10101

IN THE PRIVY COUNCIL

No.67 of 1960

ON APPEAL FROM THE WEST AFRICAN COURT OF APPEAL

<u>BETWEEN:-</u>

BENJAMIN LEONARD MacFOY (Defendant) <u>Appellant</u> - and -UNITED AFRICA COMPANY LIMITED

(Plaintiff) Respondent

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

UNIVERSITY OF LONDON W.C.1. 1 S FED 1952 INSTITUTE OF ADVANCED LEGAL STUDIES

63642

T.L.WILSON & CO., 6, Westminster Palace Gardens, London, S.W.l.

Solicitors for the Appellant.

LINKLATERS & PAINES, 59-67, Gresham Street, London, E.C.2.

Solicitors for the Respondent.

IN THE PRIVY COUNCIL

No.67 of 1960

÷

ON APPEAL

FROM THE WEST AFRICAN COURT OF APPEAL

BETWEEN:-

BENJAMIN LEONARD MACFOY (Defendant) Appellant - and -

UNITED AFRICA COMPANY LIMITED

(Plaintiff) Respondent

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS INDEX OF REFERENCE

No.	Description of Document		Date		Page
	IN THE SUPREME COURT OF SIERRA LEONE				
l	Writ of Summons	16th	August 1	.958	1.
2	Statement of Claim	5th	September	1958	2
3	Judgment in Default of Defence	29th	September	1958	4
4	Affidavit in support of Application to set aside Judgment with Annexures:-	20th	December	1958	4
	Annexure "A"				
	Notice of Application to set aside Judgment	28th	November	1958	6
	Annexure "B"				
	Affidavit of B.L.MacFoy	27th	November	1958	7
	Annexure "C"				
	Notice of adjournment	9th	December	1958	9
	Annexure "D"				1
	Praecipe for Writ of Fieri Facias	. 	(Undated)		10
5	Affidavit of B.L.MacFoy	5th	January	1959	10
6	Affidavit in Reply of R.E.A. Harding	5th	January	1959	11

No.	Description of Document		Date		Page
7	Affidavit in Reply of R.E.A. Harding with Annexures:-	5th	January	1959	12
	Annexure "A"				
	Letter, United Africa Co., Ltd., to B.L.MacFoy	Sth	May	1958	14
	Annexure "B"				
	Letter, Postmaster, Freetown to R.E.A.Harding	20th	December	1958	15
8	Court Notes	9th	January	1959	16
9	Judgment	9th	January	1959	17
10	Order	9th	January	1959	17
	IN THE WEST AFRICAN COURT OF APPEAL				
11	Notice and Grounds of Appeal	14th	March	1959	18
12	Court Notes		, 2nd and June 1959		19
13	Judgment	5th	June	1959	26
	IN THE PRIVY COUNCIL				
14	Order granting Special Leave to Appeal	7th	June	1960	32

į

÷.

DOCUMENT TRANSMITTED BUT NOT REPRODUCED

Description of Document	Date	
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF SIERRA LEONE		
Notice of change of Solicitors for Defendant	March	1959

IN THE PRIVY COUNCIL

No.67 of 1960

ON APPEAL

1.

FROM THE WEST AFRICAN COURT OF APPEAL

BETWEEN :-

BENJAMIN LEONARD MacFOY

(Defendant) Appellant

- and -

UNITED AFRICA COMPANY LIMITED (Plaintiff) Respondent

> RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

> > No. 1.

WRIT OF SUMMONS

C.C.663/58

1958 U. No.6

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF SIERRA LEONE

BETWEEN: - THE UNITED AFRICA COMPANY LIMITED Plaintiff

- and -

B. L. MacFOY

Defendant

ELIZABETH THE SECOND by the Grace of God of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and of Her other Realms and Territories, Queen, Head of the Commonwealth, Defender of the Faith

To B.L.MACFOY of 141, Balckhall Road, Kissy Village, or Mobil Oil Filling Station, Kissy By Pass, Kissy.

WE command you that within eight days after service of this Writ on you, inclusive of 30 the day of such service you do cause an appearance to be entered for you in the Supreme Court of Sierra Leone in an Action at the Suit of The United Africa Company Limited and take notice that in default of your so doing the Plaintiff may proceed therein, and judgment may be given in your absence. Witness the Honourable Emile Fashule Luke Justice

No. 1. Writ of Summons. 16th August,

In the Supreme Court.

1958.

10

In the Supreme Court of Sierra Leone at Freetown, the 16th day of August in the year of Our Lord 1958.

No. 1.

Writ of Summons.

16th August, 1958 - continued. I Our Lora 1958. Sgd: F.H.S. Bridge,

Master and Registrar.

N.B. - This Writ is to be served within twelve calendar months from the date thereof, or if renewed within six calendar months from the date of the last renewal including the day of such date, and not afterwards.

The Defendant may appear hereto by entering an 10 appearance either personally or by Solicitor at the Master's Office, Supreme Court of Sierra Leone.

A Defendant appearing personally may, if he desire, enter his appearance by post and the appropriate forms may be obtained by sending a Postal Order for 2/6d. with an addressed envelope foolscap size to the Master & Registrar, Supreme Court Law Courts, Westmoreland Street, Freetown.

The Plaintiff's Claim is for the sum of £5,690.15.9. for goods supplied to the Defendant as a dealer for sale to the Public.

This Writ was issued by Rowland E.A. Harding, of 7 Pultney Street, Freetown, whose address for service is the same, Solicitor for the Plaintiff whose business address is at Ludgate House, Water Street, Freetown.

No. 2.

Statement of Claim.

1958.

5th September,

No. 2.

C.C.663/58 958. U. No.6 <u>IN THE SUPREME COURT OF SIERRA LEONE</u> <u>BETWEEN:- THE UNITED AFRICA COMPANY</u> <u>LIMITED Plaintiffs</u>

OF

CLAIM

– and –

B.L. MACFOY

STATEMENT

Defendant

STATEMENT OF CLAIM

1. The Plaintiffs are Merchants operating in Sierra Leone, and are agents for Mobil Oil Products in Sierra Leone. The Defendant is a dealer in 20

Mobil Oil Products at the Plaintiffs filling station on the Freetown/Waterloo Road, Kissy, Sierra Leone.

2. By a written Agreement dated 27th April, 1955 the Plaintiff's supplied the Defendant with Mobil Oil Products on a current account for sale to the public as a dealer on a commission basis.

3. On the 1st September, 1957 the Defendant's debit with the Plaintifs was £980.3.0d. and from the 1st September, 1957 to the 14th April, 1958 the Plaintiffs supplied oil products to the Defendant amounting to £17,536.0.8d., and on the 14th April, 1958 the Defendant's total debit was £18,516.3.8d.

4. From the 1st September, 1957 to the 9th April, 1958 the Defendant made cash payments amounting to £12,825.7.11. and there is a debit balance of £5,690.15.9. outstanding, detailed particulars of the said supplies and cash payments are contained in a statement of account handed to the Defendant by Mr. M.I. Noah, District Manager, at the Plaintiffs office at Water Street, Freetown, in April, 1958.

5. The Defendant is indebted to the Plaintiffs in the sum of £5,690.15.9. for oil products supplied to him, which he has sold to the public and has not paid, and still refuses to pay for.

AND the Plaintiffs claim the sum of £5,690.15.9. and Damages.

Sgd: Rowland E.A.Harding, Plaintiffs Solicitor.

DELIVERED and filed this 5th day of September, 1958 by Rowland E.A. Harding of 7, Pultney Street, Freetown, Plaintiffs Solicitor. In the Supreme Court.

No. 2.

Statement of Claim.

5th September, 1958 - continued.

20

10

In the Supreme Court

Defence.

1958.

JUDGMENT IN DEFAULT OF DEFENCE No. 3. C.C. 663/58 1958. U. No.6 IN THE SUPREME COURT OF SIERRA LEONE Judgment in default of BETWEEN :--THE UNITED AFRICA COMPANY LIMITED Plaintiffs 29th September. - and -

B.L. MACFOY

Defendant

Monday the 29th day of September, 1958.

The Defendant not having delivered any defence herein it is this day adjudged that the Plaintiffs recover against the said Defendant £5,690.15.9. and damages to be assessed.

Sgd: F.H.S. Bridge.

Master & Registrar.

No. 4.

Affidavit in Support of Application to set aside Judgment (with

20th December. 1958.

No. 4.

AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF APPLICATION TO SET ASIDE (With Annexures) JUDGMENT 0.0. 663/58 1958. U. No.6 IN THE SUPREME COURT OF SIERRA LEONE BETWEEN :-THE UNITED AFRICA COMPANY LIMITED Plaintiffs - and -B.L. MACFOY Defendant

I, Prince Bankole Doherty, of 21 Charlotte Street, Freetown in the Colony of Sierra Leone hereby make oath and say as follows :-

That I am Solicitor for the Defendant herein. 1.

- 2. That I have been authorised by the said Defendant herein to swear to this Affidavit.
- That on the 28th day of November, 1958, I de-3. livered at the Master's Office, Supreme Court, Freetown in the Colony aforesaid a fresh Notice of Motion to set aside the said Judgment

Annexures)

No. 3.

10

20

5.

and all subsequent proceedings thereon. A copy of the said Notice of Motion is annexed hereto and marked "A".

4. That I delivered and filed at the said Master's Office at the same time that I delivered the said Notice of Motion on the 28th day of November, 1958 and Affidavit in support of the Notice of Motion, which Affidavit was sworn by the Defendant on 27th day of November, 1958. A copy of the said Affidavit is annexed hereto and marked "B".

- 5. That hearing of the said fresh Notice of Motion (that is Exhibit "A") was fixed at the Master's Office aforesaid for Wednesday the loth day of December, 1958.
- 6. That the said Notice of Motion was filed by me at the Master's Office aforesaid on the 1st day of December, 1958, the day on which I was informed by the Chief Clerk of the said Master's Office and verily believed that the date for hearing of the said fresh motion had been fixed.
- 7. That the seal copy of the said Notice of Motion was served on Rowland E.A.Harding, Esq. Solicitor for the Plaintiffs herein on the 1st day of December, 1958.
- 8. That at 8.45 o'clock in the forenoon on Wednesday the 10th day of December, 1958, I received from the said Master's Office a Notice, copy of which is annexed hereto and marked "C", informing me that the hearing of the said fresh Motion had been adjourned to Friday the 9th day of January, 1959.
- 9. That a Writ of Fieri Facias has been issued in execution of the said judgment in the cause by the Solicitor for the Plaintiffs against the Defendant, a copy of the Praecipe of the Writ of Execution is annexed hereto and marked "D".
- 0 10. That I am informed by the Defendant herein and verily believe that the said Writ has not yet been executed against him.
 - 11. That I verily believe that the said Writ of Fieri Facias will be executed against the Defendant before the hearing of the said fresh motion unless an Order for stay of further execution on the said Judgment is made by this Honourable Court.

In the Supreme Court

No. 4.

Affidavit in Support of Application to set aside Judgment (with Annexures) 20th Decerbor

20th December, 1958 - continued.

20

10

30

In the Supreme Court.

No. 4.

Affidavit in Support of Application to set aside Judgment (With Annexures).

20th December, 1958 - continued.

12. That this Affidavit is sworn in support of an application for and on behalf of the said Defendant herein to stay all further execution on the said Judgment by the Plaintiffs or their Solicitor in particular the execution of the said Writ of Fieri Facias until the hearing and decision of the said motion.

Sgd: P.E.B. Doherty

SWORN at Freetown in the Colony of Sierra Leone this 20th day of December, 1958 at 9.40 o'clock in the forenoon.

Before me,

Sgd: I.B.Sanusi

A Commissioner for Oaths.

This Affidavit is filed the 20th day of December, 1958, by Prince Bankole Doherty of 21 Charlotte Street, Freetown on behalf of the Defendant herein.

Annexure "A". ANNEXURE "A" Notice of NOTICE OF APPLICATION TO SET ASIDE JUDGMENT Application to C.C. 663/58 1958. U. No.6 set aside judgment. IN THE SUPREME COURT OF SIERRA LEONE AT FREETOWN 28th November. BETWEEN :-THE UNITED AFRICA COMPANY 1958 LIMITED Plaintiffs - and -

BENJAMIN L. MACFOY

TAKE NOTICE that this Honourable Court will be moved on Thursday the 10th day of December 1958 at 9 o'clock in the forenoon or so soon thereafter as Counsel can be heard by Prince Bankole Doherty of Counsel on behalf of B.L. MacFoy the Defendant herein on the application on the part of the Defendant the Judgment obtained in default of Defence on the 29th September, 1958 and all subsequent proceedings thereon set aside pursuant to Order XXIII Rules 15 of the Rules of the Supreme Court 1947.

AND FURTHER TAKE NOTICE that at the hearing of this application the said Prince Bankole Doherty intends to make use of the Affidavit of the said 40 B.L.MacFoy sworn the 27th day of November, 1958 and

20

30

Defendant

filed herein.

DATED the 28th day of November, 1958.

THE MASTER AND REGISTRAR, SUPREME COURT, FREETOWN

and

THE UNITED AFRICA CO. LTD. Or their Solicitor R.E. HARDING, ESQ., B.L., 7, PULTNEY STREET, FREETOWN.

10 This is the copy Exhibit referred to in the Affidavit of Mr.P.E.B.Doherty of 21, Charlotte Street, Freetown sworn the 20th day of December, 1958 and marked "A".

> Sgd: I.B.SANUSI, A COMMISSIONER FOR OATHS.

ANNEXURE "B"

AFFIDAVIT OF B. L. MACFOY

C.C.663/58

30

1958. U. No.6

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF SIERRA LEONE AT FREETOWN

20 <u>BETWEEN</u>:- THE UNITED AFRICA COMPANY LIMITED <u>Plaintiffs</u>

- and -

BENJAMIN L. MACFOY Defendant

I, BENJAMIN LEONARD MACFOY of 141 Blackhall Road, Kissy Village in the Rural Area of the Colony of Sierra Leone, Trader make oath and say as follows:-

- 1. That I am the Defendant in the above-named action.
- 2. That I have in my possession Plaintiff's Statements of Accounts numbered 2452 and 1619 copies of which are annexed hereto and marked "A" and "B" respectively.
 - 3. That the debit balance shown in the Statement of Accounts No.2452 marked "A" at 31st March 1958 was £720.7.10d.
 - 4. That the debit balance brought forward at 25th April 1958 in the Statement of Accounts No.1619 was £6,703.19.9d.
 - 5. That during the period 1st to 25th April 1958

No. 4.

Annexure "A"

Notice of Application to set aside Judgment

28th November, 1958 - continued.

Annexure "B".

Affidavit of B.L. MacFoy.

27th November, 1958.

In the Supreme Court.

No. 4.

Annexure "B".

Affidavit of B.L. MacFoy.

27th November, 1958

- continued.

I did not receive supplies of oils on credit to the value of £5,383.16.11d. which is the difference between the debit balance shown in Statement No.2452 marked "A" at 31st March 1958 and the debit balance brought forward as at 25th April 1958 in Statement No.1619 marked "B"

- 6. That I did not receive the detailed particulars or Statement of Account referred to in paragraph 4 of the Statement of Claim filed in this action.
- 7. That I verily believe that there are material differences or discrepancies between the Statements attached and marked "A2" and "B" and the Statement of Accounts referred to in paragraph 4 of the Statement of Claim.
- 8. That a copy of the Statement of Accounts referred to in paragraph 4 of the Statement of Claim was sent to my Solicitor on the 25th November 1958, on my present Solicitor's application.
- That I was late to file a Defence for the fol-9. lowing reasons:- (1) in spite of several ver-bal requests made to Mr.N.I.Noah, District Manager of the Plaintiffs' business, I was not allowed to examine the Ledger kept by the Plaintiffs which shows the monthly state of my accounts and which book was always signed by me at the end of each month, and (2) I was informed by the Clerk of my previous Solicitor and verily believe that my previous Solicitor was in the Provinces on professional duties for a considerable period of the time which lapsed between filing and delivery of the Statement of Claim in this action and the signing of judgment in default of defence.
- 10. That I consider it necessary and still so consider that it necessary for me or my Solicitor to inspect the said Ledger in order to confirm my true debit balance therefrom.
- 11. That my account with the Plaintiffs was limited to £1,000 and this fact was so indicated on the Customers Passbook kept in my name, which book has always been in the possession of the Plaintiffs.
- 12. That I believe I have a good defence to the Claim in this action. A Statement of the

10

40

Defence has already been delivered to the Plaintiffs' Solicitor a true copy of which is annexed hereto and marked "C".

13. That I make this Affidavit in support of an application that the Judgment obtained in default of Defence herein be set aside.

Signed: B.L.MacFoy.

In the Supreme Court.

No. 4.

Annexure "B".

Affidavit of B.L. MacFoy. 27th November, 1958 - continued.

SWORN at Freetown in the Colony of Sierra Leone this 27th day of November, 1958 at 3 o'clock in the afternoon

Before me,

Signed: I.B.SANUSI

A COMMISSIONER FOR OATHS.

This Affidavit is filed the 20th day of November, 1958 by Prince Bankole Doherty at 21 Charlotte Street, Freetown on behalf of the Defendant herein.

ANNEXURE "C"

NOTICE OF ADJOURNMENT

C.C. 663/58

MASTER'S OFFICE, FREETOWN, SIERRA LEONE 9th DECEMBER, 1958.

BETWEEN:- UNITED AFRICA COMPANY LIMITED

Plaintiffs

B.L. MACFOY

Defendant

YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED that the hearing of the above MOTION fixed for Wednesday the 10th day of December 1958 at 9 o'clock in the forenoon has been ADJOURNED TO FRIDAY 9th JANUARY.

- and -

(Signed) I.B.SANUSI Asst.Master and Registrar.

To P.E.B.DOHERTY, Esq., 21, CHARLOTTE STREET, FREETOWN.

This is the copy Exhibit referred to in the Affidavit of Mr.P.E.B.Doherty of 21 Charlotte Street, Freetown sworn the 20th day of December, 1958 and marked "C".

> Sgd: I.B.SANUSI A COMMISSIONER FOR OATHS.

10

20

Annexure "C"

Notice of Adjournment. 9th December.

1958.

30

In the Supreme Court. ANNEXURE "D"

PRALIC	TTE	FOR	MRTT	OF.	FIERT	FACL.
	and the second division of the second divisio			_		

No. 4.

C.C. 663/58

Annexure "D".

Praecipe for Writ of Fieri Facias.

(Undated)

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF SIERRA LEONE BETWEEN:- UNITED AFRICA COMPANY

LIMITED

- and -

B.L. MACFOY

SEAL a writ of Fieri Facias directed to the Sheriff of Freetown, Sierra Leone, against B.L.MacFoy, of 141, Blackhall Road, Kissy Village, Sierra Leone, upon a judgment dated the 29th day of September, 1958 for the sum of £5,690.15.9 and interest at £4 per centum from the 29th day of September, 1958.

Indorsed to levy £5,690.15.9. and interest thereon at £4 per centum from 29th day of September, 1958 and costs of execution.

> Signed: Rowland E.A.Harding, Plaintiffs Solicitor.

1958. U.

No.6

This is the copy Exhibit referred to in the Affida- 20 vit of Mr.P.E.B.Doherty of 21 Charlotte Street, Freetown sworn the 20th day of December, 1958 and marked "D".

Sgd: I.B.SANUSI A COMMISSIONER FOR OATHS.

No. 5. Affidavit of B.L. MacFoy. 5th January, 1959. No. 5.

AFFIDAVIT OF B.L. MACFOY

C.C. 663/58

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF SIERRA LEONE BETWEEN:- U.A.C. LTD. Pla

Plaintiffs 30

- and -

B.L. MACFOY

Defendant

I, BENJAMIN LEONARD MACFOY of 141, Blackhall Road, Kissy Village in the Colony of Sierra Leone make oath and say as follows :--

1. That I am the Defendant in this action.

2. That in March 1958, I was asked by the Plaintiffs to return my statements of account.

10.

- That I did return the Statements of Account to 3. the Plaintiffs.
- That the balance showing on my last statement 4. of account was £650.
- 5. That I subsequently paid the sum of £400 to the Plaintiffs.
- 6. That I now owe the Plaintiffs the sum of £250. Sgd: B.L. MacFoy.
- SWORN at Freetown this 5th 10 day of January, 1959 at
 - 11.20 o'clock in the forenoon

Before me,

Percy R. Davies.

A Commissioner for Oaths.

C.C. 663/58

No	•	6.	

AFFIDAVIT IN REPLY OF R.E.A. HARDING 1958. U. No.6 IN THE SUPREME COURT OF SIERRA LEONE

Defendant

20 BETWEEN :-THE UNITED AFRICA COMPANY LIMITED Plaintiffs

- and -

B.L. MACFOY

I, ROWLAND EUGENE ALEXANDER HARDING of Pultney Street, Freetown, Sierra Leone, make oath and say as follows :-

- 1. I am Solicitor for the Plaintiffs in this action, and I make this Affidavit in reply to the Affidavit of Prince Bankole Doherty, sworn on the 2nd December, 1958.
- That judgment in default of defence was signed 2. on the 29th September, 1958, 23 days after the delivery of the Statement of Claim.
- 3. Mr.A.H.C.Barlatt was appointed Solicitor for the Defendant on the 18th October, 1958, 10 days after judgment had been signed. Mr. Bar-latt left Freetown on the 4th November, 1958, 17 days after his appointment as Defendant's Solicitor, there was nothing he could do otherwise he would have done it.

In the Supreme Court

No. 5.

Affidavit of B.L. MacFoy.

5th January, 1959 - continued.

No. 6.

Affidavit in Reply of R.E.A. Harding. 5th January, 1959.

In the Supreme Court

No. 6.

Affidavit in Reply of R.E.A. Harding.

5th January, 1959 - continued.

- Mr. Prince Bankole Doherty, was appointed De-fendant's Solicitor on the 21st November, 1958, 4. whilst execution of the judgment had been levied since the 19th November, 1958 which is 51 days after judgment.
- 5. The reason for the delay to set aside the judgment as stated in para. 5 of Mr. Doherty's Affidavit is futile in view of paras. 2, 3 and 4 above.
- That my duty to my clients is paramount and 10 6. levying execution of the judgment is one such duty.

SWORN at Freetown, this 5th day of January, 1959 at 3.10 o'clock in the afternoon

Before me.

Sgd: I.B. SANUSI

A COMMISSIONER FOR OATHS.

Notice of intention to use this Affidavit at the hearing of the motion.

The Affidavit is filed on behalf of the Plaintiffs. 20

No. 7.

No. 7. AFFIDAVIT IN REPLY OF R.E.A.HARDING (With Annexures) C.C. 663/58 1958. U. No.6 IN THE SUPREME COURT OF SIERRA LEONE BETWEEN:-UNITED AFRICA COMPANY LIMITED Plaintiffs

- and -

B.L. MACFOY

Defendant

I, ROWLAND EUGENE ALEXANDER HARDING of 7 Pultney Street, Freetown, Sierra Leone, make oath and say as follows :-

- I am a Solicitor for the Plaintiffs in this 1. action and I make this Affidavit in reply to the Affidavit of Benjamin Leonard MacFoy, sworn on the 27th day of November, 1958.
- That the Statement Nos. 2452 and 1619 sent to 2. Mr.MacFoy did not contain all the debits and

Affidavit in Reply of R.E.A.Harding (With Annexures)

5th January, 1959.

credits of Mr.MacFoy's account with the Plaintiffs, in connection with the goods supplied to Mr. MacFoy, for which claim this action is brought, and Mr.MacFoy knows it. Mr. MacFoy was asked to confirm those statements, but said nothing although he knew they contained omissions.

3. That a correct statement of Mr.Macfoy's account No.3201 Sheets 1 to 7 dated 15th April, 1958 was handed to Mr.MacFoy by the District Manager, Mr.M.I.Noah at the Plaintiffs office at Water Street, Freetown, in April, 1958. This was told me by the said Mr.M.I.Noah and I verily believe it.

- 4. That on the 8th May, 1958 a letter Reference 33/7 WCSB/K was sent by registered post receipt No.2714 to Mr.MacFoy at Kissy, reminding him of the said statement of account No.3201 handed to him showing his debit of £5,690.15.9. and requesting him to settle the said claim by the end of May, 1958 to which Mr. MacFoy never replied. The Duplicate Original of the said letter is attached hereto and marked "A". The Fostmaster's notification that the letter "A" was delivered to the addressee is attached hereto and marked "B".
- 5. The reasons given in para.9 of Mr.MacFoy's Affidavit already to in para.1 above, for not filing a defence are futile. Mr.MacFoy never applied to me as Solicitor for the Plaintiffs to inspect any ledger. Mr.Barlatt was appointed Defendant's Solicitor 20 days after judgment had been signed in default of defence, therefore Mr.Barlatt's absence from Freetown cannot be accepted as reason for not filing a defence, since judgment had already been signed 20 days before his appointment as Defendant's Solicitor.

6. That the delivery notes of goods supplied to Mr. MacFoy are made in triplicate, the originals are retained by Mr.MacFoy, and the duplicates and triplicates are retained by the Plaintiffs, after they have been signed by Mr.MacFoy and his agents. Receipts of monies paid by Mr. MacFoy are made in duplicates, originals are handed to Mr.MacFoy and the duplicates retained by the Plaintiffs. The ledger entries are made from these delivery notes and receipts retained by the Plaintiffs of which Mc.MacFoy retains the originals. The Statement of

In the Supreme Court

No. 7.

Affidavit in Reply of R.E.A.Harding (With Annexures)

5th January, 1959

- continued.

30

20

10

In the Supreme Court

No. 7. Affidavit in Reply of R.E.A.Harding (With Annexures)

5th January, 1959 - continued.

- Account No.3201 Sheets 1 to 7 already handed to Mr. MacFoy and again to his Solicitor is a copy of the ledger. Mr.MacFoy has not challenged or queried a single item in the said Statement of Account, although the items in the Statement of Account are for the purpose of checking and reference.
- 7. That the Plaintiffs of themselves limited Mr. MacFoy's credit to £1,000 because of limited space in their storage tank, before the sale of oil rose far more than anticipated, and Mr. MacFoy's limit was increased to meet the demand.
- 8. That Mr.MacFoy has no defence to the claim, he therefore allowed judgment to by default, since no defence is admission of the claim. What appears to be Mr.MacFoy's defence is, that because an erroneous Statement of Account was sent to him showing less debit than he actually owes, a correct Statement of Account cannot be sent subsequently, which is no defence on the merits.

Sgd: Rowland E.A.Harding.

SWORN this 5th day of January, 1959 at 3 o'clock in the afternoon

Sgd: I.B.SANUSI

A COMMISSIONER FOR OATHS.

Notice of intention to use this Affidavit at the hearing of the motion.

This Affidavit is filed on behalf of the Plaintiffs.

Annexure "A"

ANNEXURE "A"

Letter, United	IETTER, UNITED	AFRICA CO., ITD., to B.L.MACFOY
Africa Co., Ltd.,	33/7 WCSB/K	Clients' File
to B.L.MacFoy.		District Manager's Office,
8th May, 1958.		FREETOWN, Sa. Leone.
		8th May, '8.

Mr.B.L.MacFoy, FREETOWN.

Dear Sir,

Your Statement of Account showing a debit balance of £5,690.15.9. at 14/4/58 has been handed

40

10

to you, and I must ask you to pay this account as soon as possible. We shall certainly require full settlement by the end of May, 1958.

Yours faithfully,

For: THE UNITED AFRICA COMPANY LIMITED.

Sgd: M.I.NOAH DISTRICT MANAGER.

c.c.G.M.

Petroleum Manager.

10 This is the letter addressed to Mr.B.L.MacFoy referred to in the Affidavit of Rowland Eugene Alexander Harding sworn on the 5th January 1959 marked "A".

> I.B.SANUSI A COMMISSIONER FOR OATHS.

ANNEXURE "B"

LETTER, POSTMASTER, FREETOWN to R.E.A.HARDING

This is the Postmaster's notification of the delivery of the letter "A" to addressee referred to in the Affidavit of Rowland Eugene Alexander Harding sworn on the 5th January, 1959 marked "B".

I.B.SANUSI

A COMMISSIONER FOR OATHS.

POSTS & TELEGRAPHS DEPARTMENT, FREETOWN. SIERRA LEONE.

20th December, 1958.

Sir,

With reference to your letter dated 13th December, 1958 regarding disposal of registered letter No.271B addressed to: Mr.B.L.MacFoy, Kissy Bye Pass, Kissy I have to inform you that the letter in question was delivered to the addressee on 12th May, 1958.

I am, Sir, Your obedient servant, ??? for Postmaster, Freetown.

Mr.Rowland E.A.Harding, 7, Pultney Street, Freetown. In the Supreme Court

No. 7.

Annexure "A"

Letter, United Africa Co., Ltd., to B.L.MacFoy.

8th May, 1958 - continued.

Annexure "B".

Letter, Postmaster, Freetown to R.E.A.Harding.

20th December, 1958.

30

In the Supreme Court

No. 8.

Court Notes.

9th January, 1959. No. 8.

COURT NOTES

THE UNITED AFRICA COMPANY

Vs.

B.L. MACFOY

Coram Bairamian

Friday 9th January, 1959

O.B.R.Tejan for Defendant-Appellant (Harding in Ct. No.2) Appearance for Defendant by Mr.Mackay on 2nd Sep-

That by Defendant on 29th September.

tember, 1958; no defence;

Notice on 18 October that Mr.Barlatt is the Solicitor. Mr. Doherty put in an application on 17 November to set aside judgment. On 21st November it was dismissed by Jones, Ag.J., because Mr.Doherty did not notify he was Solicitor, without prejudice to fresh motion within 8 days. Fresh motion on 28th November.

Stay of execution refused on 22 December by Marks, J. Affidavit of Defendant on 27 November, 1958: Deft. exhibited statements of A/C; Re paragraph 9 of his Affidavit that Defendant was not allowed to look at Company's ledger.

Mr.Barlatt was to blame.

(Judgment by default on 29 September; Barlatt Solicitor on 18 Oct.)

(What evidence is there why defence was not put in before 29 Sept.)

Defendant's Affidavit is that Mr.Barlatt should have put in his defence. I don't know how, seeing he came in as Solicitor after judgment was signed. The reason why Defendant did not put in defence is that District Manager would not give him the ledger. Re Defendant's Affidavit of 5 January that the balance was £650 and he paid £400 later and owes £250. (Why couldn't he have said so in Sept.?)

Harding: as I am busy in other Ct. would Ct. adjourn to 12 noon. I served Mr. Doherty with my Affidavits not knowing that Mr.Tejan has become Solicitor; no notice of it, though on back of Affidavit of 5 Jan. he is Solicitor.

At 12 noon

V.R.B.

20

10

40

C.J.

Harding: I show my book of letter deliveries S/C was on 3rd Sept. There is no evidence why defence was not filed before 29th September. It was not until 21 Nov. that execution began.

Acct. was sent in May by <u>registered letter</u> which was delivered; mention in Supreme Court of a/c sent. Not denied - A/Cs 2452 & 1609 (8796 etc. some sheet omitted).

10 Tejan: I concede there is no explanation why nodefence was filed before 29th Sept. will Ct. give time for evidence why not.

Defendant paid some money on 11 Sept. 1957 to Mr. Barlatt. for pleading.

(He gave notice of being Solicitor on 18 Oct.)

No. 9.

JUDGMENT

<u>Judgment</u>. The application to set judgment aside or stay of execution is dismissed with costs to Plaintiffs.

Reasons have been given orally and Mr.Tejan says he does not wish to have reasons given in writing to go higher.

Sgd: V.R.BAIRAMTAN C.J.

No. 10.

ORDER.

C.C. 663/58

20

3/58 1958. U. No.6 IN THE SUPREME COURT OF SIERRA LEONE

30 BEFORE the Honourable Mr.Justice Vahe Robert Bairamian, Chief Justice in Chambers.

BETWEEN : -	THE UNITED	AFRICA	COMPANY	
	LIMITED			Plaintiffs

- and -

BENJAMIN LEONARD MACFOY Defendant

Before the Hon. Chief Justice

FRIDAY the 9th day of January, 1959

In the Supreme Court

No. 8.

Court Notes.

9th January,

1959 - continued.

No. 9.

Judgment. 9th January,

1959.

No.10.

Order.

9th January, 1959.

In the Supreme Court

No.10.

Order.

9th January, 1959 - continued. UPON HEARING Mr.O.B.R.Tejan deputising for Mr. Prince Bankole Doherty of Counsel for the Defendant and Mr. Rowland E.A.Harding of Counsel for the Plaintiffs and UPON READING the Affidavit of the said Prince Bankole Doherty sworn the 20th day of December, 1958 and filed herein, the Affidavit of the Defendant, Benjamin Leonard MacFoy sworn the 5th day of January, 1959 and filed herein and the two Affidavits of the said Rowland E. A. Harding sworn on the 5th day of January, 1959 and filed herein.

IT IS ORDERED that the application to set aside the judgment or stay of execution herein be dismissed with costs to be taxed and paid by the Defendant to the Plaintiffs.

By the Court,

Sgd: F.H.S.BRIDGE

MASTER AND REGISTRAR.

The above costs have been taxed and allowed by the Master and Registrar dated the day of 1959.

In the West African Court of Appeal

No.ll.

Notice and Grounds of Appeal.

14th March, 1959.

No. 11.

NOTICE AND GROUNDS OF APPEAL

IN THE WEST AFRICAN COURT OF APPEAL

NOTICE OF APPEAL

BETWEEN:- THE UNITED AFRICA CO. LTD. Plaintiffs

- and - B.L. MACFOY

Defendant

TAKE NOTICE that the Defendant being dissatisfied with the Supreme Court Order of VAHE ROBERT BAIR-AMIAN, Esq., Chief Justice of Sierra Leone dated the 9th day of January 1959 doth hereby appeal to the West African Court of Appeal upon the ground set out in paragraph 2 and will at the hearing of the appeal seek the relief set out in paragraph 3.

AND the Appellant further states that the names and addresses of the persons directly affected by the appeal are those set out in paragraph 4.

2. That the refusal of the Learned Chief Justice to set aside a judgment in default in this matter is unreasonable having regard to the fact that 30

10

the Defendant disclosed a substantial defence

- 3. That the judgment in default herein be set aside and the case be remitted to the Supreme Court of Sierra Leone with a direction that the Defendant be allowed to defend the action.
- United Africa Company Limited, Lugate House, 4. Water Street, Freetown.

DATED this 14th day of March, 1959.

Sgd: B.L.MACFOY APPELLANT.

Acting Justice of

No. 12.

COURT NOTES

IN THE WEST AFRICAN COURT OF APPEAL

Civ.App.18/59

Coram: - Wilfred Hugh Hurley

Appeal Cecil Geraint Ames Acting Justice of Appeal Peter Watkin Williams Puisne Judge. U.A.C.LTD. Vs. B.L.MACFOY

Macaulay for Appellant

Harding for Respondent

Macaulay: I have given notice that I would ask leave to amend the ground of appeal. The proposed ground is additional, not in substitution. I now move. My friend will oppose. The ground does not allege a defect in jurisdiction, but I submit the matter should be treated in analagous matter. Delivery of statement of claim is a nullity; that will be my argument if that is correct, all subse-quent proceedings are void. Secondly, the proposed ground of appeal alleges an irregularity, I shall submit that it is an irregularity that defies mandatory provision, and it is too much to ask this Court to close its eyes to such irregularity. Thereby, proposed ground of appeal is not one on which it is necessary to adduce further evidence.

No.12. Court Notes. lst June, 1959.

No.ll.

Notice and

Grounds of

14th March,

- continued.

Appeal.

1959

In the upon his application to the Supreme Court dated West African 28th November, 1958 to set aside the judgment Court of Appeal by default.

10

20

30

In the West African Court of Appeal

No.12.

Court Notes.

lst June, 1959 - continued. Harding: Appeal is against exercise of discretionary power on ground put before learned C.J.Nothing like the present application was before him, and he did not exercise any discretion in regard to it, or arrive at any determination.

To Ag.P.: Application was under Order 23 Rule 15, which is English Order 27 Rule 15. "Any judgment by default may be set aside by the Court, upon such terms"

(Continues): The application should not be allowed now. The part cannot be taken now, because the question now is whether Chief Justice's discretionary power was wrongly exercised - wrong principles.

The irregularity having been raised the Court had jurisdiction. It is not as as a defect of jurisdiction because the parties can excuse it.

As to appearance of a mandatory Provision I have nothing to add.

Macaulay: It is not a case of filing a pleading out of time, but of filing it at a time when it was illegal to file it. Order 52, Rule 3, S.L. (stopped)

Leave granted.

Macaulay: I shall argue that ground now. Vacation. Courts Ordinance, Section 7(2) "no such cause or matter shall be heard or obtained....." Section 24, Rules Committee, including Power Sec.24(e) as amended 31 of 46, Prescribing the Sittings and Vacation Rules, Order 44: "The vacation shall be three in every year" 15 July - 11 September" 6/3 filed 5 September Order 16, delivery of plead-"Within 10 days after appearance..... ings - l. deliver statement of claim and forthwith file a copy..... 2. (Ditto, s.defence). Unlike English rules, there must be a delivery followed by filing of a copy. In England, there is a summons for direction first. Rules are alert as to whether pleadings may be delivered or filed on vacation. Can pleadings be filed in vacation? Submit it can, Section 7(2) of Courts Ordinance - "Court shall be open throughout the year". That doesn't cover delivery. Then Order 52 Rule 3 as amended. Now, to English Order 64 Rule 4, 1A 31 1957 W.B. "In causes intended to be tried (and so on, other causes) pleadings may be filed only last eleven days of long vacation In English,

20

40

long vacation is under Order 65 Rule 4 - our Order 44. I have not looked up any English analogies of Sec.7(2).

Order 16 Rules 1, 2 must be read under Order 64 Rule 4 amend by order 52, Rule 3, because there is analogous provision to these Rules in Order 20, Rule 1 in England.

On page 371 "ten days after appearance.

Filing in England not before summons for directions - Order 25 Rule 6(2) as amended. "Copies 10 of pleadings to be delivered to office for the Judge"

Judgment was signed in default of delivery of pleadings that could not have been delivered. Order 16 Rule 2.

Ag.P.: Was delivery on vacation refused as illegal? if not, there was a waiver?

Macaulay: A waiver relation to the practice is only a fresh step which acknowledges the act - a fresh step, not an omission.

Again, if a man can accept delivery and effect a waiver, Order 64 Rule 4 is nullified.

It does not say by consent of the parties.

Delivery is delivery permitted by the rules.

Order 23 Rule 15 is what this application is under. English Order 27 Rule 15 same words. Interpretation of English rule should guide this Court. Page 449 "My Judgment" - ex debito justitiae.

30 Jurisdiction: A judge is entitled to hear a motion to set aside judgment regularly obtained. Equally, if judgment irregularly obtained. Can he refuse the application if judgment has been irregularly allowed?

If not jurisdiction, fresh point may be argued if other step would be prejudiced; and sometimes, if it can be done without fresh evidence.

Would it do justice on the merit as between parties if the fresh point is argued? This will be agreed under ground 2.

W.Williams: If there was not a waiver by acceptance of delivery, was not there a waiver by abandonment, by not arguing it on the motion to set aside? A further step.

In the West African Court of Appeal

No.12.

Court Notes.

lst June, 1959 - continued.

20

In the West African Court of Appeal Macaulay: Not on any definitions of waiver. My definition is deducible from - Order 70, Rule 2 "taken any fresh step" W.B.1601, our Order 50 Rule 2 word for word.

No.12.

Rubric at 1601, not waiver.

Court Notes. 1st June, 1959

- continued.

Court: Waiver at page 62.

Macaulay: Boyle v. Sacker: I concede we argued the application. But Order 23 Rule 1 speaks of any judgment whether regular or irregular.

Add: Court cannot shut its eyes to a breach of a mandatory provision.

Ag.P.: Don't the cases on waiver show the contrary?

W.B.449 Regular and wrong judgment.

15 minutes recess.

Macaulay: My next ground. I ask to correct "20th December" to "28th November". I have told my friend.

No objection.

Order as prayed.

Macaulay: On this ground: In an application to set aside, Courts are more disposed to accede where substantial defence is shown.

Secondly, although in exercising this discretion the Court will have regard to the fact whether or not there is an explanation of the delay, it will not necessarily refuse because delay unexplained, if it is not long, and there is a substantial defence.

These propositions are in <u>Evans v. Bartlam</u> (1937) A.C. at page 473, headnote and page 479, second line from end, to 408 - Order 27 Rule 15 gives a discretionary power.

I will argue this ground as alternative to 1.

Plaintiffs claim, page 2 statement of claim page 5; application to set aside page 10, supported by a Plaintiffs Affidavit page 11; page 12, defence at paragraph 12 not in record, but it does not matter.

My case is this: Plaintiff says written agreement to supply to Defendant and supplies were made over a period and payments made, and probably £6,000 outstanding. Reply to this page 11, paragraph 5; paragraph 11: I did not receive the 20

10

40

23.

goods and I could not have. April 19 para. 7 at page 20. This raises a triable issue.

Ag.P.: Only a conflict of evidence.

Watkin Williams: Is paragraph 7 inconsistent with what Defendant said in his paragraph 11. Paragraph 11 November 27, paragraph 7 January 5, hearing January 9. MacFoy lives at Kissy, see his Affidavit. No time to reply.

Next answer of defence is in MacFoy paragraph 0 2 - 5 at page 11; also page 6.

10

20

40

He ignored letter of 8 May, I agree - it is at page 22. Statement of account there mentioned appears to be that referred to in paragraph 4 Statement of Claim. That the Appellant denies.

Ag.P.: He did not deny it on 8 May: he admitted it by default.

Macaulay: He did in his Affidavit paragraph 6 Plaintiff in Affidavit page 20 paragraph 5. Defendant do not know particulars of claim till he had statement of claim on 5 September. Defendant need not have applied to inspect before that.

Watkin Williams: But letter of 8th May?

Macaulay: He says he asked to inspect after that, 12 paragraph 9. That is not answered on page 20 paragraph 6 "The Statement of Account M.3201...." and is answered nowhere else.

Watkin Williams: He signed every month, and would know the balance?

The contention is he made some payment between 30 the £6,703 account of 25 April and the £5,690.15.9d account?

Macaulay: I did not concede.

Affidavit page 16 paragraph 4 - 6.

Submit that evidence should be heard, because -

- (a) There are conflicts of evidence.
- (b) Quantum of subject matter is large, and justice should appear to be done.
- (c) Delay is not such as to preclude exercise of discretion.

Therefore ask Court to set aside judgment, costs to be borne by applicant if Court is against me on first ground. In the West African Court of Appeal

No.12.

Court Notes.

lst June, 1959

- continued.

In the West African Court of Appeal

No.12.

Court Notes.

lst June, 1959 - continued.

Harding asked to argue on the original ground of appeal - is defence page 11 substantial? page 11, 3 & 4; 4 not correct. My counter-affidavit does not correct that. The Court not relying on 1619, but 14 April: 5 paragraph 3. 11 paragraph 4 doesn't answer statement of claim.

Denial paragraph 4 statement of claim - but letter 8 May no reply, and nothing done until August.

Passport only shows balance.

10

Limit of £1,000: 20 Para.7.

I apply for leave to file a duplicate original of the statement of account mentioned in paragraph 4 of the Statement of Claim. I have no evidence to show that the document I tendered is a duplicate original of that statement.

Harding: 12 paragraph 8 is then meaningless (Sic).

22 letter 8 May - it is referred to them too.

Leave refused.

To Ames: Plaintiff has issued execution and part.

20

(Continues) Statement of Account 2542 page 11 paragraphs 3, and 1619 paragraph 4: figures not on record in detail.

Adjourned to 2 June 1959. Macaulay is excused on his application.

> Sgd: W.H.Hurley Ag.P. 1.6.59.

2nd June, 1959

Tuesday 2nd June, 1959.

Harding for Respondent.

30

Appellant not represented; see Note of 1.6.59.

Harding: On the original ground of appeal: endorsement of claim. Statement of Claim page 5 paragraph 4. Page 22, Ex.A confirms; it was de-livered, page 23 page 12, paragraph 8, confirmatory copy. Page 19, paragraph 4 (he is back at pages 22 - 23).

Warne now appears holding Macaulay's brief for Appellant.

Harding, continuing: No of Statement sent on 40 8 May was 3201 - page 19, paragraph 4. In the

· 7

lower Court, ground for setting aside at page 11 paragraphs 2, 3, 4, 5. This is in relation to statements 2452 and 1619, which are irrelevant to this action, i.e. to the Statement of Claim and Exhibit A, page 22 this only reference to No.3201 is in paragraph 8. Never attempted to give a defence for Statement of Claim.

It follows that there is no defence at all.

If they'd seen the ledger, they'd have seen what was in 2452 and 1619 (sic). Page 11 paragraph 5, 25 April; page 5, paragraph 3, 14 April -25 April not our claim page 4 was the date the statement was made, not the date of the last transaction, presumably 14 April).

On receiving a statement, he could check each item against documents in his possession relating to the relevant transaction.

Judgment has now been executed, and interests acquired by third parties; too late to come here, especially with no defence. <u>Harley v. Samson 30</u>. T.L.R. 450.

My affidavit page 17 in reply to page 8 (Dec. 2 is for Dec.20 in 17 paragraph 1, a clerical error). The stay was refused: see page 25 L.9.

Ag.P.: Was not execution complete in January, 1959?

Harding: No; it held our hands until after the Judgment in January 1959. After that, no further attempt to obey. In January the application to stay execution was dismissed.

30 Ag.P.: So they couldn't usefully have applied again.

Harding: To this Court pending appeal, I have nothing further to add.

Warne does not wish to reply.

Adjourned to 5 June, 1959 for Judgment.

Sgd: W.H.Hurley

Ag.P. 2/6/59. In the West African Court of Appeal

No.12.

Court Notes.

2nd June, 1959 - continued.

20

In the Civ.App. 18/59. West African B.A.MACFOY Vs. U.A.C. Court of Appeal During for Appellant. No.12. Harding for Respondent. Court Notes. Judgment of the Court read by Hurley Acting Justice of Appeal. 5th June, 1959. Appeal dismissed with costs. Sgd: W.H.Hurley Ag.P. 5.6.59. Sgd: C.G. Ames. Sgd: P.Watkin-Williams, Ag. J.A. No.13. No. 13. Judgment. JUDGMENT 5th June, 1959. IN THE WEST AFRICAN COURT OF APPEAL Civ.App. 18/59 General Sittings holden at Freetown in the Colony of Sierra Leone on 1st and 2nd June, 1959. Coram: Wilfred Hugh Hurley Ag.Justice of Appeal Ag.Justice of Appeal Cecil Geraint Ames Peter Watkin-Williams P.Judge. United African Company Limited Respondents Vs. Benjamin Leonard MacFoy Appellant For Appellant: S.C.B. Macauley, Esq., For Respondents: R.E.A.Harding, Esq. Judgment delivered on 5th June, 1959 The Defendant-Appellant was sued by the Plaintiffs-Respondents in an action in which they claimed £5,690.16.9d. for goods supplied to the Defendant. A Statement of Claim was filed on 5th September, 1958. By Order 16, Rule 1, of the Supreme Court Rules, 1947, a Plaintiff is to de-

liver his statement of claim to the Defendant within ten days after appearance, and is to file a copy of the Statement of Claim forthwith. It is common ground that the Statement of Claim was filed after being delivered within ten days of appearance. By Rule 2 of Order 16, the Defendant was required to

20

30

deliver his defence within ten days of the delivery of the Statement of Claim. He did not deliver a Statement of defence, and on 29th September the Plaintiffs obtained Judgment against him in default of defence. By Order 23, Rule 15, of the Supreme Court Rules, 1947, any judgment by default may be set aside by the Court upon such terms as to costs or otherwise as the Court may think fit. The Defendant moved on 17th November, 1958 to set aside the judgment of 29th September, 1958. The motion was dismissed on 21st November without prejudice to a fresh motion within 8 days. A fresh motion was delivered on 28th November and was heard and dismissed on 9th January, 1959. The Defendant now appeals against the dismissal.

When the appeal came on for hearing on 1st June, 1959, learned Counsel for the Appellant applied for leave to amend the notice of appeal by adding a fresh ground of appeal, namely, the judgment in default was irregular because the Statement of Claim had been delivered in the vacation when the rules did not permit it to be delivered. The point was not taken in the Court below, and learned Counsel for the Respondents opposed the application for amendment for that reason. Counsel for the Appellant, in submitting that the fresh ground for the could be properly entertained, relied on reasons which it seemed to us could not be fully explained without putting before us the greater part of what would be urged in support of the ground itself, so we heard the whole of the argument of the Appellant's Counsel on the ground.

By Order 50, Rule 1, of the Supreme Court Rules, 1947, non-compliance with any of the Rules, or with any rule of practice for the time being in force, shall not render any proceedings void unless the Court shall so direct, but such proceedings may be set aside either wholly or in part as irregular, or amended, or otherwise dealt with in such manner and upon such terms as the Court shall think fit. By Rule 2, no application to set aside any proceedings for irregularity shall be allowed unless made within reasonable time, nor if the party applying has taken any fresh step after knowledge of the irregularity. Rules 1 and 2 of Order 70 in the English practice are in practically the same words. The Defendant knew when the Statement of Claim was delivered to him, and he knew it was then vacation. He made no application in the Court below to set aside the Statement of Claim as having been delivered irregularly; he did not raise the point in

In the West African Court of Appeal

No.13.

Judgment.

5th June, 1959 - continued.

20

10

40

In the West African Court of Appeal

Judgment.

5th June, 1959 - continued.

any way until he appeared in this Court to argue the appeal, over eight months after the Statement of Claim had been delivered. Instead of applying to have the Statement of Claim set aside, he allowed Judgment to go against him by default and then moved to have the judgment set aside. In that application, he proceeded on the basis that the judgment was a regular and subsisting one. In support of the application, he made an Affidavit with the object of showing that he had a defence on the merits, and set out certain averments intended to establish a basis of fact for that contention. At the hearing of the application he appeared by Counsel, and the application was argued on the merits of the defence.

In Boyle vs. Sacker, L.R.30 Ch.D.249. an ex parte order was made in vacation by Charles, J., for the issue of a writ against a Defendant who was abroad, and for substituted service of the writ and a notice of motion for an injunction and a receiver, by service on the Defendant's Solicitor in England. The substituted service was effected and the motion for an injunction and a receiver came before Chitty, J., in term. It was stood over for a week at the request of the Defendant, who was represented by Counsel, and was then stood over for a further week before coming on to be disposed of. At the hearing Affidavits had been filed on both sides. Defendant's Counsel raised an objection to the order for the issue of the writ and for substituted service. Chitty, J., thought that probably the right course would have been to apply to the Court of Appeal for an order discharging the exparte order of the vacation Judge, since Order 63 Rule 12 provided that no order made by a vacation Judge should be reversed or varied except by a Divisional Court or the Court of Appeal or the Judge who made it; but he was of opinion that he need not consider the question of his jurisdiction to discharge the order because there was no motion before him to discharge it, and because the objection was of a kind which could not be entertained when it had been taken suddenly, particularly after the Defendant had appeared and filed Affidavits on the merits. The motion was then heard on the merits and an order for a receiver was made. The Defendant next moved the Court of Appeal to discharge the order made in vacation by Charles, J. At the hearing the Plaintiff-Respondent relied on Order 70, Rule 2, which as I have said is the same

20

30

10

40

No.13.

as Order 50, Rule 2, in the 1947 Rules, and argued that the Defendant's proper course was to have moved the Court below under Order 12, Rule 30, for an order to discharge the order of Charles, J. In reply, the Defendant contended that the case was not one of irregularity, but of want of jurisdic-tion, so that Order 70, Rule 2, did not apply. And he argued that he could not have moved the Court below to discharge the order of Charles, J., because Charles, J. had no jurisdiction after the vacation had ended and Chitty, J. was not the Judge who made it and therefore by Order 63, Rule 12, had no jurisdiction to reverse or vary it. On that second point, the Court of Appeal held that Order 63, Rule 12 did not apply to an ex parte Order, so that the Defendant could and should have applied to Chitty, J., to whose Court the action was attached, to discharge the order. This had not been done, and Chitty, J. had properly refused for that reason to entertain the objection raised before him. The Defendant's Counsel having then argued the case on the merits, which he had no right to do except on the footing that the Defendant was a party, the Court of Appeal were of opinion that he could not be heard to say that he had not been properly served under the order of Charles, J.

In Boyle vs. Sacker, as has been seen the Defendant did take an objection to service, but it failed because it could not be heard in the form in which it was made. Here, the Defendant on his application to set aside the judgment could have been heard on an objection that the statement of claim had not been delivered, but he did not take it. Instead, as the Defendant in Boyle vs. Sacker did, he argued the case on the merits on the footing that the statement of claim had been delivered. In our opinion, having done that, he cannot now be heard to say that the Statement of Claim was not delivered.

40 Turning to the other ground that was argued, we observe that on the 8th May, 1958, the Respondents wrote to the Appellant stating that his statement of account showing a debit balance of £5,690.15.9d. at 14th April, 1958 had been handed to him and demanding payment as soon as possible. The Appellant ignored the letter. On the 16th August, 1958 the Respondents issued a writ claiming the sum of £5,690.15.9d. On the 5th September, 1958 a Statement of Claim was delivered showing how the sum of £5,690.15.9d. was arrived at. The

In the West African Court of Appeal

No.13.

Judgment.

5th June, 1959 - continued.

10

In the West African Court of Appeal

No.13.

Judgment.

5th June, 1959 - continued.

Appellant entered appearance but failed to deliver any defence and on the 29th September, 1958 judgment was entered in default.

30.

Two months later, on the 28th November, 1958. the Appellant moved to have the judgment set aside. Execution was commenced but withheld pending the hearing of the motion to set aside the judgment. This motion was dismissed by the learned Chief Justice on the 9th January, 1959. reasons being given orally as the Solicitor for the Appellant stated that he did not wish to have reasons given in writing for the purpose of an appeal.

A stay of execution was refused at the same time and we understand that the property of the Appellant has now been sold to bona fide purchasers.

The Appellant has failed to offer any valid explanation for his default in pleading. Furthermore it is almost inconceivable that he would ignore a letter demanding £5,690.15.9d. if in fact he knew that he owed to the Respondents a small part of that sum.

He now asks leave to defend on the grounds that his debit balance as at 31st March, 1958 was $\pounds720.7.10d.$ and his debit balance on the 25th April, 1958 was $\pounds6,703.19.9d.$ and that he did not receive credit to the value of $\pounds5,383.16.11d.$ between these two dates. He then goes on to say that he has not been permitted to see the Respondents' ledger to confirm his true debit balance therefrom. If he had wanted to see the ledgers, he should have applied for an order for discovery and he should have asked for further time in which to deliver the defence.

The averment is irrelevant. He was not sued on the statements showing balances of £720.7.10d. or £6,703.19.9d. He was sued for a balance of £5,690.15.9d. and he has never attempted to meet that claim. The statements if unexplained tend to disprove the claim but they are only evidence. The defence now disclosed is no more than this, that the claim is for goods not supplied and possibly in respect of other goods already paid for. 10

40

This is not a case in which a Defendant has merely been in default of pleading. He has made no response to a demand for over £5,000; he has allowed judgment to go by default and it was only when execution was to be levied that he took steps to have the judgment set aside. In these circumstances much doubt is thrown on the validity of any defence which he may set up. The defence which he now seeks to put in is extremely nebulous.

We cannot say that the learned Chief Justice exercised his discretion wrongly. Indeed we do not think that he could reasonably have decided the matter in favour of the Defendant.

Appeal dismissed with costs.

Sgd: W.H.Hurley

Ag. President.

Sgd: C.C.Ames

Ag.J.of Appeal.

Sgd: P.Watkin-Williams

Puisne Judge, S. Leone.

5th June, 1959.

Judgment read by Hurley Ag. J.A. (Presiding Judge).

In the West African Court of Appeal

No.13.

Judgment.

5th June, 1959 - continued.

32.

In the Privy Council

No. 14.

ORDER GRANTING SPECIAL LEAVE TO APPEAL (L.S.)

No.14.

Order granting Special Leave to Appeal.

7th June, 1960.

AT THE COURT AT BUCKINGHAM PALACE

The 7th day of June, 1960

PRESENT

THE QUEEN'S MOST EXCELLENT MAJESTY

LORD PRIVY SEAL LORD MILLS LORD CARRINGTON MR.WALKER-SMITH

WHEREAS there was this day read at the Board 10 a Report from the Judicial Committee of the Privy Council dated the 16th day of May 1960 in the words following, viz .:-

"Whereas by virtue of His late Majesty King Edward the Seventh's Order in Council of the18th day of October 1909 there was referred unto this Committee a humble Petition of Benjamin Leonard MacFoy in the matter of an Appeal from the West African Court of Appeal between the Petitioner and United Africa Company Limited Respondent setting forth (amongst other matters) that by a Writ of Summons dated the 16th August 1958 the Respondent claimed in the Supreme Court of Sierra Leone the sum of £5,690.15.9d. for goods supplied to the Petitioner as a dealer for sale to the public: that Judgment in default of defence was signed on the 29th day of September 1958: that an Application by the Petitioner dated the 17th November 1958 to set aside the said Judgment was dismissed on the 21st November 1958 without prejudice to a fresh motion within 8 days: that on the 28th November 1958 a further Application to set aside the Judgment was filed and on the 9th January 1959 the Court dismissed the Application with costs to the Respondent: that the Petitioner appealed to the West African Court of Appeal that and Court by a Judgment and Order dated the5th June 1959 dismissed the Appeal: that the Petitioner applied to the West African Court of Appeal for leave to appeal to Your Majesty in Council but such leave was refused as the Application was out of time: And humbly praying Your Majesty in Council to grant the Petitioner special leave to appeal against the Judgment and Order of the West African Court of Appeal dated the 5th day of June 1959 or for further or other relief:

20

30

40

i

"THE LORDS OF THE COMMITTEE in obedience to His late Majesty's said Order in Council have taken the humble Petition into consideration and having heard Counsel in support thereof no one appearing at the Bar in opposition thereto Their Lordships do this day agree humbly to report to Your Majesty as their opinion that leave ought to be granted to the Petitioner to enter and prosecute his Appeal against the Judgment and Order of the West African Court of Appeal dated the 5th day of June, 1959 upon depositing in the Registry of the Privy Council the sum

"And Their Lordships do further report toYour Majesty that the proper officer of the said Court ought to be directed to transmit to the Registrar of the Privy Council without delay an authenticated copy under seal of the Record proper to be laid before Your Majesty on the hearing of the Appeal upon payment by the Petitioner of the usual fees for the same."

of £400 as security for costs:

HER MAJESTY having taken the said Report into consideration was pleased by and with the advice of Her Privy Council to approve thereof and to order as it is hereby ordered that the same be punctually observed obeyed and carried into execution.

Whereof the Governor or Officer administering the Government of Sierra Leone for the time being and all other persons whom it may concern are to take notice and govern therselves accordingly.

W.G. AGNEW.

In the Privy Council

No.14.

Order granting Special Leave to Appeal.

7th June, 1960 - continued.

20

10