 488: 78 L.J.K.B. 1122.

In this class of case, breach of contract claims based on injury to reputation causing diminution of a claimant's chances to obtain new employment never as a rule sound in damages; there may be exceptional cases but the evidence has not disclosed anything that may be deemed exceptional to take this case out of the rule; the conduct of the respondent corporation might have left much to be desired but in a case of breach of contract damages are not awarded or aggravated for mere transgression of a moral code.

Respondent's counsel submitted that appellant was not in a position to take up his appointment about the middle of December as he had informed the Secretary, because he was not released from service in the Government.

Appellant had written to the Financial Secretary on 16th October, 1960, following his letter of acceptance of the new post dated 3rd October, 1960, but had received no reply. On 8th December he wrote to the Secretary, Public Service Commission (through the Financial Secretary) adverting to his previous letter and also for permission to accept paid employment during his pre-retirement leave "as is usual". He received 30 a reply to this letter on 12th January, 1961. Financial Secretary wrote then saying that his application for leave was approved as from 16th January, 1961, and that the request for permission to retire at the age of 50 years was under consideration. This permission was later given as was reasonably and correctly anticipated. On 7th November, 1945, the then Colonial Secretary of British Guiana, Mr. W.L. Heape wrote to the British 40 Guiana Civil Service Association in these terms:-

> "3. The Secretary of State agrees that the provisions of section 2 of the 1944 Ordinance, which amends section 8 of the Principal Ordinance of 1933, may be interpreted to provide for voluntary retirement at 50 with the approval of the Secretary of State. The view taken

In the British Caribbean Court of Appeal

No.17.

Judgment 19th July, 1963.

Continued.

No.17.

Judgment 19th July, 1963.

Continued.

by this Government is that an application to retire at 50 should normally be granted and not refused except for strong reasons of public interest and the Secretary of the State endorses that view."

On 7th December, 1960, through his Solicitors appellant wrote to the respondent corporation emphasising his willingness and readiness to enter upon his new duties within a reasonably short time; this was an opportunity for the respondent corporation, if in doubt as to its truth, to take him at his word and test the genuineness of the statement. There was no response, and the repudiation by the respondent corporation of its obligations became progressively apparent and insistent. Is it open to the respondent who deliberately kept the appellant at bay despite his efforts to fulfil his part of the agreement in the known circumstances to submit 20 in argument that the appellant was not in a position to take up his duties? I think not; such a submission in my view is of specious acceptability.

The appellant in his evidence stated that he had endeavoured to obtain suitable employment in and out of the Colony and had failed. He enumerated some of his efforts. This evidence was not contested. It was urged for the respondent, though with not much warmth or seriousness, that the appellant could have even at that late stage withdrawn his application for leave to retire in order to mitigate the damages.

Indeed the appellant recognised his duty to mitigate damages and endeavoured to satisfy this requirement by seeking employment elsewhere. The die was already cast so far as his employment in the Civil Service was concerned for he had already taken the final step.

The principal ground requiring active attention is what is a reasonable period within which the appellant would secure employment of a status not too distantly removed from the one of which he was deprived or in short when a man in his position could find reasonable employment. From the date indicated by the appellant that he would have been ready to take up employment, which 10

30

by mischance was the time Mr. Luck's letter of appointment was signed (16th December, 1960), to the time decision in the case was delivered, 19th March, 1962, the efforts of the Plaintiff to secure other employment had proved unrewarding even though some 15 months had expired. Avenues of like employment in the business world in these parts appear to be rare if one may judge from the failure of the appellant, a man undoubtedly well qualified for the purpose, who had occupied the High office of Deputy Financial Secretary in the Government of this colony. I think it would be a just appraisal to set the period for necessary compensation to 2 years with the following result:

- (a) Salary at \$11280 per annum \$22,560
- (b) In lieu of partly-furnished quarters at \$2700 per annum - 5,400
- (c) The equivalent of leave passages - 2,500

Total \$30,460

Counsel for respondent contended that whatever damages, if any, may be awarded should be reduced by the sum appellant received from his accumulated leave, a benefit which had been prewously earned but had to be deferred on account of the exigencies of the service. I do not accept this contention. It does not comment itself to me any more than it would if the submission included the absorption of the sum the appellant received as gratuity after his 28 years' service.

For the reasons I have given I would allow the appeal, reverse the judgment and order appealed from, and order that judgment be entered for the plaintiff (appellant) in the sum of \$30,460 with costs here and in the Court below.

> Sgd. Donald Jackson PRESIDENT

I concur. Sgd.

Sgd. J.A. Luckhoo JUSTICE OF APPEAL

I agree. Sgd. W.A. Date JUSTICE OF APPEAL Dated this 19th day of July, 1963. In the British Caribbean Court of <u>Appeal</u> No.17. Judgment

1963. Continued.

19th July,

20

10

30

In the	
British	
Caribbean	
Court of	
Appeal	

Order 19th 1963.

No	. 18.	

#### ORDER

BEFORE:

No.18.	THE HONOURABLE THE PRESIDENT
Order 19th July, 1963.	THE HONOURABLE SIR JOSEPH LUCKHOO;
	THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DATE
	DATED THE 19TH DAY OF JULY, 1963
	ENTERED THE 24TH DAY OF JULY, 1963

UPON READING the notice of appeal filed on behalf of the appellant on the 28th day of April, 1962 and the judgment hereinafter mentioned and the judge's notes herein:

and

AND UPON HEARING Mr. L.A. Luckhoo, Q.C. and Mr. J. Carter, Q.C. of counsel for the appellant and Mr. F.H.W. Ramsahoye of counsel for the respondents;

IT IS ORDERED that this appeal be allowed and that the judgment of the Honourable Mr. Justice Fraser dated the 19th day of March, 1962 in favour of the respondents be set aside and that judgment be entered for the appellant in the sum of \$30,460.00:

AND IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the respondents do pay the appellant his costs both in this court and in the court below.

BY THE COURT

G.A.S. Van Sertima

DEPUTY REGISTRAR (AG.)

10

# 133.

# <u>No. 19.</u>

## ORDER GRANTING CONDITIONAL LEAVE TO APPEAL TO HER MAJESTY IN COUNCIL

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE SIR DONALD JACKSON (IN DATED THE 6TH DAY OF SEPTEMBER, 1963 ENTERED THE 12TH DAY OF SEPTEMBER, 1963

UPON the petition of the abovenamed petitioners (respondents) dated the 22nd day of July, 1963, for leave to appeal to Her Majesty in Council against the judgment of the Court comprising the Honourable the President, the Honourable Sir Joseph Luckhoo and the Honourable Mr. Justice Date delivered herein on the 19th day of July, 1963:

AND UPON READING the said petition and the affidavit in support thereof sworn to by their solicitor on the 25th day of July, 1963 and filed herein:

20 AND UPCN HEARING Mr. F.H.W. Ramsahoye of Counsel for the petitioners (respondents) and Mr. L.A. Luckhoo, Q.C. of Counsel for the respondent (Appellant):

THE COURT DOTH ORDER that subject to the performance by the said petitioners (respondents) of the conditions hereinafter mentioned and subject to the final order of this Honourable Court upon due compliance with such conditions leave to appeal to Her Majesty in Council against the said judgment of the British Caribbean Court of Appeal be and the same is hereby granted to the petitioners (respondents).

AND THIS COURT DOTH FURTHER ORDER that the petitioners (respondents) do within ninety days from the date hereof enter into good and sufficient security to the satisfaction of the Deputy Registrar of this Court in the sum of \$2,400.00 with one or more sureties or deposit into Court the said sum of \$2,400.00 for the due prosecution of the said appeal and for the payment of all such costs as may become payable by the petitioners (respondents) in the event of the Petitioners (Respondents) not obtaining an In the British Caribbean Court of Appeal.

No.19.

Order granting conditional leave to appeal to Her Majesty in Council. 6th September, 1963.

30

No.19.

Order granting conditional leave to appeal to Her Majesty in Council. 6th September, 1963.

continued.

order granting them final leave to appeal or of the appeal being dismissed for non-prosecution or for the part of such costs as may be awarded by the Judicial Committee of the Privy Council to the respondent (appellant) on such appeal as the case may be.

AND THIS COURT DOTH FURTHER ORDER that all costs of and occasioned by the said appeal shall abide the event of the said appeal to Her Majesty in Council if the said appeal shall be allowed or dismissed or shall abide the result of the said appeal in case the said appeal shall stand dismissed for want of prosecution.

AND THIS COURT DOTH FURTHER ORDER that the petitioners (respondents) do within four (4) months from the date of this order in due course take out all appointments that may be necessary for settling the record in such appeal to enable the Deputy Registrar of this Court to certify that the said record has been settled and that the provisions of this order on the part of the petitioners (respondents) have been complied with.

AND THIS COURT DOTH FURTHER ORDER that the petitioners (respondents) be at liberty to appeal at any time within five (5) months from the date of this order for final leave to appeal as aforesaid on the production of a certificate under the hand of the Deputy Registrar of this Court of due compliance on their part with the conditions of this order.

AND THIS COURT DOTH FURTHER ORDER that judgment not including costs of this Court and the Court below be stayed pending the hearing and determination of this appeal to Her Majesty in Council.

AND THIS COURT DOTH FURTHER ORDER that the costs of and incidental to this application be the costs in the cause.

LIBERTY TO APPLY.

BY THE COURT

S. Mansoor Nasir

DEPUTY REGISTRAR (AG.)

134.

10

20

## 135.

<u>No. 20.</u> ORDER GRANTING FINAL LEAVE TO APPEAL TO HER MAJESTY IN COUNCIL	In the British Caribbean Court of Appeal
IN THE FEDERAL SUPREME COURT	No.20.
APPELLATE JURISDICTION	Order granting final leave to appeal to
CIVIL	
TERRITORY: BRITISH GUIANA	
CIVIL APPEAL No. 25 of 1963	Her Majesty in Council. 22nd
BETWEEN:	November, 1963.
CLEMENT HUGH DA SILVA,	1902•
Respondent (Appellant) (Plaintiff)	

- and -

BRITISH GUIANA CREDIT CORPORATION, a body corporate, incorporated by Ordinance No.13 of 1954 whose office is situate at lots 20 and 21 Water Street, Georgetown, Demerara.

20

10

Petitioners (Respondents) (Defendants)

## BEFORE THE HONOURABLE SIR DONALD JACKSON (IN CHAMBERS)

## DATED THE 22ND DAY OF NOVEMBER, 1963

### ENTERED THE 25TH DAY OF NOVEMBER, 1963

UPON the application of the abovenamed British Guiana Credit Corporation preferred unto this Court on the 14th day of November, 1963 for final leave to appeal to Her Majesty in Her Majesty's Privy Council against the judgment of this Court dated the 19th day of July, 1963:

AND UPON READING the said petition and

the order of this Court dated the 6th day of In the British September, 1963: Caribbean Court of AND UPON HEARING Mr. C.A.F. Hughes of Counsel for the petitioners and Mr. V.C. Dias Appeal solicitor for the respondent and upon being satisfied that the terms and conditions imposed by the said order dated the 6th day of September, No.20. Order 1963 have been complied with: granting final THIS COURT DOTH ORDER that final leave be and is hereby granted to the said petitioners to appeal to Her Majesty in Her Majesty's Privy 10 leave to appeal to Her Majesty Council. in Council. 22nd November. 1963. BY THE COURT Continued. S. Mansoor A. Nasir DEPUTY REGISTRAR (AG.) Plaintiff's XHIB I T S Exhibits 111711 17 17 18 Extract from letter Colonial Secretary to Secretary, British Guiana Civil Service Association Extract from letter 20 Colonial Secretary 7th November, 1945. to Secretary B.G. Civil C.101/7/1/5: Service

Association Sir, 7th November 1945.

XX XX XX XX XX XX

3. The Secretary of State agrees that the provisions of section 2 of the 1944 Ordinance, which amends section 8 of the Principal Ordinance of 1933 may be interpreted to provide for voluntary retirement at 50 with the approval of the Secretary of State. The view taken by this Government is that an application to retire at 50 should normally be granted and not refused except for strong reasons of public interest

and the Secretary of State endorses this view.

XX XX XX XX XX XX

I have the honour to be, Sir, Your obedient servant,

> (Sgd) W.L. Heape Colonial Secretary.

The Honourary Secretary, British Guiana Civil Service Association, Georgetown.

10

nBu

CIRCULAR OF B.G. CREDIT CORPORATION FOR VACANCY OF GENERAL MANAGER.

BRITISH GUIANA CREDIT CORPORATION

Vacancy for General Manager

\*\*\*\*\*

The Corporation wishes to consider applications by suitably qualified persons for appointment as General Manager.

2. The constitution and functions of the Corporation are laid down in the British Guiana Credit Corporation Ordinance, No. 13 of 1954. Its purpose broadly is "to promote the economic development of the Colony and with that object provide financial credits where necessary and desirable and to stimulate and facilitate private investment in the Colony by local and external capital". The Corporation consists of a Chairman, Deputy Chairman, one official member and not less than five other members appointed by the Governor.

30 3. The Corporation's present capital resources of \$11 mn. are being expanded. The staff is 100 and the Head Office is in Georgetown. There are 26 branch offices throughout the Colony. Its credit activities now include loans for housing and for agricultural and industrial "B" Circular of B.G. Credit Corporation for vacancy of General Manager.

"T" Extract

from letter Colonial Secretary to Secretary B.G. Civil Service Association 7th November 1945. Continued.

Plaintiff's

Exhibits

Plaintiff's development. It will be increasingly concerned Exhibits with the stimulation of industrial development.

uBu

Circular of B.G. Credit Corporation for vacancy of General Manager. 4. The General Manager is the chief executive officer of the Corporation appointed under section 6 of the Ordinance. He is responsible to the Corporation for the day-today management of its business in accordance with the provisions of the Ordinance and its administrative directions. He has the duty of advising the Corporation in its functions.

Continued.

The post carries a salary of BWI \$11,280 5. (equivalent at the current rate of exchange to £2350 sterling) per annum, a free, partly-furnished house and leave facilities in accordance with the Government's General Orders and Regulations in force at the time (now five days leave for each completed month of resident service, accumulative to a maximum of six months, with leave passages to a maximum of BWI \$2,500). A motor car allowance of \$25 a month for official journeys within the limits of Georgetown and of 28 cents a mile for official journeys outside Georgetown will be paid. An overseas candidate will be provided with not more than five free sea passages to British Guiana for himself and his wife and children (under 18) years) if any, and on satisfactory completion of service return passages to his country of recruitment.

6. The appointment is non-pensionable and will normally be for three years in the first instance, but the duration of the initial contract is subject to variation to meet individual circumstances. The Corporation has under consideration a contributory pension scheme for its employees.

7. Candidates for the post must have experience in business administration, banking or public administration, preferably on the industrial side. They must be capable of appraising the effects of the Corporation's policies on the economic development of the country and assessing the economic feasibility and general loan-worthiness of projects for which loan proposals are made.

8. The applicant should give full particulars of his qualifications, training and experience.

10

20

30

9. Applications should be addressed in plain sealed envelopes marked "Confidential - Application for appointment as General Manager" to the Chairman the British Guiana Credit Corporation, 20-21 Water Street, Georgetown to reach him not later than Thursday, 15th September, 1960.

**"**BB**"** 

EXTRACT FROM MINUTES OF BRITISH GUIANA CREDIT CORPORATION

10	XX						

STAFF MATTERS: The salary of Mr. W.G. Carmichael General Manager, and his conditions of service and the salaries of Messrs. Kranenburg and Yansen, Secretary, Chief Accountant, respectively were J.H.B. reviewed and it was unanimously decided to recommend M to the Governor-in-Council.

(1) that the salary of the General Manager be increased from £2,000: to £2,200: per annum and that his present contract which expires on the 28th May, 1958 should be renewed for a further 20 period of three years as from the 26th March, 1957. The increase of salary to be payable as from the 29th January, 1957, the date when he took over full executive responsibility from the retiring Three months' leave in the United Kingdom, Chairman. excluding travelling, to be given in 1958 and three months at the end of the contract. Gratuity to be the same as that in the first contract, that is £37.10s.0d. for each three months completed service. An acknowledgment of the very efficient service 30 rendered by the General Manager since the formation of the Corporation was placed on record.

(2) that the salary of the Secretary be increased from £1,000: to £1,150: per annum, the increase to be payable as from the 11th December, 1956, the date when he was appointed Secretary.

(3) that the salary of the Chief Accountant be increased from £1,000: to £1,150: per annum, the increase to be payable as from the 11th November,

# Defendant's Exhibit

Plaintiff's

Exhibits.

Circular of B.G. Credit

Corporation for vacancy of General

**HB**II

Manager. Continued.

"BB

Extract from Minutes of B.G. Credit Corporation. 26th March, 1957. Defendant's 1956, the date when he was appointed Chief Exhibit Accountant. **itBBit** Extract from The sterling work of the Secretary Minutes of and the Chief Accountant was referred to in B.G. Credit appreciatory terms by the Members. Corporation 26th March, (4) It was also agreed that a gratuity of £200: be given to Mr. Yansen for his work in connection 1957. Continued. with the Corporation's accounts.

> XX XX XX XX XX XX

> > Confirmed

10

30

J.H. McB. Moore. Chairman.

4th June, 1957.

Plaintiff's Exhibits

11 ()11

CERTIFICATE FINANCE SECRETARIAT (TREASURY) FIXING SALARY OF

11 Ou

Certificate Finance Secretariat Fixing salary\_of General Manager. 22nd May, 1957.

GENERAL MANAGER.

ττ	FINANCE SECRETARIAT, Public Buildings, Georgetown, Demerara.	20
No. F.S.G.283/55	BRITISH GUIANA	
	22nd May, 1957.	

Sir,

With reference to your letter dated 4th April, 1957, in connection with increases in the salaries of the General Manager, the Secretary and the Chief Accountant, I have to inform you that the Governor in Council has approved the revised salaries as follows:-

- General Manager £2,200 per annum (a) with effect from 29th January, 1957;
- (b) Secretary - £1,150 per annum with effect from 11th December, 1956; and

(c) Chief Accountant - £1,150 per annum with effect from 11th December, 1956.

I have the honour to be, Sir, Your obedient servant,

C.M. Fraser Financial Secretary.

The General Manager, B.G. Credit Corporation, 20-21 Water Street, Georgetown.

> "Y" Letter B.G. Credit Corporation to Barclay's Bank D.C.O. 26th February

1958.

Plaintiff's

Certificate

Exhibits

11 ()11

Finance Secretariat

Fixing

General Manager.

1957.

salary of

22nd May.

Continued.

LETTER B.G. CREDIT CORPORATION TO BARCLAYS BANK, D.C.O.

ווץזו

26th February, 1958.

LEK/ep

The Manager, Barclay's Bank, D.C.O., Water Street, Georgetown.

Dear Sir,

You are hereby requested to arrange for the sum of \$880 to be transferred on the last business day of each and every month commencing on the 28th February, 1958, from this Corporation's general account with your Bank, to the credit of Mr. George William Carmichael's current account with your Bank, until otherwise instructed.

By Special Authority

30

20

Plaintiff's Exhibits	"N" EXTRACT FROM MINUTES B CORPORATION	G. CREDIT
ts Nat		
Extract from Minutes B.G. Credit	BRITISH GUIANA CREDIT Executive Committee Meeti	gunge er Min gen gener son son i sen i
Corporation 26th June,	26th June, 1959.	
1959.	PRESENT:	
	Mr. J.H. Mc.B.Moore,	Chairman
	Mr. W.P. D'Andrade, Deputy Financial Secretary	Official 10 Member
	Mr. C.P.B. Melbourne,M.	B.E.
	Mr. V.H. Willems,	
	Mr. W.G. Carmichael,	General Manager,
	with Mr . L.E. Kranenburg,	Secretary

XX XX  $\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}$  $\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}$  $\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}$ 

9. The Draft Form of Service Agree-Staff: ment for the Secretary and the Chief Accountant, embodying the conditions of employment approved by the Committee at the meeting of 12th and 19th September, 1958, was approved with retrospective effect as from 1st July, 1958.

20

xx  $\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}$ XX  $\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}$ XX

Confirmed,

J.H. McB. Moore.

Chairman.

3rd July, 1959.

	،،Σ <u></u> 1,,		Plaintiff's Exhibits
ORDER FOR P	AYMENT OF GRATUITY		
CHEQUE BRANCH	CREDIT CORPORATION Apr. 30 REQUISITION.	)	"Zl" Order for payment of Gratuity. 7th April, 1960.
ACCOUNT He DATE	ad Office, Salaries	A.	
	Cheque in favour of W.G.Carmichael for Gratuity payment to 31.3.60 n Hundred and Twent ars P.Y.	)	
Cheque No. Date 1.4.60	F. 17455		

D. Forbes Entd. C.B. 175.

"Z2"

RECEIPT FOR GRATUITY

Georgetown, Demerara, 7th April, 1960.

Received from the British Guiana Credit Corporation 20-21 Water Street, George-town, Cheque No. F 17455 for the sum of Seven Hundred and Twenty dollars in

"Z2"

Receipt for Gratuity 7th April, 1960

10

Plaintiff's respect of Gratuity payment to 31.3.60.

Exhibits "Z2" Receipt for Gratuity 7th April 1960. Continued.

Signature: W.G.Carmichael.

\$720.00

uXu

"X" Letter B.G. Credit Corporation to Manager, Barclays Bank, D.C.C. 22nd April, 1960.

LETTER, B.G. CREDIT CORPORATION TO MANAGER, BARCLAYS BANK, D.C.O.

22nd April, 1960.

LEK/ep

The Manager, Barclays Bank D.C.C., Water Street, Georgetown.

Dear Sir,

With reference to our letter to you of 26th February, 1958, you are hereby requested to transfer <u>immediately</u> from the British Guiana Credit Corporation's current account to Mr. W.G. Carmichael's current account with your Bank, the sum of \$3,530 representing the transfers which would normally have been made at the end of the months of April, May, June and July, 1960.

With this transfer, the instructions contained in our letter of 26th February, 1958, referred to above, is to cease.

Yours faithfully,

BRITISH GUIANA CREDIT CORPORATION

By Special Authority ?? By Special Authority

14	5	
	/	•

	7 18	ייבע				P	-
EXTRACT		MINUTES	OF	B.G.	CREDIT		ļ
	CORI	PORATION					

#### BRITISH GUIANA CREDIT CORPORATION

Minutes of the proceedings at a meeting of the Members of the Corporation held at Head Office, 20-21, Water Street, Georgetown, on Tuesday, 26th April, 1960, commencing at 9.30 a.m.

#### PRESENT:

10

20

Mr.	J.H. McB. Moore, O.B.E. Chairman W.M. Green, Deputy Chairman
	Vincent Roth, O.B.E.
Mr.	C.P.B. Melbourne, M.B.E.
Mr.	Gobin Biragie
	V.J. Willems
Mr.	E.C. Rodrigues
	C.H. Da Silva, Acting Official
	outy Financial Secretary Member
	with
Mr.	W.G. Carmichael General Manager
Mr.	L.E.Krananburg & Secretary

#### XX XX XX XX XX XX

- 7. <u>General Manager's House, 274 Peter Rose</u> <u>Street, Queenstown:</u> It was agreed that pending the appointment of a new General Manager, the house should be rented.
- 8. <u>General Manager's motor-car</u>: It was agreed that the car should be advertised for sale.

XX XX XX XX XX XX XX

30

Confirmed:

August, 1960.

Chairman.

"V2"

EXTRACT FROM MINUTES OF B.G. CREDIT CORPORATION

#### BRITISH GUIANA CREDIT CORPORATION

"V2" Extract from Minutes of B.G. Credit Corporation 10th June, 1960.

Plaintiff's Exhibits

#### 4 VJ 88

Extract from Minutes of B.G. Credit Corporation 26th April, 1960.

Plaintiff's Exhibits	<u>Exe</u>	cutive C	ommittee 10th Jun			0 p.m. :	Friday,	
#V2#		PRESEN	<u>T</u> :					
Extract from Minutes of B.G. Credit Corporation. 10th June, 1960.		Mr. M Mr. M	J.H.McB. W.M. Gre C.P.B.Me C.H. Da ty Finan wit	en, lbourne Silva, cial	e, M.B.I Acting	Deput; E.	hairman. y Chairman.	
		Mr.	L.E. Kra		5.	Se	cretary.	10
Continued.					***			
	XX	xx	XX	XX	xx	xx	XX	
	5.	Chairman question Carmicha	ment of n inform n of a s ael was Excellen	ed the uccess still u	meeting or to Ma under c	g that r. W.G. onsider		
	6	(1	Marcan	• ~ 0		Dofest	ing to	

6. <u>General Manager's Quarters:</u> Referring to the question of renting these quarters Mr. Da Silva explained that Government would definitely like to have them for a member of a Mission of Experts who will be arriving in the Colony shortly, and would be prepared to pay the Corporation an economic rent for it.

Members pointed out however, that until the question of the appointment of a new General Manager of the Corporation was settled, it would not be possible to enter into a Tenancy Agreement for the quarters other than on a month-to-month basis.

XX XX XX XX XX XX XX

June, 1960.

20

147.

"V3"

EXTRACT FROM MINUTES OF B.G. CREDIT CORPORATION

#### BRITISH GUIANA CREDIT CORPORATION

#### Executive Committee Meeting, 3.30 p.m. Friday, 1st July, 1960

#### PRESENT:

10

20

Mr. W.M. Green, Mr. E.G. Rodrigues, Mr. C.H. Da Silva, Deputy Financial Secretary, (ag.) With Mr. L.E. Kranenburg, Deputy Chairman Official Member Secretary.

In the absence from the Colony of the Chairman (Mr. J.H. McB. Moore, O.B.E.) Mr. Green the Deputy Chairman, presided.

XX XX XX XX XX XX XX

4. <u>General Manager's Quarters</u>: Mr. Green informed Members that the General Manager's house at 274, Peter Rose Street, Queenstown, had been let temporarily with effect from 23rd June, 1960, at a rental of \$225 a month, and that he had authorised the expenditure of \$400 for the provision of some necessary bedroom furniture. This was approved.

xx Confirmed: Chairman.

July, 1960.

Plaintiff's Exhibits

"V3" Extract from Minutes of B.G. Credit Corporation. 1st July, 1960.

#### Plaintiff's Exhibits

Extract from Minutes of

B.G. Credit Corporation

and annexure

29th July.

1960.

117/111

#### 88W88

#### EXTRACT FROM MINUTES OF B.G. CREDIT CORPORATION AND ANNEXURE

#### BRITISH GUIANA CREDIT CORPORATION

Confidential Minutes of part of the proceedings of a meeting of the Executive Committee, held at Head Office, 20-21 Water Street, Georgetown, at 2.30 p.m. on Friday 29th July, 1960, and forming part of the business transacted at that meeting.

#### PRESENT:

Mr. J.H. McB. Moore, C.B.E. Mr. W.M. Green, Mr. C.H. Da Silva, acting Deputy Financial Secretary Mr. E.C. Rodrigues Mr. Gobin Biragie with Mr. L.E. Kranenburg, Secretary.

#### XX XX XX XX XX XX XX

1. <u>Appointment of a General Manager</u>: The 20 attached draft of a notice inviting applications for the vacant post of General Manager, and setting out the terms and conditions attaching to the appointment was considered and approved. It was further agreed that Government should be requested to advertise the vacancy in British Guiana, Barbados, Jamaica, Trinidad and the United Kingdom immediately.

XX XX XX XX XX XX 30 Confirmed:

5th August, 1960. J.H. McB. Moore Chairman.

## BRITISH GUIANA CREDIT CORPORATION

#### Vacancy for General Manager

#### \*\*\*\*

The Corporation wishes to consider applications by suitably qualified persons for appointment as General Manager.

2. The constitution and functions of the Corporation are laid down in the British Guiana Credit Corporation Ordinance, No. 13 of 1954. Its purpose broadly is "to promote the economic development of the Colony and with that object provide financial credits where necessary and desirable and to stimulate and facilitate private investment in the Colony by local and external capital". The Corporation consists of a Chairman, Deputy Chairman, one official member and not less than five other members appointed by the Governor.

3. The Corporation's present capital resources of \$11 mm. are being expanded. The staff is 100 and the Head Office is in Georgetown. There are 26 branch offices throughout the Colony. Its credit activities now include loans for housing and for agricultural and industrial development. It will be increasingly concerned with the stimulation of industrial development.

4. The General Manager is the chief executive officer of the Corporation appointed under section 6 of the Ordinance. He is responsible to the Corporation for the day-to-day management of its business in accordance with the provisions of the Ordinance and its administrative directions. He has the duty of advising the Corporation in its functions.

5. The post carries a salary of BWI \$11,280: (Equivalent at the current rate of exchange to £2,350 sterling) per annum, a free, partlyfurnished house and leave facilities in accordance with the Government's General Orders and Regulations in force at the time (now five days leave for each completed month of resident service, accumulative to a maximum of six months, with leave passages to a maximum of BWI \$2,500). A motor car allowance of \$25 a month for official journeys within the limits of Georgetown and 28 Plaintiff's Exhibits

"W" Extract from Minutes of B.G. Credit Corporation and Annexure 29th July, 1960.

Continued.

30

10

20

Plaintiff's Exhibits

"W" Extract from Minutes of B.G. Credit Corporation and Annexure. 29th July, 1960.

Continued.

cents a mile for official journeys outside Georgetown will be paid. An overseas candidate will be provided with not more than five free sea passages to British Guiana for himself and his wife and children (under 18 years) if any, and on satisfactory completion of service return passages to his country of recruitment.

6. The appointment is non-pensionable and will normally be for three years in the first instance, but the duration of the initial contract is subject to variation to meet individual circumstances. The Corporation has under consideration a contributory pension scheme for its employees.

7. Candidates for the post must have experience in business administration, banking or public administration, preferably on the industrial side. They must be capable of appraising the effects of the Corporation's policies on the economic development of the country and of assessing the economic feasibility and general loan-worthiness of projects for which loan proposals are made.

8. The applicant should give full particulars of his qualifications, training and experience.

9. Applications should be addressed in plain sealed envelopes marked "Confidential -Application for appointment as General Manager" to the Chairman, the British Guiana Credit Corporation, 20-21 Water Street, Georgetown to reach him not later than Thursday 15th September 1960. Late applications will not be considered.

20

10

30

40

"C" Application by C.H. Da Silva for appo ntment as General Manager. 24th August, 1960. u Cu

APPLICATION BY C.H. DA SILVA FOR APPOINTMENT AS GENERAL MANAGER.

> Finance Secretariat, Public Buildings, Georgetown. 24th August, 1960.

151.

The Chairman, British Guiana Credit Corporation,

Sir,

#### Application for appointment as General Manager

In response to your advertisement in the Press, I hereby apply for appointment as General Manager.

- 2. Particulars of me are as follows:-
- 10 <u>Qualifications</u>: Cambridge School Certificate (Hons.) Distinction and 6 Credits. Associate Member of the Obcertered Institute Secretaries Intermediate Certificate of the Association of Certified and Corporate Accountants.
  - Training:Completed one year of Oxford<br/>University's residential 2<br/>year course for the B. Litt.<br/>degree in Public Finance and<br/>the techniques of Loan-<br/>Capital and fixed capital<br/>development (Professor J.R.<br/>and Mr. U.K. Hicks.)
    - Experience: My public administration experience includes service in senior administrative posts such as Private Secretary to the Governor, Clerk to the Legislative Council, Clerk to the Executive Council, District Commissioner, Chief Establishment Office and Deputy Financial Secretary. (as Chief Establishment Officer, a staff of some 60 came under my control for some years.)
      - My present duties include the assessing of industrial proposals for tax and customs duties concessions. These include projects for stockfeed

# Plaintiff's Exhibits

"C" Application by C.H. Da Silva for appointment as General Manager. 24th August, 1960.

Continued.

20

30

Plaintiff's Exhibits "C" Application by C.G. Da Silva for appointment as General	Experience contd	manufacture, metal and aluminum furniture, shirts and various others. This involves an economic profit assessment which is the same technique that would be used in ascertaining the loan-worthiness of proposals put to the Corporation for loans.	
Manager. 24th August, 1960. Continued.	In 1949 I was specially appointed as Government Liaison Officer to Messrs. B.G. Airways Ltd. which then had lost over \$250,00 a year on its operations. I improved the business administration and developed the Company's operations to a point where four years later, the Company was making a substan- tail profit, before the Government purchased the undertaking.	10	
		My present duties include the preparation of the Colony's 1960- 1964 Development Programme, which was publicly commended in the Legislative Council by the Financial Secretary. An extract of Hansard of 11th Novmber, 1959, is reproduced:	20
		"Further work was done by Mr. Da Silva of my office and he too has done an excellent job. He in particular had the task of re- writing and rearranging the lay- out of the paper, following the advice given by Mr. Berrill"	30
		I am thus thoroughly familar with the economic development proposals of the country and the Government's policy. I have also dealt successfully with overseas bodies such as the Colonial Office, the United Nations Special Fund and the International Bank for Re-construction and Development. I am accustomed to appraising the effects of the various Government Development Schemes on the economic development of the country and have done this in respect of Very large drainage and irrigation and land	40

#### development projects.

48 years.

3. I believe that the Corporation is the most successful instrument for capital formation in the country and that its sound policies have already borne much fruit. My present salary (allowing for pensionability and increases proposed but not yet implemented) is not much less than that of the post of General Manager. However, I would prefer to have a hand in the development of the Corporation into the big national institution which it is destined surely to become.

> I have the honour to be, Sir, Your obedient servant,

> > C.H. Da Silva

"V4"

#### EXTRACT FROM MINUTES OF B.G. CREDIT CORPORATION

20

30

10

## BRITISH GUIANA CREDIT CORPORATION

Minutes of the proceedings at a meeting of the Members of the Corporation, held at Head Office, 20-21, Water Street, Georgetown, on Tuesday, 6th September, 1960, commencing at 9.30 a.m.

#### PRESENT:

Mr. J.H. McB. Moore, O.B.E. Mr. W.M. Green Mr. Vincent Roth, O.B.E.	Chairman Deputy Chairman
Mr. C.P.B. Melbourne, M.B.E.	
Mr. Hamid Rahaman	
Mr. E.C. Rodrigues	
Mr. Gobin Biragie	
Mr. C.H. Da Silva, Deputy	
Financial Secretary,	Official Member
with	
Mr. L.E. Kranenburg	Secretary.

#### Plaintiff's Exhibits

нСи

Application by C.H. Da Silva for appointment as General Manager. 24th August, 1960.

Continued.

"V4" Extract from Minutes of B.G. Credit Corporation 6th September, 1960.

154.

Plaintiff's Exhibits	ABS	ENT:				
"V4" Xtract from			C. Grieve J. Willems	- Not - On 1	in the Colony. .eave.	I
Minutes of B.G. Credit			ana, ann ann ana pna ana ana 486 s	gal) awr		
Corporation 6th	xx	xx	xx	xx	xx	xx
September, 1960.	3.	Matters	arising out	of the	Minutes:	
Continued.		(e)	General Mana, Peter Rose St	ger's r treet,	esidence, 274 Queenstown:	-

It was reported that the house had been rented on a month-to-month basis at \$225 a month with effect from 23rd June, 1960.

Mr. Green stated that he had learnt that throughout the period of Mr. Carmichael's tenancy of the house a night watchman had been employed and his wages paid out of Corporation funds. He was not aware that this arrangement was known to Members or had their approval. He suggested that the amount paid in watchman's wages for the period Mr. Carmichael had actually occupied the house should be ascertained, and a motion by him, seconded by Mr. Roth, that the matter be referred to the Corporation's Auditors for their comments, was carried: the voting being - 6 in favour and 2 against.

 $\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}$  $\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}$  $\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}$  $\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}$  $\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}$  $\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}$ 

Confirmed

J.H. McB. Moore Chairman 22nd September, 1960.

11,711 Letter Secretary B.G. Credit Corporation to C.H. Da Silva 14th September, 1960.

88 .T?8

LETTER SECRETARY B.G. CREDIT CORPORATION TO C.H. Da SILVA

30

BRITISH GUIANA CREDIT CORPORATION (Incorporated by Ordinance No. 13 of 1954)

> 20-21 Water Street. Georgetown, British Guiana.

14th September, 1960.

#### LEK/SeMc

C.H. DaSilva Esq., Deputy Financial Secretary, Finance Secretariat, Public Buildings, Georgetown.

Dear Sir,

You are invited to attend a meeting of the Members of the Corporation, to be held at Head Office at 9.30 a.m. on Thursday, 22nd 10 September, 1960, to consider the application received for the vacant post of General Manager of the Corporation.

Yours faithfully,

L.E. Kranenburg

Secretary.

Not attended by me

C.P.

11 Da

20

30

EXTRACT FROM MINUTES OF B.G. CREDIT CORPORATION

# BRITISH GUIANA CREDIT CORPORATION

Minutes of the proceedings at a meeting of the members of the Corporation, held at Head Office, 20-21, Water Street, Georgetown, on Thursday, 22nd September, 1960, commencing at 9.30 a.m.

# PRESENT: Mr. J.H. McB. Moore, O.B.E. Mr. W.M. Green, Chairman Deputy Chairman Mr. Vincent Roth, O.B.E. Mr. C.P.B. Melbourne, M.B.E. Mr. Hamid Rahaman, Mr. E.C. Rodrigues,

u Du Extract from Minutes of B.G. Credit Corporation 22nd September,

1960.

Plaintiff's Exhibits

11,711 Letter Secretary B.G. Credit Corporation to C.H. Da Silva 14thSeptember, 1960.

Continued.

TJO"	1	.5	6	
------	---	----	---	--

Plaintiff's Exhibits "D"		Ir. Gobin with Ir. L.E. K	0.	5,2	Secreta	ry.
Extract from Minutes of B.G. Credit Corporation 22nd September, 1960.		Mr. E.C. Mr. V.J. Mr. C.H.	Willems DaSilva nancial	- On lea - who a	n the Colc ave of abs sked to be ad from at	lence
	Tu		h Septemi	per, 1960	neeting he , were tak	
	xx	xx	<b>XX</b> .	xx	XX	xx
	<u>vice</u> was	one of th	<u>Carmicha</u> le applica	<u>el:</u> As ints for t	ral Manage the Secre the positi nile this	tary .on,

was being considered.

All applications which had been received as a result of the advertisement published locally and in the West Indies were then carefully considered, and Mr. Clement H. Da Silva, now Deputy Financial Secretary and Official Member of the Board, was chosen for the appointment. It was decided that Mr. Da Silva be notified and Government be advised of the appointment; all the unsuccessful applicants to be notified that the position has been filled.

3	¢χ	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	30	
			Conf	irmed				
	J.H. McB. Moore							
			Chai	rman.				
2	27th	October,	1960.					

10

uЕu

LETTER SECRETARY B.G. CREDIT CORPORATION TO C.H. Da SILVA

Telephone: C 204 & C 205

Telegraphic Address: Credit, Georgetown, B.G.

BRITISH GUIANA CREDIT CORPORATION (Incorporated by Ordinance No.13 of 1954)

> 20-21 Water Street, Georgetown, British Guiana.

LEK/ep

10

26th September, 1960.

Mr. C.H. Da Silva, c/o Finance Secretariat, Public Buildings, Georgetown.

Dear Sir,

With reference to your letter of 24th August, 1960, applying for the vacant post of General Manager of this Corporation, I am pleased to inform you that at a meeting of the Corporation held on Thursday, 22nd September, 1960, you were selected for the appointment on the terms and conditions as advertised; and I shall be glad to be informed as early as possible, how soon you would be able to take up the appointment.

Yours faithfully,

L.E. Kranenburg Secretary

30

"F" LETTER C.H. DA SILVA TO B.G. CREDIT CORPORATION AND DRAFT AGREEMENT OF SERVICE

> 64 Brickdam, British Guiana.

3rd October, 1960.

Exhibits "E" Letter Secretary, B.G. Credit Corporation

Plaintiff's

to C.H. Da Silva. 26th September, 1960.

#F#

Letter C.H. Da Silva to B.G. Credit Corporation and draft Agreement of Service 3rd October, 1960. Plaintiff's <u>Exhibits</u> "F" Small LETTER C.H. Da Silva to B.G. Credit Corporation and draft Agreement of Service 3rd October, 1960.

Continued.

Dear Sir,

#### Appointment as General Manager

I thank you for your letter of 26th September, informing me of my selection for appointment as General Manager. I enclose draft agreement of Service which I shall enter in with the Corporation. I accept the appointment.

I am reporting the position to the Government with a view to release as early as possible. Meanwhile I would ask that no official announcement be made by the Corporation.

10

Yours sincerely, C.H. DaSilva.

#### BRITISH GUIANA

1. The Person engaged agrees and undertakes that he will diligently and faithfully perform the duties of General Manager of the Corporation for the term of his engagement, and will act in all respects according to the instructions or directions given to him by the Corporation.

2. The salary of the office is at the rate of Eleven Thousand, two hundred and eighty dollars (\$11,280) a year fixed.

3. This Agreement is subject to the conditions set forth in the Schedule hereto annexed, and the Schedule shall be read and construed as a part of the Agreement.

As witness our hands theday and year above written.

20

159.

	Signed by	}	Plaintiff's Exhibits
	(on behalf of the Corporation i	n the presence of	*• th 88
	of the Office of the Corporatio	n.	LETTER C.H. Da Silva to B.G. Credit
	Signed by		Corporation and draft Agreement of Service. 3rd October,
	Signature	Sign here across a sixpenny postage and revenue stamp.	1960. Continued.
	SCHEDULE		
	1. (1) The engagement of the is for a period of six years re comprising two tours of three y commencing from the date of ass which term may be extended as p Clause 12.	sident service ears each umption of duty,	Term of Engagement
20	(2) The term of the engag deemed to be completed on the d leave granted to the person eng of such period of service expir	ate on which any aged in respect	
30	2. The duties of the per be those of the chief executive Corporation. The person engage ponsible to the Corporation for management of its business in a the provisions of the British G Corporation Ordinance No. 13 of administrative directions. His advising the Corporation on its	officer of the d shall be res- the day-to-day ccordance with uiana Credit 1954, and its duties include	Duties
	3. A free, partly furnish provided or an allowance in lie	hed house will be u.	Quarters
	4. (1) If the person engaged by reason of ill-health (not car misconduct) to resign his offic time it shall be certified by a	used by his own e, or if at any	Ill-health

medical officer employed by the Government that he is incapable, by reason of any infirmity of mind

or body, or rendering further efficient service, Plaintiff's the Corporation shall pay him his salary up to Exhibits the date of such resignation or certificate. 88 A 88 (2) A Certificate signed by a duly Letter C.H. qualified medical officer employed by the Da Silva to Government shall be conclusive evidence on the B.G. Credit question whether or not the person engaged was Corporation compelled to resign his office by reason of illand draft health within the meaning of this clause. Agreement of Service. The Corporation may at any time determine 10 3rd October, (1)5. the engagement of the person engaged on giving him 1960. twelve months' notice in writing or on paying him six months! salary. Continued. Determina-(2)The person engaged may, at any time tion of after the expiration of three months from the engagement commencement of any residential service determine his engagement on giving to the Corporation three months! notice in writing or on paying to the Corporation one month's salary. 20 (3) If the person engaged terminates his engagement otherwise than in accordance with this Agreement he shall be liable to pay to the Corporation as liquidated damages three months! salary. Leave of 6. The person engaged shall not be entitled Absence as of right to any leave of absence. But he will be eligible for leave under this Agreement in accordance with the Government's General Orders and Regulations in force at the time (now five days leave for each completed month of 30 resident service, accumulative to a maximum of six months, with leave passages to a maximum of BWI \$2,500), provided that his work and conduct have been satisfactory. Travelling A motor car allowance of \$25 a month for 7. allowance official journeys within the limits of Georgetown and of 28 cents a mile for official journeys outside Georgetown will be paid. Further 8. Three months prior to the completion of Employment the period of service, the person engaged shall 40 give notice in writing to the Corporation whether he desires to remain in its employment, and the Corporation shall thereupon decide whether it will offer him further employment. If the Corporation offer him further employment the

re-engagement will be on such terms and for such period as may be mutually agreed.

9. The marginal notes are for convenience only and do not form part of the Agreement.

11 K 11

CHAIRMAN, B.G. CREDIT CORPORATION

LETTER FINANCIAL SECRETARY TO

Finance Secretariat, Public Buildings, Georgetown. BRITISH GUIANA.

10 ERM.

> II <u>No. F.S.G. 283/55</u>

18th October, 1960.

Sir,

With reference to the Secretary's letter of 26th September and our subsequent conversation on the subject of filling the vacant post of General Manager of the Corporation, I am directed to inform you that the matter was considered by the Governor in Council.

2. I am to ask the Board of the Corporation to re-examine the recommendation made as the Government is anxious that the best person available be obtained for the post. If the Board wishes to have the qualifications of any of the candidates residing in the West Indies further investigated, the Chief Secretary would be glad to enlist the aid of the Government of the territory in which the candidate is residing. If the Board is not satisfied that any of the persons who have so far applied is suitable, the vacancy should be re-advertised over a wider field.

3. I should be grateful if you would put

Plaintiff's <u>Exhibits</u> <u>WFW</u> Letter C.H. Da Silva to B.G. Credit Corporation and draft Agreement of Service. 3rd October, 1960. Continued.

"K" Letter Financial Secretary to Chairman B.G. Credit Corporation. 18th October 1960.

30

Plaintiff's Exhibits	the matter to your Board accordingly.
II KII	I have the honour to be,
Letter	Sir,
Financial	Your obedient servant,
Secretary	•
to Chairman	W.P. D'Andrade
B.G. Credit	Financial Secretary.
Corporation.	
18th October,	The Chairman,
1960.	The B.G. Credit Corporation,
Continued.	20-21 Water Street,
	Georgetown.

10

"Gl" Extract from Minutes of B.G. Credit Corporation 27th October, 1960. nGlu

#### EXTRACT FROM MINUTES OF B.G. CREDIT CORPORATION

#### BRITISH GUIANA CREDIT CORPORATION

Minutes of the proceedings at a meeting of the Members of the Corporation held at Head Office, 20-21, Water Street, Georgetown, on Thursday, 27th October, 1960, commencing at 9.30 a.m.

1. MEMBERSHIP

The Chairman intimated that he had received 20 a letter dated 12th October, 1960, from the Hon. The Financial Secretary, notifying him of -

(a) the re-appointment of the Deputy Financial Secretary and Mr. Gobin Biragie as Members of the Corporation;

(b) the appointment of Mr. Andrew H. James as a Member and Deputy Chairman of the Corporation;

(c) the appointments of Mr. Peter Andersen, 30 Mr. Joseph Jardim, Mr. Ivan Remington, Mr. Oswald H. Fisher, Mr. Jacob Bowman and Mr. Muntax Ali, as Members of the Corporation;

and that the re-appointment and appointments

163.

were for 2 years beginning 26th September, 1960.

He welcomed the new Members and expressed the hope that they would co-operate with him in carrying out the functions of the Corporation. All members of the Board were present.

#### 2. DECLARATION OF SECRECY:

Section 9 of the British Guiana Credit Corporation Ordinance (No. 13 of 1954) was read, and the new Members, Mr. James, Mr. Andersen, Mr. Jardim, Mr. Remington, Mr. Fisher, Mr. Bowman and Mr. Muntaz Ali made the necessary Sworn Declarations before Mr. Herman DeFreitas, Commissioner of Oaths.

The Chairman also directed Members<sup>†</sup> attention to the provision of Section 10 of Ordinance No. 13 of 1954.

#### 3. CONFIRMATION OF THE MINUTES:

The Minutes of the previous meeting held on 22nd September, 1960, were read by the Secretary 0 and were confirmed by the Chairman. It was noted that of the Members present, only Messrs. Moore and Biragie were at the last meeting.

7. At this stage of the proceedings Mr. C.H. Da Silva was granted permission to leave and the Secretary withdrew temporarily.

#### 8. APPOINTMENT OF A GENERAL MANAGER

The Chairman read (a) C.H. DaSilva's letter dated 3rd October, 1960, accepting the Board's offer to him of the post of General Manager, and (b) a letter dated 18th October, 1960, from the Financial Secretary intimating that it was the wish of the Governor in Council that the Board re-examine the recommendation made for filling the post of General Manager.

It was unanimously decided that the matter should be considered at a special meeting to be called for the purpose; the date of the special meeting to be fixed when the Board meet on Friday, 4th November, 1960.

40 9. Mr. James asked, and it was agreed, that

Plaintiff's Exhibits

"Gl" Extract from Minutes of B.G. Credit Corporation. 27th October 1960.

Continued.

20

10

Confirmed:

(sgd) J.H. Mc B. Moore

Chairman.

4th November, 1960.

"G2"

Plaintiff's Exhibits

uGIu

1960.

Minutes of B.G. Credit

Continued.

Corporation.

27th October,

Extract from Minutes of B.G. Credit Corporation. llth November 1960.

# CREDIT CORPORATION

EXTRACT FROM MINUTES OF B.G.

8G211

#### BRITISH GUIANA CREDIT CORPORATION

Minutes of the proceedings at a meeting of the Corporation, held at Head Office, 20-21 Water Street, Georgetown, on Friday, 11th November, 1960, commencing at 3.00 p.m.

PRESENT:

Mr. J.H. McB. Moore, O.B.E. Chairman Mr. A.H. James Deputy Chairman Mr. P. Andersen 20 Mr. M. Ali Mr. J. Bowman Mr. O.H. Fisher Mr. J. Jardim Mr. I. Remington Mr. C.H. DaSilva, Deputy Financial Secretary Official Member with Mr. E.S. Douglas, Assistant to the Secretary 30 Mr. L.E. Kranenburg (indisposed)

#### ABSENT:

Mr. Gobin Biragie

#### 164.

xx	xx	XX	XX	xx	xx
Friday	<u>le Minutes</u> 7,4th Nove 1 by the Ch had been m	mber, l airman a	960, were	confirme	d and

(a) the insertion of "on 29th October, 1960" between the words "Resources" and "a" in the third line of paragraph 4;

- (b) the substitution of "tractorbulldozer" for "tractor, bulldozer" in the sixth 10 line of the second sub-paragraph of paragraph 6(b)(3).
  - 3. Matters arising out of the Minutes

(a) .....

(b) The Chairman explained that the particulars about the Staff including pay and conditions of service were not yet ready because of the Secretary's indisposition.

XX XX XX XX XX  $\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}$ 

20 9. At this stage of the proceedings Mr. DaSilva was granted permission to leave. The Assistant Secretary also withdrew.

10. <u>Appointment of a General Manager</u>: The matter was considered in terms of the Financial Secretary's letter No. F.S.G.283/5511 dated 18th October, 1960 (see paragraph 8 of the Minutes of Members meeting, 27th October, 1960).

The qualifications, training and experience of all the candidates were reviewed exhaustively by 30 the Board who unanimously agreed that Mr. G.E.Luck, Permanent Secretary, Ministry of Natural Resources, British Guiana, was suitable for the post and should be appointed.

It was decided, however, not to offer Mr. Luck the appointment until the Governor in Council had been informed of the decision and had approved the selection.

> Confirmed: (sgd) J.H. Mc B. Moore Chairman.

18th November, 1960.

40

Plaintiff's Exhibits #G2# Extract from Minutes of B.G. Credit Corporation. llth November, 1960.

Continued.

Plaintiff's <u>Exhibits</u> "G3" Extract from Minutes of B.G. Credit

Corporation. 18th November

1960.

# EXTRACT FROM MINUTES OF B.G. CREDIT CORPORATION

#### BRITISH GUIANA CREDIT CORPORATION

Minutes of the proceedings at a meeting of the Members of the Corporation, held at Head Office 20-21 Water Street, Georgetown, on Friday, 18th November, 1960, commencing at 3 p.m.

#### PRESENT:

	Mr.	J.H. Mc B. Moore O.B.E. Andrew H. James P. Andersen	Deputy	Ch <b>ai</b> rman Chairman	10
		Muntaz Ali			
•		Jacob Bowman			
		O.H. Fisher			
		Joseph Jardim			
		Ivan Remington			
	Mr.	Gobin Biragie			
	Mr.	C.H. Da Silva, Deputy			
	Fir	nancial Secretary		Official	20
		with		Member	
	Mr.	L.E. Kranenburg, Secreta	ry.		

1. Before dealing with the Minutes of the previous meeting the Secretary not being present - The Chairman informed the Members that he had received from the Deputy Chairman, a draft of a proposed Press Release, copies of which it appeared to him had been circulated to all Members. He pointed out that great care had to be taken in making statements to the Press and he read to them what he considered to be the sort of statement that should be released. This he felt varied in but few respects from what the Deputy Chairman had suggested.

A general discussion took place and it was decided that some amendments should be made in the draft release proposed by the Chairman - the Official Member to be consulted in this respect.

30

2. <u>The Minutes</u>: of the previous meeting held on Friday 11th November, 1960, were confirmed and signed by the Chairman, after the following amendments had been made:

(a) the insertion of the words "to the" between the words "Assistant" and "Secretary", appearing after the words "Mr. E.S. Douglas" in the list of names of the persons present at the meeting:

10 (b) the insertion of the words "attached herewith", between the words "statement" and "for" in the third line of paragraph 8;

(c) the insertion of the word "unanimously" between the words "who" and "Agreed" in the sixth line of paragraph 10; and

(d) the insertion of the word "most" after the word "was" at the end of the seventh line of paragraph 10.

- Members asked that their appreciation 20 of the early issue to them of copies of the Minutes be recorded.
  - 3. <u>Matters arising out of the Minutes:</u>

XX XX XX XX XX XX

(d) <u>Particulars of Staff and conditions</u> of <u>Service</u>: See paragraph 3(b) of the Minutes: The Secretary explained that the statement should be ready by next meeting.

XX XX XX XX XX XX

 5. At this stage of the proceedings Mr. Da Silva
 30 was granted permission to leave as he had to attend another meeting.

XX XX XX XX XX XX

Confirmed

J.H. McB. Moore.

Chairman

25th November, 1960.

Plaintiff's Exhibits "G3" Extract from Minutes of B.G. Credit Corporation. 18th November 1960.

Continued.

168.

Plaintiff's Exhibits "M" Extract from Minutes of B.G. Credit Corporation. 25th November 1960.	Minutes of the proceedings at a meeting of the Members of the Corporation, held at Head Office, 20-21, Water Street, Georgetown, on Friday, 25th November, 1960, commencing at 3 p.m.
	xx xx xx xx xx
	3. (b) <u>Particulars of Staff and Conditions</u> 10 of Service - see paragraph 3(d) of the Minutes:
	Copies of the document were distributed to the Members present.
	BRITISH GUIANA CREDIT CORPORATION
	AUTHORISED ESTABLISHMENT
	A. <u>HEAD OFFICE STAFF</u> GENERAL MANAGER <u>Salary Scale</u> \$11,280: p.a. (fixed)
	<b>xx</b> xx xx xx xx 20
	Confirmed
	J.H. McB. Moore Chairman 2nd December 1960.
"H" Letter Defendant's Solicitors to Chairman B.G. Credit	"H" LETTER DEFENDANT'S SOLICITORS TO CHAIRMAN, B.G. CREDIT CORPORATION Chambers,
Corporation. 7th December 1960.	"WHITEHALL,"302 Croal Street,2LUCKHOO & LUCKHOOGeorgetown.Legal Practitioners.BRITISH GUIANA.

7th December, 1960

169.

The Chairman, British Guiana Credit Corporation, Incorporated by Ordinance No. 13 of 1954, c/o B.G. Mutual Insurance Co., Ltd., Georgetown.

Dear Sir,

We are acting on behalf of Mr. Clement Hugh Da Silva of 64 Brickdam relative to the following matter.

10 Following upon an advertisement containing terms and conditions by the British Guiana Credit Corporation published in the daily newspapers for the vacant post of General Manager, our client on the 24th August, 1960, made application offering himself for appointment as General Manager.

In view of the fact that he was a member of the Corporation, our client abstained from participating in the consideration of any of the 20 applications and indeed withdrew from the meetings whenever this item came up for consideration.

On the 26th September, 1960, our client received a letter from the British Guiana Credit Corporation signed by the Secretary, Mr. L.E. Kranenburg, which reads as follows:-

"Dear Sir,

With reference to your letter of 24th August, 1960, applying for the vacant post of General Manager of this Corporation, I am pleased to inform you that at a meeting of the Corporation held on Thursday, 22nd September, 1960, you were selected for the appointment on the terms and conditions as advertised; and I shall be glad to be informed as early as possible, how soon you would be able to take up the appointment.

#### L.E. Kranenburg Secretary."

On the 3rd October, 1960, our client by letter of that date accepted the appointment. He intimated that he was seeking a release as early Exhibits "H" Letter Defendant's

Plaintiff's

Defendant's Solicitors to Chairman B.G. Credit Corporation. 7th December 1960.

Continued.

Plaintiff's Exhibits

"H" Letter Defendant's Solicitors to Chairman B.G. Credit Corporation. 7th December, 1960.

Continued.

as possible from Government to take up the appointment which he had accepted.

On the basis of the offer which had been made by the Corporation and following upon his acceptance our client treated his appointment as being truly made and effected and proceeded to make the necessary arrangements for his early take over as General Manager of the Corporation. Our client wrote to Government seeking permission to retire from the Service as early as possible since he had reached the age where this was his right so to do. His accumulated leave was sufficient to take him to the age of voluntary retirement. He further gave up his own house and rented the same to live with relatives. as the Office of General Manager carried with it a free house to which he proposed to remove. He so organised his domestic affairs to enable him to take over in accordance with his aforementioned acceptance after selection by the Corporation.

Our client as a member of the Corporation received a copy of the minutes of a properly constituted meeting of the Members of the Corporation held on the 22nd September, 1960, and in the said minutes the following appears:-

<b>"</b> 3.	(iii)	Appointment of a General
		Manager, vice Mr. W.G.
		Carmichael.

As the secretary was one of the applicants for the position, he withdrew from the meeting while this item was being considered.

All applications which had been received as a result of the advertisement published locally and in the West Indies were then carefully considered, and Mr. Clement H. Da Silva, now Deputy Financial Secretary and Official Member of the Board, was chosen for the appointment. It was decided that Mr. Da Silva be notified and Government be advised of the appointment; all the 30

20

10

unsuccessful applicants to be notified that the position has been filled."

These minutes were subsequently confirmed at a meeting of the members of the said Corporation held on the 27th October, 1960.

Since the letter of offer and the acceptance by our client for the post of General Manager, our client has received no further communication or intimation from the Corporation 10 and it was not until late in November when he received a copy of the minutes of the meeting held on the 11th November, 1960, that for the first time he became aware of efforts to replace him by another person for the post of General Manager.

It is our client's claim that he is the duly appointed General Manager of the British Guiana Credit Corporation. He is ready and willing to take over and assume the responsibilities of his post within a reasonably short time. If any attempt is made to revoke or cancel the said appointment, our client will have no alternative but to file a Writ immediately in the Supreme Court of this Colony, seeking a declaration that he has been well and truly appointed General Manager of the said Corporation; that he is the de facto and de jure Manager of the said Corporation.

Our client will resist any effort or 90 efforts by whomscever made or wherever made to deprive him of his legal rights and status following his said appointment. We hope that you will have this matter urgently considered by your Board especially having regard to the legal implications and we feel sure that you will be forced to one conclusion and that is, that no other person could properly replace our client as General Manager of the said Corporation in the light of the foregoing.

Yours faithfully,

Luckhoo & Luckhoo.

Plaintiff's Exhibits

"H" Letter Defendant's Solicitors to Chairman B.G. Credit Corporation. 7th December 1960.

Continued.

40

Plaintiff's Exhibits

C.H.Da Silva

to Secretary

nsin

Letter

Public

"Sl"

LETTER C.H. DASILVA TO SECRETARY PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION.

> FINANCE SECRETARIAT, Public Buildings, Georgetown.

8th December, 1960.

With reference to my minute of 16th October addressed to the Financial Secretary informing him of my appointment as General Manager of the British Guiana Credit Corporation and of my proposal to retire from the Service to accept this appointment, I hereby apply for 10 months and 25 days vacation leave due to me which I should like to begin from 19th January 1961, and for permission to retire from the Service at the expiry thereof. I shall be fifty years of age on 12th March, 1961: my birth certificate is attached.

2. I shall also be glad to receive permission to accept paid employment during my leave as is usual.

I have the honour to be, Sir, Your obedient servant,

C.H. Da Silva Deputy Financial Secretary

The Secretary, Public Service Commission (Establishment), 30 Georgetown. (through Financial Secretary.)

"G4" Extract from Minutes of B.G. Credit Corporation 9th December 1960. "G4"

EXTRACT FROM MINUTES OF B.G. CREDIT CORPORATION

BRITISH GUIANA CREDIT CORPORATION

Service Commission. 8th December, 1960.

Sir,

Minutes of the proceedings at a meeting of the Members of the Corporation, held at Head Office, 20-21 Water Street, Georgetown, on Friday, 9th December, 1960, commencing at 3 p.m.

PRESENT:

Mr. J.H. McB. Moore, O.B.E. Chairman Mr. Andrew H. James Deputy Chairman Mr. J. Jardim Mr. P. Andersen Mr. M. Ali Mr. J. Bowman Mr. G. Biragie Mr. O.H. Fisher

Mr. C.H. DaSilva was absent

#### 1. Appointment of A General Manager

(a) The Chairman informed the meeting that he had received a letter dated 7th December, 1960, from Luckhoo & Luckhoo, Legal Practitioners acting on behalf of Mr. C.H. Da Silva, intimating that it was their client's intention to take action in the Supreme Court of the Colony if the Corporation attempted to revoke or cancel their offer to him of the post of General Manager of the Corporation, which he had already accepted.

After discussion it was unanimously decided that a copy of the letter should be forwarded to the Honourable, the Financial Secretary for his information.

(b) The Chairman also informed Members
30 of the receipt by him on his arrival for the meeting, of a letter dated 9th December, 1960, from the acting Permanent Secretary to the Ministry of Trade and Industry enclosing for his advance information a copy of a statement regarding the appointment of Mr. G.E. Luck as General Manager of the Corporation, which the Minister of Trade and Industry intended torelease on 10th December, 1960, at his usual Saturday morning Press Conference.

The letter and statement were taken for

Exhibits "G4" Extract from Minutes of B.G. Credit Corporation

9th December

Plaintiff's

Continued.

1960.

20

Plaintiff's Exhibits

#### notification.

"G4" Extract from Minutes of B.G. Credit Corporation 9th December, 1960.

Continued.

The Secretary joined the meeting during the discussion of the above items and apologised to the Chairman and Members for being half an hour late, owing to his having accepted Government's invitation to be present at the opening of the Legislative Council at 2 p.m.

Mr. Remington also joined the meeting while the above items were under discussion.

2. <u>The Minutes</u>: of the previous meeting held on Friday, 2nd December, 1960, were confirmed and signed by the Chairman.

10

XX XX XX XX XX XX

4. At this stage of the proceedings Mr. James asked permission to withdraw, stating that he was not prepared to consider applications for loans until the new General Manager was appointed and had assumed duty. Permission to withdraw granted by the Chairman.

XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	$\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}$	20
----	----	----	----	----	------------------------	----

Confirmed

J.H. McB. Moore. Chairman.

6th January, 1961.

"S2" **n**S2**n** Letter Financial LETTER FINANCIAL SECRETARY TO Secretary C.H. Da SILVA to C.H. Da Silva FINANCE SECRETARIAT, 12th January, P.O. Box 757, 1961. Georgetown, 30 Demerara, DED BRITISH GUIANA No. 298 /59 12th January, 1961. Sir. I refer to your letter of 8th December

to the Secretary, Public Service Commission, applying for vacation leave and for permission to retire from the Service at the expiry of your leave.

2. I am to inform you that your application for vacation leave of 10 months 25 days has been approved with effect from 16th January, 1961.

Your application for permission to retire 3. at the expiry of your vacation leave is under consideration and you will be informed of the decision in due course.

> I have the honour to be, Sir, Your obedient servant,

W.P. D'Andrade Financial Secretary.

Mr. C.H. Da Silva, Deputy Financial Secretary, Public Buildings, GEORGETOWN.

11()11

LETTER FINANCIAL SECRETARY TO C.H. Da SILVA

> FINANCE SECRETARIAT, P.O. Box 757, Georgetown, Demerara, BRĪTISH GUIANA.

> > 2nd March, 1961.

Letter

Financial Secretary to C.H. Da Šilva

2nd March, 1961.

ERM. A T. 298 /59

Sir,

30

40

With reference to your letter of the 22nd February regarding your application for permission to accept paid employment during your pre-retirement leave, in the absence of a request from you for permission to accept specific employment during this period His Excellency the Governor regrets that he is unable to grant permission as sought by you. If and when however you have employment in view you should seek permission to accept such employment, in which event your request

10

20

11Q11

Plaintiff's

Exhibits

**#S2#** 

Financial Secretary to C.H. Da

12th January,

Continued.

Letter

Silva.

1961.

Plaintiff's will be sympathetically considered. Exhibits I have the honour to be, 11011 Sir, Your obedient servant Letter Financial Secretary ?? to C.H. Da Silva. for Financial Secretary. 2nd March, 1961. Mr. C.H. Da Silva, Continued. A 181 Barima Avenue. Bel Air Park.

'nR'n

"R" Letter C.H. Da Silva to Financial Secretary 9th March, 1961.

LETTER C.H. DA SILVA TO FINANCIAL SECRETARY

A 181 Barima Avenue.

9th March, 1961.

Sir,

Please refer to your letter T.298 /59 of 2nd March.

2. With respect, I thank His Excellency the Governor for the sympathetic consideration offered to any request I may make when I have employment in view. I am aware of the need in accordance with section 19 of the Pensions Ordinance for specific approval in each case of employment by a company.

3. The work I propose engaging in is the part-time secretarial duties in the formation of new limited liability companies before they are registered, the costing of new manufacturing processes and the improvement of systems of owner-managed small Business, the valuation of securities etc. and weighing and gauging work (I am a sworn licensed weigher and gauger). I propose to establish my own small office and to build up a practice. Already I can receive two briefs - one for costing a small catering business and the other for valuing securities of an individual. 20

30

This type of work does not relate to the 4. Civil Service. Other skills than those needed in the Civil Service are involved. Like Mr. J.I. Ramphal, retired Commissioner of Labour, whom I have seen practising in the Courts as a lawyer surely with His Excellency the Governor's permission - I would wish to practise my secretarial profession in the same way.

> I have the honour to be. Sir, Your obedient servant.

(sgd) C.H. Da Silva.

The Hon. Financial Secretary.

LETTER FINANCIAL SECRETARY TO C.H. DaSILVA

npn

FINANCE SECRETARIAT. P.O. Box 757, Georgetown, Demerara BRITISH GUIANA.

24th March, 1961.

Letter Financial Secretary to C.H. Da Silva. 24th March, 1961.

20

30

10

T 298 /59

Sir,

With reference to your further letter of the 9th March regarding your accepting paid employment during your pre-retirement leave, in the circumstances mentioned therein approval has been given for you to accept paid employment during this period.

> I have the honour to be. Sir. Your obedient servant,

?? for Financial Secretary

Mr. C.H. Da Silva, A 181 Barima Avenue. Bel Air Park.

n Pit

11 P 11 Letter C.H. Da Silva to

Plaintiff's

Exhibits

Financial Secretary 9th March, 1961. Continued. Plaintiff's Exhibits

# uVVu

LETTER FINANCIAL SECRETARY TO SECRETARY B.G. CREDIT CORPORATION

"AA" Letter Financial Secretary to Secretary B.G. Credit Corporation. 6th April, 1961.

FINANCE SECRETARIAT, P.O. Box 757, Georgetown, Demerara, BRITISH GUIANA

6th April, 1961.

NO. G.360/54

III

Sir,

I have the honour to inform you that Mr. J.H. McB. Moore, O.B.E., and Mr. O.H.Fisher resigned from the Corporation by letters addressed to the Governor's Secretary on the 18th and the 24th of March, 1961, respectively.

> I have the honour to be, Sir, Your obedient servant,

?? for Financial Secretary.

The Secretary, B.G. Credit Corporation, Brickdam & Boyle Place, Georgetown.

88 JJ88

EXTRACT FROM RECORDS OF

NEW WIDOWS & ORPHANS:

FUND

"U" Extract from records of New Widows & Orphans' Fund. 2nd March, 1962.

COPY

File No. 195

Number 15

20

10

		يوجعون المناوحين ومطود بالمناجعا سياحين ال		مسؤيد بيريني مارا مالك فيناف والكران والكران والمراكر منها	D1 - dayshid -0.08 -
	Name of	Date of Birth	Date of Joining Fund Death etc.	Date of Marriage.	Plaintiff's <u>Exhibits</u> "U" Extract from records of New Widows
	Contributor: Da Silva, Clement H.P. Wife:	12.3.11	1.10.35		& Orphans <sup>*</sup> Fund. 2nd March, 1962. Continued.
10	Margaret Mary	15.12.14		26.7.47	
	Children: Clive Hugh Paul Peter	29.10.48 14. 6.50 6. 6.55			

I hereby certify that the above is a true copy of the records of the New Widows and Orphans' Fund.

R.A. Charles

Secretary.

New Widows & Orphans' Fund. 2.3.62.

179.

No. 43 of 1963

ON APPEAL FROM THE BRITISH CARIBBEAN COURT OF APPEAL

BETWEEN :-

BRITISH GUIANA CREDIT CORPORATION a body corporate, incorporated by Ordinance, No. 13 of 1954 whose Office is situate at Lots 20 and 21 Water Street, Georgetown, Demerara (Plaintiffs) <u>Appellants</u>

- and -

CLEMENT HUGH Da SILVA (Defendant) Respondent

RECORD PROCEEDINGS OF

CHARIES RUSSELL & CO., 37 Norfolk Street, London W.C.2.

GOODMAN, DERRICK & CO., 30 Bouverie Street, Fleet Street, London E.C.4.

Solicitor for the Appellants. Solicitor for the Respondent.